Joint Universities Accelerator School JUAS 2016 Archamps, France, 22nd – 26th February 2016

Normal-conducting accelerator magnets

Thomas Zickler, CERN



Normal-conducting accelerator magnets

 \odot





- Goals in magnet design and coherence
- What do we need to know before starting?
- Defining the requirements & constraints
- Deriving the magnet main parameters
- Coil design and cooling





Goals in magnet design



The goal is to produce a product just good enough to perform reliably with a sufficient safety factor at the lowest cost and on time.

- Good enough:
 - Obvious parameters are clearly specified, but tolerance difficult to define
 - Tight tolerances lead to increased costs
- Reliability:
 - Get MTBF and MTTR reasonably low
 - Reliability is usually unknown for new design
 - Requires experience to search for a compromise between extreme caution and extreme risk (expert review)
- Safety factor:
 - Allows operating a device under more demanding condition as initially foreseen
 - To be negotiated between the project engineer and the management
 - Avoid inserting safety factors a multiple levels (costs!)



Magnet interfaces





A magnet is not a stand-alone device!

Design process



Electro-magnetic design is an iterative process:



- Field strength (gradient) and magnetic length
- Integrated field strength (gradient)
- Aperture and ,good field region'
- Field quality:
 - field homogeneity
 - maximum allowed multi-pole errors
 - settling time (time constant)
- Operation mode: continous, cycled
- Electrical parameters
- Mechanical dimensions
- Cooling
- Environmental aspects







General requirements



Magnet type and purpose	 Dipole: bending, steering, extraction Quadrupole, sextupole, octupole Combined function, solenoid, special magnet
Installation	 Storage ring, synchrotron light source, collider Accelerator Beam transport lines
Quantity	 Installed units Spare units (~10 %)



Performance requirements







Performance requirements



Field quality

- Homogeneity (uniformity)
- Maximum allowed multipole errors
- Stability & reproducibility
- Settling time (time constant)
- Allowed residual field)





. ©



Physical requirements



Geometric boundaries

Accessibility

- Available space
- Transport limitations
- Weight limitations
- Crane
- Connections (electrical, hydraulic)

• Alignment targets











Equipment linked to the magnet is defining the boundaries and constraints

Power converter	 Max. current (peak, RMS) Max. voltage Pulsed/dc
Cooling	 Max. flow rate and pressure drop Water quality (aluminium/copper circuit) Inlet temperature Available cooling power
Vacuum	 Size and material of vacuum chamber Space for pumping ports, bake-out Captive vacuum chamber



Environmental aspects



Other aspects, which can have an influence on the magnet design





Magnet Components





Alignment targets <u>Yoke</u> <u>Coils</u> Sensors **Cooling circuit Connections** Support









Translate the beam optic requirements into a magnetic design



CERN

Input parameters – Magnetic design – Coil design – Cooling – Summary

Beam rigidity



Beam rigidity (*B*
$$\rho$$
) [Tm]: $(B\rho) = \frac{p}{q} = \frac{1}{qc}\sqrt{T^2 + 2TE_0}$

- *p*: particle momentum [kg m/s]
- q: particle charge number [Coulombs]
- c: speed of light [m/s]
- *T*: kinetic beam energy [eV]
- *E*₀: particle rest mass energy [eV](0.51 MeV for electrons, 938 MeV for protons)

"...resistance of the particle beam against a change of direction when applying a bending force..."



Magnetic induction



Dipole bending field B [T]:

- Flux density or magnetic induction *B*: (vector) [T]
- magnet bending radius [m] r_M :

 $B = \frac{(B\rho)}{2}$ r_M

Quadrupole field gradient B'[T/m]:

k: quadrupole strength [m⁻²] $B' = (B\rho)k$

- Sextupole differential gradient $B''[T/m^2]$: $B''=(B\rho)m$
 - sextupole strength [m⁻³] *m*:



Aperture size



Aperture =

Good field region

Maximum beam size

- Lattice functions: beta functions and dispersion
- Geometrical transverse emittancies (energy depended)
- Momentum spread
- Envelope (typical 3-sigma)
- Largest beam size usually at injection
- + Closed orbit distortions (few mm)

 $\sigma = \sqrt{\varepsilon \beta + \left(D\frac{\Delta p}{p}\right)^2}$

- + Vacuum chamber thickness (0.5 5 mm)
- + Installation and alignment margin (0 10 mm)
 - "...good field region: region where the field quality has to be within certain tolerances..."





The two types are slightly different in terms of focusing:

- S-bend: focuses horizontally
- R-bend: no horizontal focusing, but small vertical defocusing at the edges

<u>Note:</u> the curvature has no effect, it is just for saving material, otherwise the pole would have to be wider ("*sagitta*").



Excitation current in a dipole



Ampere's law $\oint \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{l} = NI$ and $\vec{B} = \mu \vec{H}$ with $\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r$

leads to $NI = \oint \frac{\vec{B}}{\mu} \cdot d\vec{l} = \int_{gap} \frac{\vec{B}}{\mu_{air}} \cdot d\vec{l} + \int_{yoke} \frac{B}{\mu_{iron}} \cdot d\vec{l} = \frac{Bh}{\mu_{air}} + \frac{B\lambda}{\mu_{iron}}$

assuming, that B is constant along the path

If the iron is not saturated:

$$rac{h}{\mu_{air}} >> rac{\lambda}{\mu_{iron}}$$

then:
$$NI_{(per pole)} \approx \frac{Bh}{2\eta\mu_0}$$

h: gap height [m]η: efficiency (typically 95% - 99 %)





Reluctance and efficiency



Normal-conducting accelerator magnets

homas Zickler, CERN

0

Reluctance: $R_M = \frac{NI}{\Phi} = \frac{l_M}{A_M \mu_r \mu_0}$

Term ($\xrightarrow{\lambda}$) in previous slide is called 'normalized reluctance' of the yoke μ_{iron}

Keep iron yoke reluctance less than a few % of air reluctance $(\frac{h}{m})$ by providing μ_0 sufficient iron cross section ($B_{iron} < 1.5 T$)

Efficiency:

$$\eta = \frac{R_{M,gap}}{R_{M,gap} + R_{M,yoke}} \approx 99\%$$





Magnetic flux



Flux in the yoke includes the gap flux and stray flux

Total flux in the return yoke:

$$\Phi = \int_{A} B \cdot dA \approx B_{gap} (w + 2h) l_{mag}$$

$$B_{leg} \cong B_{gap} \frac{w + 2h}{w_{leg}}$$







It is easy to derive perfect mathematical pole configurations for a specific field configuration

In practice poles are not ideal: finite width and end effects result in multipole errors disturbing the main field

The uniform field region is limited to a small fraction of the pole width

Estimate the size of the poles and calculate the resulting fields

Better approach: calculate the necessary pole overhang using:

$$x_{unoptimized} = 2\frac{a}{h} = -0.36\ln\frac{\Delta B}{B_0} - 0.90$$

- *x*: pole overhang normalized to the gap
- *a* : pole overhang: excess pole beyond the edge of the good field region to reach the required field uniformity
- *h*: magnet gap





Magnetic length



Coming from ∞, B increases towards the magnet center (stray flux)

Magnetic length:
$$l_{mag} = \frac{\int B(z) \cdot dz}{B_0}$$

'Magnetic' length > iron length

Approximation for a dipole: $l_{mag} = l_{iron} + 2hk$

Geometry specific constant k gets smaller in case of:

- pole length < gap height
- saturation
- precise determination only by measurements or numerical calculations











Choosing the shown integration path gives:

$$NI = \oint \vec{H} \cdot \vec{dl} = \int_{s_1} \vec{H}_1 \cdot \vec{dl} + \int_{s_2} \vec{H}_2 \cdot \vec{dl} + \int_{s_3} \vec{H}_3 \cdot \vec{dl}$$

For a quadrupole, the gradient $B' = \frac{dB}{dr}$ is constant
and $B_y = B'x$ $B_x = B'y$
Field modulus along s_1 : $H(r) = \frac{B'}{\mu_0} \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{B'}{\mu_0} r$
Neglecting H in s_2 because: $R_{M,s_2} = \frac{s_2}{\mu_{iron}} << \frac{s_1}{\mu_{air}}$
and along s_3 : $\int_{s_3} \vec{H}_3 \cdot \vec{dl} = 0$
Leads to: $NI \approx \int_{0}^{R} H(r) dr = \frac{B'}{\mu_0} \int_{0}^{R} r dr$ $NI_{(per pole)}$



 $B'r^2$

 $2\eta\mu_0$

CERN

Input parameters – Magnetic design – Coil design – Cooling – Summary





Magnetic length for a quadrupole:

$$l_{mag} = l_{iron} + 2r k$$

NI increases with the square of the quadrupole aperture:

$$NI \propto r^2$$
 $P \propto r$



More difficult to accommodate the necessary Ampere-turns (= coil cross section)

→ truncating the hyperbola leads to a decrease in field quality





Coil design



Ampere-turns *NI* are determined, but the current density *j*, the number of turns *N* and the coil cross section need to be defined
Bedstead or saddle coil



JUAS 2016

CERN

Input parameters – Magnetic design – Coil design – Cooling – Summary

Power requirements



Assuming the magnet cross-section and the yoke length are known, one can estimate the total dissipated power per magnet:

$$P_{dipole} = \rho \frac{Bh}{\eta \mu_0} j l_{avg} 10^6$$

$$P_{quadrupole} = 2\rho \frac{B'r^2}{\eta \mu_0} j l_{avg} 10^6$$

i: current density [A/mm²]:
$$j = \frac{NI}{f_c A} = \frac{I}{a_{cond}}$$

 ρ : resistivity [Ω m] of coil conductor

 I_{avg} : average turn length [m]; approximation: 2.5 $I_{iron} < I_{avg} < 3 I_{iron}$ for racetrack coils

*a*_{cond}: conductor cross section [mm²]

- A: coil cross section [mm²]
- f_c : filling factor = $\frac{\text{net conductor area}}{\text{coil cross section}}$

(includes geometric filling factor, insulation, cooling duct, edge rounding)

Note: for a constant geometry, the power loss *P* is proportional to the current density *j*.

Note: If the magnet is not operated in dc, the rms power has to be considered.



Number of turns



The determined power can be divided into voltage and current: P = UI

Basic relations:



```
R_{magnet} \propto N^2 j
```

Large N = low current = high voltage

- Small terminals
- Small conductor cross-section
- Thick insulation for coils and cables
- Less good filling factor in the coils
- Large coil volume
- Low power transmission loss

Small N = high current = low voltage

- Large terminals
- Large conductor cross-section
- Thin insulation in coils and cables
- Good filling factor in the coils
- Small coil volume
- High power transmission loss

The number of turns N are chosen to match the impedances of the power converter and connections

Attention when ramping the magnet: $V_{tot} = RI + L \frac{dI}{dt}$





Practical example ebg MedAustron

MedAustron: ion therapy facility under construction near Vienna/Austria

Providing beam energies from 120 to 400 MeV/u for carbon ions and from 60 to 220 MeV for protons

16 synchrotron bending magnets:

- Bending angle: 22.5°
- Bending radius: 4.231 m
- Field ramp rate: 3.75 T/s
- Max. current: 3000 A
- Overall length: < 2 m</p>
- Good field region: 120 x 56 mm

- Field quality: $\frac{\Delta \int B \cdot dl}{\int B \cdot dl} = 2 \cdot 10^{-4}$









MedAustron Synchrotron

Dipole

- # of turns $N_{(\text{per pole})}$: 16
- Current: 3000 A
- Voltage: 100 V

Quadrupole

- # of turns $N_{(\text{per pole})}$: 20
- Current: 650 A
- Voltage: 12 V

Sextupole

- # of turns N_(per pole): 14
- Current: 650 A
- Voltage: 16 V

Corrector

- # of turns *N*_(per pole):240/96
- Current: 15/30 A
- Voltage: 7/3 V

Coil cooling



Air cooling by natural convection:

- Current density
 - $j \le 2$ A/mm² for small, thin coils
- Cooling enhancement:
 - Heat sink with enlarged radiation surface
 - Forced air flow (cooling fan)
- Only for magnets with limited strength (e.g. correctors)

Direct water cooling:

- Typical current density $j \le 10 \text{ A/mm}^2$
- Requires demineralized water (low conductivity) and hollow conductor profiles

Indirect water cooling:

- Current density $j \le 3 \text{ A/mm}^2$
- Tap water can be used











Direct water cooling



Practical recommendations and canonical values:

- Water cooling: 2 A/mm² $\leq j \leq 10$ A/mm²
- Pressure drop: $1 \le \Delta p \le 10$ bar (possible up to 20 bar)
- Low pressure drop might lead to more complex and expensive coil design
- Flow velocity should be high enough so flow is turbulent
- Flow velocity $u_{avg} \le 4$ m/s to avoid erosion and vibrations
- − Acceptable temperature rise: $\Delta T \le 30^{\circ}$ C
- − For advanced stability: $\Delta T \le 15^{\circ}$ C

Assuming:

- Long, straight and smooth pipes without perturbations
- Turbulent flow = high Reynolds number (*Re* > 4000)
- Good heat transfer from conductor to cooling medium
- Temperature of inner conductor surface equal to coolant temperature
- Isothermal conductor cross section

Note: practical (non-SI) units are used in the following slides for convenience



Direct water cooling



Useful simplified formulas using water as cooling fluid:

Water flow Q [litre/min] necessary to remove power P: $Q_{water} = 14.3 \frac{P}{\Lambda T} 10^{-3}$

- P: dissipated power [W]
- ΔT : temperature increase [°C]

Average water velocity u_{avg} [m/s] in a round tube: $u_{avg} = \frac{Q}{A} = 66.67 \frac{Q}{\pi d^2}$

- $A = \frac{\pi d^2}{4}$: tube section [mm²]
- *d*: hydraulic diameter [mm]

Pressure drop Δp [bar] : $\Delta p \approx 60 \ l \ \frac{Q^{1.75}}{d^{4.75}}$ (from Blasius' law)

l: cooling circuit length [m]

Reynolds number $Re[]: Re = d \frac{u_{avg}}{v} 10^{-3}$

- *Re:* dimensionless quantity used to help predict similar flow patterns in different fluid flow situations
- ν : kinematic viscosity of coolant is temperature depending, for simplification it is assumed to be constant (6.58 \cdot 10⁻⁷ m²/s @ 40°C for water)



Direct water cooling



Number of cooling circuits per coil: $\Delta p \propto \frac{1}{K_w^3}$

 \rightarrow Doubling the number of cooling circuits reduces the pressure drop by a factor of eight for a constant flow

- Diameter of cooling channel: $\Delta p \propto \frac{1}{d^5}$
 - → Increasing the cooling channel by a small factor can reduce the required pressure drop significantly



Cooling circuit design



Already determined: current density *j*, power *P*, current *I*, number of turns *N*

- Select number of layers *m* and number of turns per layer *n* 1.
- 2. Round up N if necessary to get reasonable (integer) numbers for n and m
- Define coil height c and coil width $b: A = bc = \frac{NI}{r}$ (Aspect ratio c: b between 1:1 3. jţ, and 1 : 2 and $0.6 \le f_c \le 0.8$)
- 4.
- Calculate average turn length $l_{avg} = pole \ perimeter + 4b$ The total length of cooling circuit $l = \frac{K_c N l_{avg}}{K_w}$ (start with single cooling circuit per coil) Select ΔT , Δp and calculate cooling hole diameter $d = 0.5 \left(\frac{P}{\Delta T K_w}\right)^{0.368} \left(\frac{l}{\Delta p}\right)^{0.21}$ 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- Change Δp or number of cooling circuits, if necessary Determine conductor area $a = \frac{I_{nom}}{i} + \frac{d^2\pi}{4} + r_{edge}(4-\pi)$ 8.
- Select conductor dimensions and insulation thickness 9.
- 10. Verify if resulting coil dimensions, N, I, V, ΔT are still compatible with the initial requirements (if not, start new iteration)
- Compute coolant velocity and coolant flow 11.
- 12. Verify if Reynolds number is inside turbulent range (Re > 4000)
 - Number of coils *K*_{*c*}:
 - Number of cooling circuits per coil $K_{\mu\nu}$:





Cooling water properties



- For the cooling of hollow conductor coils demineralised water is used (exception: indirect cooled coils)
- Water quality essential for the performance and the reliability of the coil (corrosion, erosion, short circuits)
- Resistivity > $0.1 \times 10^6 \Omega m$
- pH between 6 and 6.5 (= neutral)
- Dissolved oxygen below 0.1 ppm
- Filters to remove particles and loose deposits to avoid cooling duct obstruction





- Magnetic design means translating beam optic requirements
- Before starting the design, all input parameters, requirements, constraints and interfaces have to be known and well understood
- Establishing the coherence between beam physics requirements, magnet design & manufacture, and measurements is indispensable for the success of a project
- Analytical design is necessary to derive the main parameters of the future magnet before entering into a detailed design using numerical methods
- Magnet design is an iterative process often requiring a high level of experience