

Experiments and modelling for glow discharge plasmas applied to sputter deposition in superconducting radiofrequency cavities

Review of PhD first year

Thibaut Richard (CERN – EPFL/SPC)

Thesis directors : Ivo Furno (EPFL/SPC) – Alban Sublet (CERN/TE-VSC-SCC)

VSC Seminar - 22.01.2016



Outline

- I. Context and objectives
 - 1. Thin-film coating for SRF cavities
 - 2. Motivation for numerical simulations
- II. First results
 - 1. Experimental system
 - 2. Study of sputtered atoms transmission
 - 3. First plasma simulations
- III. Future experimental upgrades and simulation plan



Outline

- I. Context and objectives
 - 1. Thin-film coating for SRF cavities
 - 2. Motivation for numerical simulations
- II. First results
 - 1. Experimental system
 - 2. Study of sputtered atoms transmission
 - 3. First plasma simulations
- III. Future experimental upgrades and simulation plan



Thin-film coating for SRF cavities

- Superconductive Radio-Frequency cavities used for particle beam acceleration
- Study focused on niobium-on-copper thin film coating
- Different plasma-based sputtering techniques used :
 - DC diode
 - DC magnetron



Principle of plasma-based sputtering

- Sputtering : removal of atoms from a target by particle bombardment
- In our case, the sputtering source is the ions from the glow discharge plasma
- For rotational symmetry geometries (e.g. tubes, some RF cavities...), a cylindrical electrode configuration is desirable





Motivation for numerical simulations

- Optimize the coating parameters while reducing the R&D trial-and-error phase:
 - Uniformity of film thickness on different complex geometries
 - Bombardment of particles...
 - ... and energy/angle of sputtered atoms influencing the film growth
 - > Numerical modelling from plasma generation to niobium transport











Outline

- I. Context and objectives
 - 1. Thin-film coating for SRF cavities
 - 2. Motivation for numerical simulations

II. First results

- 1. Experimental system
- 2. Study of sputtered atoms transmission
- 3. First plasma simulations
- III. Future experimental upgrades and simulation plan







Study of sputtered atoms transmission: experiment

- Aim : evaluate the effect of collisions (i.e. pressure) on niobium transport and compare with simulations
- Input parameters:
 - Constant power (100 W) and coating time (5 hours)
 - 7 different pressures (from 1,5.10⁻² mbar to 0.65 mbar)
- Conversion of pressure into mean free path of niobium sputtered atoms in the argon buffer gas

•
$$mfp = \frac{4k_BT}{\pi\sqrt{2}P(\delta_{Nb}+\delta_{Ar})^2} \propto \frac{1}{P}$$





First approach on numerical modelling

- SIMION software : atom transport with hard-spheres binary collisions model
- Simulation inputs :
 - Geometry
 - Argon buffer gas and sputtered niobium atomic masses
 - Mean free path
 - Cosine emission angular distribution
 - Uniform sputtering flux along cathode with $E_{initial} = 5 \text{ eV}$
- Simulation output : transmission of sputtered atoms with respect to mfp

Conversion of experimental thickness profiles to transmission percentage

 $Transmission = \frac{Number \ of \ deposited \ atoms}{Number \ of \ sputtered \ atoms} \propto \frac{film \ thickness}{current \times time}$



Study of sputtered atoms transmission



- Pressure \: transmission /
- First approach: transport only
- Next step: plasma modelling



First plasma simulations: simulation code

- PICMC/DSMC¹ parallel computing code from Fraunhofer Institute (Braunschweig) acquired in September 2015
 - Plasma simulation module
 - Gas flow and neutral transport module
 - Statistical method for solving the Boltzmann equation
 - Especially suited for rarefied gas flows where fluid models do not apply
 - Different time scales for plasma and transport: decoupling of the two
- Installed on CERN Linux server (10 nodes of 16 CPU each)
- Quite resource demanding :
 - 3D Plasma simulation of experimental system = 1 week for 10 µs on 144 CPU



Plasma module: electron density (m⁻³)



CERN

Transport module: niobium deposition flux (#/m²/s)



22.01.2016

e+16

CFRN

8.13e+18

T. Richard

1.84e+18

1.66e+18

1.47e+18

1.29e+18

1.11e+18

9.25e+17

7.42e+17

5.59e+17

3.76e+17

1.93e+17

e+16

Nb deposition [atoms/m²/s]

First plasma simulations: niobium thickness profiles





22.01.2016

Outline

- I. Context and objectives
 - 1. Thin-film coating for SRF cavities
 - 2. Motivation for numerical simulations
- II. First results
 - 1. Experimental system
 - 2. Study of sputtered atoms transmission
 - 3. First plasma simulations

III. Future experimental upgrades and simulation plan



Future experimental upgrades and simulation plan

- Experimental upgrades:
 - Langmuir probe: plasma density, electronic temperature
 - Retarding field energy analyser: ion energy distribution on the substrate
 - Intensified high speed camera: spatial resolution of plasma features
 (spirals in magnetron ?)
- Simulation benchmarking:



- Influence and scale-up of discharge power
- Model real cavities:
 - HIE-ISOLDE (single and double cathode with grids and bias)
 - Elliptical type

¹ From A. Anders *et al., Drifting localization of ionization runaway: Unraveling the nature of anomalous transport in high power impulse magnetron sputtering*, J. Appl. Phys. **111**, 053304 (2012)



Summary

- The objective is to model glow discharge plasma sputtering for thin-film coatings
- The current phase consists in benchmarking a simulation code on a simple experimental setup equipped with several diagnostic instruments
- The future plan will be to model real complex SRF cavities coating processes



Thank you for your attention!

Acknowledgements to: TE-VSC-SCC section





www.cern.ch

Evaluation of experimental number of deposited atoms

Number of deposited atoms

 $NbDensity * FilmThickness * XRFstep * CumulatedAnodeWidth * N_A$

NiobiumMolarMass

Boltzmann Equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{q}{m} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{v}} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)_{coll}$$

Where $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ is the particles distribution function

Conversion of niobium absorption to film growth rate Film growth rate (nm/s) = $\frac{Absorption(\#/m^2/s) * NiobiumMolarMass(\frac{g}{mol}) * 10^3}{NiobiumVolumicMass(\frac{g}{cm^3}) * N_A(\frac{1}{mol})}$



Magnetic field homogeneity



CERN

22.01.201

T. Richard

DSMC/PIC-MC method

DSMC Direct Simulation Monte Carlo

PIC-MC Particle-in-Cell Monte Carlo





Electron trajectories in cylindrical magnetron



From J.A. Thornton, *Magnetron sputtering: basic physics and application to cylindrical magnetrons*, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. **15**, 171-177 (1978)



Niobium sputtering yield from ion argon bombardment



From Y. Yamamura, *Energy dependence of Ion-induced sputtering yields from monoatomic solids at normal incidence*, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables **62**, 149-253 (1996)



Langmuir probe



Many thanks to Luigi and Spyros for manufacturing $\ensuremath{\varnothing}$



Vf : floating potential Vs: plasma potential

$$I = I_{sat} \left[1 - exp \frac{V - Vf}{T_e} \right]$$

From I_{sat} and T_e : n_{plasma}



RFEA



29

HIE-ISOLDE 2D, very preliminary plasma and Nb transport simulation



→ 2D slice of HIE-ISOLDE cavity with periodic boundary conditions
 → Server connection lost after 72% of simulation, steady state not yet reached



HIE-ISOLDE 2D, very preliminary plasma and Nb transport simulation



- \rightarrow 2D slice of HIE-ISOLDE cavity with periodic boundary conditions
- \rightarrow Encouraging start, but... we are still in the learning phase
- → Need better understanding/optimization of code and parameters

