Two Higgs bosons around 125 GeV in the CPV-NMSSM at the LHC

Biswaranjan Das IIT Guwahati

Higgs Couplings 2016 SLAC, USA

With S. Moretti, S. Munir & P. Poulose (Draft in preparation)

November 10, 2016

Biswaranjan Das (IITG)

Higgs Couplings 2016

November 10, 2016 1 / 21

- Introduction: Beyond the MSSM
- The Higgs sector of the CPV-NMSSM
- Two Higgs bosons around 125 GeV
- Diphoton production through gluon fusion: NWA and beyond
- Summary

Introduction: Beyond the MSSM

- The LHC (ATLAS and CMS) data indicate that the properties of the observed Higgs boson are mostly compatible with the Standard Model (SM), although details from different production and decay modes are needed to understand.
- Calls for detailed phenomenological studies on the extended Higgs sector of different beyond Standard Model (BSM) scenarios.
- Supersymmetric (SUSY) extensions are most popular BSM candidates, resulting a comparatively richer Higgs sector with various features distinct from the SM.
- The Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) is the simplest SUSY extention of the SM.
- MSSM super potential is not conformal invariant.

$$W_{\rm MSSM} = y_u \hat{Q} \hat{H}_u \hat{U}^c - y_d \hat{Q} \hat{H}_d \hat{D}^c - y_e \hat{L} \hat{H}_d \hat{E}^c + \mu \hat{H}_u \hat{H}_d. \quad (1)$$

Biswaranjan Das (IITG)

Higgs Couplings 2016

November 10, 2016

Introduction: Beyond the MSSM

• μ -problem of MSSM: Difficulty to generate μ parameter which is naturally of order the EW scale.

$$\mu^{2} = -\frac{m_{Z}^{2}}{2} + \frac{M_{H_{d}}^{2} - M_{H_{u}}^{2} \tan^{2}\beta}{\tan^{2}\beta - 1}$$
(2)

• For 125 GeV Higgs boson at the LHC, MSSM requires large values of A_t .

$$\Delta m_h^2 = \frac{3m_t^4}{4\pi^2 v^2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{M_{\rm SUSY}^2}{m_t^2}\right) + \frac{X_t^2}{M_{\rm SUSY}^2} \left(1 - \frac{X_t^2}{12M_{\rm SUSY}^2}\right) \right] \quad (3)$$

- The LHC Run 2 data severely constrain the parameter space of the MSSM, by excluding $\tan\beta$ above 7.6 for $m_A = 200$ GeV in $\tau\tau$ final state. (ATLAS Col., arXiv:1608.00890)
- Some unique phenomenological possibilities in the NMSSM, precluded or excluded in the MSSM: Any one of the two lightest Higgs bosons could be the observed one, or both may lie around 125 GeV.

Biswaranjan Das (IITG)

Higgs Couplings 2016

CPV-NMSSM Higgs sector

- NMSSM contains an extra Higgs singlet Ŝ in addition to the two MSSM Higgs doublets.
- NMSSM superpotential:

$$W_{\rm NMSSM} = W_{\rm MSSM}^{
m Yukawa} + \lambda \hat{S} \hat{H}_u \hat{H}_d + \frac{\kappa}{3} \hat{S}^3$$
 (4)

Solve μ -problem: $\mu_{\text{eff}} = \lambda v_s$ (At EWSB scale)

- 5 new parameters: λ , κ , A_{λ} , A_{κ} , v_s
- 5 neutral Higgs bosons and 5 neutralinos.
- Enhanced tree-level mass of the SM-like Higgs with reduced fine tuning

$$m_{H_{\rm SM}}^2 \simeq m_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \lambda^2 v^2 \sin^2 2\beta - \frac{\lambda^2 v^2}{\kappa^2} \Big[\lambda - \sin 2\beta \Big(\kappa + \frac{A_\lambda}{2s}\Big)\Big]^2.$$
(5)

- CP violation could be a necessary condition for EW baryogenesis.
- CP violation can be invoked at the tree-level of the NMSSM Higgs sector, unlike the NMSSM.

$$\lambda = \mid \lambda \mid e^{i\phi_{\lambda}}$$
 , $\kappa = \mid \kappa \mid e^{i\phi_{\kappa}}$.

• Two Higgs doublets

$$H_{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_{d} + H_{dR} + iH_{dI}) \\ H_{d}^{-} \end{pmatrix}, H_{u} = e^{i\phi_{u}} \begin{pmatrix} H_{d}^{+} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_{u} + H_{uR} + iH_{uI}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and a singlet

$$S = \frac{e^{i\phi_s}}{\sqrt{2}}(v_s + S_R + iS_I) \tag{6}$$

• The tree-level Higgs mass matrix can be given as

$$\mathcal{M}_{0}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_{S}^{2} & \mathcal{M}_{SP}^{2} \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \mathcal{(M}_{SP}^{2})^{T} & \mathcal{M}_{P}^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(7)

in the basis $\mathbf{H}^T \equiv (H_{dR}, H_{uR}, S_R, H_{dI}, H_{uI}, S_I)$.

- *M*²_S/*M*²_P: Represents mixing between the CP-even/CP-odd states and of the Higgs fields.
 - $\mathcal{M}^2_{\textit{SP}}$: Represents mixing between CP-even and CP-odd states.

• Physical Higgs mass eigenstates from the interaction states:

The massless Goldstone boson field G is separated out through a rotation by \mathcal{R}^{G}

 $(H_{dR}, H_{uR}, S_R, H_I, S_I, G)^T = \mathcal{R}^G (H_{dR}, H_{uR}, S_R, H_{dI}, H_{uI}, S_I)^T,$ (8) and then using another rotation by \mathcal{R}^H

 $(H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5, G)^T = \mathcal{R}^H (H_{dR}, H_{uR}, S_R, H_I, S_I, G)^T, \quad (9)$ where the diagonalised squared mass matrix

diag
$$(m_{H_1}^2, m_{H_2}^2, m_{H_3}^2, m_{H_4}^2, m_{H_5}^2, 0) = \mathcal{R}^H \left[\mathcal{R}^G \mathcal{M}_0^2 (\mathcal{R}^G)^T \right] (\mathcal{R}^H)^T.$$
(10)

• *H*₁, *H*₂, *H*₃, *H*₄, *H*₅: Five physical neutral Higgs bosons in the CPV-NMSSM.

• In ascending order of their masses: $m_{H_1} \leq m_{H_2} \leq m_{H_3} \leq m_{H_4} \leq m_{H_5}$

Biswaranjan Das (IITG)

Two Higgs bosons around 125 GeV

- CPV phases can modify the Higgs mass and decay widths. Thus non-zero CPV phases are strongly constrained by the LHC measurements. Mass-degenerate scenarios were not considered. [S. Moretti, et al., Phys. Rev. D 89, 015022 (2014)]
- CPV scenarios where the observed Higgs resonance, can actually be explained by two mass-degenerate neutral Higgs states, give improved fit to the LHC data, compared to (a) the CPC-NMSSM. (b) Scenarios with a single Higgs boson ~ 125 GeV. [S. Moretti, S. Munir., Adv. High Energy Phys. 2015, 509847 (2015)].
- Objective: To study the effect of CPV phases on the cross-section of the process $gg \rightarrow H_i \rightarrow H_j \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, i, j = 1, ..., 5, for scenarios with two mass-degenerate Higgs bosons ~ 125 GeV in the CPV-NMSSM, with possibilities of mixing in the Higgs propagator.

• The squared amplitude for $gg
ightarrow H_i
ightarrow \gamma$, i=1,2,..,5

$$\mathcal{M}|^{2} = \sum_{\lambda,\sigma=\pm} \mathcal{M}_{P\lambda} \mathcal{M}_{P\lambda}^{*} |D_{H}(\hat{s})|^{2} \mathcal{M}_{D\sigma} \mathcal{M}_{D\sigma}^{*}, \qquad (11)$$

 $\lambda, \sigma = \pm 1$: the gluon and photon helicities, $D_H(\hat{s})$: Higgs propagator.

 The amplitudes for the production and decay [J. Lee et al., Comput.Phys.Commun. 156 (2004) 283317]

$$\mathcal{M}_{P\lambda} = \sum_{i=1-5} \mathcal{M}_{P_i\lambda} = \sum_{i=1-5} \frac{\alpha_s m_{H_i}^2}{4\pi v} \Big\{ S_i^g(m_{H_i}) + i\lambda P_i^g(m_{H_i}) \Big\}, \quad (12)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{D\sigma} = \sum_{i=1-5} \mathcal{M}_{D_i\sigma} = \sum_{i=1-5} \frac{\alpha_{em} m_H^2}{4\pi v} \Big\{ S_H^{\gamma}(m_H) + i\sigma P_H^{\gamma}(m_H) \Big\}.$$
(13)

- For the scalar and pseudoscalar form factors we refer [J. Baglio et al., arXiv:1312.4788 [hep-ph]].
- The full propagator matrix [J. Ellis et al., Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 075010]

$$D_{H}(\hat{s}) = \hat{s} \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} + i\Im m\hat{h}_{11}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{12}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{13}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{14}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{15}(\hat{s}) \\ i\Im m\hat{h}_{21}(\hat{s}) & m_{22} + i\Im m\hat{h}_{22}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{23}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{24}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{25}(\hat{s}) \\ i\Im m\hat{h}_{31}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{32}(\hat{s}) & m_{33} + i\Im m\hat{h}_{33}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{34}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{35}(\hat{s}) \\ i\Im m\hat{h}_{41}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{42}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{42}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{45}(\hat{s}) \\ i\Im m\hat{h}_{51}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{52}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{53}(\hat{s}) & i\Im m\hat{h}_{54}(\hat{s}) & m_{55} + i\Im m\hat{h}_{55}(\hat{s}) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $m_{ii} \equiv \hat{s} - m_{H_i}^2$, and $\Im m \hat{\Pi}_{ij}(\hat{s})$: the absorptive parts of the Higgs self-energies, for i, j = 1 - 5.

 CPV phases turned on → Non-zero off-diagonal terms

• Larger splitting between the Higgs boson masses than the sizes of $\Im m \hat{\Pi}_{ij}(\hat{s})$, \implies NWA in the *i*th Higgs boson propagator

$$|D_{ii}(\hat{\mathbf{s}})|^2 = \left|\frac{1}{\hat{\mathbf{s}} - m_{H_i}^2 + im_{H_i}\Gamma_{H_i}}\right|^2 \to \frac{\pi}{m_{H_i}\Gamma_{H_i}}\delta(\hat{\mathbf{s}} - m_{H_i}^2).$$
(15)

[E. Fuchs et al., Eur. Phys. J. C75 (2015) 254]

 The partonic cross section [J. Ellis et al., Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 075010]

$$\hat{\sigma}(gg \to H_i \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{1}{1024\pi\$} \sum_{i=1-5} \left(\sum_{\lambda=\pm} \left| \mathcal{M}_{P_i \lambda} \right|^2 \times \frac{\pi}{m_{H_i} \Gamma_{H_i}} \delta(\$ - m_{H_i}^2) \times \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \left| \mathcal{M}_{D_i \sigma} \right|^2 \right).$$
(16)

• The total cross-section for the process $pp \rightarrow H_i \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ in the NWA

$$\sigma(\rho p \to H_i \to \gamma \gamma) = \int_{\frac{m_{H_i}^2}{s}}^{1} dx_1 \frac{1}{1024sm_{H_i}^3 \Gamma_{H_i}} \sum_{i=1-5} \left(\sum_{\lambda=\pm} \left| \mathcal{M}_{P_i \lambda} \right|^2 \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \left| \mathcal{M}_{D_i \sigma} \right|^2 \right) \frac{g(x_1)g(\frac{m_{H_i}^2}{s}/x_1)}{x_1}.$$
(17)

 Beyond the NWA: ImÂ_{ij}(ŝ) become comparable to the Higgs mass difference. *i*-th Higgs state can undergo resonant transition to the *j*-th state, invalidating the NWA



Figure : Leading order (LO) Feynman diagram for $gg \rightarrow H_i \rightarrow H_i \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$.

• The total cross section

$$\sigma(\rho p \to H \to \gamma \gamma) = \int_{0}^{1} d\tau \int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{dx_{1}}{x_{1}} \frac{g(x_{1})g(\tau/x_{1})}{1024\pi\hat{s}^{3}} \sum_{i,j=1-5} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \left| \mathcal{M}_{P_{i}\lambda} \right|^{2} \left| D_{ij}(\hat{s}) \right|^{2} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \left| \mathcal{M}_{D_{j}\sigma} \right|^{2} \right\}.$$
(18)

 $g(x_1)$ and $g(\tau/x_1)$ are the pdfs of the two gluons.

• The differential cross section wrt au

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} = \int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{g(x_1)g(\tau/x_1)}{1024\pi\hat{s}^3} \sum_{i,j=1-5} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda=\pm} |\mathcal{M}_{P_i\lambda}|^2 |D_{ij}(\hat{s})|^2 \sum_{\sigma=\pm} |\mathcal{M}_{D_j\sigma}|^2 \right\},\tag{19}$$

and then substituting
$$\tau = \frac{\hat{s}}{s}$$
 gives

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\sqrt{\hat{s}}} = \int_{\tau}^{1} \frac{2\sqrt{\hat{s}}}{s} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \frac{g(x_1)g(\hat{s}/sx_1)}{1024\pi\hat{s}^3} \sum_{i,j=1-5} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \left| \mathcal{M}_{P_i\lambda} \right|^2 \left| D_{ij}(\hat{s}) \right|^2 \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \left| \mathcal{M}_{D_j\sigma} \right|^2 \right\}.$$
(20)

Packages used: (a)The above cross sections are calculated by a locally developed fortran program, (b)NMSSMCALC to compute Higgs mass spectrum, decay widths and branching ratios (BRs), (c)LAPACK for propagator matrix inversion, (d)VEGAS for two dimensional numerical integration.

Biswaranjan Das (IITG)

Higgs Couplings 2016

14 / 21

• **Model parameters:** Following supergravity-inspired universality conditions are used on the model parameters

$$M_{0} \equiv M_{Q_{1,2,3}} = M_{U_{1,2,3}} = M_{D_{1,2,3}} = M_{L_{1,2,3}} = M_{E_{1,2,3}},$$

$$M_{\frac{1}{2}} \equiv 2M_{1} = M_{2} = \frac{1}{3}M_{3}, \qquad A_{f} \equiv A_{t} = A_{b} = A_{\tau}.$$
(21)

• Thus the set of CPV-NMSSM model parameters in our analysis:

 $M_0, |M_{\frac{1}{2}}|, |A_f|, \tan\beta, |\lambda|, |\kappa|, \mu_{\text{eff}}, |A_{\lambda}|, |A_{\kappa}|, \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}, \phi_f, \phi_{\lambda}', \phi_{\kappa}'.$

• Mass-degeneracy condition: $m_{H_2} - m_{H_1} < 2 \text{ GeV}$ (LHC mass resolution). [G. Aad et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 191803 (2015)]

• CPV-NMSSM Parameter set: $\phi_{\kappa} = 3^{\circ}$, 10° , 30° . All other phases are set to zero. [J. F. Gunion, et al., Phys. Rev. D 86, 071702 (2012), S. Moretti, S. Munir., Adv. High Energy Phys. 2015, 509847 (2015)]

NMSSM parameter	Scanned range			
$M_0({ m GeV})$	200-2000			
$M_{\frac{1}{2}}(\text{GeV})$	100-1000			
$A_f^2(\text{GeV})$	-3000-0			
aneta	1-8			
λ	0.4-0.7			
κ	0.3-0.6			
$\mu_{eff}(\text{GeV})$	100-300			
$A_{\lambda}({ m GeV})$	-1000-1000			
$A_{\kappa}({ m GeV})$	-1000-1000			

Table : Ranges of the scanned CPV-NMSSM parameters, with fixed ϕ_{κ} .

Diphoton production through gluon fusion: NWA and beyond: Differential cross sections wrt $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ vs. $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$



Biswaranjan Das (IITG)

Higgs Couplings 2016

November 10, 2016 17 / 21

Diphoton production through gluon fusion: NWA and beyond: Differential cross sections wrt $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ vs. $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$



BP	M ₀	$M_{1/2}$	A_0	taneta	λ	A_{λ}	A_{κ}	$\mu_{ ext{eff}}$
6	1121.3	462.13	-1849.5	3.10	0.6624	196.51	-73.12	101.25
7	1224.8	209.70	-2624.0	4.35	0.6869	480.86	-286.99	145.43
8	1329.5	206.00	-2854.8	5.43	0.6743	640.54	-288.23	144.02
9	1166.5	158.97	-2940.7	2.48	0.6761	306.89	-456.92	193.89
10	1044.7	124.67	-1835.1	2.46	0.6602	441.92	-633.05	265.67

Table : All dimensionful parameters are in GeV.

Biswaranjan Das (IITG)

Higgs Couplings 2016

18 / 21

Summary

- The NMSSM Higgs sector contains interesting scenarios which are precluded or excluded in the MSSM.
- Particularly, we focus on the scenarios where the experimentally visible peak can actually be explained by two nearly mass-degenerate neutral Higgs boson states.
- Its important to consider the full propagator when the mass difference between the two Higgs bosons is comparable to their widths.
- The combined CMS result in the WW and ZZ decay modes, for the Higgs boson off-shell production in ggF and VBF processes, at 7 and 8 TeV, puts the observed and expected upper limits of 13 and 26 MeV, respectively on the total Higgs decay widths at 95% CL [CMS Col., arXiv:1605.02329].
- This combined result on the Higgs total decay widths serves as a stringent constraint on the choices of our benchmark points.

Biswaranjan Das (IITG)

Higgs Couplings 2016

 Some points corresponding to these scenarios give an overall slightly improved fit to the data, more so for non-zero values of the CPV phase, compared to the scenarios containing a single Higgs boson near 125 GeV, invalidating the NWA.

Thank You

æ