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## High energy colliders

- (Very) high energy hadron colliders (VHEC) are generally considered to be discovery machines, for example the heaviest particles of the standard model like $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{W}$ and H were discovered by HCs . And the search is still ongoing...

N. Arkani-Hamed, FCC kickoff meeting, 2014
- With modern detector technology, (very high energy) hadron colliders can also turn into precision machines for some measurements.


## High energy colliders

- In this talk I will consider as 'very high energy' everything that is higher than the LHC 7 TeV per beam.
- There are currently two VHECs - FCC-hh and SPPC - that are studied actively.
- Some past studies also meet my criteria - SSC and VLHC - and I will also mentioned them when appropriate.
- The challenges of such machine span a very wide range of topics. The following subset of aspects will be touched today:
- Magnets,
- Synchrotron radiation,
- High intensity beam dynamics,
- Injector chain,
- Machine protection,
- Civil engineering and infrastructure,
- Availability and operation.


## Energy

Colliders take advantage of the Lorentz force to bend the beams, usually with a planar ring and a vertical dipole field for bending.

The momentum of a particle with charge $Z e$ in a magnetic field B :


- The LHC holds the record of magnetic field with 7.7 T (6.5 TeV) operational field (design 8.33 T and 7 TeV ).
- VHECs are usually aiming at a B-field increase of a factor ~2 wrt LHC.
$\square$ Since a factor 2 in energy (from B) is not generally considered 'insufficient', the size $(\rho)$ is also increased.
- Notable exception of HE-LHC, the energy doubled version of LHC, to be installed in the same tunnel.

Very large colliders


## Luminosity

Another key parameter for the experiments is the event rate $\mathrm{dN} / \mathrm{dt}$. For a physics process with cross-section $\sigma$ it is proprotional to the collider Luminosity L:

$$
d N / d t=L \sigma \quad \begin{gathered}
\text { unit of } L: \\
1 / \text { (surface } \times \text { time })
\end{gathered}
$$



To maximize $L$ we have to squeeze as many particles as possible into the smallest possible volume !

## Hadron collider luminosity

$\square$ The LHC is the latest in the series of the large hadron colliders after the ISR, SPS, Tevatron, HERA and RHIC.
$\square$ The LHC pushes the luminosity frontier by a factor ~25 and the energy frontier by a factor ~7 wrt Tevatron.

Luminosity $\left[10^{30} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}\right]$
100000

## Event pile-up and stored energy

$\square$ The LHC design parameters remained rather stable over time since the 1980's, except for the luminosity (and intensity) that was pushed to $\sim 1 \times 10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to compete with SSC.
This implied an average number of collisions by bunch crossing of $\sim 20$ instead of 1-2 collisions that were the baseline for SSC.

- VHECs are able to produce such high luminosities that the pile-up will move into the range of 100-500: it is a huge detector challenge to be able to analyze such events and extract useful physics from them!

- Correlated to the high luminosity and beam energy, the energy stored in the beams becomes even more extreme than at LHC.
'Star wars' regime


## VHEC challenges

## Magnetic fields:

$\square$ Magnet design and protection.

- Cryogenic system.


## Luminosity:

- Beam dynamics (stability, vacuum effects and synchrotron radiation).
$\square$ Stored energy (accelerator protection and beam loss control).
$\square$ Radiation to detectors and accelerator components.
$\square$ Event pile-up $\rightarrow$ for the experiments.
Dimensions:
a Tunnel location.
- Infrastructure.
- Injectors.


## Parameter table

- Comparison of key parameters of proposed very high energy hadron colliders.
- Please note that for some machines, there is more than one parameter set!
- Within a factor ~2 the bunch parameters are identical for all the designs > SSC.

| Parameter | LHC | HE-LHC | SSC | VLHC | FCC-hh | SPPC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circumference [km] | 27.7 | 27.7 | 87.1 | 233 | 100 | 54 |
| Beam energy [TeV] | 7 | 14 | 20 | 78.5 | 50 | 36 |
| Dipole field [T] | 8.33 | 16 | 6.6 | 11.2 | 16 | 20 |
| Injection energy [TeV] | 0.45 | 0.45 | 2 | 9.8 | $1-3$ | 2.1 |
| Intensity / bunch [10 ${ }^{11}$ p] | 1.15 | 2.20 | 0.08 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| No bunches | 2800 | 2800 | 17424 | 37152 | 10060 | 5798 |
| Intensity / beam [10 ${ }^{14}$ p] | 3.2 | 6.2 | 1.3 | 27.9 | 10.6 | 11.5 |
| Luminosity [1034 $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathbf{s}^{-1}\right]$ | 1 | 20 | 0.1 | 2 | 5 | 12 |
| Stored beam energy [GJ] | 0.36 | 1.38 | 0.42 | 35 | 8.4 | 6.68 |
| Synchr. rad. power [W/m/beam] | 0.18 | 3.2 | 1 | 4.7 | 30 | 58 |

FCC-hh \& SPPC are the VHECs that are currently studied in detail
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## Collider luminosity

Expression for the luminosity $L$ (for equal particle populations, Gaussian profiles and round beams) :

$$
L=\frac{k N^{2} f}{4 \pi \sigma_{x}^{*} \sigma_{y}^{*}} F=\frac{k N^{2} f \gamma}{4 \pi \beta^{*} \varepsilon} F
$$

$k, N, \varepsilon$ : beam properties
$\beta^{*}$ : property of the beam optics
$F$ : beam dynamics


- $\quad \sigma_{x}, \sigma^{*}$ : transverse rms beam sizes $-\left(\sigma^{*}\right)^{2}=\beta^{*} \varepsilon$
- $\quad \beta^{*}$ : betatron (beam envelope) function $\Leftrightarrow$ optics
- $\varepsilon$ : beam emittance (phase space volume)
- $\quad$ : number of particle bunches per beam.
- $\quad \mathbf{N}$ : number of particles per bunch.
- $\boldsymbol{f}$ : revolution frequency
- $\gamma=E / m$.
* refers to the IP
- F : geometric correction factor (crossing angles...).


## Beam parameters

$\square$ The intrinsic beam parameters are defined by the injectors:
$>$ Bunch spacing $(\rightarrow \mathrm{k})$ : minimum (design) $=5-25 \mathrm{~ns}(\Leftrightarrow$ 1.5-7.5 m),
> Bunch intensity N: up to ~ $2 \times 10^{11}$ p/bunch,
> Bunch emittance $\varepsilon: 1-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ mrad.

$$
L=\frac{k^{2} f \gamma}{4 \pi \beta \boxed{\varepsilon}} F
$$

$\square$ The quality of the beam is defined in the injector chain - it is an essential component of a VHEC.
-For the LHC startup CERN has refurbished its injector chain to produce the bright LHC beams, a second upgrade wave is now in progress (to be completed in 2020) to more than double N and $\mathrm{N} / \varepsilon$ (brightness).
$\rightarrow$ Presentation by M. Zerlauth (Monday)

## Injectors

- A high energy collider requires a long and complex injector chain.
- Two facilities in the World that can provide TeV beams : FNAL and CERN.
- 'Green field' adds extra cost...


|  | Max. P <br> $(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})$ | Length $/$ <br> Circ. $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LINAC2 | 0.050 | 30 |
| Booster | 1.4 | 157 |
| PS | 26 | 628 |
| SPS | 450 | $6^{\prime} 911$ |
| LHC | $3^{\prime} 000$ | $26^{\prime} 657$ |



## FCC injector chain

## Main FCC injector options:

- SPS $\rightarrow$ LHC $\rightarrow$ FCC
- $\mathrm{SPS} /$ SPS $_{\text {upgrade }} \rightarrow$ FCC
- SPS $\rightarrow$ FCC booster $\rightarrow$ FCC


## Current baseline:

- Injection energy 3.3 TeV with

$L=4.0 \mathrm{~km}$
$D_{-}$theta $=131 \mathrm{deg}$
$D_{-} Z=110 \mathrm{~m}$ beams provided by a modified LHC.


## Alternative options:

- Injection energy around 1.5 TeV.
- Compatible with: SPS upgrade , LHC, FCC booster.
- Worry for this option is the field range of > 30 in FCC: control of field errors at injection may be very tricky.


## Interaction region

- VHECs operate with 2 beams in separate vacuum chambers. They beams are merged into a single vacuum chamber only around the experiments.
$\square$ All interaction region designs are similar.
- Already the SSC interaction region was conceptually similar to the newer VHECs, except that the rings were stacked vertically as opposed to horizontally for LHC, HE-LHC, FCC-hh.


Separation / recombination dipoles

## Collision point geometry

- A crossing angle between the beams is needed to minimize the electromagnetic interactions (beam-beam effects) in the common vacuum chamber (final focus region).
- Min. separation $\sim 10$ beam sizes.
- 30 encounters per IP at the LHC.


- Consequences of colliding at an angle:
- Significant geometric luminosity reduction that depends on beam size and bunch length: steep function of the beam size ( $\beta^{*} \varepsilon=\sigma^{* 2}$ ).
- Reduction of the aperture.

$$
L=\frac{k N^{2} f \gamma}{4 \pi \beta^{*} \varepsilon} E
$$

## Collision point focusing

The minimum beam size (or beam envelope $\beta^{*}$ ) is determined by:
Yo The mechanical aperture around the $I P \rightarrow$ need $\underline{\text { LARGE magnets, }}$

- The crossing angle ( $\theta \propto k, N, 1 / \sqrt{ } \beta^{*}$ ),
$\sqrt{\circ}$ The margin to the aperture.


$$
L=\frac{k N^{2} f \gamma}{4 \pi[\beta]} F
$$

LHC example

## IR design challenges - FCC-hh

## Design of interaction region

- Distance from IP to first machine quadrupole $L^{*}=45 \mathrm{~m}$.
- Integrated spectrometers and compensation dipoles.
- Optics and magnet optimization for beam stay clear (aperture) and collision debris.
$\checkmark$ Magnet lifetime should be $\geq 3$ years (from radiation damage).





## Event pile-up - LHC 'legacy'

- To compete with SSC, the LHC had to push the event pile-up significantly above 1-2 events per bunch crossing.
- The LHC is currently operating with a peak average event pile-up of $\sim 40$ events/crossing (design ~27). But statistical fluctuations generate events that have many more events.
- For the LHC luminosity upgrade HL-LHC, the number of events per crossing will be pushed to $\sim 120$ !
- VHECs push the limit to ~500 !!



## Luminosity levelling

a VHECs (starting with LHC) enter a new regime for proton beams where synchrotron radiation is damping the beam sizes (transverse and longitudinal) of the colliding beams !
$\square$ This can lead to a luminosity that initially increases as the damping ( $\sim 1$ hour at FCC-hh) is able to overcome the losses, providing 'free' performance gains.

- VHECs (starting with high luminosity LHC upgrade HL-LHC) will be able to provide more luminosity than the experiments can 'swallow': opens the door to levelling the luminosity with beam offsets, $\beta^{*}$ etc at near constant value!


SSC luminosity evolution

storage time [hours]
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## LHC legacy - 2-in-1

## LHC design choices:

- High magnetic fields - 8T,
$\Rightarrow$ super-conducting magnets
- 2 in 1 magnet design,
$\Rightarrow$ more complex magnet design, but only one single cryostat
- Superfluid Helium.


1984


LARGE HADRON COLLIDER IN THE LEP TUNNEL

Vol. I

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ECFA-CERN WORKSHOP

Post-LHC designs are all based on the 2-in-1 concept.

The SSC designers opted for independent rings (2 separate cryostats) to be able to commission / operate one ring without the other.

## From concepts to accelerator magnets

- While 8.3 T dipole magnets (LHC) have been produced by industry, there is a long road ahead to build 15-20 T accelerator grade magnets.

From many short prototypes to
$\checkmark$ longer prototypes,
$\checkmark$ 'hand-made' magnets (by the Labs),
$\checkmark$ industrial production.

## LBNL HD1 $\mathrm{Nb}_{3}$ Sn short prototype



## Superconductors

$\mathrm{Nb}-\mathrm{Ti}$ is the workhorse for 4 to 10 T :

- Reaches $J \sim 2500$ A/mm² at $6 T$ and 4.2 K or at 9 T and 1.9 K .
- Well known industrial process, good mechanical properties.
- Thousands of accelerator magnets have been built.
- 10 T field in the coil is the practical limit at 1.9 K .


Courtesy E. Todesco \& G. De Rijk

## $\mathrm{Nb}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ is the current road to 16 to 20 T

- Can reach up to $\mathrm{J} \sim 3000 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ at 12 T and 4.2 K.
- Complex industrial process, higher cost, brittle and strain sensitive.
- ~25 short models for accelerator magnets have been built.
- ~20 T field in the coil is the practical limit at 1.9 K.
- Accelerator grade 11 T dipoles and high field quadrupoles in design phase for HL-LHC.

HTS materials: dreaming of 40 T (Bi-2212, YBCO)

- Current density is low, but very little dependence on the magnetic field.
- Used in solenoids, used in power lines - no accelerator magnets (only 1 model) yet.


## Highest "dipole" fields

G. De Rijk - FCC week Rome



CERN RMC

Record fields for SC magnets in "dipole" configuration

## Accelerator magnets are special

- Cylindrical volume with perpendicular field.
- Dipoles, quadrupoles, etc,


Artist view of a dipole, from M. N. Wilson
«Superconducting Magnets »

- Field quality: $\frac{B_{z}}{|B|} \leq f e w \cdot 10^{4} \quad \cos \Theta \operatorname{coil}: \mathrm{J}=\mathrm{J}_{0} \cos \Theta$
- Field quality formulated and measured in a multipole expansion,


$$
B_{y}+i B_{x}=10^{4} B_{1} \quad\left(b_{n}+i a_{n}\right) \frac{x+i y}{R_{\text {ref }}} \stackrel{n 1}{\vdots} \quad b_{n}, a_{n} \quad \text { few } \times \text { units }
$$

- Long dipole magnets ranging from 6 m (Tevatron) to 15 m (LHC). Often magnets are bend ( 9.14 mm sagitta for the LHC dipoles).


## FCC-hh magnet challenges and roadmap

FCC-hh baseline: $16 \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{Nb}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ technology for 100 TeV in 100 km

## - Develop $\mathrm{Nb}_{3}$ Sn-based 16 T dipole technology

- With sufficient aperture of $\sim 40 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{LHC}=56 \mathrm{~mm})$ and accelerator features (field quality, ability to protect, cycling operation).
- Learn from $\mathrm{Nb}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ magnets in the LHC (HL-LHC 11 T dipoles).
- Technology push to achieve duplication of critical current density of $\mathrm{Nb}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$.
- Possible goal: 16 T short dipole models by 2018 (World-wide collaboration).
- In parallel HTS development targeting 20 T
- HTS insert, generating 5 T additional field, $\sim 40 \mathrm{~mm}$ aperture and accelerator features.
- R\&D goal: demonstrate HTS/LTS technology for building magnets with a field of 20 T .


## LHC incident - magnet protection

## In 2008 a severe accident happened at the LHC without beam.

A magnet interconnect was defect and the electrical circuit opened. An electrical arc provoked a Helium pressure wave damaging $\sim 600 \mathrm{~m}$ of LHC, polluting the beam vacuum over more than 2 km . Around 400 MJ were released in the incident ( 600 MJ stored).
Arcing at the interconnection


Magnet displacement


53 magnets had to be repaired -1 year of downtime

Over-pressure
The stored magnetic energy increases even further with VHECs - magnet protection and quality control will become even more critical !
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## Synchrotron radiation

- Synchrotron radiation (SR) from the proton beams is beneficial for luminosity (cooling) and diagnostics (profile measurements).
$\square$ But SR also deposits heat inside a magnet that is operated at cryogenic temperature $\rightarrow$ very expensive to remove the heat at low temperature!
- To protect the inner aperture of the magnet (at 1.9-4 K) a beam screen (BS) is inserted into the vacuum chamber as shielding against synchrotron radiation, image currents and also electron clouds.

At the LHC the BS is operated at 20-40 K for a total energy deposition of $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}$


## Beam-screens for FCC-hh

High synchrotron radiation load of protons @ 50 TeV:

- ~30 W/m/beam (@16 T) (LHC <0.2W/m)
- 5 MW total in arcs


## Beam screen with ante-chamber

- absorption of synchrotron radiation at 50 K to reduce cryogenic power
- avoids photo-electrons, helps vacuum


## Large cooling pipes



FCC-hh beam screen prototype


## Beam screen cooling at FCC-hh



16K beam-screen would require 300 MW for cooling 50K requires $100 \mathrm{MW} \rightarrow$ current baseline

For 4K magnets would prefer T > 100K

- But more impedance to the beam (higher resistivity)
L. Tavian, C. König, Ph. Lebrun

Cross section determines length that can be cooled


## Electron clouds

- Electron cloud effects:
- Vacuum pressure rise.
- Impact on beam quality (emittance growth, instabilities, particle losses).
- Excessive energy deposition on the vacuum chamber ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{~K}$ at LHC) $\rightarrow$ heat load on the cryogenic system.
- Electron clouds affect all high intensity machines with positive bunch charge ( $\mathrm{e}^{+} \Leftrightarrow$ B-factories).

$\qquad$

Bunch N+1 accelerates e-, Process repeats multiplication at impact

for Bunch $\mathbf{N + 2}$


If the probably of emitting a secondary electron (Secondary emission yield [SEY]) above threshold SEY $>\mathrm{SEY}_{\text {th }} \rightarrow$ avalanche effect (multipacting) SEY $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{th}}$ depends on bunch spacing and population


## Electron clouds at LHC

- Example of heat load to the LHC cryogenic system (per ~100 m of accelerator) due to electron cloud in regular operation.
- LHC is operated ~ at the limit of the cryogenic cooling capacity of the BS !



## Electron cloud mitigation

Developments are ongoing to improve the vacuum chamber properties in terms of electron cloud for the LHC luminosity upgrade:

- Carbon coating of surface
- Laser treatment of surface (LESS)

Geometry is very important!

P. Costa Pinto et al.
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## Stored energy: past - present - future

LHC pushed the stored energy from few MJs to > 100 MJs
The large hadron collider will make another step towards GJs


## Stored energy challenge

## Stored energy ~10 GJ per beam

- At least one order of magnitude higher than for LHC, equivalent to A380 ( 560 t ) at nominal speed ( $850 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ).

- Collimation, control of beam losses and radiation effects (shielding) important.
- Injection, beam transfer and beam dump very
 critical.

Machine protection issues to be addressed early on!

## LHC beam dumping system



15 fast 'kicker' magnets deflect the beam to the outside

A complex system, and yet it
 must be ultra-highly reliable! It must not fail!

## LHC dump line



## The LHC dump block

The dump block is the only LHC element capable of absorbing the nominal beam. The beam is swept over dump surface to lower the power density.


## Dump and dilution - FCC-hh

F. Burkart et al.
2.5 km dump line
1.4 km dump insertion
2.8 km collimation insertion

| Kicker | Septum | bend | Dilution | Absorber |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |


$2 m$

LHC pattern (same scale)

Horizontal and vertical kicker system as in the LHC
$\bigcirc \quad \sim \mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ m}$ long, ~150 kickers ( $\rightarrow$ advantage for failures).

- Large magnet apertures required towards dump

Dilution is very critical, different solutions studied

- Require up to 80 cm radius for the diluted beam.


## Beam collimation (cleaning)

$\square$ The LHC is the first hadron collider to require a complex multi-stage collimation system to operate at high intensity.

- Previous hadron machines used collimators only for experimental background conditions.



## Multi-stage collimation systems



- To be able to absorb the energy of the high energy hadrons, a multi-stage collimation system is required - primary, secondary, tertiary.
- Demonstrated to work at the LHC - with excellent performance.
- While for LHC the efficient is at the level of $99.95 \%$ or better, VHECs may require one order of magnitude better cleaning.
- Efficiency = fraction of protons lost from the beam that are intercepted.


## New collimator materials

Beam tests for new collimator materials:

- They should be robust (shock impacts) and good conductors


Inermet 180, 72 bunches


Copper-Diamond 144 bunches


Molybdenum, 72 \& 144 bunches



Glidcop, 72 bunches (2 x)


## A new regime

- At the LHC the energy stored in the injected and circulating present a damage to any accelerator component around the beam line, but passive protection is available to mitigate all failure cases.
- At the LHC such passive protection will survive the beam impacts in case of failure provided the machine is correctly setup and operate safely.
- For the next generation VHECs, this may no longer the case due to the higher particle energy (more material to absorb the beams) and the higher stored energy: failures could lead to damage of the protection components.


## Vicious little falling objects

- LHC observed strange beam losses that where nicknamed UFOs (Unidentified Falling Objects).
- According to the most credible theory, UFOs are dust particles that fall into the beam and generate beam losses due to inelastic collisions with the beam. These losses can quench a superconducting magnet.
- If the losses are too high, the beams are dumped to avoid a magnet quench (up to 20 times / year)

- Conditioning is observed over time, and rates come down.
- At the LHC we are 'lucky' that the dump rates are acceptable, and the beam loss generally below dump threshold. This may NOT be the case for the more sensitive VHECs!

A potential VHEC killer !
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## Tevatron

Depth: ~10 m

## Installed in a 6.3 km tunnel



## Installed in the 26.7 km LEP tunnel

Depth: 70-140 m
Lake Geneva
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## FCC

## CERN <br> Topographical constraints, critical areas



## FCC 100 km possible siting



| Geology Intersected by Shafts |  |  | Shaft Depths |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shaft Depth (m) |  | Geology (m) |  |  |  |
| Point | Actual | Quaternary | Molasse | Urgonian | Calcaire |
| A | 304 | 12 | 292 | 0 | 0 |
| B | 266 | 80 | 186 | 0 | 0 |
| C | 257 | 58 | 199 | 0 | 0 |
| D | 272 | 64 | 208 | 0 | 0 |
| E | 132 | 64 | 68 | 0 | 0 |
| F | 392 | 0 | 392 | 0 | 0 |
| G | 354 | 116 | 237 | 0 | 0 |
| H | 268 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 170 | 12 | 158 | 0 | 0 |
| J | 315 | 22 | 293 | 0 | 0 |
| K | 221 | 52 | 169 | 0 | 0 |
| L | 260 | 21 | 239 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3211 | 501 | 2710 | 0 | 0 |

## Alignment Profile



A tool developed by a consultant firm including all information on the geology is able to model the ring at varying depth, angle etc $\rightarrow$ layout optimization.

## SSC and LHC tunnels


a LHC \& SSC tunnels quite similar in size.
$\square$ Single tunnels represent a risk to personnel in case of fire or Helium release due to the long distance to an escape point $\rightarrow$ LHC experience...

## FCC tunnel layouts



First studies launched on

- Single vs. double tunnel
- Ventilation (fire, Helium !)
- Caverns, shafts, underground layout
- Technical infrastructures
- Safety, access
- Transport, integration, installation
- Operation aspects



## Electricity consumption - CERN




- The current CERN electricity consumption is around $\sim 180 \mathrm{MW}$.
- The LHC (with experiments) uses ~120 MW (~35 MW for cryogenics).
$\square$ A VHEC would require an additional $\mathbf{\sim} \mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{- 4 0 0}$ MW of power.


## Operation and availability

- Operating ever larger colliders increases the number of components (that can fail !) and the complexity of commissioning and of operation.
$\square$ The LHC has demonstrated that huge cryogenics systems can operate with availability of $95 \%$ and higher.
$\square$ The LHC also highlighted the importance of availability, good maintenance and design policies (redundancy): work on availability managed to gain an important factor in the operation efficiency and ultimately integrated luminosity.


## Key factor for VHECs !



## Summary

$\square$ VHECs are the potential next generation discovery machines, there are currently 3 projects that are studied: HE-LHC, SPPC and FCC-hh.
$\square$ VHECs present us with a number of challenges, the first one being to build $\sim 16 \mathrm{~T}$ magnets to be able to run them.

- Beam dynamics challenges are important but potential solutions are in sight.
$\square$ Once technical aspects have been solved, the society impact will have to be considered: cost, energy consumption, impact on the environment, effect on property value etc.


Public Acceptance
\& Must work on public acceptance from the beginning.
\& The old way of "decide, announce, defend" will not work.
P. Limon, VLHC seminar at Fermilab
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## LHC accelerator complex



| Max. P | Length / |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c})$ | Circ. (m) | njection into the LHC lasts ~7-24 seconds


| LINAC2* | 0.050 | 30 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Booster* $^{*}$ | 1.4 | 157 |

PS $26 \quad 628$
SPS $\quad 450 \quad$ 6'911
LHC 6'500 26'657
*: kinetic energy
$\downarrow$ neutrons
antiprotons
electrons
neutrinos

AD Antiproton Decelerato PS Proton Synchrotron SPS Super Proton Synchrotron

LHC Large Hadron Collider
n -ToF Neutron Time of Flight CNGS CERN Neutrinos Gran Sasso


## Advanced super-conductors



## Beam Dump Considerations

8GJ kinetic energy per beam

- Airbus A380 at 720km/h
- 2000kg TNT
- 400kg of chocolate
- Run $25,000 \mathrm{~km}$ to spent calories
- O(20) times LHC

Simulation show beam will penetrate ~ $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{m}$ in Copper, assuming no dilution.
$\rightarrow$ Dilution required!

Hydrodynamic tunneling F. Burkart et al. Time = 1250 ns Temperature (K)



## Collimation for FCC-hh

- To protect machine and experiments,
- At injection the machine aperture is tightest in the arcs, at collision energy in the magnets next to the


