Bound states of annihilating dark matter

Kallia Petraki

Université Pierre et Marie Curie, LPTHE, Paris

APS meeting, Paris, August 2016

Long-range interactions mediated by massless or light particles

Bound states

Long-range interactions Motivation

Hidden sector DM

- Self-interacting DM
- DM explanations of astrophysical anomalies, e.g. galactic positrons
- Little hierarchy problem, e.g. twin Higgs models
- Sectors with stable particles in String Theory

- **Asymmetric DM → Stable bound states**
	- Kinetic decoupling of DM from radiation, in the early universe
	- DM self-scattering in halos: Screening [KP, Pearce, Kusenko (2014)]
	- Indirect detection signals: Radiative level transitions [Pearce, Kusenko (2013); Cline et al. (2014); Detmold, McCullough, Pochinsky (2014); Pearce, KP, Kusenko (2015)]
	- Direct detection signals: Screening, inelastic scattering
- **Symmetric / Self-conjugate DM → Unstable bound states** Formation + Decay = Extra annihilation channel
	- $-$ Relic abundance [von Harling, KP (2014); Ellis et al. (2015)]
	- Indirect detection [Cirelli, Panci, KP, Sala, Taoso, (in preparation)]

A. Confining theories

Hadronic-like bound states ("non-perturbative non-perturbative bound states").

Cosmologically, they definitely form. May leave a remnant weakly coupled long(-ish)-range interaction.

B. Weakly coupled theories

"Perturbative non-perturbative bound states", e.g. atoms.

Formation efficiency depends on the details:

(i) bound-state formation cross-section, and

(ii) thermodynamic environment

(early universe, DM halos, interior of stars)

Outline

- Effect of bound-state formation and decay on the relic density.
- Indirect detection signals.

Relic density of symmetric DM with contact interactions

- **Early universe:** DM kept in chemical equilibrium via annihilations, $\mathbf{x} + \overline{\mathbf{x}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{f} + \overline{\mathbf{f}}$. DM density $n_{\chi} = n_{\chi}(T)$
- As universe expands and cools
	- ⇒ Density decreases
	- ⇒ Annihilations become inefficient
	- \Rightarrow Exponential decrease of $n_\chi(T)$ stalls: **freeze-out**

⇒ Relic density

$$
\Omega_{\chi} \simeq 0.26 \times \left[\frac{3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}}{\langle \sigma_{\text{ann}} v_{\text{rel}} \rangle} \right]
$$

Relic density of symmetric DM with contact interactions

Early universe: DM kept in chemical equilibrium via annihilations, $\mathbf{x} + \overline{\mathbf{x}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{f} + \overline{\mathbf{f}}$. DM density $n_{\chi} = n_{\chi}(T)$

- As universe expands and cools
	- ⇒ Density decreases
	- ⇒ Annihilations become inefficient
	- \Rightarrow Exponential decrease of $n_\chi(T)$ stalls: **freeze-out**

⇒ Relic density

$$
\Omega_{\chi} \simeq 0.26 \times \left[\frac{3 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^3/\text{s}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\text{ann}} v_{\text{rel}}}} \right]
$$

Relic density of symmetric DM EXERC density of symmetric DM

With long-range interactions

Toy model: Dark QED

Dirac fermions (χ, χ) of mass *m***, coupled to a massless dark photon γ, with dark fine-structure constant α.**

Very important parameter: $\zeta = \alpha / v_{rel}$

Processes

Toy model: Dark QED

Dirac fermions (χ, χ) of mass *m***, coupled to a massless dark photon γ, with dark fine-structure constant α.**

Very important parameter: $\zeta = \alpha / v_{rel}$

Standard pertubative calculation

$$
\sigma_{\rm ann} v_{\rm rel} = \pi \alpha^2/m^2 \equiv \sigma_0
$$

Processes

Toy model: Dark QED

Dirac fermions (χ, χ) of mass *m***, coupled to a massless dark photon γ, with dark fine-structure constant α.**

Very important parameter: $\zeta = \alpha / v_{rel}$

Fancy pertubative calculation

Processes

Toy model: Dark QED

Dirac fermions (χ, χ) of mass *m***, coupled to a massless dark photon γ, with dark fine-structure constant α.**

Very important parameter: $\zeta = \alpha / v_{rel}$

In the presence of a long-range interaction

Annihilation $\chi + \bar{\chi} \rightarrow \chi + \gamma$

$$
\sigma_{\rm ann} v_{\rm rel} = \pi \alpha^2/m^2 \times S(\alpha/v_{\rm rel})
$$

Processes

Processes

Processes

Toy model: Dark QED

Dirac fermions (χ, χ) of mass *m***, coupled to a massless dark photon γ, with dark fine-structure constant α.**

Very important parameter: $\zeta = \alpha / v_{rel}$

BSF dominates over annihilation everywhere the Sommerfeld effect is important (ζ > 1) !

Boltzmann equations

$$
\frac{dn_x}{dt} + 3Hn_x = -(n_x^2 - n_x^{\text{eq 2}})(\sigma_{\text{ann}}\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}) - n_x^2(\sigma_{\text{BSF}}\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}) + (n_{\text{f+}} + n_{\text{f+}})\Gamma_{\text{ion}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dn_{\text{f+}}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\text{f+}} = + \frac{1}{4}n_x^2(\sigma_{\text{BSF}}\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}) - n_{\text{f+}}(\Gamma_{\text{ion}} + \Gamma_{\text{decay,f+}})
$$
\n
$$
\frac{dn_{\text{f+}}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\text{f+}} = + \frac{3}{4}n_x^2(\sigma_{\text{BSF}}\mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}) - n_{\text{f+}}(\Gamma_{\text{ion}} + \Gamma_{\text{decay,f+}})
$$
\n
$$
(\chi\bar{\chi})_{\text{f+}} \to 2\gamma: \qquad \Gamma_{\text{decay,f+}} = \alpha^5(m/2)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\text{BSF important when}}{\Gamma_{\text{decay,f+}}} = \frac{4(\pi^2 - 9)}{9\pi} \alpha^6(m/2)
$$
\n
$$
(\chi\bar{\chi})_{\text{f+}} \to 3\gamma: \qquad \Gamma_{\text{decay,f+}} = \frac{4(\pi^2 - 9)}{(2\pi)^3} \alpha^6(m/2)
$$
\n
$$
[\text{VOn Harling, KP (2014)]}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{[\text{VOR Harling, KP (2014)}]}{[\text{VOR Harling, KP (2014)}]} = \frac{2}{(\pi^2 - 9)^3} \alpha^6(m/2)
$$

[von Harling, KP (2014)]

Determination of $\alpha(m)$ or m(α)

18

Recent claim [An, Wise, Zhang (2016)]: BSF does not affect relic density because of ionisation.

Ionisation suppresses the effect of BSF on the relic density.

It has been properly taken into account by solving the full Boltzmann equations.

There is still a significant effect.

[von Harling, KP (2014)]

Determination of $\alpha(m)$ or m(α)

20

[von Harling, KP (2014)]

Effect on DM density, coupling, mass

Much larger than the experimental uncertainty of 1% .

Larger than the experimental sensitivity.

[von Harling, KP (2014)]

Effect on DM density, coupling, mass

Bound states of annihilating DM Generalisations needed

Massive mediators

Different interactions, e.g. scalar mediator.

Non-Abelian non-confining theories, e.g. EW interactions.

Relic density

Indirect detection

Massive vector mediator: Cross-sections

[An, Wise, Zhang (2016); KP, Postma, de Vries (in preparation)]

● **Two parameters needed:**

 $\zeta = \alpha / v_{rel}$ and $\xi = m_{DM} \alpha / (2m_{\omega})$ [velocity dependence] [model dependence]

- At low enough velocities (large ζ)
	- $\sigma_{\text{ann}} v_{\text{rel}}$ constant (saturation of $1/v_{\text{rel}}$ enhancement)
	- σ_{ann} v_{rel} \sim v_{rel}² (suppression)
- **Resonances at discrete ξ values,** which are different for annihilation and BSF. Precise location:
	- Annihilation: ζ independent
	- BSF: Mild ζ dependence

[KP, Postma, de Vries (in preparation)]

Parameters: $ζ = α / v_{rel}$ **ξ** = m_{DM} α / (2m_φ)

Vector mediator: ξ values away from $\ell = 0$ and $\ell = 1$ resonances

25

Parameters:
$\zeta = \alpha / v_{rel}$
$\xi = m_{DM} \alpha / (2m_{\varphi})$

Vector mediator: Resonances

26

[KP, Postma, de Vries (in preparation)]

Parameters:
$\zeta = \alpha / v_{rel}$
$\xi = m_{DM} \alpha / (2m_{\varphi})$

Massive (vector or scalar) mediators

First step: Constraints on hidden broken U(1) model kinetically mixed with Hypercharge, using Fermi data [Cirelli, Panci, KP, Sala, Taoso (in progress)]