# Pheno 2016 University of Pittsburgh – May 9, 2016 Top quark production in CMS

- Introduction and dataset (2.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> at 13 TeV)
- Dilepton channel
  - eµ inclusive cross section
  - Differential cross section (ee, eμ, μμ)
- Lepton plus jets channel
  - Differential cross section
- Comparison with ATLAS and theory
- Evidence for tt in association with a Z boson
- Single top t-channel cross section
- Conclusions



Arán García-Bellido On behalf of the CMS Collaboration



### Introduction to top quarks at CMS

- Measuring top quark cross sections is important at 13 TeV:
  - Precision tests of QCD calculations
  - tt is a background in almost all other analyses (SUSY, ttH, etc...)
  - Can use to measure  $m_t^{}$ ,  $\alpha_s^{}$ , calibrate b-tagging
  - Sensitive to BSM physics
- All analyses shown here use 2.2 fb<sup>-1</sup> good quality data (2015)
- tt MC (NLO): Powheg(v2)+Pythia8, NNPDF3.0, m<sub>t</sub>=172.5 GeV
  - Alternative with MG5\_aMC@NLO, Madgraph5, Powheg+Herwig

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 832^{+20}_{-29} (\text{scale}) \pm 35 (\text{PDF} + \alpha_{s}) \text{ pb}$$

- Singletop tW (71pb), t-channel (217pb): Powheg, aMC@NLO+Pythia
- Main backgrounds:
  - W+jets, Z+jets: MG5\_aMC@NLO + Pythia
  - QCD multijet, Diboson: Pythia8 (and from data)



A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

### Inclusive eµ cross section

- Trigger: dilepton (eµ) trigger
- Event selection:
  - solated OS eµ pair,  $p_T$ >20 GeV,  $|\eta|$ <2.4
  - ≥2 jets, p<sub>T</sub>>30 GeV, |η|<2.4</p>
  - ≥1 b-tag:  $ε_b \sim 67\%$ ,  $ε_{qg} \sim 1\%$ ,  $ε_c \sim 15\%$
  - m<sub>eμ</sub> > 20 GeV
- Background estimation:
  - DY normalized to MC prediction by a data/MC SF from Z peak in data
  - Non-W/Z from SS control region
  - Single top, diboson from MC
- Cut and Count

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = \frac{N_{\text{data}} - N_{\text{bkg}}}{\varepsilon A \mathcal{L}}$$



#### PAS TOP 16-005

	Number of
Source	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ events
Drell–Yan	$24\pm9\pm4$
Non-W/Z leptons	$109\pm50\pm33$
Single top quark	$463\pm 6\pm 145$
VV	$15\pm2\pm5$
tī V	$31\pm1\pm10$
Total background	$642\pm52\pm149$
<mark>8%</mark> tt̄ dilepton signal	$10199 \pm 14 \pm 462$
Data	10368

A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

Top production in CMS

9

## **Kinematic distributions**



A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

## eµ inclusive cross section results

Source	$\Delta \sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ (pb)	$\Delta \sigma_{t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}}$ (%)
Data statistics	8.3	1.0
Trigger efficiencies	9.7	1.2
Lepton efficiencies	18.4	2.3
Lepton energy scale	0.3	0.04
Jet energy scale	17.0	2.2
Jet energy resolution	0.8	0.1
b tagging	11.0	1.4
Mistagging	0.5	0.06
Pileup	1.5	0.2
Single top quark	11.8	1.5
VV	0.4	0.06
Drell–Yan	0.3	0.04
Non-W/Z leptons	2.7	0.3
tī V	0.8	0.1
PDF	4.8	0.6
Scale ( $\mu_F$ and $\mu_R$ )	0.8	0.1
Parton shower scale	6.4	0.8
t <del>ī</del> NLO generator	16.8	2.1
tt hadronization	10.2	1.3
Total systematic (no integrated luminosity)	38.0	4.8
Integrated luminosity	21.4	2.7
Total	44.4	5.6

- Luminosity uncertainty dominates
- Still room to improve calibrations, efficiencies

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 793 \pm 8 \text{ (stat)} \pm 38 \text{ (syst)} \pm 21 \text{ (lumi) pb}$ Values for m<sub>t</sub>=172.5 GeV. For m<sub>t</sub>=173.34 GeV  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$  decreases by ~0.7%. Relative error of 5.6% (was 3.9% for 20 fb<sup>-1</sup> 8 TeV data)

A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

# $t\bar{t} \rightarrow ev_e b\mu v_\mu b$ candidate event



A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

# Dilepton differential cross section

- Trigger on isolated dileptons and *ll*+jets topologies
- Event selection (ee, eμ, μμ)
  - Isolated OS leptons:  $p_T$ >20 GeV,  $|\eta|$ <2.4
  - ≥2 jets: p<sub>T</sub>>30 GeV, |η|<2.4</p>
    - $\geq$ 1 b-tag jet (CSV):  $\epsilon_{b} \approx 85\%$  ;  $\epsilon_{qg} \approx 10\%$
  - m<sub>ℓℓ</sub>>20 GeV
  - ee,  $\mu\mu$ : MET>40GeV and |91-m<sub>ll</sub>|>15GeV</sub>
- Same background estimations as inclusive σ
- Kinematic reconstruction (94% efficient)
  - Constraints:  $m_t = 172.5 \text{ GeV} (x2)$ ,  $m_w = 80.4 \text{ GeV} (x2)$ ,  $(p_v + p_{\overline{v}})_T = \text{MET}$
  - Reconstruct each event 100 times, smearing inputs by their resolution
  - Consider weighted average
  - Derive scale factor ε<sub>DATA</sub>/ε<sub>MC</sub>



PAS TOP

6-()

A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

### **Dilepton differential results**

- Calculate normalized differential cross sections to reduce systematics
- Perform regularized unfolding to parton level
- Good agreement overall with beyond NLO QCD calculations



## Differential *l*+jets cross section

- Triggers based on single isolated lepton
- Event selection:
  - 1 isolated lepton with  $p_T > 30$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.1$
  - ≥4 jets with  $p_{\tau}$ >25 GeV,  $|\eta|$ <2.4
    - $\geq 1$  b-tagged ( $\epsilon_{b} \approx 65\%$  ;  $\epsilon_{qg} \approx 3\%$  )
    - b-tag jet and leading non-b jet:  $p_T > 35$  GeV

#### Unfold to parton level and to particle level

- Kinematic reconstruction
  - Use mass constraints of m<sub>t</sub>, m<sub>w</sub> on leptonic side to obtain neutrino momentum (NIM 736, 169 [2014]) and correct b-jet on leptonic side
  - Calculate probability  $\lambda_m$  according to 2D mass distributions of  $m_t$ ,  $m_w$  on hadronic side to obtain best permutation of jets
  - Cut -log( $\lambda_m$ )<10
  - Correct tt reconstruction efficiency: 63% on average, 80% for 4jet, ~40% for 7jet events



## **Kinematic distributions**

tt normalized to NNLO+NNLL cross section

Backgrounds from MC simulations (50% syst. on their normalization)



A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

Top production in CMS

### Parton level distributions *l*+jets

- Unfolded and extrapolated to full phase space
- Binning optimized to have similar number of events per bin
- $p_{T}(t)$  still a bit too hard: Powheg+Pythia6 was harder in previous 8 TeV results
- $p_{T}(t\bar{t})$  better described by Powheg than MG5\_aMC@NLO or Madgraph (+ $\leq$ 3 jets)



A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

Top production in CMS

#### *l*+jets differential cross section Main uncertainties

source

- Particle level calculations avoid theoretical extrapolations to full phase space → smaller uncertainties
- ► Top proxy: l (including radiative losses), v not from hadrons, stable particles clustered in  $\Delta R=0.4$  jets, b-jets contain b-hadrons (unstable), with p→0

statistical uncertainty1–5b tagging2–3jet energy scale5–7NLO generator1–6parton shower scale1–5

 $K^{2} = [M(p_{N} + p_{l} + p_{b_{1}}) - m_{t}]^{2} + [M(p_{j_{1}} + p_{j_{2}}) - m_{W}]^{2} + [M(p_{j_{1}} + p_{j_{2}} + p_{j_{3}}) - m_{t}]^{2}$ POWHEG + PYTHIA8 vs. HERWIG++



 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 836 \pm 27 \text{ (stat)} \pm 84 \text{ (sys)} \pm 100 \text{ (lumi)} \text{ pb } [43 \text{ pb}^{-1}]$ 

Values for m<sub>+</sub>=172.5 GeV. Slope: -6.3 pb/GeV

A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

Top production in CMS

parton [%]

1-5

2-3

6-8

1 - 10

2 - 9

1 - 12

particle [%]

< 3

# $\sigma_{\rm t\bar{t}}$ comparison with ATLAS and theory

- New measurements at 13 TeV are in agreement between each other and the NNLO+NLL prediction
- Now working on reducing systematic uncertainties
  - Hadronization, PS, modelling, JES, b-tagging, efficiencies





# Single top t-channel cross section

#### Event selection

- 1 isolated  $\mu$ ,  $p_T > 22$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.1$
- 2 jets, p<sub>T</sub>>40 GeV, |η|<4.7</p>
- 1 b-tag (MVA) (ε<sub>b</sub>≈45% ; ε<sub>qg</sub>≈0.1% )
- W+jets from simulation, validated outside top mass window: 130<m<sub>lvb</sub><225 GeV</p>
- QCD shape from data, normalization from fit of m<sub>T</sub>(W) in SB and cut: m<sub>T</sub>(W)>50 GeV
- 2j1t is the signal region, use 3j1t and 3j2t to constrain tt

#### Use 11 variables combined in MVA

Process	$\mu^+$	$\mu^-$
Top (tt and tW)	$7048 \pm 13$	$7056 \pm 13$
W+jets and Z+jets	$3039 \pm 102$	$2399 \pm 90$
QCD multijet	241±121	$219 \pm 110$
Single top <i>t</i> -channel	1539±13	977±10
Total expected	$11867 \pm 159$	$10651 \pm 143$
Data	11877	11017



PAS TOP 16-003



#### A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

## t-channel results

Fit MVA output for  $\mu^+$ ,  $\mu^-$  and inclusive

- Bkg norm. constrained (10% tt, 30% EW, 50% QCD from prediction)
- Ratio  $\sigma_t / \sigma_{\bar{t}} = 1.75 \pm 0.16 (stat) \pm 0.21 (syst)$

15% overall unc., 12% modeling, 6% exp.



 $\sigma_{t} = 228 \pm 9(st) \pm 14(ex) \pm 29(th) \pm 6.2(lum) \text{ pb}$ 

 $\sigma_t = 217.0 \pm 6.6(\text{scale}) \pm 6.2(\text{PDF}) \text{ pb [NLO]}$ NNLO available: 214.5 ± 0.6 [PLB 736, 58 (2014)]  $|\text{fV}_{tb}| = 1.02 \pm 0.07(\text{exp}) \pm 0.02(\text{th})$ 

A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)

# Conclusions

- Robust measurements with early Run II data
- Results are overall in good agreement with theory and ATLAS
  - No signature of new physics yet!
- Dilepton analyses lead in precision: 5.6%
- Will focus now on reducing systematics
  - Better understanding of JES, trigger, and btagging
  - Constrain hadronization, PS, modelling
- Single top entering new era of differential measurements and properties
- More papers coming with new tools: boosted top tagging, pile-up cleaning algorithms, more channels, new fitting techniques





#### https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsTOP

A. Garcia-Bellido (Rochester)