### **IPM Simulations at Fermilab**

#### 3-4 March 2016

Randy Thurman-Keup

# Nova Era Main Injector / Recycler

- Recycler accumulates protons from Booster synchrotron
  - 8 GeV
  - ~5 x 10<sup>13</sup> protons
  - Main Injector receives beam from Recycler
    - 8 GeV incoming
    - Up to 120 GeV outgoing
      - Nova neutrino experiment
    - 588 53-MHz rf buckets
      - each bucket ~18 ns
      - 1 x 10<sup>11</sup> protons per bucket
      - Bunch length typically ~1-3 ns
    - Few millimeters transverse sigma

# **MATLAB** Simulation

- Simulation tracks particles through arbitrary E and B fields
- Uses interpolation to obtain the fields at any point from previously calculated field distributions
- Propagates using a relativistic formula

# Matlab Simulation

 Once the acceleration is determined, a discrete evaluation of the differential equation of motion is used to step the particles

$$-\mathbf{v}_{i+1}=\mathbf{v}_i+\mathbf{a}_i\Delta t$$

$$-\mathbf{r}_{i+1} = \mathbf{r}_i + \mathbf{v}_i \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \Delta t^2$$

- The magnetic and electric fields are handled separately
  - Magnetic contribution to the motion is only applied to the components perpendicular to the B field
  - Magnitude of the velocity perpendicular to the B field is forced to be preserved, since the B field does no work
    - This in particular helps with the tight spirals along the field lines

## Source Fields

- The electric and magnetic fields of the bunch are calculated before hand for various bunch parameters
  - Evaluated with proper time delay to represent the moving beam
- Electric field of Fermilab IPM determined from a 2-D Poisson calculation
  - Would like to create a more detailed 3-D electric field distribution using CST
  - Try to explain discoloration on beam chamber out from ends of IPM active region
  - Magnetic field of Fermilab IPM from 3-D magnet model

# **Ionization Particle Distributions**

- Ionized particle distributions are random in emission angle with 1/E<sup>2</sup> energy distribution
  – Don't think random emission is correct
- Not sure how to deal with low KE regime
  - Dominated by quantum effects?
  - Does it matter?



## Gated-on Expected Signal

 From figure 7 of Sauli <sup>#</sup>, the number of primary ion pairs produced in one centimeter of a gas species *i* at one atmosphere of pressure by one minimum ionizing particle can be roughly parameterized as

$$n_i \approx \frac{3}{2}Z_i$$

• Expressing this in terms of the proton bunch parameters and partial pressures in the beampipe one arrives at

$$n_j(t) \approx \frac{QL\delta}{500e\sigma_T \sigma_t 2\pi} \Big[ e^{-\frac{(j\Delta)^2}{2\sigma_T^2}} \Big] \Big[ e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma_t^2}} \Big] \sum_i Z_i P_i$$

At the peak of a Main Injector bunch, the number of ionization electrons is ~10 per anode strip (no MCP gain)

*<sup>#</sup>F. Sauli, "Principles of Operation of Multiwire Proportional and Drift Chambers", CERN 77-09, 3/5/77.* 

### Gated-on IPM

Particles originating from single point (resolution contribution)

Elapsed time ~ 1.7 ns



## Gated-on IPM

Particles originating from single point (resolution contribution)



#### Bunch offset refers to x



X Component of E field

### **Gated-off Fields**



#### Y Component of E field

## Gated-off Motion



IPM Simulation Workshop -- R. Thurman-Keup

#### **輩 Fermilab**

# **Gated-off Behavior**



### Gated-off Ion Paths



# Gated Grid Test

#### **Control Grid Upstairs Test R4H**



# Conclusions

- Use of Matlab simulation with fields generated from other sources allowed an evaluation of the gating grid behavior for the new IPMs at Fermilab
- Matlab historically not the best choice for tracking since it is/was an interpreted language
  - Works well if problem can be formulated in matrix form
- Details of initial momenta of ionization products very crude
  - Decided that details were probably only relevant for low momentum transfers
  - Low momentum transfers quickly overcome by clearing and magnetic fields

### Extras



### **輩** Fermilab





### **口 Fermilab**

### **Magnet Measurements**





### **Magnet Measurements**





Average value of 200  $\mu$ m could be hall probe rotation; corresponds to ~0.1 degrees

# **MI Orbit Perturbation**

- Measured magnet integrated field is ~0.001 T-m
- Maximum displacement around the ring for the measured field integral is

$$D = \frac{\int B_y \, dl}{\rho_m} \frac{\beta}{2\sin \pi \nu}$$

• For the Main Injector  $\rho_m \sim 27 \text{ T}-\text{m}$  and the maximum  $\beta$  is 50 and the tune,  $\nu$ , is 0.43  $-D \sim 0.001 \text{ m}$ 



# Gated IPM Concept

- Problem with MCP is short lifetime
  - Plate is using up lifetime whenever beam is in the machine and the IPM voltage is on
  - Voltage takes a while to raise and lower
- Would like to be able to gate the charge to preserve the MCP
  - Stop the electrons and ions from reaching the MCP
  - Allow the electrons and ions an escape path from the IPM active region
    - i.e. no Penning traps

# Gated IPM Concept

- The force on a charged particle is  $\vec{F} = q\left(\vec{E} + \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{B}\right) = m \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt}$ 

– Assume that  $\vec{E} = E_0 \hat{x}$  and  $\vec{B} = B_0 \hat{y}$ 

- Solve the differential equations and one gets the usual solution of circular motion in the  $\hat{x} - \hat{z}$ plane, constant motion along  $\hat{y}$  and a drift along  $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$  which in this case is  $\hat{z}$ , i.e. along the beam
- Putting in the values for the electric and magnetic fields gives us a drift velocity of ~10 cm/ $\mu$ s along the proton beam direction

• The electrons will have drifted beyond the MCP in ~1-2  $\mu s$ 

# **Magnetic Field in Simulation**



3 March 2016

IPM Simulation Workshop -- R. Thurman-Keup

