Search for Higgs bosons decaying to aa in the $\mu\mu\tau\tau$ final state in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with the ATLAS experiment

Phys. Rev. D 92, 05002

B. Kaplan (New York University)

November 7, 2016

Physics Motivation



Exotic Decay of SM-like Higgs, *h*

Coupling measurements allow for non-SM decays up to BR $\sim$ O(30%), at 95% CL

JHEP 08 (2016) 045

Physics Motivation



Consider a new pseudo-scalar Higgs boson, *a*

Physics Motivation



Consider a new pseudo-scalar Higgs boson, *a*

- Focus on low *a* masses (details on next slide)
    - Leptons from *a* decay will be highly collimated

Physics Motivation



Consider a new pseudo-scalar Higgs boson, *a*

- Focus on low *a* masses (details on next slide)
  - Leptons from *a* decay will be highly collimated
- Coupling related to mass of decay products $\Rightarrow$ *large BR(a $\rightarrow \tau\tau$) at low mass*

Physics Motivation



Consider a new pseudo-scalar Higgs boson, *a*

- Focus on low *a* masses (details on next slide)
  - Leptons from *a* decay will be highly collimated
- Coupling related to mass of decay products $\Rightarrow$ *large BR($a \to \tau\tau$) at low mass*
- *a* $\to \mu\mu$ gives a narrow resonance $\Rightarrow$ *clean experimental signature*

Physics Motivation



Consider a new pseudo-scalar Higgs boson, *a*

- Focus on low *a* masses (details on next slide)
  - Leptons from *a* decay will be highly collimated
- Coupling related to mass of decay products $\Rightarrow$ *large BR(a $\rightarrow \tau\tau$) at low mass*
- *a* $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ gives a narrow resonance $\Rightarrow$ *clean experimental signature*
- One previous search for this signature from D∅, which had no sensitivity

Physics Motivation



Interpretation: The Next-to-Minimal-Supersymmetric Standard Model

Physics Motivation



Interpretation: The Next-to-Minimal-Supersymmetric Standard Model

- The NMSSM is a well-motivated (and quite popular) SUSY model

  D. Curtin *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 7, 075004 (2014)

Physics Motivation



Interpretation: The Next-to-Minimal-Supersymmetric Standard Model

- The NMSSM is a well-motivated (and quite popular) SUSY model

  D. Curtin *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 7, 075004 (2014)

- Naturally achieves $m_h = 125$ GeV

Physics Motivation



Interpretation: The Next-to-Minimal-Supersymmetric Standard Model

- The NMSSM is a well-motivated (and quite popular) SUSY model
  D. Curtin *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 7, 075004 (2014)
- Naturally achieves $m_h = 125$ GeV
- Notable in that it predicts an additional light pseudoscalar Higgs bosons (a)

Physics Motivation



Interpretation: The Next-to-Minimal-Supersymmetric Standard Model

- The NMSSM is a well-motivated (and quite popular) SUSY model
  D. Curtin *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 7, 075004 (2014)
- Naturally achieves $m_h = 125$ GeV
- Notable in that it predicts an additional light pseudoscalar Higgs bosons (a)
- Also predicts another scalar Higgs, H, which can decay $H \to aa$

'a' Mass

$m_a < 2m_\tau$: $a \to \mu\mu(ee)$

- Some coverage by ATLAS lepton-jets analysis



D. Curtin et al., arXiv:1312.4992 [hep-ph]

‘a’ Mass

$m_a < 2m_\tau$: $a \to \mu\mu(ee)$

- Some coverage by ATLAS lepton-jets analysis

$2m_\tau < m_a < 2m_b$: $a \to \tau\tau$

- **Prime focus of this analysis**
- We require 1 $a \to \mu\mu$ and take a 1% hit in BR
- Eventually, compare to or combine w/ 4τ



D. Curtin et al., arXiv:1312.4992 [hep-ph]

'a' Mass

$m_a < 2m_\tau$: $a \to \mu\mu(ee)$

- Some coverage by ATLAS lepton-jets analysis

$2m_\tau < m_a < 2m_b$: $a \to \tau\tau$

- **Prime focus of this analysis**
- We require 1 $a \to \mu\mu$ and take a 1% hit in BR
- Eventually, compare to or combine w/ 4τ

$2m_b < m_a$: $a \to bb$

- 4b final state covered in separate paper (see Mazim's talk)
- $2\mu 2\tau$ down by O(0.01)
  Interesting in case decay to b's (quarks) are suppressed.



D. Curtin et al., arXiv:1312.4992 [hep-ph]

Analysis Overview ($\mu\mu\tau\tau$)



1. Pre-select $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ events

Strategy: Use $a \rightarrow \mu\mu$ resonance to perform a bump-hunt

- Use single and dimuon triggers
- Require 2 isolated* muons, with p_T >16 GeV
  *For lower a masses, the muons will be collimated. Correct the isolation variable to account for this
- They must be OS and $p_T(\mu\mu)$ >40 GeV

Analysis Overview ($\mu\mu\tau\tau$)



1. Pre-select $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ events
2. Signal Selection: $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}+\ell^{\pm}trk^{\mp}$

Detector Signature:



Optimize SR for selecting $a \to \tau\tau$

- Best sensitivity found for at least one $\tau \to \ell$
- Other τ decay inclusive
  $\Rightarrow$ Require 1, 2, or 3 nearby tracks
- Lead track carries charge of τ
  $\Rightarrow$ ℓ and leading track are OS
- Two SRs based on ℓ flavor

Analysis Overview ($\mu\mu\tau\tau$)



1. Pre-select $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ events
2. Signal Selection: $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}+\ell^{\pm}\mathrm{trk}^{\mp}$
3. Validation: $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}+\ell^{\pm}\mathrm{trk}^{\pm}$

Detector Signature:



Test methods in validation regions

- Invert OS requirement on ℓ and leading track
- Comparable backgrounds, but no signal
- Two VRs based on ℓ flavor

Analysis Overview ($\mu\mu\tau\tau$)



1. Pre-select $\mu^\pm\mu^\mp$ events
2. Signal Selection: $\mu^\pm\mu^\mp + \ell^\pm \mathrm{trk}^\mp$
3. Validation: $\mu^\pm\mu^\mp + \ell^\pm \mathrm{trk}^\pm$
4. Control: $\mu^\pm\mu^\mp +$ (b-)jets

Detector Signature:



Measure backgrounds in control regions

- Replace $\tau\tau$ selection with jets
- Two CRs for light- and heavy- flavor backgrounds

Fitting Strategy ($\mu\mu\tau\tau$)

Perform a bump hunt in $m_{\mu\mu}$ from 3.7 to 50 GeV in SRs

- Background and signal models measured in the data!
- Use simulation to study shapes
- Need a robust background model for entire mass range
  1. Non-resonant background (mainly DY)
  2. $t\bar{t}$ background
  3. SM (J/ψ, Υ, Z)
     - The J/ψ is used to constrain the ψ'
     - The low-end Z tail can be significant above 40 GeV
     - All resonances assumed to have a *narrow width*
- Use a common shape for all narrow $\mu\mu$ resonances

Signal Model



$X \to \mu\mu$ Double-Sided Crystal Ball

- Gaussian core, low- and high-end power laws
- 3 free parameters, μ_{CB}, σ_{CB}, α_{CB} *measured in data*
- Mean (μ_{CB}), width (σ_{CB}) linearly depend on m_X
- Tested on 24 signal samples, varying m_a and m_H
- Width (σ_{CB}) enhanced for large m_H *determined in simulation*

$a \to \tau\tau \to \mu\mu$ Gaussian Tail

- Mean and width set proportional to CB *determined in simulation*
- Width was no m_H dependence
- Fraction of $\tau\tau$, $f_{\tau\tau}$ *determined in simulation*

*Systematics discussed in backup

Background Measurement ($\mu\mu\tau\tau$)

CRj: $\tau\tau(\ell + trk) \Rightarrow \geq 1$ jet



CRb: $\tau\tau(\ell + trk) \Rightarrow \geq 2$ b-jets



- Results used to constrain fit to SRs
- Let's, look a little closer...

Background Measurement ($\mu\mu\tau\tau$)



- Resonant backgrounds (J/Ψ, Υ, Z) use a double-sided Crystal Ball
  - Three CB parameters shared with $a \to \mu\mu$ resonance

Background Measurement ($\mu\mu\tau\tau$)

CRj: $\tau\tau(\ell + trk) \Rightarrow \geq 1$ jet



CRb: $\tau\tau(\ell + trk) \Rightarrow \geq 2$ b-jets



- Drell–Yan background modeled by $P_{\gamma^*} = e^{\alpha_{\gamma^*} \cdot m_{\mu\mu}} \left(m_{\mu\mu}\right)^{n_{\gamma^*}}$
- $t\bar{t}$ modeled by Rayleigh distribution: $P_{tt} = m_{\mu\mu} \times Gaus(m_{\mu\mu}|\mu_{tt} = 0, \sigma_{tt})$

Fits in the Validation Region

Based on SR's, but require 3rd lepton and track to be **SS**



Constrained from Fit to CR

- Background shape parameters (2 for γ^*, 1 for $t\bar{t}$, multiple for SM resonances)
- Relative contributions of higher Ψ and Υ spin states
- Relative contribution of Z to total Z/γ^*

Unconstrained:Relative contributions of Ψ, Υ, $t\bar{t}$ and Z/γ^*



Constrained from Fit to CR

- Background shape parameters (2 for γ^*, 1 for $t\bar{t}$, multiple for SM resonances)
- Relative contributions of higher Ψ and Υ spin states
- Relative contribution of Z to total Z/γ^*

Unconstrained: Relative contributions of Ψ, Υ, $t\bar{t}$ and Z/γ^*

Simulated signal shown in brown for $m_a = 5$, 10 and 20 GeV

Results: Statistical Analysis



Scan vs. m_a for 125 GeV Higgs:



Scan vs. m_H (new heavy scalar) for 5 GeV a boson:



- Observation consistent with SM
- Min p-value $= 0.022$ for $m_{\mu\mu} = 8.65$ GeV
- Global p-value > 0.5
- Upper limit on $BR(h \to aa)$ as low as 3.5% for $m_a = 3.75$ GeV, $m_h = 125$ GeV
- Upper limit on $BR(H \to aa) \times \sigma(gg \to H)$ from 2.33 to 0.72 pb, for $m_a = 5$ GeV
- Compare to D∅ result: BR($h \to aa$) $> 100\%$

What to Expect in 2017

$h \rightarrow aa \rightarrow 2\mu 2\tau$

- Higher energy in Run 2 does not really help us
- More statistics $\Rightarrow$ better limits

What to Expect in 2017

$h \to aa \to 2\mu 2\tau$

- Higher energy in Run 2 does not really help us
- More statistics $\Rightarrow$ better limits

More Generally

- We are very interested in additional $h \to aa$ channels

Stay Tuned for 2017!

Systematics

Background Model: Use spurious signal method:
Perform S+B fit to high stat. bkg-only sample

Signal Model: Parameters measured in data.
Extra systematics on α_{CB} and $f_{\tau\tau}$ parameters, to ensure coverage of tails

Signal Normalization: Dominant sources:

1. Theory systematic (only applicable to limit vs. m_a): 11%
2. Track momentum: vary track p_T by 2% $\Rightarrow$ 5% change in signal acceptance

Sub-Dominant Sources: trigger efficiency, the lepton reconstruction efficiency, the lepton energy scale and resolution, and the charge of the track