

**Nec sine te, nec tecum vivere possum. (Ovid)\***

## **Spin Physics Experiments at NICA-SPD with polarized proton and deuteron beam.**

**Letter of Intent.**

**Presented by I.A. Savin on behalf of the Drafting Committee:**

I.Savin, A.Efremov, D. Peshekhonov, A. Kovalenko, O.Teryaev, O.Shevchenko,  
A. Nagajcev, A. Guskov, V. Kukhtin, N. Topilin.

LoI signed by 124 authors  
representing 23 Institutions  
from 8 countries.
















# Spin Physics Experiments @ NICA-SPD with polarized proton and deuteron beams.

## CONTENT



- 1. Introduction**
- 2. Physics motivations**
- 3. Requirements to the NUCLOTRON-NICA complex**
- 4. Polarized beams at NICA**
- 5. Requirements to the spin physics detector (SPD)**
- 6. Proposed measurements with SPD**
- 7. Time lines of the project**

Basic twist-2 PDFs of the nucleons  
 (vertical – nucleon, horizontal – quark polarization)

$N \backslash q$	U	L	T	
U	$f_1$  Number Density		$h_1^\perp$  -  Boer-Mulders	T-odd
L		$g_1$  -  Helicity	$h_{1L}^\perp$  -  Worm-gear - L	
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$  -  Sivers	$g_{1T}^\perp$  -  Worm-gear - T	$h_1$  -  Transversity $h_{1T}^\perp$  -  Pretzelosity	chiral-odd


# Physics motivations for NICA

- 2.1. Nucleon spin structure studies using the Drell-Yan mechanism (inclusive and exclusive).
- 2.2. New nucleon PDFs and  $J/\Psi$  production mechanisms.
- 2.3. Direct photons.
- 2.4. Spin-dependent high- $p_T$  reactions.
- 2.5. Spin-dependent effects in elastic  $pp$  and  $dd$  scattering.
- 2.6. Spin-dependent reactions in heavy ion collisions.
- 2.7. Future experiments on nucleon structure in the world.

## 2.1. Nucleon structure studies using the Drell-Yan mechanism.

Inclusive case: production of the lepton pairs.


$$H_a(P_a, S_a) + H_b(P_b, S_b) \rightarrow l^-(l, \lambda) + l^+(l', \lambda') + X,$$



$$C \left[ w(\vec{k}_{aT}, \vec{k}_{bT}) f_1 \bar{f}_2 \right] \equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_q e_q^2 \int d^2 \vec{k}_{aT} d^2 \vec{k}_{bT} \delta^2(\vec{q}_T - \vec{k}_{aT} - \vec{k}_{bT}) w(\vec{k}_{aT}, \vec{k}_{bT}) \times \\ \left[ f_{1q}(x_a, \vec{k}_{aT}^2) \bar{f}_{2q}(x_b, \vec{k}_{bT}^2) + \bar{f}_{1q}(x_a, \vec{k}_{aT}^2) f_{2q}(x_b, \vec{k}_{bT}^2) \right],$$

where  $k_{aT}$  ( $k_{bT}$ ) is the transverse momentum of quark in the hadron  $H_a$  ( $H_b$ ) and  $f_1$  ( $f_2$ ) is a TMD PDF of the corresponding hadron.

The kinematics of the Drell-Yan process is considered usually in the **Collins-Soper (CS) reference frame** [ J.C. Collins, D.E. Soper, and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B250, 199 (1985).]



Results of the most complete theoretical analysis of this process [S. Arnold, A. Metz and M. Schlegel, Phys.Rev. D79 (2009) 034005 [arXiv:hep-ph/0809.2262] are used .


$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma}{dx_a dx_b d^2q_T d\Omega} &= \frac{\alpha^2}{4Q^2} \times \\
&\left\{ \left( (1 + \cos^2 \theta) F_{UU}^1 + \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi} \right) + S_{aL} \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\phi F_{LU}^{\sin 2\phi} + S_{bL} \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\phi F_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi} \right. \\
&+ \left| \vec{S}_{aT} \right| \left[ \sin(\phi - \phi_{S_a}) (1 + \cos^2 \theta) F_{TU}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S_a})} + \sin^2 \theta \left( \sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_a}) F_{TU}^{\sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_a})} + \sin(\phi + \phi_{S_a}) F_{TU}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_{S_a})} \right) \right] \\
&+ \left| \vec{S}_{bT} \right| \left[ \sin(\phi - \phi_{S_b}) (1 + \cos^2 \theta) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S_b})} + \sin^2 \theta \left( \sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_b}) F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_b})} + \sin(\phi + \phi_{S_b}) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_{S_b})} \right) \right] \\
&+ S_{aL} S_{bL} \left[ (1 + \cos^2 \theta) F_{LL}^1 + \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi F_{LL}^{\cos 2\phi} \right] \\
&+ S_{aL} \left| \vec{S}_{bT} \right| \left[ \cos(\phi - \phi_{S_b}) (1 + \cos^2 \theta) F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_b})} + \sin^2 \theta \left( \cos(3\phi - \phi_{S_b}) F_{LT}^{\cos(3\phi - \phi_{S_b})} + \cos(\phi + \phi_{S_b}) F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi + \phi_{S_b})} \right) \right] \\
&+ \left| \vec{S}_{aT} \right| S_{bL} \left[ \cos(\phi - \phi_{S_a}) (1 + \cos^2 \theta) F_{TL}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_a})} + \sin^2 \theta \left( \cos(3\phi - \phi_{S_a}) F_{TL}^{\cos(3\phi - \phi_{S_a})} + \cos(\phi + \phi_{S_a}) F_{TL}^{\cos(\phi + \phi_{S_a})} \right) \right] \\
&+ \left| \vec{S}_{aT} \right| \left| \vec{S}_{bT} \right| \left[ (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \left( \cos(2\phi - \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b}) F_{TT}^{\cos(2\phi - \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b})} + \cos(\phi_{S_b} - \phi_{S_a}) F_{TT}^{\cos(\phi_{S_b} - \phi_{S_a})} \right) \right] \\
&+ \left| \vec{S}_{aT} \right| \left| \vec{S}_{bT} \right| \left[ \sin^2 \theta \left( \cos(\phi_{S_a} + \phi_{S_b}) F_{TT}^{\cos(\phi_{S_a} + \phi_{S_b})} + \cos(4\phi - \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b}) F_{TT}^{\cos(4\phi - \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b})} \right) \right] \\
&+ \left. \left| \vec{S}_{aT} \right| \left| \vec{S}_{bT} \right| \left[ \sin^2 \theta \left( \cos(2\phi - \phi_{S_a} + \phi_{S_b}) F_{TT}^{\cos(2\phi - \phi_{S_a} + \phi_{S_b})} + \cos(2\phi + \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b}) F_{TT}^{\cos(2\phi + \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b})} \right) \right] \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.2}$$

$F_j^i$  are the SFs, depend on four variables  $P_a \cdot q$ ,  $P_b \cdot q$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_T$  and  $q^2$  or on  $\mathbf{q}_T$ ,  $q^2$  and the Bjorken variables of colliding hadrons,  $x_a$ ,  $x_b$ ,

$$x_a = \frac{q^2}{2P_a \cdot q} = \sqrt{\frac{q^2}{s}} e^y, \quad x_b = \frac{q^2}{2P_b \cdot q} = \sqrt{\frac{q^2}{s}} e^{-y}, \quad \mathbf{q}_T \text{ and } q^2, \quad y \text{ is the } cm \text{ rapidity.}$$

# 8 asymmetries to be measured: $A_{LU}, A_{UL}, A_{TU}, A_{UT}, A_{LL}, A_{TL}, A_{LT}, A_{TT}$

which include 23 modulations  
with amplitudes  $A_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i / F_{UU}^1$   
normalized to unpolarized  
one.



$$A_{UU} \equiv \frac{\sigma^{00}}{\sigma_{\text{int}}^{00}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 + D \cos 2\phi A_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi})$$

$$A_{LU} \equiv \frac{\sigma^{\rightarrow 0} - \sigma^{\leftarrow 0}}{\sigma_{\text{int}}^{\rightarrow 0} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\leftarrow 0}} = \frac{|S_{aL}|}{2\pi} D \sin 2\phi A_{LU}^{\sin 2\phi}$$

$$A_{UL} \equiv \frac{\sigma^{0\rightarrow} - \sigma^{0\leftarrow}}{\sigma_{\text{int}}^{0\rightarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{0\leftarrow}} = \frac{|S_{bL}|}{2\pi} D \sin 2\phi A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi}$$

$$A_{TU} \equiv \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow 0} - \sigma^{\downarrow 0}}{\sigma_{\text{int}}^{\uparrow 0} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\downarrow 0}} = \frac{|\vec{S}_{aT}|}{2\pi} \left[ A_{TU}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S_a})} \sin(\phi - \phi_{S_a}) + D \left( A_{TU}^{\sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_a})} \sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_a}) + A_{TU}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_{S_a})} \sin(\phi + \phi_{S_a}) \right) \right]$$

$$A_{UT} \equiv \frac{\sigma^{0\uparrow} - \sigma^{0\downarrow}}{\sigma_{\text{int}}^{0\uparrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{0\downarrow}} = \frac{|\vec{S}_{bT}|}{2\pi} \left[ A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S_b})} \sin(\phi - \phi_{S_b}) + D \left( A_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_b})} \sin(3\phi - \phi_{S_b}) + A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_{S_b})} \sin(\phi + \phi_{S_b}) \right) \right]$$

$$A_{LL} \equiv \frac{\sigma^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} + \sigma^{\leftarrow\leftarrow} - \sigma^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} - \sigma^{\leftarrow\rightarrow}}{\sigma_{\text{int}}^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\leftarrow\leftarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\leftarrow\rightarrow}} = \frac{|S_{aL} S_{bL}|}{2\pi} \left( A_{LL}^1 + D A_{LL}^{\cos 2\phi} \cos 2\phi \right)$$

$$A_{TL} \equiv \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow\rightarrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow\leftarrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow\rightarrow} - \sigma^{\uparrow\leftarrow}}{\sigma_{\text{int}}^{\uparrow\rightarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\downarrow\leftarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\downarrow\rightarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\uparrow\leftarrow}} = \frac{|\vec{S}_{aT}| |S_{bL}|}{2\pi} \left[ A_{TL}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_a})} \cos(\phi - \phi_{S_a}) + D \left( A_{TL}^{\cos(3\phi - \phi_{S_a})} \cos(3\phi - \phi_{S_a}) + A_{TL}^{\cos(\phi + \phi_{S_a})} \cos(\phi + \phi_{S_a}) \right) \right]$$

$$A_{LT} \equiv \frac{\sigma^{\rightarrow\uparrow} + \sigma^{\leftarrow\downarrow} - \sigma^{\rightarrow\downarrow} - \sigma^{\leftarrow\uparrow}}{\sigma_{\text{int}}^{\rightarrow\uparrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\leftarrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\rightarrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\leftarrow\uparrow}} = \frac{S_{aL} |\vec{S}_{bT}|}{2\pi} \left[ A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S_b})} \cos(\phi - \phi_{S_b}) + D \left( A_{LT}^{\cos(3\phi - \phi_{S_b})} \cos(3\phi - \phi_{S_b}) + A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi + \phi_{S_b})} \cos(\phi + \phi_{S_b}) \right) \right]$$

$$A_{TT} \equiv \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow\uparrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow\downarrow} - \sigma^{\uparrow\downarrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow\uparrow}}{\sigma_{\text{int}}^{\uparrow\uparrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\downarrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\uparrow\downarrow} + \sigma_{\text{int}}^{\downarrow\uparrow}} = \frac{|\vec{S}_{aT}| |\vec{S}_{bT}|}{2\pi} \left[ A_{TT}^{\cos(2\phi - \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b})} \cos(2\phi - \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b}) + A_{TT}^{\cos(\phi_{S_b} - \phi_{S_a})} \cos(\phi_{S_b} - \phi_{S_a}) \right]$$

$$+ D \left( A_{TT}^{\cos(\phi_{S_b} + \phi_{S_a})} \cos(\phi_{S_a} + \phi_{S_b}) + A_{TT}^{\cos(4\phi - \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b})} \cos(4\phi - \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b}) \right)$$

$$+ A_{TT}^{\cos(2\phi - \phi_{S_a} + \phi_{S_b})} \cos(2\phi - \phi_{S_a} + \phi_{S_b}) + A_{TT}^{\cos(2\phi + \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b})} \cos(2\phi + \phi_{S_a} - \phi_{S_b}) \Big] \quad (2.1.10)$$



Applying the Fourier analysis to the measured asymmetries, one can separate each of all ratios  $A_{jk}^i = F_{jk}^i / F_{UU}^1$  .


Extraction of different TMD PDFs from these ratios is a task of the global analysis since each of the SFs is a result of convolutions of different TMD PDFs in the quark transverse momentum space.

For this purpose one needs either to assume a factorization of the transverse momentum dependence for each TMD PDFs, or to transfer them to impact parameter representation and to use the Bessel weighted TMD PDFs.

## 2.1. Nucleon structure studies using the Drell-Yan mechanism.

Exclusive case: Lepton pairs and GPD x GPD production .

32 diagrams (for two quarks) of the type shown below can contribute .



A.A. Pivovarov and O.V. Teryaev, XXII Intrn. Baldin Seminar on High Energy Physics Problems, 15-20 Sept. 2014, JINR, Dubna, Russia

The amplitude of the process in the Born approximation is:

$$S_{p\pi-2} = -i \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2N_c^2} \frac{eg_s^2}{(2V)^{\frac{5}{2}} \sqrt{\varepsilon' \varepsilon'' \tilde{\varepsilon}' \tilde{\varepsilon}'' \varepsilon}} \cdot e_\lambda^* \cdot \frac{1}{(\bar{P}', \bar{P}'')} \cdot \left( \frac{\bar{P}'^\lambda}{\xi_2} - \frac{\bar{P}''^\lambda}{\xi_1} \right) \cdot I_2 \cdot \delta(p' + p'' - \bar{p}' - \bar{p}'' - q), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$I_2 = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{e_u H_p^{(u)}(x) H_{\pi^-}^{(u)}(y)}{(x + \xi_1)(y - \xi_2) - i\varepsilon_g} dx dy - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{e_u H_p^{(u)}(x) H_{\pi^-}^{(u)}(y)}{(x - \xi_1)(y + \xi_2) - i\varepsilon_g} dx dy \\ + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{e_d H_p^{(d)}(x) H_{\pi^-}^{(d)}(y)}{(x + \xi_1)(y - \xi_2) - i\varepsilon_g} dx dy - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{e_d H_p^{(d)}(x) H_{\pi^-}^{(d)}(y)}{(x - \xi_1)(y + \xi_2) - i\varepsilon_g} dx dy; \quad (3.2)$$

$$H_p^{(q)}(x) = \begin{cases} H_p^{(\bar{q})}(x) & x > 0; \\ -H_p^{(\bar{q})}(-x) & x < 0; \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

$$H_{\pi^-}^{(q)}(x) = \begin{cases} H_{\pi^-}^{(\bar{q})}(x) & x > 0; \\ -H_{\pi^-}^{(\bar{q})}(-x) & x < 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

The  $\pi p$  differential cross section of the exclusive DY process is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^3\vec{p}'d^3\vec{p}''} = \frac{\alpha_{(em)}\alpha_{(s)}^2}{2^5 N_c^4 \pi^2 \vec{\epsilon}' \vec{\epsilon}''} \cdot \frac{1}{(p', p'')^2}$$


$$\cdot \left[ \frac{8s^2}{s_1 s_2} |I_1|^2 + \frac{8s}{s_1 + s_2 - s} |I_2|^2 + 4s \left( \frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{s}{s_1(s_1 + s_2 - s)} \right) (I_1^* I_2 + I_2^* I_1) \right] \cdot \delta(q^2 - m_\gamma^2),$$

$$s = (p' + p'')^2; s_1 = (\vec{p}' + q)^2; s_2 = (\vec{p}'' + q)^2; q = p' + p'' - \vec{p}' - \vec{p}''; m_\gamma$$

GPD x GPD production in pp will be considered in the SPD Proposal.

## 2.3. Direct photons.

Direct photon productions in the non-polarized and polarized  $pp$  ( $pd$ ) reactions provide information on the **gluon distributions** in nucleons




Vertex  $H$  corresponds to  
 $q + q\text{bar} \rightarrow \gamma + g$  or  $g + q \rightarrow \gamma + q$  hard processes.

One can show that the polarized gluon distribution (Sivers gluon function) can be extracted from measurement of the **transverse single spin asymmetry**  $A_N = \frac{\sigma^\uparrow - \sigma^\downarrow}{\sigma^\uparrow + \sigma^\downarrow}$ . It is of order few %.




Via double spin asymmetry  $A_{LL}$  one can measure a **gluon polarization** in the nucleon:

$$A_{LL} \approx \frac{\Delta g(x_1)}{g(x_1)} \cdot \left[ \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 [\Delta q(x_2) + \Delta \bar{q}(x_2)]}{\sum_q e_q^2 [q(x_2) + \bar{q}(x_2)]} \right] \cdot \hat{a}_{LL}(gq \rightarrow \gamma q) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2),$$






## 2.6. Spin-dependent reactions in heavy ion collisions

### 2.6.1. Inclusive particle polarizations in $pp$ , $pd$ and heavy ion collisions



Transverse polarization  $P_N$  vs.  $x_F$  of  $\Lambda$  from the reaction  $Au+Au \rightarrow \Lambda^\uparrow + X$  at RHIC



Predictions for  $P_N$  vs. pseudo rapidity  $\eta$  for the reaction  $A_1+A_2 \rightarrow \Lambda^\uparrow + X$  at  $\sqrt{s}=7$  and 9 GeV

Systematic studies of inclusive transverse polarizations of hyperons and vector mesons vs. kinematic variables, energy and atomic weight of colliding beam can be performed at SPD and MPD.

Table 1: List of the present and future DY experiments in the world.

Experiment	CERN, COMP-II	FAIR, PANDA	FNAL, E-906	SPAS- CHARM	RHIC, STAR	RHIC, PHENIX	NICA, SPD
<i>Mode</i>	<i>Fixed Target</i>	<i>Fixed Target</i>	<i>Fixed Target</i>	<i>Fixed Target</i>	<i>Collider</i>	<i>Collider</i>	<i>Collider</i>
<i>Beam/target</i>	$\pi^- / p$	<i>anti-p / p</i>	$\pi^- / p$	$\pi^\pm / pol.p$	$pp$	$pp$	$pp, pd, dd$
<i>Polarization:b/t</i>	$0 / 0.8$	$0 / 0$	$0 / 0$	$0 / 0.5$	$0.5$	$0.5$	$0.9$
<i>Luminosity</i>	$2 \cdot 10^{33}$	$2 \cdot 10^{32}$	$3.5 \cdot 10^{35}$		$5 \cdot 10^{32}$	$5 \cdot 10^{32}$	$10^{32}$
$\sqrt{s}$ , GeV	19	6	16	8	200, 500	200, 500	10-26
$x_{1(beam)}$ range	0.1-0.9	0.1-0.6	0.1-0.9	0.1-0.3	0.03-1.0	0.03-1.0	0.1-0.8
$q_T$ GeV	0.5 -4.0	0.5 -1.5	0.5 -3.0		1.0 -10.0	1.0 -10.0	0.5 -6.0
<i>Lepton pairs,</i>	$\mu-\mu^+$	$\mu-\mu^+$	$\mu-\mu^+$		$\mu-\mu^+$	$\mu-\mu^+$	$\mu-\mu^+, e+e^-$
<i>Data taking</i>	2014	>2018	2013		>2016	>2016	>2018
Transversity	NO	NO	NO		YES	YES	YES
Boer-Mulders	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES
Sivers	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES
Pretzelosity	YES (?)	NO	NO		NO	YES	YES
Worm Gear	YES (?)	NO	NO		NO	NO	YES
$J/\Psi$	YES	YES	NO		NO	NO	YES
Flavour separation	NO	NO	YES		NO	NO	YES
Direct $\gamma$	NO	NO	NO		YES	YES	YES

### 3. Requirements to the NUCLOTRON-NICA complex.

**Beams.** The following beams will be needed, polarized and non-polarized:

$$pp, pd, dd, p \uparrow p \uparrow, p \uparrow d \uparrow, p \uparrow p \uparrow, p \uparrow d \uparrow, d \uparrow d \uparrow.$$

**Beam polarizations** both at MPD and SPD: longitudinal and transversal. Absolute values of polarizations should be 90-50%. The life time of the beam polarization should be long enough,  $\geq 24$ h. Measurements of Single Spin and Double Spin asymmetries in  $DY$  require running in different beam polarization modes: *UU, LU, UL, TU, UT, LL, LT and TL* (spin flipping for every bunch or group of bunches is not considered).

**Beam energies:**  $p \uparrow p \uparrow (\sqrt{s_{pp}}) = 12 \div \geq 27 \text{ GeV}$  ( $5 \div \geq 12.6 \text{ GeV}$  kinetic energy),  
 $d \uparrow d \uparrow (\sqrt{s_{NN}}) = 4 \div \geq 13.8 \text{ GeV}$  ( $2 \div \geq 5.9 \text{ GeV/u}$  ion kinetic energy).

Asymmetric beam energies should be considered also.

**Beam luminosities:** in the  $pp$  mode:  $L_{\text{average}} \geq 1 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  (at  $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 27 \text{ GeV}$ ),  
in the  $dd$  mode:  $L_{\text{average}} \geq 1 \cdot 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  (at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 14 \text{ GeV}$ ).




## 4. Polarized beams at NICA.

The NICA complex at JINR has been approved in 2008 assuming two phases of construction.


The first phase, realizing now, includes construction of facilities for heavy ion physics program .

The second phase should include facilities for the program of spin physics studies with polarized protons and deuterons.

**BMN**



## *Proton spin resonances in the Nuclotron*



Possible solution is found in the energy range up to 5-6 GeV. Further acceleration can be done at NICA.

# Proton spin dynamics in the Nuclotron ring in the case of a full or partial snake working synchronously with accelerating cycle


## Full Siberian Snake

Total longitudinal field integral:

$$(B_{\parallel}L)_{\max} = 21 \text{ T}\cdot\text{m}$$

$$E_{\max} = 6 \text{ GeV}$$

$\alpha_y$  is angle between polarization and vertical axis




## Partial Siberian Snake

Total longitudinal field integral:


$$(B_{\parallel}L)_{\max} = 10,5 \text{ T}\cdot\text{m}$$


$$(v_y \approx 6.8)$$



Polarized deuterons acceleration in Nuclotron is possible up to the energy of 5.6 GeV/u

# Possible NICA structure for polarized proton and deuteron beams





The number of particles reaches a value about  $2.2 \cdot 10^{13}$  in each ring and the peak luminosity  $L_{\text{peak}} = 2 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$  at 12.7 GeV.

Feasible schemes of manipulations with polarized protons and deuterons at Nuclotron and NICA are suggested. The final scheme will be considered at the later stages of the project.

## 5. Requirements to the spin physics detector (SPD).

- 5.1. Event topologies.
- 5.2. Possible layout of SPD.
- 5.3. Trigger system.
- 5.4. Local polarimeters and luminosity monitors.
- 5.5. Engineering infrastructure.
- 5.6. DAQ.
- 5.7. SPD reconstruction software.
- 5.8. Monte Carlo simulations.
- 5.9. Slow control.
- 5.10. Data accumulation, storing and distribution.



## SPD layout.



Preliminary considerations of the event topologies have required SPD to be equipped with the sub-detectors covering  $\sim 4\pi$  angular region around the beams intersection point:

vertex detectors (VD),  
tracking detectors (TD),  
electromagnetic calorimeters (ECAL),  
hadron detectors (HD) and  
muon detectors (MD).


VD, TD and ECAL must be in the magnetic field.

Prototypes of all sub-detectors exist or under development.

There are two options for the magnet: toroid or solenoid.



HADRON & MUON DETECCRORS



ECAL

VERTEX  
DETECTOR,  
SILICON

TRACKIG, STRAW & DC

The “almost  $4\pi$  geometry” requested by DY and direct photons can be realized in the solenoid version of SPD if it has overall length of about 6 m.

## 6. Proposed measurements with SPD.

We propose to perform measurements of asymmetries of the  $DY$  pairs production in collisions of polarized protons and deuterons (Eqs.2.1.0) which provide an access to all collinear and TMD PDFs of quarks and anti-quarks in nucleons.

The set of these measurements will supply complete information for tests of the quark-parton model of nucleons at the twist-two level with minimal systematic errors.

The measurements of asymmetries in production of  $J/\Psi$  and direct photons as well as measurements of other reactions mentioned in LoI will be performed simultaneously with  $DY$  using dedicated triggers.


6.1. Estimations of  $DY$  and  $J/\Psi$  production rates.

6.2. Estimations of direct photon production rates.


## 6.1. Estimations of DY production rates

To estimate the precision of measurements, the set of original software packages for MC simulations, including generators for **Sivers**, **Boer-Mulders** and **Transversity** PDFs were developed. With these packages we have a sample of 100K DY events was generated (~ 1 year of data taking) for comparison with expected asymmetries.

**Sivers**



**Boer-Mulders**



	U	L	T
U	$f_1$ Number Density		$h_1^\perp$ Boer-Mulders
L		$g_1$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^\perp$ Worm-gear-L
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$ Sivers	$\mathcal{B}_{1T}^\perp$ Worm-gear-T	$h_{1T}^\perp$ Transversity $h_{1T}^\perp$ Pretzelosity

## 6.2. Estimations of direct photon production rates.

$\sqrt{s}=24 \text{ GeV}$ $L = 1.0 \times 10^{32}, \text{ cm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$	$\sigma_{tot},$ nbarn	$\sigma_{P_T > 4 \text{ GeV}/c},$ nbarn	Events/year, $10^6$	Events/year, $10^6 (P_T > 4 \text{ GeV}/c)$
All processes	1290	42	3260	105
$qg \rightarrow q\gamma$	1080	33	2730	84
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow g\gamma$	210	9	530	21
$\sqrt{s}=26 \text{ GeV}$ $L = 1.2 \times 10^{32}, \text{ cm}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$	$\sigma_{tot},$ nbarn	$\sigma_{P_T > 4 \text{ GeV}/c},$ nbarn	Events/year, $10^6$	Events/year, $10^6 (P_T > 4 \text{ GeV}/c)$
All processes	1440	48	4340	144
$qg \rightarrow q\gamma$	1220	38	3680	116
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow g\gamma$	240	10	660	28

$A_N$  and  $A_{LL}$  could be measured at SPD with statistical accuracy  $\sim 0.11\%$  and  $\sim 0.18\%$ , respectively, in each of 18  $x_F$  bins ( $-0.9 < x_F < +0.9$ ).

## **7. Time lines of the Project**

## 7.1. Possible data taking scenario.

At the **first step** of the project it is reasonable to **start** measurements with non-polarized protons ( $pp$ ) and with non-polarized deuterons ( $dd$ ), ( $pd$ ). These data would provide a **cross checks** of our results with very precise world data **on  $f_1$  PDFs**. At the same time **new data on the Boer-Mulders** PDF will be obtained.

First step →

	U	L	T	
U	$f_1$ Number Density		$h_1^\perp$ Boer-Mulders	T-odd
L		$g_1$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^\perp$ Worm-gear-L	
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$ Sivers	$g_{1T}^\perp$ Worm-gear-T	$h_{1T}^\perp$ Transversity $h_{1T}^\perp$ Pretzelosity	chiral-odd

At the **second step** the measurements should be performed with longitudinally polarized protons and deuterons in  $pp$ ,  $pd$  and  $dd$  collisions with the beam polarizations  $UL$ ,  $LU$ ,  $LL$  to obtain asymmetries  $A_{LU}$ ,  $A_{UL}$  and  $A_{LL}$  (Eqs.2.1.10) in each case. These data will be **cross checked** by existing data on  $g_1$  PDF and provide **new** information on the **Worm-gear-L PDF** in proton and neutron ( $u$  &  $d$  quarks).

Second step →

	U	L	T	
U	$f_1$ Number Density		$h_1^\perp$ Boer-Mulders	T-odd
L		$g_1$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^\perp$ Worm-gear-L	
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$ Sivers	$g_{1T}^\perp$ Worm-gear-T	$h_1$ Transversity $h_{1T}^\perp$ Pretzelosity	chiral-odd



At the **third step** (the most important) measurements should be performed with **transverse beam polarization in  $pp$ ,  $pd$  and  $dd$**  collisions ( $UT$ ,  $TU$  and  $TT$ ) to obtain asymmetries  $A_{UT}$ ,  $A_{TU}$  and  $A_{TT}$  in each case. These data will be cross checked by existing data **on Transversity PDF** and provide **new** information on the **Sivers, Worm-gear-T and Pretzelosity PDFs** in proton and neutron ( $u$  &  $d$  quarks).

	U	L	T	
U	$f_1$ Number Density		$h_1^\perp$ Boer-Mulders	T-odd
L		$g_1$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^\perp$ Worm-gear-L	
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$ Sivers	$g_{1T}^\perp$ Worm-gear-T	$h_1$ Transversity $h_{1T}^\perp$ Pretzelosity	chiral-odd

Third step →

Finally, at the **fourth step** (the most difficult) measurements should be performed with *pp*, *pd* and *dd* beams when one beam polarized longitudinally while other – transversally in order to measure asymmetries  $A_{LT}$  and  $A_{TL}$  in each case. These data will provide **new information and cross checks** of our results on **Transversity, Worm-gear-L, Pretzelosity and Worm-gear-T PDFs**

The fourth step [

	U	L	T	
U	$f_1$ Number Density		$h_1^\perp$ Boer-Mulders	T-odd
L		$g_1$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^\perp$ Worm-gear-L	
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$ Sivers	$g_{1T}^\perp$ Worm-gear-T	$h_1$ Transversity $h_{1T}^\perp$ Pretzelosity	chiral-odd

## CONCLUSIONS

1. The comprehensive program of the spin nucleon structure and other spin dependent reactions study is suggested. It can be realized at NICA using the polarized proton, deuteron and heavy ion beams and specialized SPD detector.
2. Interesting spin program can be suggested for fixed target experiments.
3. The program is supported by a number of Laboratories and the world leading experts.
4. The International collaboration can be organized for preparations of the Proposal. General Conditions under considerations.
5. Text of the LoI is at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1408.3959>

## **PAC Recommendations:**

....The PAC heard with interest a report on the preparation of the Letter of Intent “Spin physics experiments at NICA-SPD with polarized proton and deuteron beams” presented by I. Savin. The PAC is pleased to see the first steps toward formation of an international collaboration around the SPD experiment. The PAC regards the SPD experiment as an essential part of the NICA research program and encourages the authors of the Letter of Intent to prepare a full proposal and present it at one of the forthcoming meetings of the PAC. ...

# Status of the NICA project



# Status of the NICA project



*V. Kekelidze,*



**119 Scientific Council, February 18, 2016, Dubna**

# Civil Construction of NICA Complex

**General Contract** (*duration 43 months*) - **signed!**, *Sept. 2015*

*The preparatory works are completed (area ~60 000 m<sup>2</sup>!)*

**The whole Complex comprises several Objects to be commissioned:**

*Bld.#1 reconstruction,  
I q., 2019*


*Beam extraction,  
I q., 2019*

*East semi-ring,  
IV q., 2018*

*SPD Hall, II q., 2019*

*West semi-ring,  
III q., 2018*

*MPD Hall, I q., 2018*



**the area is prepared for the construction**





All basic parts of the **NICA complex**, except **SPD** are at the stage of fabrication or **TDR** approval.

## The major milestones for the commissioning:

### **accelerator complex**

<i>start-up configuration</i>	– <b>2019</b>
<i>the design configuration</i>	– <b>2023</b>

### **BM@N**

<i>the I stage</i>	– <b>2017</b>
<i>the II stage</i>	– <b>2019</b>

### **MPD**

<i>the I stage</i>	– <b>2019</b>
<i>upgraded (IT + end-cups)</i>	– <b>2023</b>

### **SPD**

*project is under preparation*

Back up slides

## Updating list of participants



R. Abramishvili<sup>1</sup>, V.V. Abramov<sup>6</sup>, F. Ahmadov<sup>1</sup>, R.R. Akhunzyanov<sup>1</sup>, N. Akopov<sup>23</sup>,  
V.A. Anosov<sup>1</sup>, N.V. Anfimov<sup>1</sup>, S. Anishchanka<sup>12</sup>, X. Artru<sup>15</sup>, A.A. Baldin<sup>1</sup>, V.G. Baryshevsky<sup>12</sup>, A.S. Belov<sup>5</sup>,  
D.A. Bliznyuk<sup>14</sup>, M. Bodlak<sup>8</sup>, A.V. Butenko<sup>1</sup>, A.P. Cheplakov<sup>1</sup>,  
I.E. Chirikov-Zorin<sup>1</sup>, G. Domanski<sup>10</sup>, S.V. Donskov<sup>6</sup>, G. L. Dorofeev<sup>1</sup>, V. M. Drobin<sup>1</sup>,  
V.V. Drugakov<sup>17</sup>, M. Dziewiecki<sup>10</sup>, A.V. Efremov<sup>1</sup>, Yu.N. Filatov<sup>1,3</sup>, V.V. Fimushkin<sup>1</sup>,  
M. Finger (jun.)<sup>7,1</sup>, M. Finger<sup>7,1</sup>, S.G. Gerassimov<sup>13</sup>, I.A. Golutvin<sup>1</sup>, A.L. Gongadze<sup>1</sup>,  
I.B. Gongadze<sup>1</sup>, M.I. Gostkin<sup>1</sup>, B.V. Grinyov<sup>14</sup>, A. Gurinovich<sup>12</sup>, A.V. Guskov<sup>1</sup>, A.N. Ilyichev<sup>17</sup>, Yu.I.  
Ivanshin<sup>1</sup>, A.V. Ivanov<sup>1</sup>, V. Jary<sup>8</sup>, A. Janata<sup>7,1</sup>, N. Javadov<sup>1</sup>, Jen-Chieh Peng<sup>20</sup>,  
L.L. Jenkovszky<sup>4</sup>, V.D. Kekelidze<sup>1</sup>, D.V. Kharchenko<sup>1</sup>, A.P. Kobushkin<sup>4</sup>, B. Konarzewski<sup>10</sup>, A.M.  
Kondratenko<sup>2</sup>, M.A. Kondratenko<sup>2</sup>, I. Konorov<sup>13</sup>, A.D. Kovalenko<sup>1</sup>, O.M. Kouznetsov<sup>1</sup>, G.A. Kozlov<sup>1</sup>, A.  
D. Krisch<sup>16</sup>, V.G. Kruchonak<sup>1</sup>, Z.V. Krumshstein<sup>1</sup>, V.V. Kukhtin<sup>1</sup>, K. Kurek<sup>9</sup>, P.K. Kurilkin<sup>1</sup>, R. Kurjata<sup>10</sup>,  
L.V. Kutuzova<sup>1</sup>, N.K. Kuznetsov<sup>1</sup>, V.P. Ladygin<sup>1</sup>, R. Lednický<sup>1</sup>,  
A. Lobko<sup>12</sup>, A.I. Malakhov<sup>1</sup>, B. Marinova<sup>1</sup>, J. Marzec<sup>10</sup>, J. Matousek<sup>7</sup>, G.V. Meshcheryakov<sup>1</sup>,  
V.A. Mikhaylov<sup>1</sup>, Yu.V. Mikhaylov<sup>6</sup>, P.V. Moissenz<sup>1</sup>, V.V. Myalkovskiy<sup>1</sup>, A.P. Nagaytsev<sup>1</sup>,  
J. Novy<sup>8</sup>, I.A. Orlov<sup>1</sup>, Baatar Otgongerel<sup>22</sup>, B. Parsamyan<sup>21</sup>, M. Pesek<sup>7</sup>, D.V. Peshekhonov<sup>1</sup>, V.D.  
Peshekhonov<sup>1</sup>, V.A. Polyakov<sup>6</sup>, Yu.V. Prokofichev<sup>1</sup>, A.V. Radyushkin<sup>1</sup>, Togoo Ravdandorj<sup>22</sup>, V.K.  
Rodionov<sup>1</sup>, N.S. Rossiyskaya<sup>1</sup>, A. Rouba<sup>12</sup>, A. Rychter<sup>10</sup>,  
V.D. Samoylenko<sup>6</sup>, A. Sandacz<sup>9</sup>, I.A. Savin<sup>1</sup>, G.A. Shelkov<sup>1</sup>, N.M. Shumeiko<sup>17</sup>,  
O.Yu. Shevchenko<sup>1</sup>, S.S. Shimanskiy<sup>1</sup>, A.V. Sidorov<sup>1</sup>, D. Sivers<sup>18</sup>, M. Slunechka<sup>7,1</sup>,  
V. Slunechkova<sup>7,1</sup>, A.V. Smirnov<sup>1</sup>, G.I. Smirnov<sup>1</sup>, N.B. Skachkov<sup>1</sup>, J. Soffer<sup>11</sup>, A.A. Solin<sup>17</sup>, A.V. Solin<sup>17</sup>,  
E.A. Stokovsky<sup>1</sup>, O.V. Teryaev<sup>1</sup>, A.V. Tkachenko<sup>1,4</sup>, M. Tomasek<sup>8</sup>, N.D. Topilin<sup>1</sup>, Baatar Tseepeldorj<sup>22</sup>,  
A.V. Turbabin<sup>5</sup>, Yu.N. Uzikov<sup>1</sup>, M. Virius<sup>8</sup>, V. Vrba<sup>8</sup>, K. Zaremba<sup>10</sup>,  
P. Zavada<sup>19</sup>, M.V. Zaverlyayev<sup>13</sup>, E.V. Zemlyanichkina<sup>1</sup>, P.N. Zhmurin<sup>14</sup>, M. Ziembicki<sup>10</sup>,  
A.I. Zinchenko<sup>1</sup>, V.N. Zubets<sup>5</sup>, I.P. Yudin<sup>1</sup>

# Affiliations



- <sup>23</sup>Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (YerPhI), Yerevan, Armenia
- <sup>22</sup>Institute of Physics and Technology MAS, Ulaanbaator, Mongolia
- <sup>21</sup>Presently at the INFN section of Turin and University of Turin, Italy
- <sup>20</sup>University of Illinois at Urbana, Illinois, USA
- <sup>19</sup>Institute of Physics ASCzR, Prague, Czech Republic
- <sup>18</sup>Portland Physics Institute, Portland, USA
- <sup>17</sup>National Center of Particle and High Energy Physics, Belarusian State University, Minsk
- <sup>16</sup>University of Michigan, USA
- <sup>15</sup>CNRS, Lyon, France
- <sup>14</sup>Institute for Scintillation Materials, NAS, Kharkov, Ukraine
- <sup>13</sup>Lebedev Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia
- <sup>12</sup>Research Institute for Nuclear Problems, Minsk, Belarus
- <sup>11</sup>Temple University, Philadelphia, USA
- <sup>10</sup>Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Radio electronics, Warsaw, Poland
- <sup>9</sup>National Center for Nuclear Research, Warsaw, Poland
- <sup>8</sup>Technical University, Faculty of Nuclear Science and Physics Engineering, Prague, Czech Rep.
- <sup>7</sup>Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Prague, Czech Republic
- <sup>6</sup>Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
- <sup>5</sup>Institute for Nuclear Research of Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- <sup>4</sup>Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine
- <sup>3</sup>Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Russia
- <sup>2</sup>Science and Technique Laboratory Zaryad, Novosibirsk, Russia
- <sup>1</sup>Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

Expressed an Interest: **Gomel SU**, **Moscow SU**, **St. Petersburg (Gatchina)**,  
**ITEP, Moscow**, **INFN Torino, Italy**

## INTRODUCTION

The proton electromagnetic form factor :  $\langle r_p \rangle = (0.74 \pm 0.24) \cdot 10^{-13}$  cm.

Proton is **not an elementary particle** but the object with an internal structure (50ties).

Point-like **constituents** have been discovered in the proton and called **partons**, identified later with **quarks**. Quarks interact between themselves by **gluon** exchange. **Gluons** are also the nucleon's constituents. They can produce a **sea** of virtual quark-antiquark pairs. Partons share between themselves fractions,  $x$ , of the total nucleon momentum – **PDFs**. Parton Distribution Functions, depending also on  $Q^2$ , are universal characteristics of the internal nucleon structure.

The quark-parton model (**QPM**) of nucleons, i.e. of the proton and neutron, has been born (70ties): 3 valence quarks, gluons & sea of quark-antiquarks.

## Twist-2 PDFs of nucleons :

	U	L	T
U	$f_1$ Number Density		$h_1^\perp$ Boer-Mulders
L		$g_1$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^\perp$ Worm-gear-L
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$ Sivers	$g_{1T}^\perp$ Worm-gear-T	$h_{1T}^\perp$ Pretzelosity

- $f_1$  - **density** of partons in non-polarized nucleon,  $(x, Q^2)$ ;
- $g_1$  - **helicity**, longitudinal polarization of quarks in longitudinally polarized nucleon;
- $h_1^\perp$  - **transversity**, transverse polarization of quarks in transversely polarized nucleon ;
- $f_{1T}^\perp$  - **Sivers**, correlation between the transverse polarization of nucleon and the transverse momentum of non-polarized quarks;
- $g_{1T}^\perp$  - **worm-gear-T**, correlation between the transverse spin and the longitudinal quark polarization ;
- $h_{1L}^\perp$  - **Boer-Mulders**, distribution of the quark transverse momentum in the non-polarized nucleon ;
- $h_{1L}^\perp$  - **worm-gear-L**, correlation between the longitudinal polarization of the nucleon (longitudinal spin) and the transverse momentum of quarks ;
- $h_{1T}^\perp$  - **pretzelosity**, distribution of the transverse momentum of quarks in the transversely polarized nucleon ;

## Introduction.

## 1.2. PDFs $f_1$ and $g_1$

Measured from  $\sigma^{pol}$  separated off  $\sigma^{tot}$  in so-called *asymmetries*.

$g_1$

The cross sections difference,  $\Delta\sigma_{//}$ , for two opposite longitudinal target polarizations is given by the expression:

$$\Delta\sigma_{//} \equiv \Delta\left(\frac{d^2\sigma_{//}^{pol}}{dx dQ^2}\right) = \frac{16\pi\alpha^2 y}{Q^4} \left[ \left(1 - \frac{y}{2} - \frac{y^2\gamma^2}{4}\right) g_1 - \frac{y\gamma^2}{2} g_2 \right],$$

connected with the longitudinal asymmetry,  $A_{//}$ , defined as

$$A_{//} = \frac{\Delta\sigma_{//}}{2\sigma^{unp}} = \frac{\sigma^{\rightarrow\rightarrow} - \sigma^{\rightarrow\leftarrow}}{\sigma^{\rightarrow\leftarrow} + \sigma^{\rightarrow\rightarrow}}$$

which, in the first approximation, related to  $g_1$ :


$$A_{//}/D \approx A_1 \approx (g_1 - \gamma^2 g_2)/F_1 \approx g_1/F_1,$$

The QPM expression for virtual photon asymmetry  $A_1$ :

$$A_1^p = \frac{\sigma_{1/2}^p - \sigma_{3/2}^p}{\sigma_{1/2}^p + \sigma_{3/2}^p} = \frac{\sum_i e_i^2 [q_i^\uparrow(x) - q_i^\downarrow(x)]}{\sum_i e_i^2 [q_i^\uparrow(x) + q_i^\downarrow(x)]} \quad g_1(x) = \sum_i e_i^2 [q_i^\uparrow(x) - q_i^\downarrow(x)]$$

# PDFs $f_1$ and $g_1$ (> 40 years of measurements)


Measured from Inclusive Deep Inelastic lepton ( $l$ )-nucleon ( $N$ ) Scattering (**IDIS**):  $l + N \rightarrow l' + X$ , nucleon can be polarized.



$$\frac{d^2 \vec{\sigma}^{S_e S_N}}{d\Omega dE'} = \frac{d^2 \sigma^{unp}}{d\Omega dE'} + S_N S_e \frac{d^2 \sigma^{pol}}{d\Omega dE'}$$

	U	L	T
U	$f_1$ Number Density		$h_1^+$ Boer-Mulders
L		$g_1$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^+$ Worm-gear
T	$f_{1T}^+$ Sivers	$g_{1T}^+$ Worm-gear-T	$h_1^+$ Transversity $h_{1T}^+$ Pretzelosity

$$\sigma^{unp} \equiv \frac{d^2 \sigma^{unp}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{Q^4 x} F_2(x, Q^2) \left[ 1 - y - \frac{y^2 \gamma^2}{4} + \frac{y^2 (1 + \gamma^2)}{2(1 + R(x, Q^2))} \right]$$



$R(x, Q^2)$  and  $F_2(x, Q^2)$  have been measured by the collaborations SLAC, EMC, **BCDMS**, NMC, ZEUS, **H<sub>1</sub>** and others. (with JINR participation)







In QCD:

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = x \sum_q e_q^2 [q(x, Q^2) + anti-q(x, Q^2)], \quad q = u, d, s$$

PDFs  $f_1^a$  ( $a \equiv q$ ) are determined from the QCD analysis of all IDIS data



	U	L	T
U	$f_1$ Number Density		$h_1^+$ Boer-Mulders
L		$g_1$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^+$ Worm-gear -
T	$f_{1T}^+$ Sivers	$g_{1T}^-$ Worm-gear - T	$h_{1T}^+$ Pretzosity




*Parton helicity distributions in the longitudinally polarized nucleon at  $Q^2=3 \text{ GeV}^2$  as a function of  $x$ .*


# Transverse Momentum Dependent (TMD) PDFs

	U	L	T
U	$f_1$ Number Density		$h_1^\perp$ Boer-Mulders
L		$g_1$ Helicity	$h_{1L}^\perp$ Worm-gear-L
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$ Sivers	$\tilde{B}_{1T}^\perp$ Worm-gear-T	$h_{1T}^\perp$ Transversity $h_{1T}^\perp$ Pretzelosity


Transversity PDF  $h_1^\perp$ ,  
Measured recently



Sivers PDF  $f_{1T}^\perp$ .  
Measured recently





No data : Pretzelosity PDF  $h_{1T}^\perp$  Worm-gear-L  $h_{1L}^\perp$  Worm-gear-T  $g_{1T}^\perp$  Boer-Mulders  $h_{1T}^\perp$



COMPASS, Phys. Lett. B 680 (2009) 217

DSSV, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 034030




# Some other actual problems – not well understood in QCD.

## 2-SPIN PROTON-PROTON ELASTIC CROSS SECTIONS


12 GeV ZGS  
1977-1978

SPINS PARALLEL 4X SPINS ANTIPARALLEL  
TOTALLY UNEXPECTED

Questions by Profs. Weisskopf & Bethe:  
High  $P_{\perp}$  or  $90^{\circ}_{cm}$ , Identical Particles?



## Answer to Questions by Profs. Weisskopf & Bethe



## AGS 1985-1990 $A_n$

PERTURBATIVE QCD  $\Rightarrow$

$A_n = 0$  at HIGH  $P_{\perp}^2$  and HIGH ENERGY


$A_n \neq 0 \Rightarrow$

PROBLEM with PQCD?

NO MODEL can EXPLAIN ALL  
HIGH- $P_{\perp}^2$  SPIN EFFECTS ( $A_n$  &  $A_{nn}$ )

GOAL

MEASURE  $A_n$  (and  $A_{nn}$ )  
up to  $P_{\perp}^2 = 12$  (GeV/c)



## INCLUSIVE HYPERON POLARIZATION




Devlin, Pondrum, Bunce, Heller *et al.* 1976-80

Fermilab 400 GeV p+p  $\rightarrow$  Lambda

Plot by Heller ~1980  
with KEK & ISR data

$P \sim 15-20\%$

QCD says  $P \sim 0$



The Eqs. above include 24 leading twist SFs. Each of them is expressed through a weighted convolution,  $C$ , of corresponding leading twist TMD PDF in the transverse momentum space,

$$C\left[w(\vec{k}_{aT}, \vec{k}_{bT}) f_1 \bar{f}_2\right] \equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_q e_q^2 \int d^2\vec{k}_{aT} d^2\vec{k}_{bT} \delta^2(\vec{q}_T - \vec{k}_{aT} - \vec{k}_{bT}) w(\vec{k}_{aT}, \vec{k}_{bT}) \times \\ \left[ f_{1q}(x_a, \vec{k}_{aT}^2) \bar{f}_{2q}(x_b, \vec{k}_{bT}^2) + \bar{f}_{1q}(x_a, \vec{k}_{aT}^2) f_{2q}(x_b, \vec{k}_{bT}^2) \right],$$

where  $k_{aT}$  ( $k_{bT}$ ) is the transverse momentum of quark in the hadron  $H_a$  ( $H_b$ ) and  $f_1$  ( $f_2$ ) is a TMD PDF of the corresponding hadron.

Expressions for **all leading twist SFs of quarks and antiquarks** are given in the text of **LoI**. F.e. in the **unpolarized** case:

$$F_{UU}^1 = C\left[f_1 \bar{f}_1\right], \quad F_{UU}^{\cos 2\phi} = C\left[\frac{2(\vec{h} \cdot \vec{k}_{aT})(\vec{h} \cdot \vec{k}_{bT}) - \vec{k}_{aT} \cdot \vec{k}_{bT}}{M_a M_b} h_1^\perp \bar{h}_1^\perp\right],$$

where  $h^\perp$  is the Boer-Mulders PDF for quarks & anti-quarks.

A number of conclusions can be drawn comparing some asymmetries to be measured.


Let us compare the measured asymmetries  $A_{LU}$  and  $A_{UL}$  and assume that during these measurements the beam polarizations are equal, i.e.  $|S_{aL}|=|S_{bL}|$  and hadrons  $a,b$  are identical. Then one can intuitively expect that the integrated over  $x_a$  and  $x_b$  asymmetries  $A_{LU} = A_{UL}$ .

Similarly, comparing the asymmetries  $A_{TU}$  and  $A_{UT}$  or  $A_{TL}$  and  $A_{LT}$  one can expect that  $F_{TU} = F_{UT}$  and  $F_{TL} = F_{LT}$ .

Tests of these expectations would be a good check of the parton model approximations.

### 2.6.2. Investigation of the birefringence phenomenon at NICA facility.

Birefringence occurs when spin  $S \geq 1$  non-polarized particles pass through isotropic non-polarized matter and is due to the inherent anisotropy of these particles. For example, the tensor polarization, acquired by the non-polarized deuterons passing through the non-polarized carbon targets, was observed at Nuclotron.



- The birefringence phenomena can be further studied at Nuclotron and NICA:
- in few-nucleon systems involving protons and deuterons;
  - appearing through the interaction of protons or deuterons with heavy nuclei;
  - for heavy nuclei with spin  $S \geq 1$ .
  - with vector particles produced in inelastic collisions.

***Infrastructure.*** The infrastructure of the Nuclotron-NICA complex should include:


- a source(s) of polarized (non-polarized) protons and deuterons,
- a system of polarization control and absolute measurements (3-5%),
- a system of luminosity control and absolute measurements,
- a system(s) of data distribution on polarization and luminosity to the experiments.

***The infrastructure tasks should be subjects of the separate project(s).***


***Beams intersection area.*** The area of  $\pm 3\text{m}$  along and across of the beams second intersection point, where the detector for the spin physics experiment will be situated, must be free of any collider elements and equipment. The **beam pipe diameter** in this region should be minimal, **10 cm or less**, to guaranty the angular detector acceptance close to  $4\pi$ . The **walls of the beam pipe** in the region  $\pm 1\text{m}$  of the beams intersections should have a **minimal thickness** and made of the **low-Z material (Be?)**.



new heavy ion injector





injection to the booster




modernized injection chain

nuclotron fixed target area



transfer from booster

extraction from booster



# Estimation of *Inner Detector* momentum resolutions

## GEOMETRY:

total coverage  $\eta < 1,5$

### *vertex detector:*

5 layers of silicon strips



resolutions:  $\sigma_\varphi = 20 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $\sigma_z = 320 \mu\text{m}$ ;

### *straw tubes :*

barrel region – 35 layers, 30cm < R < 170cm



end-cap region - 10 layers, 175cm < Z < 400cm

resolutions:  $\sigma_{\phi,R} = 170 \mu\text{m}$ ;



## *Vertex detector.*

The most obvious version of vertex detector (VD) is a silicon one. Several layers of double sided silicon strips can provide a precise vertex reconstruction and tracking of the particles before they reach the general SPD tracking system. The design should use a small number of silicon layers to minimize the radiation length of the material. With a pitch of 50-100  $\mu\text{m}$  it is possible to reach a spatial resolution of 20-30  $\mu\text{m}$ . Such a spatial resolution would provide 50-80  $\mu\text{m}$  for precision of the vertex reconstruction. This permits to reject the secondary decay vertexes.



To minimize a background in the  $DY$  dimuon sample from  $\pi$ - $\mu$  decays, the first detection plane of VD should be as close to the beam as possible.

## *Tracking.*

There are several candidates for a tracking system: conventional drift chambers (DC) and their modification – thin wall drift tubes (straw chambers).


The DCs are the good candidates for tracking detectors in the end cap parts of SPD, while straw chambers are the best for the barrel part.

Two groups have developed the technology of straw chamber production at JINR with two-coordinate read out.

## *Electromagnetic calorimeters.*


*(To detect direct photons and electron-positron pairs)*

The latest version of the electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) module, developed at JINR for the COMPASS-II experiment at CERN, can be a good candidate for ECAL in the barrel and in the end cup parts of SPD. The module utilises new photon detector – Avalanche Multichannel Photon Detector (AMPD). AMPD can work in the strong magnetic field.




## *Hadron (muon) detectors*

A system of mini-drift chambers interleaved with layers of iron and called the Range System (RS) is developed at JINR for FAIR/PANDA. It can be used in the barrel part of SPD as a hadron and (or) muon detector



The hadron and muon detectors in the end caps part of SPD are to be identified. As candidates for these detectors, the COMPASS muon wall [9] can be considered. It consists of two layers of mini-drift chambers with a block of absorber between them. A calorimeter version is also suggested.

# SPD experimental area



## The main background sources:


- reactions with open charm,  $J/\psi$ ,  $\psi'$  productions,
- K and  $\pi$  decays,
- due to vertex resolution,
- due to time resolution depends on NICA bunch structure,
- PID misidentification,
- conversion for DY measurements via  $e^+e^-$ .

$$D^0 \rightarrow e^+ \text{ anything} (6,53\%)$$

$$D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \text{ anything} (6,7\%)$$

$$J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^- (5,94\%)$$

$$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- (5,93\%)$$






MC studies with PYTHIA (minimal bias setting) and GEANT, 100 M generated events.

The analysis is performed for volume not covered by detectors (before VD).


The approach to minimize the background contribution for this region can be as follows:

1. To use cut on charge particle energy equal to 1 GeV;
2. To take to reconstruct just events with negative and positive charge particles (trigger selection);
3. To select the events where invariant mass of charge particle (assumed to be muons+/-) is greater than 4 GeV.

4. To select just tracks with XY projection crossing (or close) beam profile.



MB, Invariant mass  $2\mu^{+-}$  &&  $E_{\mu} > 1\text{GeV}$






No background for  $M_{\mu\mu} > 4\text{ GeV}$

The MC studies for volumes in Vertex Detector, Central tracker, ECAL and RS are in progress.

## Twist-2 PDFs of nucleons :














- $f_1$  - *density* of partons in non-polarized (U) nucleon,  $(x, Q^2)$ ;
- $g_1$  - *helicity*, longitudinal polarization of quarks in longitudinally polarized (L) nucleon;
- $h_1$  - *transversity*, transverse polarization of quarks in transversely polarized (T) nucleon ;
- $f_{1T}^\perp$  - *Sivers*, correlation between the transverse polarization of nucleon (transverse spin) and the transverse momentum of non-polarized quarks ;
- $g_{1T}^\perp$  - *worm-gear-T*, correlation between the transverse spin and the longitudinal quark polarization ;
- $h_1^\perp$  - *Boer-Mulders*, distribution of the quark transverse momentum in the non-polarized nucleon ;
- $h_{1L}^\perp$  - *worm-gear-L*, correlation between the longitudinal polarization of the nucleon (longitudinal spin) and the transverse momentum of quarks ;
- $h_{1T}^\perp$  - *pretzelosity*, distribution of the transverse momentum of quarks in the transversely polarized nucleon ;






The more elegant system for hadron and muon detectors of SPD can be constructed using calorimeters. It is suggested recently for the future linear collider. The prototype of the calorimeter module is under the tests. The module includes an electromagnetic and hadron parts. The hadron part consists of the 38 layers of iron (20mm) and scintillator (5mm) plates. The scintillator plate includes 216 tiles of 3x3, 6x6 and 12x12 cm. The light collection is performed with WLS fibers to the silicon PM with 1156 pixels and gain of  $\sim 10^5$ . This type calorimeters can be used both in the barrel and end cup parts of SPD, as well as in trigger system and as internal monitors of the beam polarization.

# Basic twist-2 PDFs of the nucleons in the world experiments

	U	L	T	
U	$f_1$  Number Density		$h_1^\perp$  -  Boer-Mulders	T-odd
L		$g_1$  Helicity	$h_{1L}^\perp$  Worm-gear-L	
T	$f_{1T}^\perp$  -  Sivers	$g_{1T}^\perp$  -  Worm-gear-T	$h_1$  -  Transversity $h_{1T}^\perp$  -  Pretzelosity	chiral-odd

# Basic twist-2 PDFs of the nucleons in the world experiments:

At NICA all basic PDF can be measured in a single experiment



Experiment	CERN, COMP.-II	FAIR, PANDA	FNAL, E-906	SPAS- CHARM	RHIC, STAR	RHIC, PHENIX	NICA, SPD
<b>Mode</b>	<i>Fixed Target</i>	<i>Fixed Target</i>	<i>Fixed Target</i>	<i>Fixed Target</i>	<i>Collider</i>	<i>Collider</i>	<i>Collider</i>
<b>Beam/target</b>	$\pi^- / p$	$anti-p / p$	$\pi^- / p$	$\pi^\pm / pol.p$	$pp$	$pp$	$pp, pd, dd$
<b>Polarization:b/t</b>	$0 / 0.8$	$0 / 0$	$0 / 0$	$0 / 0.5$	$0.5$	$0.5$	$0.9$
<b>Luminosity</b>	$2 \cdot 10^{33}$	$2 \cdot 10^{32}$	$3.5 \cdot 10^{35}$		$5 \cdot 10^{32}$	$5 \cdot 10^{32}$	$10^{32}$
$\sqrt{s}, GeV$	$19$	$6$	$16$	$8$	$200, 500$	$200, 500$	$10-26$
$x_{1(beam)}$ range	$0.1-0.9$	$0.1-0.6$	$0.1-0.9$	$0.1-0.3$	$0.03-1.0$	$0.03-1.0$	$0.1-0.8$
$q_T, GeV$	$0.5 -4.0$	$0.5 -1.5$	$0.5 -3.0$		$1.0 -10.0$	$1.0 -10.0$	$0.5 -6.0$
<b>Lepton pairs,</b>	$\mu-\mu^+$	$\mu-\mu^+$	$\mu-\mu^+$		$\mu-\mu^+$	$\mu-\mu^+$	$\mu-\mu^+, e+e^-$
<b>Data taking</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>&gt;2018</b>	<b>2013</b>		<b>&gt;2016</b>	<b>&gt;2016</b>	<b>&gt;2018</b>
Transversity	NO	NO	NO		YES	YES	YES
Boer-Mulders	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES
Siverts	YES	YES	YES		YES	YES	YES
Pretzelosity	NO	NO	NO		NO	YES	YES
Worm Gear	NO	NO	NO		NO	NO	YES
J/ $\Psi$	YES	YES	NO		NO	NO	YES
Flavour separation	NO	NO	YES		NO	NO	YES
Direct $\gamma$ (Gluons)	NO	NO	NO		YES	YES	YES <sup>12</sup>