

### Ruben Conceição

for the Pierre Auger Collaboration







LHC days, Split, September 23<sup>rd</sup> 2016

#### Cosmic ray energy spectrum



#### Cosmic ray energy spectrum



#### Cosmic ray energy spectrum



- Opportunity to understand highenergy Universe
  - Production (sources; acceleration mechanisms...)
  - Propagation (Magnetic fields...)
- Opportunity to test particle physics at energies above the LHC
  - High-energy interactions
    - E = 10<sup>19</sup> eV => sqrt(s) ~ 130 TeV
  - Different kinematic regimes
    - E<sub>beam</sub> up to 10<sup>8</sup> TeV

1 km<sup>-2</sup> century<sup>-1</sup>

3 TeV

### **Pierre Auger Observatory**



### **Pierre Auger Observatory**





• ~ 1600 Surface Detector (SD) Stations

1.5 km spacing

• 3000 km<sup>2</sup>

#### Low energy extension

- Aim to  $E \approx 10^{17} \text{ eV}$
- AMIGA
  - Denser array plus muon detectors
- HEAT
  - 3 additional FD telescopes with a high elevation FoV

# **Pierre Auger Collaboration**

#### 16 countries, ≈ 90 institutions, ≈ 500 authors



# What is measured?



# What is measured?



# What is measured?

μ

### • Inclined events

Fluorescence Detector

- Measure directly muons at ground
- Muon Production Depth (MPD)
  - Use arrival time at ground plus shower geometry to reconstruct the muon production profile

e.m.



# Hybrid technique advantages

- Calibration of SD with FD
  - FD provides a quasicalorimetric energy measurement
- Improve geometry reconstruction
  - For hybrid events
- Different insights of the shower
  - Access different shower components
  - Test shower consistency



### **Pierre Auger Observatory Results**

A small selection of the observatory results

# **UHECRs Energy Spectrum**

I. Valiño for the Pierre Auger Coll., Proc. 34th ICRC (2015)



# **UHECRs Energy Spectrum**

#### **GZK effect**



### Two possible scenarios

#### Pure proton or Fe nuclei at source

#### Cutoff caused by GZK or photodisintegration

#### Mixed composition at source

### Cutoff caused by source energy exhaustion



### The UHECR composition is essential to understand the spectrum features cause

### **Nature of UHECRs**

# Depth of the shower maximum

#### Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 12, 122006



- Interpretation of the X<sub>max</sub> distribution in terms of mass composition
  - Proton showers have in average deeper X<sub>max</sub> than iron induced showers
  - X<sub>max</sub> fluctuates more for proton induced showers

# **Mass composition interpretation**

#### Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 12, 122006



- Interpretation of the X<sub>max</sub> distribution in terms of mass composition
  - Depends on the performance of hadronic interaction models
    - Mostly proton at low energies
    - Intermediate mass states at the highest available energies
    - Nearly no iron



# Particle physics related measurements



## **Proton-air cross-section**

#### R. Ulrich for the Pierre Auger Coll., Proc 34<sup>th</sup> ICRC (2015)

- X<sub>max</sub> distribution tail is sensitive to the primary cross-section
- If there is enough proton it is possible to measure the p-air cross-section at very high energies





# **Proton-air cross-section**

#### R. Ulrich for the Pierre Auger Coll., Proc 34<sup>th</sup> ICRC (2015)

- X<sub>max</sub> distribution tail is sensitive to the primary cross-section
- If there is enough proton it is possible to measure the p-air cross-section at very high energies
- Measurement performed at:
  - $E = 10^{17.90}, 10^{18.22} \,\mathrm{eV}$
  - − √s = 38.7, 55.5 TeV
- Using Glauber theory is possible to translate this result into p-p cross-section



## **Muon content in air showers**

- Muon EAS content is directly related with the hadronic shower component
- Through inclined showers is possible to measure directly the muon content (R<sub>µ</sub>) in the SD
  - Electromagnetic shower
     component gets attenuated





Phys.Rev. D91 (2015) 3, 032003



## **Muon content in air showers**

#### Phys.Rev. D91 (2015) 3, 032003



- Mean muon number compatible with iron showers within systematic uncertainties
- Combination of the  $R_{\mu}$  with  $X_{max}$  shows tension between data and all hadronic interaction models

# **Explore hybrid events**

 Combined fit of energy scale (R<sub>E</sub>) and hadronic component rescaling (R<sub>had</sub>)

 $S_{
m resc}(R_E,R_{
m had})_{i,j}\equiv R_E\;S_{EM,i,j}\!+\!R_{
m had}\;R_E^{lpha}\;S_{
m had,i,j}$ 

- Findings:
  - No need for an energy rescaling
  - Hadronic signal in data is significantly larger with respect to simulations

Model	$R_E$	$R_{ m had}$
QII-04 p	$1.09 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.09$	$1.59 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.09$
QII-04 Mixed	$1.00 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.11$	$1.61 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.11$
EPOS p	$1.04 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.08$	$1.45 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.08$
EPOS Mixed	$1.00 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.08$	$1.33 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.09$

#### Accepted in Phys. Rev. Lett.



## **Muon Production Depth**

#### Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 1, 012012

Muon Production Depth  $\langle X^{\mu}_{max} \rangle [g/cm^2]$ protor Sensitive to composition Mean  $X_{max}$  and  $X^{\mu}_{max}$  should give 550 the same average mass 500 composition 450 iron EPOS-LHC fails to provide a consistent solution 400  $2 \times 10^{19}$ •  $X_{max}^{\mu}$ In(A) ▲ X<sub>max</sub> QGSJetll-04 Fe An Fe (InA) Fe 2.6 Ν He 0.7

10<sup>19</sup>

E [eV]

**10**<sup>18</sup>

р

10<sup>20</sup>

**10**<sup>18</sup>

р



10<sup>19</sup>

E [eV]

20

10<sup>20</sup>

# **Testing exotic scenarios**

#### Accepted in Phys. Rev. D, arXiv:1609.04451

- Put the strongest limits on the existence of ultrarelativistic magnetic monopoles
  - Test on fundamental
     particle physics exotic
     scenarios
    - Relics of phase transitions in the early universe
  - MM produce air showers with a distinct signature from standard ones
    - $E_{mon} \approx 10^{25} \,\mathrm{eV}$
    - $M_{mon} \in [10^{11}; 10^{16}] \,\mathrm{eV/c^2}$



# The future of the Observatory

#### Fraction of Cherenkov tanks in operation



- Observatory is running smoothly and its operation was approved until 2025
- Upgrade to measure separately the e.m. and muonic shower component at the ground





# The future of the Observatory

### • Auger PRIME

- "Primary cosmic Ray Identification through Muons and Electrons"
- Two complementary detectors:
  - Scintillator on top of the tank: signal dominated by e.m. component
  - WCD sensitive to e.m. + muons

### — The goal:

- Enhance primary identification
- Improve shower description
- Reduce systematic uncertainties





# Summary

- UHECRs measured at Pierre Auger Observatory
  - Opportunity to study the high-energy Universe and Particle Physics at the highest energies
- Pierre Auger Observatory has delivered many important results
  - GZK-like suppression established
  - Complex primary mass composition scenarios
  - Current hadronic interaction models not able to describe consistently the air shower observables
- Upgrade: Auger PRIME
  - Measure independently the e.m. and muonic component at ground



### **Pierre Auger Observatory**

A big observatory with a huge physics discovery potential

## Acknowledgments









### **BACKUP SLIDES**

### **Neutrino and photon limits**

#### C. Bleve for the Pierre Auger Coll., Proc 34<sup>th</sup> ICRC (2015)



**Neutrino limits** 

#### **Photon limits**



### **Combined spectrum + comp fits**



A. di Matteo for the Pierre Auger Coll., Proc 34<sup>th</sup> ICRC (2015)

## **Hybrid Technique**



# **Depth of Shower Maximum (X<sub>max</sub>)**

#### Phys.Rev. D90 (2014) 12, 122005



- Average X<sub>max</sub> and its RMS consistent with a lighter(heavier) composition at lower(higher) energies
- Change on elongation rate around log(E/eV) = 18.2

### **Muon content in air showers**

#### Phys.Rev. D91 (2015) 3, 032003



Combination of the number of muons  $R_{\mu}$  with  $X_{max}$  reveals tension between data and all hadronic interaction models