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The FCC design study

A conceptual design for accelerators and detectors

- Different requirements ee vs pp
- Support physics and detector studies
  - Detector concepts: Moving targets
  - Both fast and full simulation essential
- Challenging backgrounds
  (computing and physics-wise):
  - Pile-up for pp: 1000 events
  - Beam-background for ee

One software stack to support them all
Contrasting with the LHC experiment approach

Each experiment has dedicated software:

- Effort duplication
- LHCb and ATLAS started to collaborate
  - Gaudi as underlying framework
  - Also used in FCC software

Is there a fundamental reason not to collaborate and share?

We decided to try!

*too many to list them all
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- Physics analysis
  - Allow use outside of framework
  - Python flexibility & C++ performance
Event data model

Reviewing existing experiment solutions:

- Most: Complex inheritance and polymorphism
  - Problematic: data layout becomes more important
- Users: Not comfortable using them
  - Final analysis: Uses ntuples (and python)

Decided to invest here:
Plain Old Data Input Output (PODIO)

- Focus on reusability
  - All code generated: Data types described in yaml
  - Interest expressed by LHCb and ILC
- Designed with parallelism in mind
- Python and C++ supporting EDM on equal footing
The Analysis Front-End

Python-based analysis: **HEPPY**

- Highly configurable, encourages code reuse
- Includes PAPAS simulation
  - Parametric simulation + particle flow reco (used in FCC-ee)
- Python: Testing ideas and prototyping algorithms
- Gradual port of code from Python to C++
  - Ported functionality still available via ROOT-based bindings

Balancing development agility vs. code performance
A Common Tracking Software

Extracting ATLAS tracking software into a standalone toolkit:

- Simplified geometry description optimised for tracking
  - Plugins available for ATLAS, DD4hep and TGeo
- Internally use simple EDM
- All necessary tools included
- Addon: Alternative fast simulation of inner detector
- Completely independent of experiment software

Solution that offers all functionality that is needed.
Generation and Simulation

Based on LHCb’s Gauss package:

- Event generation and simulation
- Based on Gaudi for event processing
- Independent of EDM: Uses HepMC

Extract the core: Gaussino

- Generation infrastructure: ~taken as is
- Simulation: decided to re-design
  - Take the opportunity to simplify (also needed for multi-threading)
  - Integrated fast and full simulation with Geant 4
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Conclusion

Is it possible to keep software experiment independent? Yes

- Met with enthusiasm from the community
  - Developers are happy if their code is reused
- Only a small additional step needed to achieve it

Many good solutions out there

- Cherry pick solutions that fit

Identify areas without obvious candidates

- Invest and give back: Event data model, HEPPY
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