Geographically Distributed Software Defined Storage (proposal)

Sergey Khoruzhnikov,, Vladimir Grudinin,, Oleg Sadov,, Andrey Shevel,,, Arsen Kairkanov,, Oleg Lazo,, Anatoly Oreshkin,

22nd International Conference on Computing in High Energy and Nuclear Physics, hosted by SLAC and LBNL, Fall 2016

Andrey Y Shevel Presenter:



ITMO University, S.Petersburg (Russia)



RTT => 5 msec).

National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute" PETERSBURG NUCLEAR PHYSICS INSTITUTE

Oct 2016

Storage

Oct 2016

Main features of SDS

Software Defined Storage should include: Automation – Simplified management that reduces the cost of maintaining the storage Infrastructure.

•Standard Interfaces – APIs for the management, provisioning and maintenance of storage devices and services.

 Virtualized Data Path – Block, File and Object interfaces that support applications written to these interfaces.

•Scalability - Seamless ability to scale the storage infrastructure without disruption to availability or performance.

Oct 2016

Technical details of GDSDS

· Important features:

- http://www.iter.org - International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (coming)

http://www.cta-observatory.org - CTA — The Cherenkov Telescope Array

Many other aspects of big data: https://www.nist.gov/el/cyber-physical-systems/big-data-pwg

Marginal remark: total volume of data in the World grows two times an year, i.e. around 75% of

Scientific sources of Big Data

~10 PB/year

data were written last two years.

Oct 2016

Scientific experimental installations

http://www.lsst.org - Large Synoptic Survey Telescope

https://www.skatelescope.org/
Square Kilometre Array

~20+ PB/year (each site)

~300-1500 PB/year

http://www.cern.ch — CERN, http://www.fair-center.eu - FAIR,

- Data storing and Data transfer
 - Reliability: data replication, erasure coding.
 - Reduce the volume: Data compression.
- Security: Data encryption, ACL.
- GDSDS Web portal and GDSDS CLI.
- Network architecture.
- · Caching, Tiering.
- Automatic storage deployment by user request.

Oct 2016

Network aspects on GDSDS

Box, Adrive, Amazon, DDN Storage, ...

scientific research and education?

- First of all we have to keep in mind the CAP theorem:
 - Theoretically NOT possible to guarantee all below requirements at the same time.

All types of storage are distributed (depends on the scale of distribution:

Several of storage systems for science are proposed and many running.

· Which are appropriate solutions for globally distributed data storage in

Obviously we need for software defined solutions.

Commercial companies suggest distributed data storage solutions: Google

(Mesa: GeoReplicated, Near RealTime, Scalable Data Warehousing), Dropbox,

among disk drives, servers in Data Center, or amongst Data Centers (large

- Consistency
- Availability
- Partitioning

Similar (in some aspects) developments

- Project OsiRIS at University of Michigan https://indico.cern.ch/event/466991/contributions/1143627/
- http://eos.cern.ch
- Owncloud.org

Oct 2016

Basic assumptions on GDSDS

· It is assumed

Oct 2016

- GDSDS consists of several groups of storage servers located in geographically
- · Groups of servers are connected by a number of parallel virtual data links.
 - · Data links might have different features: speed, price, encryption type (including quantum encryption), etc.
- Data links are to be configured with SDN.
- Client can ask to perform a number of operations:
 - · Create, Upgrade, Downgrade, Delete, Replicate, Migrate, etc an instance of Virtual Storage allocated on GDSDS. The instance might be created with different SLA
 - Write/Read data to/from the instance of Virtual Storage.

Some details

Oct 2016

- It is supposed that command create Storage Instance might be issued by the user from the SGSDS portal. It is not often required operation.
 - · In result the user has to receive all information about operation completion code and information how to use created Storage
- It is planned for each operation create to create new Instance of storage cluster. Separate Instances are completely independent each other.

Oct 2016

Examples for SLA

- Specific type of Data Encryption.
- Specific type of Data Compression. On one specific Data Center (DC) or on many DCs with specific
- types of Data Links.
- Type of backend: CEPH, SWFT, EOS, etc

Oct 2016

Client 4 Client 3 Virtual Storage 3 Client Client 2 Virtual Storage 2 Virtual storage .data links . **Data Data Center A** data links data links Center C Dala Center B

Development process consideration

Oct 2016

- During implementation GDSDS the project working repository is strongly required (any update or/and installation must be done only with this working repository).
- Update/upgrade the working repository is separate specific activity. Several existing SDS systems might be considered as backend: SWFT,
- CEPH, EOS, commercial instances as well.
 - CEPH is under testing now as backend for the proposal.
 - Tech implementation with docker (under intensive testing now).

Who are developers

- We (volunteers from PNPI and ITMO) are positioning the proposal as open source development.
- It is supposed that any person with interest to such the topic could take participation:
- · Researchers, students.
- · Yes, we are looking for support.

Oct 2016

References

- •Jakob Blomer // Survey of distributed file system technology // ACAT 2014, Prague (in references) Also iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/664/4/042004/pdf
- Why so Sirius? Ceph backed storage at the RAL Tier-1. - https://indico.cem.ch/event/466991/contributions/2136880/contribution.pdf
- Analysis of Six Distributed File Systems HAL-Inria https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00789086/file/a survey of dfs.pdf
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comparison of distributed file systems
- XtreemFS is a fault-tolerant distributed file system for all storage needs
- http://www.xtreemfs.org/ · Software Defined Storage LizardFS is a distributed, scalable, fault-tolerant and highly
- available file system https://lizardfs.com/about-lizardfs/
- Oct 2016