# TPC distortions correction and readiness for 2015 data processing

### PbPb, 245231, 5.5 kHz



DCAR vs  $\phi$  of pos. charged tracks(A)

DCAR vs 
other of neg. charged tracks(A)

□ Strong (up to 5cm) distortions in TPC cluster position leading to

- TPC track loss and shortening
- Distorted extrapolation to vertex ⇒ ITS matching degradation
- □ ~3.5-4 stronger than expected from Run1 extrapolation

See detailed presentation at <u>TB (J.Wiechula)</u> on systematics and possible origins

## Interaction Rate Scan, pp LHC15I



- Bulk distortions as expected for Ar CO<sub>2</sub>
- Excess in the hotspots on the sector edges



### **Outline of the correction method:**

- Reconstruct TPC with large road-widths to not loose TPC clusters attachment
- Match to ITS and TRD/TOF with relaxed tolerances
- Refit ITS-TRD-TOF part and interpolate to TPC as a reference of true track at every pad-row (good alignment is prerequisite!)
- Collect Y, Z differences between **distorted clusters** and **reference** points in sub-volumes (voxels) of TPC
- Extract 3D vector of distortion in every voxel
- Create smooth parameterization (OCDB object) to use for correction during following reconstruction
- Distortions change with time (interaction rate): do this procedure in short time intervals (~20 min)
- Procedure planned for Run3 SCD corrections, developed since October for Run2
- Recently split to 2 alternative implementations

Binning in sector coordinates X, Y/X, Z/X ~190K voxels for TPC acceptance volume



Different approaches to:

- radial distortions extraction
- sector edges description: including dead zones into binning with "smoothing" between the sectors VS

X-dependent Y/X ranges to exclude dead-zones, no attempt to describe distortions w/o data

• smoothing: piece-wise parabolic (from kernel smoothed estimate) with additional smoothing by constraints on bin boundaries

VS

point-by-point kernel smoothing difference in radial distortions extraction



 $\delta Y = \Delta Y - \Delta X \, tg(\varphi)$  $\delta Z = \Delta Z + \Delta X \, tg(\lambda)$ 

We measure Y distortion  $\delta$ Y as a difference between track intersection with pad-row and measured cluster  $\Box$  strong bias due to the X distorions

Same space  $\{x, y, z\}$  point is probed by tracks at different inclinations  $\varphi$  wrt sector axis but with single dip anlge  $\Box$ 

deconvolute real ΔX and ΔY distortions using
 δY dependence on tg(φ) then extract Z distortion

 $\sin(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} (y\sqrt{4/r^2 - c^2} + cx)$  $c = -k B_z q / p_T$ 

(for primary tracks)



Part of volume cannot be covered by the reference ITS-TRD-TOF tracks (PHOS-hole)



ITS sees whole volume but:

- extrapolation precision is bad (>1.5cm) at large R (could be compensated by larger statistics)
- risk of amplification of residual angular misalignments





Different approaches: fill holes by values from neighboring bins (weight dumped with distance) or inter/extrapolalte from good regions

- Reconstruction with loose tolerances leads to many fakes clusters attached to TPC track and to fake matching to ITS, TRD
- Especially bad in low-IR run in the beginning of LHC150 MB trigger was used as kCalibBarrel instead of dedicated "low-multiplicity" trigger (<~600 tracks)</li>
- Partially cured by special procedure to filter fakes by cutting on residuals local smoothness and discrepancy between the ITS and ITS-TRD residuals (Marian)



- Alternative version of outlier tagging:
  - Refit reference track points by circle in Y vs X and line in Z vs S(circular path), cut on
  - the residual between points and fit  $\Rightarrow$  rejects wrong ITS TRD matches
  - Cut on 5σ of Y, Z residuals wrt their short-range (±3 neighbours) moving average ⇒ rejects single fake clusters
  - Cut on RMS (>0.8cm) of residuals wrt their long-range (+-15 neighb) moving average
     ⇒ rejects wrong ITS-TRD matches and TPC track composed of different track pieces



- Kinematics stored in the residual trees corresponds to distorted TPC track: in bad regions pT can be wrong by ~20%
   ⇒ bias in estimate of the track slope at pad-row
   ⇒ bias in X-distortions extraction
- Fit of the reference ITS-TRD track (done for outliers rejection) is also used for more precise estimate of the track momentum and inclination at pad-rows





# Status of distortions correction

#### Low-intensity (30Hz IR) LHC15o run 244918: no SC related distortions



p<sub>T</sub> > 2



Reconstruction with standard settings (relaxed tolerances, CPass0)

Typical high-IR (5kHz) LHC15o run (245231)



Reconstruction with **standard settings (relaxed tolerances, CPass0)** Corrections status as of the **end of February**  no p<sub>T</sub> cut

Typical high-IR (5kHz) LHC15o run (245231)



Reconstruction with standard settings (relaxed tolerances, CPass0) Corrections status as of the end of February 1<sup>st</sup> results from alternative approach no  $p_T$  cut

Typical high-IR (5kHz) LHC15o run (245231)



Reconstruction with **standard settings (relaxed tolerances, CPass0)** Recent results from **alternative approach** 

no p<sub>T</sub> cut

Typical high-IR (5kHz) LHC15o run (245231)



Reconstruction with standard settings (relaxed tolerances, CPass0) Corrections status as of the end of February 1<sup>st</sup> results from alternative approach p<sub>T</sub> > 2

Typical high-IR (5kHz) LHC15o run (245231)

p<sub>T</sub> > 2



Recent results from alternative approach

Main remaining problem: large dispersion of DCA in corrected regions

#### Run2 LHC15o (245231, 5kHz) vs Run1 LHC11h (168511, 2.5kHz)

#### q+,q- all p\_ LHC15o/245231 corr 22/02 09/03 LHC11h/168511 0.5 0.5 <∆Y>, cm <∆Y>, cm Side A Side C 0.4 0.4 ÷ 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.1 0. -0. -0.2-0.2o -0.3-0.3٠. -0.4 -0.4 10 12 16 18 sector 10 12 16 18 sector at low pT we are in similar situation as in Run1, except some regions + larger RMS 1.8 1.8 <σY>, cm <a∀>, cm 1.6 1.6 1.4 1 1.2 1.2 А o 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 10 12 14 16 18 sector 10 12 16 18 sector Run 1 with standard CPass0/Cpass1 calibration (\*) Corrections status as of the end of February (same as on previous slide) 1<sup>st</sup> results (already obsolete) from alternative approach

\* old reconstruction is done with additional errors on the clusters, to mask effect of residual miscalibrations

no  $p_{T}$  cut

#### Run2 LHC15o (245231, 5kHz) vs Run1 LHC11h (168511, 2.5kHz)



\* old reconstruction is done with additional errors on the clusters, to mask effect of residual miscalibrations

p<sub>T</sub> > 2

- The reason of large residual dispersion of DCA around 0 in regions with large correction is not yet fully understood.
- Suspicion of bad description of X-distortions (not measured directly) since they show largest variance between smoothed and (jumpy) point-to-point values
- Checks and closure tests are in progress...



Accounting for the time evolution of distortions within the run

See presentation by Marian

Alternatives (orthogonal):

- Keep in memory correction maps for 2 nearest time bins (~20min each) and for every event interpolate between them.
  - □ currently implemented in the code, but ignores local IR fluctuations
- Rescale correction value by the ratio of instantaneous and average (over time-bin) luminosities

□ more precise but requires reliable luminosity estimate (on ~100 ms scale, studied by Marian)

□ rescaling should be applied only to SC-related distortions, e.g. difference between run specific map and "reference" low-IR map (ExB, misalignments effect)





### Accounting for fluctuations and residual distortions



Fraction of clusters assigned large errors is a few %: only 5% of clusters have distortions >4mm (in Y) What we cannot correct (currently)

- Kink in distortions C-side distortions at ~5cm from the CE
   ⇒ apparently causing the "small-radius charging-up" distortions seen in Run1.
- With 0.1 binning in Z/X the 1<sup>st</sup> bin at small radii covers -9<Z<0, i.e. fully includes the kink
   ⇒ would need 2-3 extra bins in Z/X to describe the kink</li>
- Alternative: assign large errors in affected region
- It is kind of distortion breaks scalability of maps with IR, since reaches its maximum in the end of the fill (was seen in Run1, to be verified in Run 2)







1<sup>st</sup> test of masking the C-side kink by 1.5 exp{
$$-\frac{|r-85|}{5} - \left(\frac{z+5}{2.5}\right)^2/2$$
}

+ applying 100% of run-distortions – reference (low-IR) distortion as a syst. error did not show good result compared to previous test with just using 30% of distortions as syst. error



After solving current technical problems:

To be exercised before LHC150 production:

- Full chain of multiple time-bins processing, collecting the outputs of multiple jobs in single distortions correction OCDB object (Chiara)
- Test reconstruction of full high-IR run with multiple time-bins (>1h coverage)