

LHCb Results on Central Exclusive Production

Tomasz Szumlak

on behalf of the LHCb Collaboration

AGH-University of Science and Technology

QCD@LHC 2016

22 - 16/08/2016, Zurich, Switzerland





20.

Outline

- Central Exclusive Production
- How to catch a CEP event The gap
- □ Story so far and the latest results
- **Given Setup Setup**
- **G** Summary



Central Exclusive Production @LHCb

CEP – definition



Central exclusive production can be denoted qualitatively as follows

 $h_1(p_1) + h_2(p_2) \rightarrow h_1(p_1') \bigoplus \mathbf{X} \bigoplus h_2(p_2')$

- Interacting hadrons do not undergo any "catastrophic process" (such dissociation) instead they interact via exchanging a colourless object and remain intact
- However, they lose energy in order to produce the final system X that can be observed in the detector
- □ "⊕" denotes symbolically the rapidity gaps
 - Only the central system is produced, apart from that there should be no activity otherwise, thus, exclusive process
- In principle four-momenta of the scattered hadrons (protons) can be measured by very forward detectors
- In case of the LHCb both protons remain un-tagged



Central Exclusive Production @LHCb

• Exclusive processes are at the heart of QCD

May help improve understanding of soft scale (nonperturbative) QCD

Actually almost all interesting things happen here (composite hadrons we observe)

- Studying **pomeron** interactions
- Search for oderon
- Improve knowledge on low-x behavior of parton PDF
 - $\hfill\square$ Sharp rise of gluon PDF for decreasing ${\boldsymbol x}$
 - LHCb is sensitive to the gluon PDF down to $\mathbf{x} \sim 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$
 - Studying saturation (BFKL evolution)
 - \square Help understand in general processes such gg \rightarrow X (gg \rightarrow H)



Introduction – LHCb detector

- □ LHCb is dedicated for studying heavy quark flavour physics
- **\Box** It is a single arm forward spectrometer (2 < η < 5)
- □ Excellent tracking capabilities provided by:
 - □ Vertex detector VELO
 - Upstream and downstream tracking stations
 - □ 4 Tm warm dipole magnet
- □ Particle identification done by:
 - □ RICH detectors
 - Calorimeters
 - Muon stations
- □ Partial information from calorimeters and muon system contribute to **L0 trigger** (hardware) that works at LHC clock **40 MHz**
- □ Full detector readout at 1 MHz





Introduction – LHCb detector

- Tracking system precise momentum reconstruction, vertexing, decay time resolution
- Excellent PID using RICH detectors (cover different momentum range), calorimeters and muon chambers in concert



Introduction – LHCb detector

- Tracking system precise momentum reconstruction, vertexing, decay time resolution
- Excellent PID using RICH detectors (cover different momentum range), calorimeters and muon chambers in concert



[JINST 3 (2008) S08005]

The Gap...





8

The LHCb Gap explorers: VELO

Ε

10

2

E

10

Ζ





~1 m

• VErtex LOcator (VELO)

- B4 micro-strip silicon sensors close to the IR
- $\hfill{$\mbox{$\scriptstyle $^{$}$}$}$ Precise track and vertex reconstruction
- $\ensuremath{\scriptstyle \text{\tiny D}}$ The best single hit spatial resolution at LHC
- Allows for backward track reconstruction
- No momentum information for backward tracks





The LHCb Gap explorers: Herschel



High Rapidity Shower Counters for LHCb

- □ 5 stations containing 4 scintillators with PMT
- Used to detect particle showers coming from the primary vertices (PVs)





The LHCb Gap explorers: Herschel





- □ Stations are instrumented with a pneumatic motion system
- □ Can be retracted and parked when no data taking
- □ Installed and integrated into the LHCb DAQ at the end of 2014



CEP with di-muon final state



Elastic scattering with intact and un-tagged protons

Two muons (a gamma) and rapidity gaps
Proceed via exchange of colourless objects (γ, pomeron)
Studied in detail by theorists

 LPAIR (A.G Shamov and V.I Telnov, NIM A, 494 (2002), 51)
STARlight (S.R. Klein and J. Nystrand, Phys. Rev. Lett., 92 (2004), 142003)
SuperChiC (L.A. Harland-Lang, V.A. Khoze, M.G. Ryskin and W.J. Stirling, Eur. Phys. J. C, 65 (2010), 433)



CEP analyses for Run I data samples

\Box Central Exclusive Production of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$

Data-taking year	Energy	Integrated Luminosity	Paper
2010	7 TeV	37pb-1	JPG 40 (2013) 045001
2011	7 TeV	930pb ⁻¹	JPG 41 (2014) 055002

\Box Central Exclusive Production of $\Upsilon(nS)$

Data-taking year	Energy	Integrated Luminosity	Paper
2011	7 TeV	945 pb ⁻¹	JHEP 09 (2015) 084
2012	8 TeV	1985 pb⁻¹	

Double Charmonia Production

Data-taking year	Energy	Integrated Luminosity	Paper
2011	7 TeV	945 pb ⁻¹	JPG 40 (2013) 045001
2012	8 TeV	1985 pb ⁻¹	



Signal selection

Low level (hardware) trigger L0

□ a muon candidate, $p_T > 400$ MeV □ a di-muon candidate, $p_T > 80$ MeV (each track)

Software HLT (High Level Trigger)

□ a di-muon candidate $p_T < 800$ MeV □ M(di-muon) > 2.7 GeV

Offline selection

both muons within LHCb acceptance (2.0 < η < 4.5)
no photons, no backward tracks (VELO veto)
mass window for a di-muon ΔM = 65 MeV around expected J/ψ or ψ(2S) mass



Selected signal (2011)



Model of the mass spectra
Signal peak – Crystal-Ball function
Background – exponential

• Observed events

 $_{\text{\tiny D}}$ **55895** exclusive J/ ψ and **1565** exclusive $\psi(\text{2S})$ – **2011**

J. Phys. G 41 (2014) 055002

Cross-section measurement

Differential cross-section times branching fraction

to two muons with pseudorapidity defined by the LHCb angular acceptance





Purity estimation: feed-down component

 The source of this background is exclusive production of other mesons

 $_{\scriptscriptstyle D}$ Mainly problem for selecting J/ ψ events

□ Decays $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi X$, where X is not detected

Can be significantly suppressed by hard 2 track only cut
Estimated using STARlight model
(2.5 ± 0.2) % of selected J/ψ events

 $_{\rm D}$ Radiative decays $\chi_c \to J/\psi~\gamma,$ where γ goes undetected

Suppressed by requiring no photons
Estimated using SuperChiC model
(7.6 ± 0.9) % of selected J/ψ events

 $_{\circ}$ Feed-down to $\psi(2S)$ is expected to be small - coming from **X(3872)**

• Results based on studies involving photon veto in selection algorithm gave (2.0 \pm 0.2) % of selected ψ (2S) events



Purity estimation: inelastic scattering

This is the dominant background



 $_{\scriptscriptstyle \rm D}$ In general harder p_T spectrum of produced J/ ψ is expected

Was estimated using collision data (e.g., 2011 sample)

• (34 ± 6) % for J/ ψ events • Similar estimate is assumed for ψ (2S)

• Overall purities:

(59.2 ± 1.2) % for J/ψ events
(52.0 ± 7.0) % for ψ(2S) events
p_T < 0.8 GeV²



Run I results: $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and $\psi(2S) \rightarrow \mu\mu$ production

Integrated cross-sections

$$\sigma_{I/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-}(2.0 \le \eta(\mu^{\pm}) < 4.5) = 291.0 \pm 7(\text{stat}) \pm 19(\text{sys}) \text{ pb}$$

$$\sigma_{\psi(2S) \to \mu^+ \mu^-}(2.0 \le \eta(\mu^{\pm}) < 4.5) = 6.7 \pm 0.9(\text{stat}) \pm 0.4(\text{sys}) \text{ pb}$$

Differential cross-sections



Run I results $\Upsilon(nS)$ **production**

- □ Also, more recently LHCb performed first observation of $\Upsilon(nS)$ via CEP processes ($\mathcal{L}_{int} = 2.9 \text{ pb}^{-1}$)
- □ $\Upsilon(nS)$ were reconstructed using di-muon decay channel and kinematical range defined by $2 \le y(\Upsilon) < 4.5$ and $2 \le \eta(\mu^{\pm}) < 4.5$
- In case of $\Upsilon(3S)$, due to significant $\eta_b(3P) \rightarrow \Upsilon(3S) + \gamma$ contamination, only the upper limit for the production cross-section determined

 $\begin{array}{lll} \sigma(pp \rightarrow p\Upsilon(1S)p) &=& 9.0 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.7 \ \mathrm{pb} \\ \sigma(pp \rightarrow p\Upsilon(2S)p) &=& 1.3 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.3 \ \mathrm{pb} \end{array}$



New results from Run II @13 TeV (2015)

- New analysis using 200 pb^{-1} of data recorded at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$
- Selection of candidates:
 - two muons with $\eta \in (2, 4.5)$
 - No additional activity in the detectors (tracks, Energy deposites)
 - \square Di-muon mass, $m_{\mu\mu}$, within 65 MeV of $m_{J/\psi}$
 - Herschel VETO (NEW!)





New results from Run II @13 TeV - background



[LHCb-CONF-2016-007-001]

New results from Run II @13 TeV – corss sections

Integrated cross-sections

$$\sigma_{J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-}(2.0 \le \eta(\mu^{\pm}) < 4.5) = 411.0 \pm 16(\text{stat}) \pm 21(\text{sys}) \pm 16 \text{ pb}$$

$$\sigma_{\psi(2S) \to \mu^+ \mu^-}(2.0 \le \eta(\mu^{\pm}) < 4.5) = 9.4 \pm 1.3(\text{stat}) \pm 0.5(\text{sys}) \pm 0.4\text{pb}$$

Differential cross-sections



23

[LHCb-CONF-2016-007-001]

Comparison with other experiments

• The LHCb measurement can be related to photoproduction using:



 Twofold ambiguity for LHCb – for each rapidity bin we have two solutions (see next slide) for photon-proton c.m. energy



Comparison with other experiments

• The LHCb measurement can be related to photoproduction using:



Obtained results are compatible with the HERA data!
Some deviation from simple power law prediction (Regge theory) seen

• this may be explained either by saturation or higher order diagrams

Future analyses

- Great interest in studying χ_c production
- Go beyond J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ and look for $\phi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ decay
- Use new, improved trigger to look at hadronic modes
- Herschel can be used to describe proton dissociation
- New tools (based on the VELO information) to enhance the VETO decision
- Low mass spectroscopy using pion and kaon final states
- Exotics modes
- Use ion run data to study CEP



Summary

□ CEP processes became an important part of the LHCb physics programme

- Published results using Run I data and muon final states
- □ Enhanced trigger and Herschel give a very good prospects for new great results with Run II data
- □ First results using Herschel@LHCb for CEP J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ presented
- □ RUN II just begun! More exciting results ahead of us!



Cross section measurement

Comparison with theoretical predictions – good agreement!

- INLO describes data better than LO based predictions
- $_{\rm D}$ better description for J/ Ψ than for $\Psi(2S)$
- uncertainties are highly correlated between the bins



J/ψ

Ψ(2S)

Phys. Rev. C84 (2011) 011902 , JHEP 1311 (2013) 085 Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 014023, Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 094014 Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 142003, Eur. Phys. J. C65 (2010) 433

Central Exclusive Production - motivation

• Exclusive processes are very important probes for testing QCD

• Studying **pomeron-** γ (photo-production) interactions

At leading order it can be interpreted as a pair of gluons

- Probes gluon P.D.F. at small fraction, x, of proton momentum
- For kinematical reach of the LHCb $\mathbf{x} \approx 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$
- For higher mass final state X perturbative calculations viable

Double pomeron exchange (pomeron-pomeron fusion)

Final state must be neutral – no open flavour

- $_{\text{\tiny D}}$ Help understand in general processes such gg \rightarrow X (gg \rightarrow H)
- For low mass final state mainly spectroscopy studies
- In For higher masses testing QCD and pomeron structure



RUN II LHCb Trigger

