



Joany Manjarrés on behalf of the ATLAS and CMS collaborations

A REPORT REPORT AND A REPORT OF THE REPORT OF A REPORT OF A









Recent Tests of the Standard Model in Multiboson final states

May 17, 2017

Standard Model landscape







Outline : SM Electroweak landscape







Common signatures and backgrounds

- Measurement of (multi)-boson processes involving combinations of W, Z and photons
- Focus on well-known and <u>recent</u> results of fully leptonic and semileptonic decays

Common signatures:

- ▶ High-p_T isolated electrons, muons and/or photons
- When a $W \rightarrow \ell v$ or $Z \rightarrow v \bar{v}$ decay is involved :
 - Large E_T^{miss} cuts to account for the neutrino
- High-p⊤ jets

Common backgrounds:

- Diboson processes can be backgrounds to each other \rightarrow estimated mainly from MC
- Lepton(s) from heavy flavor decays
- Jet mis-identified as an electron or a photon
- Bad E_T^{miss} reconstruction

Different data driven methods and control region definitions depend on the analysis.

use data driven methods



4

Dibosons

| Introduction | |
|--------------|--|
| Dibosons | Ducuide e d'us stats stats (de substances de substances) |
| WW | Provides direct test of the electroweak sector o |
| WZ | Measurement of the fiducial, total and differ kinematic variables) |
| ZZ | Sensitive to new physics in the EW sector |
| Tribosons | Limits on anomalous Triple and Quartic Ga |
| VBS | and aQGC) |
| Wjj | Irreducible background to Higgs and beyond-S |
| Ζγ | Test NLO EW corrections and of QCD calculation |
| ZZjj | |
| aGCs | |
| | |

Summary



rential cross sections (few

auge Couplings (aTGC

SM searches

ions (NNLO)







ZZ production cross section

- driven techniques



CMS: CMS-PAS-SMP-16-017 ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2017-031

CMS: CMS-PAS-SMP-16-019



ZZ production cross section

- Differential cross section measured in many observables, most for the first time e.g. Jet kinematics (highest pT jet), single lepton kinematics, dilepton kinematic
- Jet multiplicity results from ATLAS and CMS



CMS cross section as a function of the jet multiplicity

| Number of jets ($ \eta^{\text{jet}} < 4.7$) | F |
|--|--------------|
| 0 | 28.3 ± 1 |
| 1 | 8.1 ±0. |
| 2 | $3.0\pm0.$ |
| \geq 3 | 1 |
| | |









WW and WZ semileptonic

- Why measuring semi-leptonic when we have full leptonic?
 - Branching fraction six times higher compared to fully-leptonic channel
- Possible to probe higher $pt(V) \rightarrow more sensitive to aTGC$ ⊳

Selection requires : Resolved Isolated high pT lepton High E_T^{miss} W/Z≪ Either 2 resolved jets or one boosted GeV 4000 ATLAS Preliminary + Data 12000 $WV \rightarrow h \nu j j$ ß WV \s = 8 TeV, 20.2 fb⁻¹ V+Jets Events 0000 Signal Region Z/WTop quark Multijet 8000 6000 4000 Resolved 2000 Data-Bkg Bkg ₽0.05 -0.05 160 180 200 m_{jj} [GeV] 40 60 80 120 140 100

> ATLAS : <u>STDM-2015-23</u> CMS : <u>SMP-13-008</u>







Dibosons production at the LHC



Almost all recent measurements are limited by systematic uncertainties

Generally good agreement between measurement and theory

NNLO QCD improves agreement substantially in some cases

New NNLO calculations for WZ (arXiv:1604.08576) and Vγ (arXiv:1504.01330)





Tribosons

| VBF, VBS, | and | Triboson | Cross | Se |
|-----------|-----|----------|-------|----|
|-----------|-----|----------|-------|----|

| | | | <u></u> |
|--------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| Introduction | $Wjj \in WK (M(jj) > 1 \text{ TeV})$ | $\sigma = 43.5 \pm 6 \pm 9$ fb (data) Powheg+Pythia8 NLO (theory) | ATLAS Prelimi |
| Dibosons | -M(jj) > 500 GeV | $\sigma = 159 \pm 10 \pm 26$ fb (data) Powheg+Pythia8 NLO (theory) | Run 1 $\sqrt{s} = 7,8^{-1}$ |
| DIROCOTIO | | $\sigma = 144 \pm 23 \pm 26 \text{ fb} \text{ (data)} \\ \text{Powheg+Pythia8 NLO (theory)}$ | |
| WW | <i>Zjj</i> EWK | $\sigma = 10.7 \pm 0.9 \pm 1.9$ fb (data) PowhegBox (NLO) (theory) | |
| \//7 | $Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell\ell\gamma\gamma$ | σ = 5.07 + 0.73 - 0.68 + 0.42 - 0.39 fb (data MCFM NLO (theory) |) |
| V V ∠ | $-[n_{jet}=0]$ | $\sigma = 3.48 + 0.61 - 0.56 + 0.3 - 0.26$ fb (data) MCFM NLO (theory) | |
| ZZ | $W\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \ell \nu \gamma \gamma$ | $\sigma = 6.1 + 1.1 - 1 \pm 1.2$ fb (data) MCFM NLO (theory) | |
| | $-[n_{jet}=0]$ | $\sigma = 2.9 + 0.8 - 0.7 + 1 - 0.9$ fb (data) MCFM NLO (theory) | |
| Iribosons | $WW\gamma \rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu\gamma$ | VBFNLO+CT14 (NLO) (theory) | |
| VBS | WWW→ℓvℓvjj | $\sigma = 0.24 + 0.39 - 0.33 \pm 0.19$ fb (data) Madgraph5 + aMCNLO (theory) | |
| | $WWW \rightarrow \ell \nu \ell \nu \ell \nu$ | $\sigma = 0.31 + 0.35 - 0.33 + 0.32 - 0.35$ fb (data Madgraph5 + aMCNLO (theory) |) |
| Wjj | $\gamma\gamma \to WW$ | $\sigma = 6.9 \pm 2.2 \pm 1.4$ fb (data) HERWIG++ (theory) | |
| $\mathbb{Z}\gamma$ | Hjj EWK, (tot.) | σ = 2.43 + 0.5 - 0.49 + 0.33 - 0.26 pb (data) LHC-HXSWG YR4 (theory) | |
| | $-H(\rightarrow WW)jj EWK$ | $\sigma = 0.51 \pm 0.17 - 0.15 \pm 0.13 - 0.08$ pb (data LHC-HXSWG (theory) | |
| | Z <i>γjj</i> EWK | $\sigma = 1.1 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4$ fb (data) VBFNLO (theory) | |
| aGCs | $W^{\pm}W^{\pm}jj$ EWK | $\sigma = 1.5 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.2$ fb (data) PowhegBox (theory) | |
| | <i>WZjj</i> EWK | $\sigma = 0.29 + 0.14 - 0.12 + 0.09 - 0.1$ fb (data) VBFNLO (theory) | |
| Summary | | | 0.0 |







Tribosons : $W\gamma\gamma$ and $Z\gamma\gamma$

- New CMS W(v) $\gamma\gamma$ / Z(II) $\gamma\gamma$ production measurements at 8 TeV
- Zyy (Wyy) signal significance measured to be **5.9σ (2.6σ)**

| Channel | Measured fiducial cross section |
|---|--|
| $W\gamma\gamma ightarrow e^{\pm} \nu\gamma\gamma$ | $4.2\pm2.0(\mathrm{stat})\pm1.6(\mathrm{syst})\pm0.1(\mathrm{lum})$ |
| $W\gamma\gamma ightarrow \mu^{\pm} \nu\gamma\gamma$ | $6.0\pm1.8(\mathrm{stat})\pm2.3(\mathrm{syst})\pm0.2(\mathrm{lum})$ |
| $W\gamma\gamma ightarrow \ell^{\pm} \nu\gamma\gamma$ | $4.9\pm1.4(\mathrm{stat})\pm1.6(\mathrm{syst})\pm0.1(\mathrm{lum})$ |
| $Z\gamma\gamma ightarrow e^+e^-\gamma\gamma$ | $12.5\pm2.1(\mathrm{stat})\pm2.1(\mathrm{syst})\pm0.3(\mathrm{lum})$ |
| $Z\gamma\gamma ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma\gamma$ | $12.8\pm1.8(\mathrm{stat})\pm1.7(\mathrm{syst})\pm0.3(\mathrm{lum})$ |
| $Z\gamma\gamma ightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$ | $12.7\pm1.4(\mathrm{stat})\pm1.8(\mathrm{syst})\pm0.3(\mathrm{lum})$ |
| Channel | Prediction |
| $W\gamma\gamma ightarrow \ell^{\pm} \nu\gamma\gamma$ | $4.8\pm0.5\mathrm{fb}$ |
| $Z\gamma\gamma ightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma\gamma$ | $13.0\pm1.5\mathrm{fb}$ |



ATLAS: <u>arXiv:1604.05232</u> CMS: <u>CMS-SMP-15-008</u>



Joany Manjarré





Tribosons : WW γ and WZ γ

- New ATLAS WWy / WZy production measurement at 8 TeV
 - Fully leptonic final state used for the WWγ (evµv γ only)
 0-jets
 - Semileptonic channel used for the WZγ
- The signal significance in the the evµvγ final state measured to be 1.4σ (1.6σ)



ATLAS: <u>STDM-2016-05</u> CMS: <u>arXiv1404.4619</u>



Joany Manjarrés



12

Electroweak production: Vector Bosons +2jets







Same-sign W bosons pair production in association with 2 jets

- W[±]W[±] has highest EW/QCD ratio
- Clean signature by looking at the fully leptonic final state ($W \rightarrow II I = \mu, e, \tau(\mu, e \text{ decays})$)
- Few other backgrounds WZ and non prompt \rightarrow constrained by control regions
- A two-dimensional fit fusing the mjj and mll



CMS: PAS SMP-17-004



14

ZZjj production in association with 2 jets

- Fully leptonic channel
- Two regions:
 - Mjj > 100 GeV (Zjj region)
 - ▶ Mjj > 400 GeV and $|\Delta \eta_{jj}| > 2.4$ (VBS region)
- BDT used in Zjj region to separate EWK and QCD (variables include mjj, IΔηjjl, mZZ, Zeppenfeld variables of the two Z bosons, event balance R_{pT}^{hard} and others)
- EWK signal significance 2.7σ (exp 1.6 σ)

 $\sigma_{\rm fid.}(\text{EW pp} \rightarrow ZZjj \rightarrow \ell \ell \ell' \ell' jj) = 0.40^{+0.21}_{-0.16}(\text{stat.})^{+0.13}_{-0.09}(\text{syst.}) \,\text{fb}$

prediction 0.29 ± 0.03 fb









Zγ EWK production in association with high mass di-jet system



ATLAS: ATLAS-STDM-2015-21





Zγ EWK production in association with high mass di-jet system





- Fiducial cross section measurement
 - ▶ M_{jj} >400GeV and $\Delta \eta_{jj}$ >2.5

$$1.86^{+0.89}_{-0.75}(stat.)^{+0.41}_{-0.27}(sys.) \pm 0.05(lumi.)$$

LO prediction 1.26 ± 0.11 (scale) ± 0.05 (PDF) fb







Look at beyond the SM physics



 $\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_{d} \sum_{i} \frac{c_i^{(d)}}{\Lambda^{d-4}} \mathcal{O}_i^{(d)}$ dimension $\frac{d}{i}$

m^{wz} [GeV]

Breaking the SM leads to a theory with an effective range of validity

Λ: scale of New Physics

Search for deviations in tails, modified cross sections

- Anomalous couplings manifest themselves as :
 - Enhanced production cross section
 - Modified kinematics distributions





Anomalous gauge couplings

- - on all operators





ATLAS WZ: ATLAS-CONF-2016-043

CMS: CMS-SMP-15-008

CMS: CMS-SMP-13-008





Charged aTGC

- Sensitivity depends on the reach of the channel
- ex: WZ/WW semileptonic resolved and boosted analysis



March 2017

 $\Delta \kappa_{\rm Z}$

LHC limits are already slightly better than LEP limit

ATLAS : <u>STDM-2015-23</u>

| Control | CMS ATLAS | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Fit Value | | Channel | Limits | ∫ <i>L</i> dt | √s |
| | ⊢−−−− 4 | WW | [-4.3e-02, 4.3e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| | | WW | [-2.5e-02, 2.0e-02] | 20.3 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | ▶● | WW | [-6.0e-02, 4.6e-02] | 19.4 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | l1 | WZ | [-1.3e-01, 2.4e-01] | 33.6 fb ⁻¹ | 8,13 |
| | | WZ | [-2.1e-01, 2.5e-01] | 19.6 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | ⊢ −−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−−− | WV | [-9.0e-02, 1.0e-01] | 4.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| | | WV | [-4.3e-02, 3.3e-02] | 5.0 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| | | WV | [-2.3e-02, 3.2e-02] | 19 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | WV | [-4.0e-02, 4.1e-02] | 2.3 fb ⁻¹ | 13 T |
| | | LEP Comb. | [-7.4e-02, 5.1e-02] | 0.7 fb ⁻¹ | 0.20 |
| | | WW | [-6.2e-02, 5.9e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| | | WW | [-1.9e-02, 1.9e-02] | 20.3 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | WW | [-4.8e-02, 4.8e-02] | 4.9 fb ⁻¹ | 7 <u>T</u> e |
| | ⊢●┥ | WW | [-2.4e-02, 2.4e-02] | 19.4 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | WZ | [-4.6e-02, 4.7e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| | H | WZ | [-1.4e-02, 1.3e-02] | 33.6 fb ⁻ | 8,13 |
| | F | WZ | [-1.8e-02, 1.6e-02] | 19.6 fb ⁻ | 8 Te |
| | | WV | [-3.9e-02, 4.0e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻ | <u>7 Te</u> |
| | | WV | [-3.8e-02, 3.0e-02] | 5.0 fb]' | 7 le |
| | , H | WV | [-1.1e-02, 1.1e-02] | 19 fb ⁻ | 8 Te |
| | | WV | [-3.9e-02, 3.9e-02] | 2.3 fb ⁻ | 13 T |
| | | D0 Comb. | [-3.6e-02, 4.4e-02] | 8.6 fb | 1.96 |
| | | LEP Comb. | [-5.9e-02, 1.7e-02] | 0.7 fb ⁻¹ | 0.20 |
| | | WW | [-3.9e-02, 5.2e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻ | / Ie |
| | | WW | [-1.6e-02, 2.7e-02] | 20.3 fb | 8 Ie |
| | | WW | [-9.5e-02, 9.5e-02] | 4.9 fb ⁻¹ | / Ie |
| | | WW | [-4.7e-02, 2.2e-02] | 19.4 fb | 8 Ie |
| | | WZ | [-5.7e-02, 9.3e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻ | / le |
| | | WZ | [-1.5e-02, 3.0e-02] | 33.6 fb | 8,13 |
| | | WZ | [-1.8e-02, 3.5e-02] | 19.6 fb | 8 Ie |
| | | WV | [-5.5e-02, 7.1e-02] | 4.6 fb | 7 le |
| | · • • · | WV | [-8.7e-03, 2.4e-02] | 19 fb ⁻ | 8 le |
| | | WV | [-6.7e-02, 6.6e-02] | 2.3 fb | 13 1 |
| | | D0 Comb. | [-3.4e-02, 8.4e-02] | 8.6 fb | 1.96 |
| | | LEP Comb. | [-5.4e-02, 2.1e-02] | 0.7 fb ⁻ ' | 0.20 |
| | 0 | I | 0.5 | | |
| | 0 | | 0.0 | | |

aTGC Limits @95% C.L.

Joany Manjarrés





Neutral aTGC

- LHC limits for neutral couplings are far stricter than LEP limits
- Large gain in sensitivity with increase of \sqrt{s}



| Coupling strength | Expected 95% CL [$\times 10^{-3}$] | Observed 95% CL [\times | 10 ⁻³] |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| f_4^{γ} | -2.4, 2.4 | -1.8, 1.8 | |
| f_4^Z | -2.1, 2.1 | -1.5, 1.5 | Interest |
| f_5^{γ} | -2.4, 2.4 | -1.8, 1.8 | New: |
| f_5^Z | -2.0, 2.0 | -1.5, 1.5 | |

ATLAS: ATLAS-CONF-2017-031 CMS: CMS-PAS-SMP-16-017

| March 201 | 7 | CMS ATLAS | Channel | Limits | Ĺdt | √s |
|----------------|--------|--|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| εγ | | ATLAS+UMS | ZZ (41,212v) | [-1.5e-02, 1.5e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| T_4 | | — | ZZ (41,212v) | [-3.8e-03, 3.8e-03] | 20.3 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | | ZZ (4I) | [-5.0e-03, 5.0e-03] | 19.6 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ZZ (2 2v) | [-3.6e-03, 3.2e-03] | 24.7 fb ⁻¹ | 7.8 T |
| | 12 Tal | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ZZ (41.212v) | [-3.0e-03, 2.6e-03] | 24.7 fb ⁻¹ | 7.8 T |
| | 13 lev | | ZZ (4I) | [-1.3e-03, 1.3e-03] | 35.9 fb ⁻¹ | 13 Te |
| | | · · · | ZZ (41,212v) | [-1.0e-02, 1.0e-02] | 9.6 fb^{-1} | 7 Te |
| ٤Z | | | ZZ (41,212v) | [-1.3e-02, 1.3e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| T_4^- | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ZZ (41.212v) | [-3.3e-03, 3.2e-03] | 20.3 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | ZZ (4I) | [-4.0e-03, 4.0e-03] | 19.6 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | · | ZZ (2 2v) | [-2.7e-03, 3.2e-03] | 24.7 fb ⁻¹ | 7.8 T |
| | | , international data and international data a | ZZ (41.212v) | [-2.1e-03, 2.6e-03] | 24.7 fb ⁻¹ | 7,8 T |
| | | | ZZ (4I) | [-1.2e-03, 1.1e-03] | 35.9 fb ⁻¹ | 13 Te |
| | · | | ZZ (41,212v) | [-8.7e-03, 9.1e-03] | 9.6fb^{-1} | 7 Te |
| ٤Ŷ | | | ZZ (41,212v) | [-1.6e-02, 1.5e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| 1 ₅ | | ⊢−−−− | ZZ (41,212v) | [-3.8e-03, 3.8e-03] | 20.3 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | ⊢ | ZZ (4I) | [-5.0e-03, 5.0e-03] | 19.6 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | | ZZ(2 2v) | [-3.3e-03, 3.6e-03] | 24.7 fb ⁻¹ | 7,8 T |
| | | i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | ZZ(41,212v) | [-2.6e-03, 2.7e-03] | 24.7 fb ⁻¹ | 7,8 T |
| | | | ZZ (4I) | [-1.2e-03, 1.3e-03] | 35.9 fb ⁻¹ | 13 Te |
| | - | | ZZ (41,212v) | [-1.1e-02, 1.1e-02] | 9.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| ۶Z | | | ZZ (41,212v) | [-1.3e-02, 1.3e-02] | 4.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| 1 ₅ | | H | ZZ (41,212v) | [-3.3e-03, 3.3e-03] | 20.3 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | ⊢−−−−− | ZZ (4I) | [-4.0e-03, 4.0e-03] | 19.6 fb ⁻¹ | 8 Te |
| | | ⊢−−−− | ZZ (2l2v) | [-2.9e-03, 3.0e-03] | 24.7 fb ⁻¹ | 7,8 T |
| | | ⊢ −−−1 | ZZ (41,212v) | [-2.2e-03, 2.3e-03] | 24.7 fb ⁻¹ | 7,8 T |
| | | | ZZ (4I) | [-1.0e-03, 1.2e-03] | 35.9 fb ⁻¹ | 13 Te |
| | | | ZZ (41,212v) | [-9.1e-03, 8.9e-03] | 9.6 fb ⁻¹ | 7 Te |
| | 0.02 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.04 | | 0.06 |
| | | | | aTGC Li | mits @9 | 5% (|





anomalous Quartic Gauge Couplings

- New physics could induce charged and neutral aQGCs
- Constraints are also derived using the dibosons and tribosons channels
 - aQGC fits use a more restrictive phase space with higher S/B but low statistics
- No deviations from the SM! we are eagerly awaiting the first 13 TeV results







Closing remarks

- The SM is more healthy than ever
 - The dibosons are there we started to see the tribosons, the production modes and couplings just ⊳ fit, no sign of crack at the moment...
- 13 TeV data taking will soon be resumed and we have to continue looking!
 - Precision measurements will further challenge theorists for improved/higher-order predictions ≥
 - New physics can be around the corner! The SM measurements and searches will play complementary role in this route

The LHC proton-proton runs have produced exceptional Standard Model results at 7, 8 and 13 TeV







WW and WZ production cross sections



ATLAS WW : <u>arXiv:1702.04519</u> CMS WW: <u>SMP-16-006</u>



ATLAS WZ: <u>ATLAS-CONF-2016-043</u> CMS WZ: <u>PLB 766 (2017)</u>

Joany Manjarrés



