



#### Dislocation patterns in Cu-OFE by EBSD and their relation with BD Advanced studies

Enrique RODRIGUEZ CASTRO (CERN & University of Vigo)





Introduction	Context		
	Aim of the study		
Protocol		Heat treatment	
	Sampling	Tensile test	
		Fatigue Test	
	Characterization method	EBSD (KAM)	
	Tensile test		
		0.2 μm stepsize	Reference
			Fatigue 83% LT
Results			Fatigue 100% LT
<b>NCSURS</b>	Fatigue tested		Summary of results
		Heat treatment Tensile test Fatigue Test EBSD (KAM) 0.2 μm stepsize Reference Fatigue 83% LT Fatigue 83% LT Fatigue 100% LT Summary of results Reference 15 μm stepsize Fatigue 65% LT Summary of results	
			Fatigue 65% LT
			Summary of results
Perspective			
Conclusions	Enrique Rodriguez Castro		2





Introduction	Context		
	Aim of the study		
Protocol		Heat treatment	
	Sampling	Tensile test	
		Fatigue Test	
	Characterization method	EBSD (KAM)	
	Tensile test		
		0.2 um stepsize	Reference
			Fatigue 83% LT
Rosults		0.2 µm stepsize	Fatigue 100% LT
NESUILS	Fatigue tested		Summary of results
	Refe15 μm stepsizeFatigue		Reference
		Fatigue 65% LT	
			Summary of results
Perspective			
Conclusions	Enrique Rodriguez Cas	tro	3





- CLIC have especial interested in understanding BD phenomena
- BDs develop in isolated or clusters of craters in the surface of CLIC-AS
- Dislocations seem to play an important role in the BD phenomena
- Find a non-destructive diagnostic technique which determines dislocation presence, density and patterns.





# Aim of the study

- Two objectives:
- Establishing EBSD as a valid diagnostic *non destructive* technique which determines the presence of dislocations and/or density in Cu-OFE used in CLIC-AS
- 2. Study dislocations role in the locations of FE/BD sites



Floriane LÉAUX, CERN

UN CONTRACTOR MeVArc-5, 03/09/2015

### Aim of the study:

- To study the relation
  - Sample free of dislocation, or as few as possible
  - Sample with dislocations
- To introduce dislocation
  - Tensile test
  - Fatigue test
- To study and quantify the dislocations
  - Non destructive way
  - Large area
  - EBSD

To have sample "free of dislocation" → heat treatment









	Context		
Introduction	Aim of the study		
Protocol		Heat treatment	
	Sampling	Tensile test	
		Fatigue Test	
	Characterization method	EBSD (KAM)	
	Tensile test		
		0.2 um stonsizo	Reference
			Fatigue 83% LT
Results		0.2 μπ stepsize	Fatigue 100% LT
Nesuns	Fatigue tested	15 μm stepsize	Summary of results
			Reference
			Fatigue 65% LT
			Heat treatmentTensile testFatigue TestEBSD (KAM)0.2 μm stepsizeReferenceFatigue 83% LTSummary of results15 μm stepsizeFatigue 65% LTSummary of resultsSummary of results
Perspective			
Conclusions	Enrique Rodriguez Castro		

#### History of samples and sample preparation

- Tensile and fatigued specimens
  - Same Cu-OFE CLIC-AS
  - Heat treated:
    - Same heating cycle as real structures

T1

- No H<sub>2</sub>
- But Ar for cooling









# Fatigue and Tensile tests

- Fatigue
  - Pros:
    - Creation of dislocation structure (ladder type)
  - Cons:
    - Not possible to do it in house at CERN
    - Difficulties to determine the stress and number of cycles (stress control mode)
- Tensile
  - Pros:
    - Easy repeatability
    - Equipment in house
    - Creation of dislocation structures (cell type)
  - Cons:
    - EBSD not successful... yet



TEM image of Cu samples (Mechanical behavior and the evolution of the dislocation structure of copper polycrystal deformed under fatigue-tension and tension fatigue sequential strain paths – W.P. Jia, J.V. Fernandes)



Tensile test

- 35% strain
- 30% strain
- 20% strain
- 0%  $\rightarrow$  reference







- R=0.1
- $\sigma_{Max}$ =180 MPa

- Fracture: 100% of life time = 131700 cycles
- 83% of life time = 109700 cycles
- Reference: 0% of life time = 0 cycles



## Mis-orientation and dislocation







## EBSD study - KAM

- Kernel Average Mis-orientation
  - Can be used as a measure of local grain mis-orientation
  - Generally, higher in grains with higher dislocation density
- Compare every point with neighbor points
  - Assigns a value
  - 3x3 size
  - Comparison:
    - Mis-orientation maps (colour scale)
    - Histogram
- Two different step size
  - 0.2 µm
  - 15 µm



$$KAM_{i} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \Delta \Theta_{ij}, \Delta \Theta_{ij} < \Delta \Theta_{lim}$$





Introduction	Context		
	Aim of the study		
Protocol		Heat treatment	
	Sampling	Tensile test	
		Fatigue Test	
	Characterization method	EBSD (KAM)	
	Tensile test		-
			Reference
		EBSD (KAM) Reference Fatigue 83% LT Fatigue 100% LT Summary of results Reference 15 μm stepsize Fatigue 65% LT	Fatigue 83% LT
Results			Fatigue 100% LT
incounts	Fatigue tested		Summary of results
			Reference
		Heat treatmentTensile testFatigue TestBSD (KAM)Constant of the stepsizeReferenceFatigue 83% LTFatigue 100% LTSummary of resultsReference15 μm stepsizeFatigue 65% LTSummary of resultsSummary of resultsSummary of results	
			Summary of results
Perspective			
Conclusions	Enrique Rodriguez Castro		14



5 4.5

4

3.5

3

2.5

2

1.5

1

0





- It was found that tensile sample are not suitable for our analysis
  - High strain  $\rightarrow$  deformation of Kikuchi bands  $\rightarrow$  difficult to index









- Narrow distribution
- Low KAM values







- Broadening of the distribution
- Shift to higher value
- Higher dispersion







• Broadening of the distribution



=20 µm; GB+Local Misorientation; Step=0.2 µm; Grid400x300 Angle ----F8\_83\_v3 ----F8\_83\_v4 ----F8\_83\_v5 ----F8\_83\_v4 ----F8\_83\_v7

22/03/2017



- Strong broadening of the distribution
- Shift to even higher value





22/03/2017









• Not comparable with previous due higher step size







• Strong broadening of the distribution





# Comparison 15 μm stepsize





#### 22/03/2017

# Reference (STEM)



- Homogeneous
- Individual Dislocations?
- Damage effect due to Ga beam preparation





1 µm

ESB Grid = 0 V I Probe = 4.1 nA WD = 5.1 mm Detector = SESI 21 Feb 20 EHT = 10.00 kV Mag = 2.92 KX 14:14:1





CERN

CERN







Introduction	Context	]	
	Aim of the study		
Protocol		Heat treatment	
	Sampling	Tensile test	
		Fatigue Test	
	Characterization method	EBSD (KAM)	
	Tensile test		
			Reference
		0.2 um stonsizo	Fatigue 83% LT
Results		0.2 µm stepsize	Fatigue 100% LT
RESUILS	Fatigue tested		Summary of results
			Reference
		15 μm stepsize	Fatigue 65% LT
Perspective			
Conclusions	Enrique Rodriguez Castro		27





• Those maps are important to select the area where the lamella (with SEM-FIB microscope) will be taken











Introduction	Context		
	Aim of the study		
Protocol		Heat treatment	
	Sampling	Tensile test	
		Fatigue Test	
	Characterization method	EBSD (KAM)	
	Tensile test		-
			Reference
		0.2 um stensize	Fatigue 83% LT
Results		0.2 μπ stepsize	Fatigue 100% LT
incounts	Fatigue tested		Summary of results
			Reference
		15 μm stepsize	Fatigue 65% LT
		Heat treatment   Tensile test   Fatigue Test   EBSD (KAM)   0.2 μm stepsize   Fatigue 83% LT   Fatigue 100% LT   Summary of results   Reference   15 μm stepsize   Fatigue 65% LT   Summary of results	Summary of results
Perspective			
Conclusions	Enrique Rodriguez Castro		29





- EBSD has proved:
  - To be a good technique for quantification of *dislocations arrangements over a large area*.
  - Different samples studied sample have higher dislocation density for increasing stress levels.
  - Statistics to be improved (currently only 3 stress levels and 2 lamellas)
- Further analysis with TEM
  - To confirm the observation done with STEM
  - To validate if observation of *dislocations patterns* through KAM maps (local mis-orientations) is possible → arrangement of dislocation patterns in bigger structures





#### Thank you for you attention

#### **Questions?**

100 µm	EHT = 20.00 kV	Sample ID = G02-1	Enrique Rodriguez Castro
	WD = 10.0 mm	_	Date :7 Nov 2016
	Signal A = SE2	Stage at T = 0.0 °	Mag = 200 X





#### Dislocation patterns in Cu-OFE by EBSD and their relation with BD Advanced studies

Enrique RODRIGUEZ CASTRO (CERN & University of Vigo)





#### • FIB

- In a well characterized area
- Lamella
- STEM





