IV) ... let's talk about acceleration



crab nebula,

burst of charged particles $E = 10^{20} eV$



... we have to start again from the basics

Lorentz, force



in long. direction the B-field creates no force

v || *B*



acc. force is given by the electr. Field

In relativistic dynamics, energy and momentum satisfy the relation:

$$E^{2} = E_{0}^{2} + p^{2}c^{2} \qquad (E = E_{0} + W)$$
$$dE = \int Fds = vdp$$

Hence:

and the kinetic energy gained from the field along the z path is:

$$dW = dE = eE_z ds \implies W = e\int E_z ds = eV$$

11.) Electrostatic Machines

(Tandem -) van de Graaff Accelerator



Problems: * Particle energy limited by high voltage discharges * high voltage can only be applied once per particle or twice ?



Electro Static Accelerator: 12 MV-Tandem van de Graaff Accelerator at MPI Heidelberg

12.) Linear Accelerator 1928, Wideroe



The Synchrotron (Mac Millan, Veksler, 1945)



13.) The Acceleration

Where is the acceleration?

Install an RF accelerating structure in the ring and adjust the phase (the timing) between particle and RF-Voltage in the right way: "Synchronisation"



500 MHz cavities in an electron storage ring







B. Salvant N. Bianca

14.) The Acceleration for △p/p≠0 "Phase Focusing" below transition



... so sorry, here we need help from Albert:







... some when the particles do not get faster anymore

.... but heavier !

15.) The Acceleration for △p/p≠0 "Phase Focusing" above transition



Focussing effect in the longitudinal direction keeping the particles close together ... forming a "bunch"

... and how do we accelerate now ??? with the dipole magnets !

The RF system: IR4





Nb on Cu cavities @4.5 K (=LEP2) Beam pipe diam.=300mm

Bunch length (4 σ)	ns	1.06
Energy spread (20)	<i>10</i> -3	0.22
Synchr. rad. loss/turn	keV	7
Synchr. rad. power	kW	3.6
RF frequency	M	400
	Hz	
Harmonic number		35640
RF voltage/beam	MV	<i>16</i>
Energy gain/turn	keV	485
Synchrotron	Hz	23.0
frequency		

Introduction to Accelerator Physics Beam Dynamics for "Summer Students"

Bernhard Holzer, CERN-LHC

IV.) Are there Any Problems ???

sure there are

Liouville during Acceleration

$$\varepsilon = \gamma(s) x^2(s) + 2\alpha(s)x(s)x'(s) + \beta(s) x'^2(s)$$

Beam Emittance corresponds to the area covered in the x, x' Phase Space Ellipse

Liouville: Area in phase space is constant.

$$\begin{array}{c}
-\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma}} \\
\sqrt{\varepsilon\gamma} \\
-\alpha \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\beta}} \\
\sqrt{\varepsilon\beta} \\
x
\end{array}$$

But so sorry ... $\varepsilon \neq const !$

Classical Mechanics:

phase space = diagram of the two canonical variables
position & momentum

 $x \qquad p_x$

$$p_j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_j}$$
; $L = T - V = kin. Energy - pot. Energy$

According to Hamiltonian mechanics: phase space diagram relates the variables q and p

> q = position = x $p = momentum = \gamma mv = mc\gamma\beta_x$



Liouvilles Theorem:

 $\int p \, dq = const$

for convenience (i.e. because we are lazy bones) we use in accelerator theory:

$$x' = \frac{dx}{ds} = \frac{dx}{dt}\frac{dt}{ds} = \frac{\beta_x}{\beta} \qquad \text{where} \quad \beta_x = \frac{\dot{x}}{c} \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$
$$\int pdq = mc \int \gamma \beta_x dx$$
$$\int pdq = mc \gamma \beta \int x' dx \qquad \implies \quad \varepsilon = \int x' dx \propto \frac{1}{\beta \gamma} \qquad the \ beam \ emittance \\ shrinks \ during \\ acceleration \quad \varepsilon \sim 1/\gamma$$

Nota bene:

1.) A proton machine ... or an electron linac ... needs the highest aperture at injection energy !!! as soon as we start to accelerate the beam size shrinks as $\gamma^{-1/2}$ in both planes.

 $\sigma = \sqrt{\varepsilon\beta}$

2.) At lowest energy the machine will have the major aperture problems, \rightarrow here we have to minimise $\hat{\beta}$

3.) we need different beam optics adopted to the energy: A Mini Beta concept will only be adequate at flat top.





LHC mini beta optics at 7000 GeV

Example: HERA proton ring

injection energy: 40 GeV $\gamma = 43$ flat top energy: 920 GeV $\gamma = 980$

emittance ε (40GeV) = 1.2 * 10⁻⁷ ε (920GeV) = 5.1 * 10⁻⁹





7 σ beam envelope at $E = 40 \ GeV$

... and at *E* = 920 *GeV*

RF Acceleration-Problem: panta rhei !!! (Heraklit: 540-480 v. Chr.)

just a stupid (and nearly wrong) example)



Bunch length of Electrons ≈ 1 cm



typical momentum spread of an electron bunch:

$$\frac{\Delta p}{p} \approx 1.0 \ 10^{-3}$$

Dispersive and Chromatic Effects: $\Delta p/p \neq 0$



Are there any Problems ??? Sure there are !!!

font colors due to pedagogical reasons

17.) Dispersion and Chromaticity: Magnet Errors for $\Delta p/p \neq 0$

Influence of external fields on the beam: prop. to magn. field & prop. zu 1/p







Example

$$x_{\beta} = 1 \dots 2 mm$$
$$D(s) \approx 1 \dots 2 m$$
$$\Delta p / p \approx 1 \cdot 10^{-3}$$

1

Amplitude of Orbit oscillation contribution due to Dispersion \approx beam size \rightarrow Dispersion must vanish at the collision point



Calculate D, D': ... takes a couple of sunny Sunday evenings !

Dispersion is visible

inting Optionen Korrekturen C	Offsets Save File Select File Set Op	otics Set Bunch Spezial Orbit View E	xpert	
	iligation in the second s		.01,.01, <mark>1</mark> - 1,01,00.	0,1,.1,1110.
ent				
Darstellung Closed Orbit	<mark>○ Orb-Ref</mark>	Maschine : HERA-p Mittelwert RMS-wert X / hor. 0000 .5559	Protonen Energie 39.73 Strom 4.4 BunchNr ???	WIL197 MX Ablage (mm) 0.348 Status OK
Darstellung Corbit Closed Orbit Jan 28 15:30:16 2004	● Orb-Ref ● Ref Scratch1 hpi40n Ep = 39.726 2004-01-28 15-29-12 2004-01-28 15-29-12	Maschine : HERA.p Mittelwent RMS-wert X / hor .0000 .5559 Z / vert .0001 .0893 dp/p dp/p Aus -0.110	Protonen Energie 39,73 Strom 4.4 BunchNr ??? Machine hpi40n [geladen] hpi40n	WL197 MX Ablage (mm) 0.348 Status OK β / ♦ 107.7 / .00

dedicated energy change of the stored beam

→ closed orbit is moved to a dispersions trajectory

$$x_{D} = D(s) * \frac{\Delta p}{p}$$

Attention: at the Interaction Points we require D=D'=0 HERA Standard Orbit

HERA Dispersion Orbit



Periodic Dispersion:

"Sawtooth Effect" at LEP (CERN)



cavities so much that they "overshoot" and reach nearly the outer side of the vacuum chamber.

In the arc the electron beam loses so much energy in each octant that the particle are running more and more on a dispersion trajectory.

26.) Chromaticity: A Quadrupole Error for $\Delta p/p \neq 0$

Influence of external fields on the beam: prop. to magn. field & prop. zu 1/p



... which acts like a quadrupole error in the machine and leads to a tune spread:

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{Q} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{p}}{\boldsymbol{p}_0} \boldsymbol{k}_0 \boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{s}) d\boldsymbol{s}$$

definition of chromaticity:

$$\Delta Q = Q' \quad \frac{\Delta p}{p} \quad ; \qquad Q' = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint k(s)\beta(s)ds$$

... what is wrong about Chromaticity:

Problem: chromaticity is generated by the lattice itself !!

Q' is a number indicating the size of the tune spot in the working diagram, Q' is always created if the beam is focussed

 \rightarrow it is determined by the focusing strength k of all quadrupoles

$$Q' = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint k(s)\beta(s)ds$$

k = quadrupole strength $\beta = beta function indicates the beam size ... and even more the sensitivity of the beam to external fields$

Example: LHC

Q' = 250 $\Delta p/p = +/- 0.2 * 10^{-3}$ $\Delta Q = 0.256 \dots 0.36$

→Some particles get very close to resonances and are lost

in other words: the tune is not a point it is a pancake



Tune signal for a nearly uncompensated cromaticity (Q' ≈ 20)

Ideal situation: cromaticity well corrected, ($Q' \approx 1$)



Correction of Q':

Need: additional quadrupole strength for each momentum deviation $\Delta p/p$

1.) sort the particles acording to their momentum





... using the dispersion function



2.) apply a magnetic field that rises quadratically with x (sextupole field)

$$B_{x} = \tilde{g}xz$$

$$B_{z} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}(x^{2} - z^{2})$$

$$\frac{\partial B_{x}}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial B_{z}}{\partial x} = \tilde{g}x$$
linear rising "gradient":

Correction of Q':

Sextupole Magnets:





k₁ normalised quadrupole strengthk₂ normalised sextupole strength

$$k_{sext} = \frac{\tilde{g}x}{p/e} = m_{sext.}x$$
$$k_{sext} = m_{sext.}D\frac{\Delta p}{dt}$$

p



corrected chromaticity

considering a single cell:

$$Q_{cell_x}' = \frac{-1}{4\pi} \Big\{ k_{qf} \hat{\beta}_{x} l_{qf} - k_{qd} \check{\beta}_{x} l_{qd} \Big\} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{F sext} k_{2}^{F} l_{sext} D_{x}^{F} \beta_{x}^{F} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{D sext} k_{2}^{D} l_{sext} D_{x}^{D} \beta_{x}^{D} \Big\}$$
$$Q_{cell_y}' = \frac{-1}{4\pi} \Big\{ -k_{qf} \check{\beta}_{y} l_{qf} + k_{qd} \hat{\beta}_{y} l_{qd} \Big\} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{F sext} k_{2}^{F} l_{sext} D_{x}^{F} \beta_{y}^{F} + \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{D sext} k_{2}^{D} l_{sext} D_{x}^{D} \beta_{y}^{D} \Big\}$$

Some Golden Rules to Avoid Trouble

I.) Golden Rule number one: do not focus the beam !

Problem: Resonances

E Detine :

$$x_{co}(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta(s)} * \int \frac{1}{\rho_{s1}} \sqrt{\beta_{s1}} * \cos(\psi_{s1} - \psi_s - \pi Q) ds}{2 \sin \pi Q}$$
Assume: Tune = integer $Q = 1 \rightarrow 0$

Integer tunes lead to a resonant increase of the closed orbit amplitude in presence of the smallest dipole field error.

Qualitatively spoken:



Tune and Resonances

 $m * Q_x + n * Q_y + l * Q_s = integer$

Tune diagram up to 3rd order

... and up to 7th order

Homework for the operateurs: find a nice place for the tune where against all probability the beam will survive

II.) Golden Rule number two: Never accelerate charged particles !



Transport line with quadrupoles

Transport line with quadrupoles and space charge

$$\mathbf{x}'' + \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$x'' + (K(s) + K_{SC}(s))x = 0$$

$$\mathbf{x}'' + \left(\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{s}) - \underbrace{\frac{2\mathbf{r}_0 \mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{e}a^2 \beta^3 \gamma^3 \mathbf{c}}}_{\mathbf{K}_{SC}}\right) \mathbf{x} = 0$$

Golden Rule number two:

Never accelerate charged particles !

Tune Shift due to Space Charge Effect Problem at low energies



v/c



... at low speed the particles repel each other

III.) Golden Rule number three:

Never Collide the Beams !







most simple case: linear beam beam tune shift

$$\Delta Q_x = \frac{\beta_x^* * r_p * N_p}{2\pi \gamma_p (\sigma_x + \sigma_y) * \sigma_x}$$

and again the resonances !!!



LHC logbook: Sat 9-June "Late-Shift"

18:18h injection for physics clean injection !





but particle losses when beams are brought into collision



Clearly there is another problem if it were easy everybody could do it

Again: the phase space ellipse

for each turn write down - at a given position "s" in the ring - the single partile amplitude x $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}_{s1} = M_{turn} * \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}_{s1}$ and the angle x'... and plot it. $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}_{s1} = M_{turn} * \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}_{s1}$





A beam of 4 particles – each having a slightly different emittance:

Installation of a weak (!!!) sextupole magnet

The good news: sextupole fields in accelerators cannot be treated analytically anymore. → no equatiuons; instead: Computer simulation " particle tracking "







Golden Rule XXL: COURAGE

and with a lot of effort from Bachelor / Master / Diploma / PhD and Summer-Students the machine is running !!!



thank'x for your help and have a lot of fun