• EGEE-III

- Before first Review June Every team should provide

- Links related to their work : web site, CVS, presentation, conference
- Presentation, Poster should be downloaded into EDMS
- Before September
 - Every software should publish into a public repository
 - Documentation of the software should be provided
- SLA EGEE reviewer recommendation
 - Paragraph and link (OGF/UF) will be provided by GRNET \rightarrow beginning of next week
- Troubleshooting reviewer recommendation
 - Small paragraph and link (OGF/UF) to software / to presentation should be provided → beginning of next week

- Transition to EGI
 - Single point entry point for publishing SA2 software (TT, ENOC code)
 - CNRS will document ENOC procedure and code
 - The ENOC documentation will rotate around SA2 partner in order that all NGIs can be able to know what it is foreseen for the ENOC/ENSC. If this NGI.
- Network activity
 - The part of network activity has reduced a lot, the Grid will just keep a link with the network
 - There is not anymore a room for the collaboration with NREN (no funding)
 - How should address the collaboration with NREN
 - Is there any GEANT project in which the collaboration between Grid and NREN could be maintained?
 - Domenico will investigate this question?

- IPv6
 - Warn Francesco that other Middlewares (ARC, UNICORE) is claimed to be IPv6
- PerfSONAR-Lite
 - Clarify Authorization Autorization use case \rightarrow publicWiki
 - Packaging should be investigated by CNRS
 - ENOC integration should be anticipated
 - June deployment could be achieved
- Assessment of Grid sites network's need
 - Next step: Timeline and future
 - Currently buying machine, June first installation, September real deployment
 - Site2 are available to deploy PerfSONAR support
 - Lookup service at Spanish level will be deployed
 - All Regional networks will take part in this project
 - Results should be done before last ENOC Deliverable PM 20 Dec 2009

- ENOC
 - Department inside a site encountered a network pb rather the site itself
 - Regionalization should keep a project view . Try to keep the checking of the quality of the network between different country
 - Ticket quality is very important: Janet ticket could be a reference of very
 - I share → new work, Common Infrastructure Network System → unified information system (topology) GEANT 2 maybe extended to layer 2 and 3
 - Channel communication with PerSONAR activity
- WLCG
 - 15PB/Year
 - Operation model accepted
 - Grid and LHCOPN interaction is nearly defined
 - Role of SA2 in the near Future in LHCOPN

- Trouble ticket exchange standardization
 - Linux version nearly ready first , documented 1st of June
 - 5 NRENs format will be supported
 - How improve the ticket assessment?
 - Define what we expected clearly from NREN
 - Make a draft on what topics could be improved in TT matter (CNRS)
 - Involve few NRENs and try to demonstrate the improvement of the assessment
 - Set-up a VC 30 March 15:00 PM CET
 - Dissemination: draft RFC delivered soon, Poster for Terena meeting
- Advanced network Services
 - SLA monitoring is not addressed now
 - ENOC will act for EGEE user
 - Investigate how to avoid manually Id request for ENOC
 - How to share PIP between user? To be investigated
 - Investigate how to reuse SLA infrastructure for AuthoBhan (demonstration of AutoBhan)
 - VC at middle of may \rightarrow clear answer on AutoBhan
 - Development of web reservation for AMPS within ENOC (normal project)

- Trouble matching and correlation
 - Why used Ping? Is it a good indicator since ICMP has low priority in router and so can be dropped → use downCollector (not ICMP)?
 - Location of SmokePING
 - Allow a better assessment of real impact of network ticket on the Grid