## **BSM Theory Overview**

Susy

Alex Pomarol, UAB/IFAE (Barcelona)

No

# **BSM Theory Overview**

Susy

#### Alex Pomarol, UAB/IFAE (Barcelona)

Rive



Interpreting null results... and one hope

# **BSM Theory Overview**

Susy

Interpreting null results... and

#### Alex Pomarol, UAB/IFAE (Barcelona)



Back to the SM?

# Impressive number of searches for new phenomena at LHC, giving us plenty of negative results!



"What's the opposite of Eureka?"

Impressive number of searches for new phenomena at LHC, giving us plenty of negative results!





"What's the opposite of Eureka?"

But even null results allow us to make progress!

**If** experiments are well-motivated!

Impressive number of searches for new phenomena at LHC, giving us plenty of negative results!





"What's the opposite of Eureka?"

But even *null* results allow us to make progress!

**If** experiments are well-motivated!



as Michelson-Morley experiment

#### If we assume that the only scale is $\langle H \rangle \sim 246$ GeV (as in the SM),

we have excluded experimentally any new physics!



No extra fermions, gauge bosons,... getting their mass from H

#### If we assume that the only scale is $\langle H \rangle \sim 246$ GeV (as in the SM),

we have excluded experimentally any new physics!



No extra fermions, gauge bosons,... getting their mass from H

We know this thanks to the interplay between direct & indirect searches:

- If light: they should have been seen in detectors
- If heavy: they should have been seen indirectly

AP, LHCP16 proceedings





crucial piece of information!

# We have been able to clean up the electroweak sector, being now confident in the SM



No theory argument to have SU(3)xSU(2)xU(1) with 3 families (the SM), instead of something else



New states **should** bring their own mass-scale



#### e.g. $M ar{\Psi} \Psi$



New states **should** bring their own mass-scale



But... why M should be around the EW-scale ?





New states **should** bring their own mass-scale

e.g.  $M \bar{\Psi} \Psi$ 

But... why M should be around the EW-scale ?

Crucial question to address to know whether there is a motivation to search for them at the LHC



Possible if we assume that  $\langle H \rangle$  is not a fundamental parameter: There is a more fundamental scale at ~TeV from which m<sub>H</sub> (or  $\langle H \rangle$ ) arises, together with something else

Possible if we assume that  $\langle H \rangle$  is not a fundamental parameter: There is a more fundamental scale at ~TeV from which m<sub>H</sub> (or  $\langle H \rangle$ ) arises, together with something else



Possible if we assume that  $\langle H \rangle$  is not a fundamental parameter: There is a more fundamental scale at ~TeV from which m<sub>H</sub> (or  $\langle H \rangle$ ) arises, together with something else



Possible if we assume that  $\langle H \rangle$  is not a fundamental parameter: There is a more fundamental scale at ~TeV from which m<sub>H</sub> (or  $\langle H \rangle$ ) arises, together with something else



→Also <u>needed</u> if we want <u>to explain</u> why m<sub>H</sub>«M<sub>P</sub>!

Possible if we assume that  $\langle H \rangle$  is **not** a fundamental parameter: There is a more fundamental scale at ~TeV from which m<sub>H</sub> (or  $\langle H \rangle$ ) arises, together with something else



→Also <u>needed</u> if we want <u>to explain</u> why m<sub>H</sub>«M<sub>P</sub>!

Possible if we assume that  $\langle H \rangle$  is not a fundamental parameter: There is a more fundamental scale at ~TeV from which m<sub>H</sub> (or  $\langle H \rangle$ ) arises, together with something else



 $\rightarrow$  Also <u>needed</u> if we want <u>to explain</u> why m<sub>H</sub>  $\ll$  M<sub>P</sub>!

Possible if we assume that  $\langle H \rangle$  is **not** a fundamental parameter: There is a more fundamental scale at ~TeV from which m<sub>H</sub> (or  $\langle H \rangle$ ) arises, together with something else



→Also <u>needed</u> if we want <u>to explain</u> why m<sub>H</sub>«M<sub>P</sub>!

#### Strong dynamics at $\Lambda$ ~TeV

#### QCD as an inspiration:



Explains why  $\Lambda_{
m QCD} << M_P$  and the origin of most hadron masses



#### Strong dynamics at $\Lambda$ ~TeV

QCD as an inspiration:



It could explain why  $m_H \lesssim \Lambda_* \sim {
m TeV} \ll M_P$ — Composite Higgs



The Higgs, the lightest of the new strong resonances, as pions in QCD: they are Pseudo-Goldstone Bosons (PGB)

#### Beyond the lamp-post:



Even though no possibility to calculate,

it's possible provide a characterization of the expected signals

(as in the 60', experiments will be driving the field)



Yukawa origin depend on how the SM fermions couple to the strong sector:



flavor structure from mixings without flavor symmetries!

#### Lower bounds on the scale of the strong dynamics $\Lambda$



G.Panico & AP: arXiv: 1603.06609

#### Lower bounds on the scale of the strong dynamics $\Lambda$



**Bounds of** O(TeV)! Effects visible soon. Hopes for the future!

#### Signs of compositeness of the Higgs

#### Signs of compositeness of the Higgs

Well-defined pattern of deviations in Higgs couplings:

Giudice, Grojean, AP, Rattazzi 07

$$\frac{g_{hWW}}{g_{hWW}^{\rm SM}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{f^2}} \qquad \begin{array}{l} f = \text{Decay-constant of the PGB Higgs} \\ \text{related to the compositeness scale} \\ \text{(model dependent but expected } f \sim v) \end{array}$$

$$\frac{g_{hff}}{g_{hff}^{\rm SM}} = \frac{1 - (1+n)\frac{v^2}{f^2}}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{f^2}}} \qquad \begin{array}{l} n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ \int & & & \\ & & \\ N \in \text{HM4} \quad \text{MCHM5} \end{array}$$

small deviations on the  $h\gamma\gamma(gg)$ -coupling due to the Goldstone nature of the Higgs

#### Signs of compositeness of the Higgs

Well-defined pattern of deviations in Higgs couplings:

Giudice, Grojean, AP, Rattazzi 07



Signs of compositeness of the Higgs



Entering the interesting region: bounds getting below 10%!

#### Signs of compositeness of the top

Since its mass is large, its mixing with the strong sector must be large:



#### Signs of compositeness of the top

Since its mass is large, its mixing with the strong sector must be large:



t<sub>L</sub> couplings don't show much deviations from SM predictions:





#### New resonances





Good BSM prototype for many searches e.g Little Higgs

By the AdS/CFT correspondence:

Physics of Composite Sector + Physics of Extra dimension

#### **Expected spectrum of the TeV Composite Sector** spin-2 resonances spin-1 resonances / squarks 3 TeV Most important 1 TeV searches color fermionic **500 GeV** ns resonances 125 GeV Higgs

Good BSM prototype for many searches e.g Little Higgs

By the AdS/CFT correspondence:

Physics of Composite Sector + Physics of Extra dimension



**Before 13** TeV LHC bounds dominated by indirect effects



**Before 13** TeV LHC bounds dominated by indirect effects





At 8 TeV, some excess in ZW decays (in jets) mostly in AI LAS:



# V At the LHCG COC









#### scratching the interesting regions!

Expected spectrum of the TeV Composite Sector



**7/8 TeV LHC** searches "scratching the surface"

**Expected spectrum of the TeV Composite Sector** 



7/8 TeV LHC searches "scratching the surface"

AP, F.Riva JHEP 1208 (2012) 135

#### Colored fermion resonances at LHC 13 TeV



#### Colored fermion resonances at LHC I3 TeV



#### Colored fermion resonances at LHC I3 TeV



#### Colored fermion resonances at LHC I3 TeV



Models without colored top partners are possible?

#### Models without colored top partners are possible?

#### "Twin Higgs" Models:



#### Models without colored top partners are possible?

**"Twin Higgs" Models:** 



#### Aren't we adding too many epicycles?



Implications of twin Higgs models:

#### Not possible to see these top-partners at the LHC as they are not charged under the SM

#### Main LHC signature:

Higgs decays invisibly (to the "mirror" world) that **could** decay back to us (giving displaced vertices)



arXiv:1501.05310

#### Higgs portal to the "Mirror SM"

#### Conclusions

- The long-awaited I3 TeV collider is finally here!
   Main aim: learn on the origin of the SM electroweak scale
   First rounds: Mostly Negative Results!
- Missing top-partner problem becoming more severe but we must *dig* more to see how serious it is!
- Clearly, BSM had already too many chances to show up (in EDM, flavor, Z/H couplings, as a WIMP, new particles,...)



#### Conclusions

- The long-awaited I3 TeV collider is finally here!
   Main aim: learn on the origin of the SM electroweak scale First rounds: Mostly Negative Results!
- Missing top-partner problem becoming more severe but we must *dig* more to see how serious it is!
- Clearly, BSM had already too many chances to show up (in EDM, flavor, Z/H couplings, as a WIMP, new particles,...)

If nothing is found at the LHC:





paradigm shift? Multiverse, relaxion

EW scale from cosmological evolution



#### Conclusions

- The long-awaited I3 TeV collider is finally here!
   Main aim: learn on the origin of the SM electroweak scale
   First rounds: Mostly Negative Results!
- Missing top-partner problem becoming more severe but we must *dig* more to see how serious it is!
- Clearly, BSM had already too many chances to show up (in EDM, flavor, Z/H couplings, as a WIMP, new particles,...)

If nothing is found at the LHC:





more epicycles? Twin Higgs,...

paradigm shift? Multiverse, relaxion

EW scale from cosmological

In any case, we will be making (painful) progress even with null results! evolution



# MORE IF NEEDED

#### All you need to know about relaxion



#### **Breakthrough:**

An axion-like  $\phi$  can have the following (natural) potential:

$$V(\phi, h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 + \epsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$

P.W. Graham, D.E. Kaplan, S.Rajendran arXiv: 1504.07551





$$V(\phi,h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left(1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda}\right) h^2 + \epsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left(\frac{h}{\Lambda_c}\right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$







$$V(\phi, h) = \Lambda^3 g \phi - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^2 \left( 1 - \frac{g \phi}{\Lambda} \right) h^2 + \epsilon \Lambda_c^4 \left( \frac{h}{\Lambda_c} \right)^n \cos(\phi/f)$$

P.W. Graham, D.E. Kaplan, S.Rajendran arXiv: 1504.07551



