Overview of ATLAS Exotics Group Analyses and Interpretive Models

Daniel Hayden Michigan State University

16 June 2016

Overview

- Overview of ATLAS Exotics group structure & analyses
- Group organization aligned by signature-based search strategies
- Organization of generic interpretations
- Spanning signature-based efforts
- Summary of interpretive paradigms and results
- -Heavy Gauge Bosons
- -Extra Dimensions
- -Heavy Quarks
- -Excited Fermions
- -Contact Interactions
- -And more

ATLAS Exotics Results

ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion

Sta

Extra dimensions

Gauge bosons

Heavy LQ DM CI quarks

Excited fermions

Other

16 June

ATLAS Preliminary

atus: March 2016					$\int \mathcal{L} dt = 0$	3.2 - 20.3) fb ⁻¹	\sqrt{s} = 8, 13 TeV
Model	<i>ℓ</i> ,γ	Jets†	E ^{miss} T	∫£ dt[fb	¹] Limit		Reference
$\begin{array}{l} \text{ADD } G_{KK} + g/q \\ \text{ADD } \text{non-resonant } \ell\ell \\ \text{ADD } \text{QBH} \rightarrow \ell q \\ \text{ADD } \text{QBH} \rightarrow l q \\ \text{ADD } \text{BH } \text{high } \sum p_T \\ \text{ADD } \text{BH } \text{multijet} \\ \text{RS1 } G_{KK} \rightarrow \ell\ell \\ \text{RS1 } G_{KK} \rightarrow \ell\ell \\ \text{RS1 } G_{KK} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow qq\ell v \\ \text{Bulk } \text{RS } g_{KK} \rightarrow HH \rightarrow bbbb \\ \text{Bulk } \text{RS } g_{KK} \rightarrow tt \\ \text{2UED } / \text{RPP} \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} - \\ 2 e, \mu \\ 1 e, \mu \\ - \\ 2 e, \mu \\ 2 \gamma \\ 1 e, \mu \\ - \\ 1 e, \mu \\ 1 e, \mu \\ \end{array} $	$\geq 1 j$ $-$ $1 j$ $2 j$ $\geq 2 j$ $\geq 3 j$ $-$ $1 J$ $4 b$ $\geq 1 b, \geq 1 J/2$ $\geq 2 b, \geq 4 j$	Yes - - - Yes 2j Yes i Yes	3.2 20.3 20.3 3.6 3.2 3.6 20.3 20.3 3.2 3.2 20.3 3.2 20.3 3.2	Mp 6.86 TeV Ms 4.7 TeV Muh 5.2 TeV Muh 8.3 TeV Muh 8.2 TeV Muh 8.2 TeV Muh 8.2 TeV GKK mass 2.66 TeV GKK mass 1.06 TeV GKK mass 475-785 GeV gKK mass 1.46 TeV	$\begin{split} n &= 2 \\ n &= 3 \text{ HLZ} \\ n &= 6 \\ n &= 6 \\ n &= 6, M_D &= 3 \text{ TeV, rot BH} \\ n &= 6, M_D &= 3 \text{ TeV, rot BH} \\ k/\overline{M}_{Pl} &= 0.1 \\ k/\overline{M}_{Pl} &= 0.1 \\ k/\overline{M}_{Pl} &= 1.0 \\ BR &= 0.925 \\ \text{Tier} (1,1), BR(A^{(1,1)} \rightarrow tt) = 1 \end{split}$	Preliminary 1407.2410 1311.2006 1512.01530 ATLAS-CONF-2016-006 1512.02566 1405.4123 1504.05511 ATLAS-CONF-2015-075 ATLAS-CONF-2016-017 1505.07018 ATLAS-CONF-2016-013
$\begin{array}{l} \text{SSM } Z' \to \ell\ell \\ \text{SSM } Z' \to \tau\tau \\ \text{Leptophobic } Z' \to bb \\ \text{SSM } W' \to \ell\nu \\ \text{HVT } W' \to WZ \to qqv\nu \text{ model } \ell \\ \text{HVT } W' \to WZ \to qqqq \text{ model } \ell \\ \text{HVT } W' \to WH \to \ell\nu bb \text{ model } B \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \nu\nu bb \text{ model } B \\ \text{LRSM } W'_R \to tb \end{array}$	$2 e, \mu 2 \tau - 1 e, \mu A 0 e, \mu A - B 1 e, \mu 0 e, \mu e, \mu $	- 2 b - 1 J 2 J 1-2 b, 1-0 j 1-2 b, 1-0 j 2 b, 0-1 j ≥ 1 b, 1 J	- Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	3.2 19.5 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 20.3 20.3	Z' mass 3.4 TeV Z' mass 2.02 TeV Z' mass 1.5 TeV W' mass 1.6 TeV W' mass 1.6 TeV W' mass 1.6 TeV Z' mass 1.76 TeV W' mass 1.82 TeV W' mass 1.62 TeV W' mass 1.76 TeV	$g_V = 1$ $g_V = 1$ $g_V = 3$ $g_V = 3$	ATLAS-CONF-2015-070 1502.07177 Preliminary ATLAS-CONF-2015-068 ATLAS-CONF-2015-073 ATLAS-CONF-2015-074 ATLAS-CONF-2015-074 ATLAS-CONF-2015-074 1410.4103 1408.0886
Cl qqqq Cl qqℓℓ Cl uutt	 2 e, μ 2 e, μ (SS)	2 j) ≥ 1 b, 1-4 j	_ _ Yes	3.6 3.2 20.3	Λ	$\begin{array}{c c} \textbf{17.5 TeV} & \eta_{LL} = -1 \\ \hline \textbf{23.1 TeV} & \eta_{LL} = -1 \\ C_{LL} = 1 \end{array}$	1512.01530 ATLAS-CONF-2015-070 1504.04605
Axial-vector mediator (Dirac DM) Axial-vector mediator (Dirac DM) $ZZ_{\chi\chi}$ EFT (Dirac DM)	0 e, μ 0 e, μ, 1 γ 0 e, μ	≥1j 1j 1J,≤1j	Yes Yes Yes	3.2 3.2 3.2	m _A 1.0 TeV m _A 650 GeV M. 550 GeV	$\begin{array}{l} g_q{=}0.25,g_\chi{=}{=}1.0,m(\chi)<140~{\rm GeV}\\ g_q{=}0.25,g_\chi{=}1.0,m(\chi)<10~{\rm GeV}\\ m(\chi)<150~{\rm GeV} \end{array}$	Preliminary Preliminary ATLAS-CONF-2015-080
Scalar LQ 1 st gen Scalar LQ 2 nd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen	2 e 2 μ 1 e,μ	≥ 2 j ≥ 2 j ≥1 b, ≥3 j	_ Yes	3.2 3.2 20.3	LQ mass 1.07 TeV LQ mass 1.03 TeV LQ mass 640 GeV	$ \begin{aligned} \beta &= 1 \\ \beta &= 1 \\ \beta &= 0 \end{aligned} $	Preliminary Preliminary 1508.04735
$ \begin{array}{l} VLQ \ TT \rightarrow Ht + X \\ VLQ \ YY \rightarrow Wb + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Hb + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Bb + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Zb + X \\ VLQ \ QB \rightarrow WqWq \\ T_{5/3} \rightarrow Wt \end{array} $	1 <i>e</i> , μ 1 <i>e</i> , μ 1 <i>e</i> , μ 2/≥3 <i>e</i> , μ 1 <i>e</i> , μ 1 <i>e</i> , μ	$ \begin{array}{l} \geq 2 \ b, \geq 3 \ j \\ \geq 1 \ b, \geq 3 \ j \\ \geq 2 \ b, \geq 3 \ j \\ \geq 2 \ b, \geq 3 \ j \\ \geq 2 / \geq 1 \ b \\ \geq 4 \ j \\ \geq 1 \ b, \geq 5 \ j \end{array} $	i Yes Yes - Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	T mass 855 GeV Y mass 770 GeV B mass 735 GeV B mass 755 GeV Q mass 690 GeV T _{5/3} mass 840 GeV	T in (T,B) doublet Y in (B,Y) doublet isospin singlet B in (B,Y) doublet	1505.04306 1505.04306 1505.04306 1409.5500 1509.04261 1503.05425
Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow bg$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow Wt$ Excited lepton ℓ^* Excited lepton ν^*	1 γ - 1 or 2 e, μ 3 e, μ 3 e, μ, τ	1 j 2 j 1 b, 1 j 1 b, 2-0 j - -	- - Yes -	3.2 3.6 3.2 20.3 20.3 20.3	q* mass 4.4 TeV q* mass 5.2 TeV b* mass 2.1 TeV b* mass 1.5 TeV ** mass 3.0 TeV ** mass 1.6 TeV	only u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ only u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ $f_g = f_L = f_R = 1$ $\Lambda = 3.0 \text{ TeV}$ $\Lambda = 1.6 \text{ TeV}$	1512.05910 1512.01530 Preliminary 1510.02664 1411.2921 1411.2921
LSTC $a_T \rightarrow W\gamma$ LRSM Majorana v Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell\ell$ Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell\tau$ Monotop (non-res prod) Multi-charged particles Magnetic monopoles	$1 e, \mu, 1 \gamma 2 e, \mu 2 e, \mu (SS) 3 e, \mu, \tau 1 e, \mu $	2 j) - 1 b - - - -	Yes Yes •	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 7.0	ar mass 960 GeV N ⁰ mass 2.0 TeV H ^{±±} mass 400 GeV spin-1 invisible particle mass 657 GeV monopole mass 1.34 TeV 10 ⁻¹ 1	$ m(W_R) = 2.4 \text{ TeV}, \text{ no mixing} \\ DY production, BR(H_L^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell \ell) = 1 \\ DY production, BR(H_L^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell \tau) = 1 \\ a_{non-res} = 0.2 \\ DY production, g = 5e \\ DY production, g = 1g_D, spin 1/2 \\ $	1407.8150 1506.06020 1412.0237 1411.2921 1410.5404 1504.04188 1509.08059

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. Lower bounds are specified only when explicitly not excluded.

†Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).

Example: Heavy Gauge Bosons

ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion

ATLAS Preliminary

Model ℓ, γ Jets $\dagger E_{T}^{miss} \int \mathcal{L} dt [fb^{-1}]$ Limit	Deference
	Reference
ADD $G_{KK} + g/q$ - $\geq 1j$ Yes 3.2 Mp 6.86 TeV $n=2$ ADD non-resonant $\ell\ell$ $2e, \mu$ - - 20.3 Mp 6.86 TeV $n=3$ H ADD QBH $\rightarrow \ell q$ $1e, \mu$ $1j$ - 20.3 Mp 6.86 TeV $n=3$ H ADD QBH $\rightarrow \ell q$ $1e, \mu$ $1j$ - 20.3 Mp 6.2 TeV $n=6$ ADD QBH $\rightarrow \ell q$ $1e, \mu$ $2j$ - 3.6 Mp 8.3 TeV $n=6$ ADD BH high $\sum p_T$ $\geq 1e, \mu$ $2j$ - 3.6 Mp 9.55 TeV $n=6$ ADD BH high $\sum p_T$ $\geq 1e, \mu$ $2j$ - 3.6 Mp 9.55 TeV $n=6$ RS1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow \ell\ell$ $2e, \mu$ - - 20.3 G_{KK} mass 1.06 TeV k/M_{Pl} Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow WW \rightarrow qq\ell_V$ $1e, \mu$ $1J$ Yes 3.2 G_{KK} mass $475-785$ GeV k/M_P Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow HH \rightarrow bbbb$ $ 4.2$ G_{KK} mass $475-785$ GeV k/M_P k/M_P	HLZ Preliminary HLZ 1407.2410 1311.2006 1512.01530 $M_D = 3$ TeV, rot BH ATLAS-CONF-2016-006 $= 0.1$ 1405.4123 $= 0.1$ 1504.05511 $= 1.0$ ATLAS-CONF-2015-075 $= 1.0$ ATLAS-CONF-2016-017 1.925 11504.05511 1.0 ATLAS-CONF-2015-075 1.0 ATLAS-CONF-2015-017 1.056.050718 11.056.00718
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ATLAS-CONF-2015-070 1502.07177 Preliminary ATLAS-CONF-2015-063 ATLAS-CONF-2015-063 ATLAS-CONF-2015-073 ATLAS-CONF-2015-074 ATLAS-CONF-2015-074 1410.4103 1408.0886
$OI qqqq$ - 2 J - 3.6 A 17.5 lev $OI qqql$ 2 e, μ - - 3.2 A 23. $OI qull$ 2 e, μ (SS) ≥ 1 b, 1-4 j Yes 20.3 A 4.3 TeV $ C_{L1} $	$\eta_{LL} = -1$ 1512.01530 3.1 TeV $\eta_{LL} = -1$ ATLAS-CONF-2015-070 $= 1$ 1504.04605
Axial-vector mediator (Dirac DM) $0 e, \mu$ $\geq 1 j$ Yes 3.2 m_A 1.0 TeV Axial-vector mediator (Dirac DM) $0 e, \mu, 1 \gamma$ $1 j$ Yes 3.2 m_A 650 GeV $ZZ_{\chi\chi}$ EFT (Dirac DM) $0 e, \mu$ $1 J, \leq 1 j$ Yes 3.2 m_A 650 GeV m_A c_A c_A c_A c_A c_A	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
Scalar LQ 1st gen2 e $\geq 2j$ -3.2LQ mass1.07 TeV $\beta = 1$ Scalar LQ 2nd gen2 μ $\geq 2j$ -3.2LQ mass1.03 TeV $\beta = 1$ Scalar LQ 3rd gen1 e, μ ≥ 1 b, ≥ 3 jYes20.3LQ mass640 GeV $\beta = 0$	Preliminary Preliminary 1508.04735
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	B) doublet 1505.04306 (Y) doublet 1505.04306 1 singlet 1505.04306 (Y) doublet 1409.5500 1509.04281 1509.04281 1503.05425 1509.04281
Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qq$ 1γ $1j$ $ 3.2$ q^* mass 4.4 TeVonly u^*a Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$ $ 2j$ $ 3.6$ q^* mass 5.2 TeVonly u^*a Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$ $ 1b, 1j$ $ 3.2$ b^* mass 2.1 TeV $only u^*a$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow bg$ $ 1b, 2^{-}oj$ Yes 20.3 b^* mass 1.5 TeV $f_g = f_{L^2}$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow Wt$ $1 \text{ or } 2e, \mu$ $1b, 2^{-}oj$ Yes 20.3 b^* mass 1.5 TeV $A = 3.0$ Excited lepton t^* $3e, \mu, \tau$ $ 20.3$ t^* mass 3.0 TeV $A = 3.0$ Excited lepton v^* $3e, \mu, \tau$ $ 20.3$ t^* mass 1.6 TeV $A = 1.6$	$ \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{and } d^*, \Lambda = m(q^*) & 1512.05910 \\ 1 \mbox{and } d^*, \Lambda = m(q^*) & 1512.01530 \\ \mbox{Preliminary} \\ \mu = f_R = 1 & 1510.02664 \\ 0 \mbox{TeV} & 1411.2921 \\ 6 \mbox{TeV} & 1411.2921 \\ \end{array} $
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c} 1407.8150\\ 1506.06020\\ 140205n, BR(H_L^{\pm\pm}\to\ell)=1\\ duction, BR(H_L^{\pm\pm}\to\ell)=1\\ s=0.2\\ duction, q =5e\\ duction, g =1_{g_D}, \text{spin 1/2} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 1407.8150\\ 1506.06020\\ 1412.0237\\ 1411.2921\\ 1411.2921\\ 1504.04188\\ 1504.04188\\ 1509.08059 \end{array}$

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. Lower bounds are specified only when explicitly not excluded.

†Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).

16 June

• Extra Dimensions (ED) are proposed in many BSM theories to help explain the apparent weakness of Gravity, invoking a Spin-2 Tensor Boson (G*).

• Two models broadly used in ATLAS searches are Randall-Sundrum (RS1 & RS2), and Arkani, Dimopoulos, Dvali (ADD):

<u>RS Graviton</u>

• Warped ED allow access to physics at the Planck Scale from the TeV Scale.

• Search for resonances, where the width and crosssection depends on the warping factor, *k*.

16





• Extra Dimensions (ED) are proposed in many BSM theories to help explain the apparent weakness of Gravity, invoking a Spin-2 Tensor Boson (G*).

• Two models broadly used in ATLAS searches are Randall-Sundrum (RS1 & RS2), and Arkani, Dimopoulos, Dvali (ADD):

ADD Graviton

• If the ED were large (> µm) and flat, the spacing between the Kaluza-Klein towers is reduced, and eventually the resonances become a non-resonant excess.



- These models are searches for in many channels at ATLAS, such as:
- Dilepton: 7, 8 TeV, planned again for 13 TeV. RS1 and ADD Models.
- Diphoton: 7, 8, and 13 TeV (combined with dilepton at 8 TeV!).
- Dibosons [WW, ZZ]: 7, 8, and 13 TeV. RS2 model (SM fields in Bulk).
- Clean signatures with relatively small, well understood backgrounds.



- What handles do we have to probe new physics?
- What do analyses provide to help theorists (and others) interpret the results?



Angular Distributions

- What handles do we have to probe new physics?
- What do analyses provide to help theorists (and others) interpret the results?

√s = 13 TeV, 3.2 fb⁻¹ **ATLAS** Preliminary Spin-0 Selection Efficiency Γ_X/m_X [%] ATLAS Simulation 15 = 8 TeV 10 → µµ 3.5 0.8 З Acceptance × 2.5 0.6 2 0.4 1.5 0.2 2 (Similar for RS G*) Arxiv 0.5 0 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 2 з M_{Z'ssu}[TeV] m_x [GeV] Link

Acceptance x Efficiency

Width Scans

-ocal significance [ơ]

Heavy Gauge Boson Interpretations

Heavy Gauge Boson Interpretations

- Several BSM models predict heavy gauge bosons:
 - Extended Gauge Models (EGM)
 - Technicolour
 - Composite Higgs models
 - Little Higgs
 - Theories with universal extra dimensions (e.g. Kaluza-Klein)
 - Sequential Standard Model (SSM)
 - Randall-Sundrum (RS) Graviton model
 - Heavy Vector Triplet (HVT).

W' and Z' to leptons

- Relatively "clean" background
- Benchmark model : W' (SSM), Z' (SSM and E₆).



W'→tb

- Complementarity :
 - Theories with extension of fundamental symmetries of the SM predict W'_R
 - Not always seen by other channels. No decay to charged lepton and v_R if $m_v > m_{W'}$



arXiv:1410.4103

W' and Z' to VV

- Benchmark models:
 - Extended Gauge Model
 - Randall-Sundrum (RS) gravitation model
- EGM vs SSM → check of W'WZ coupling

arXiv:1512.05099



W' and Z' to VH

• Similar search for V'->VH interpreted in Heavy Vector Triplet model and Minimal Walking Technicolor.

arXiv:1503.08089



Vector-Like Quark Interpretations



- Present in many BSM models (eg. Composite Higgs), contain useful top-partner
- Search strategies are generally designed by production mode
- Pair production dominates at lower masses, mostly model independent
- Single production pdf-favored at high mass, sensitive to mixing with SM quarks (generally taken to be 3rd gen only)



ATLAS Exotics Interpretations

- Models can be built in increasing steps of phenomenological complexity
- Mixing with SM quarks can modify couplings to V/H bosons and lead to FCNCs
- Leads to a potentially complex overlap of production/decay modes in experimental searches.
 Complicates interpretation to some degree.

	SM	Singlets	Doublets	Triplets (X, T, B) _{L,R} (T, B, Y) _{L,R}	
	$\binom{u}{c}\binom{c}{t}$	(T) _{L,R}	(X, T) _{L,R} (T, B) _{L R}		
	(d)(s)(b)	(B) _{L,R}	(B,Y) _{L,R}		
$SU(2)_L$	2 and 1	1	2	3	
$U(1)_Y$	$q_L = 1/6$ $u_R = 2/3$ $d_R = -1/3$	2/3 -1/3	7/6 1/6 -5/6	2/3 -1/3	
\mathcal{L}_Y	$-\frac{\frac{y_u^i v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{u}_L^i u_R^i}{-\frac{y_d^i v}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{d}_L^i V_{CKM}^{i,j} d_R^j}$	$-\frac{\lambda_{u}^{i}v}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{u}_{L}^{i}U_{R}\\-\frac{\lambda_{d}^{i}v}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{d}_{L}^{i}D_{R}$	$-rac{\lambda_u^i v}{\sqrt{2}} U_L u_R^i \ -rac{\lambda_d^i v}{\sqrt{2}} D_L d_R^i$	$-rac{\lambda_i v}{\sqrt{2}}ar{u}_L^i U_R \ -\lambda_i v ar{d}_L^i D_R$	

- Models can be built in increasing steps of phenomenological complexity
- Mixing with SM quarks can modify couplings to V/H bosons and lead to FCNCs
- Leads to a potentially complex overlap of production/decay modes in experimental searches.
 Complicates interpretation to some degree.

	charge	decay modes
T singlet	+2/3	T → Wb, Ht, Zt
B singlet	-1/3	B → Wt, Hb, Zb
(T,B) doublet	(+2/3,-1/3)	T→Wb, Ht, Zt B→Wt, Hb, Zb
(X,T) doublet	(+5/3,+2/3)	X→Wt T→Ht, Zt
(B,Y) doublet	(-1/3,-4/3)	B→Hb, Zb Y→Wt



ATLAS-CONF-2016-013

- Vector-like top pair production search
- Divided by identified jets (small-R and large-R) and b-tags
- Limits on total rate compared yield mass exclusions at O(| TeV) for $BR(T \rightarrow Ht)=|$





ATLAS-CONF-2016-013

- Mass limits weaken as $BR(T \rightarrow Ht)$ decreases
- Limits for fixed mass predictions can be mapped to the 2D BR plane for vector-like tops



ATLAS-CONF-2016-013



- Mass limits weaken as $BR(T \rightarrow Ht)$ decreases
 - Limits for fixed mass predictions can be mapped to the 2D BR plane for vector-like tops
 - Limits can be mapped back to BR/M space where the difference between model structure becomes apparent



ATLAS-CONF-2016-013



- Mass limits weaken as $BR(T \rightarrow Ht)$ decreases
 - Limits for fixed mass predictions can be mapped to the 2D BR plane for vector-like tops
- Limits can be mapped back to BR/M space where the difference between model structure becomes apparent
- A similar behavior is observed when the search is aimed at $B \rightarrow Wt$



Singly-Produced VLQs

Submitted to EPJC arxiv:1602.05606



Search for singly-produced vector-like quarks decaying to Wb

Limits on total rate derived from reconstructed VLQ candidate mass and compared to benchmark models



Singly-Produced VLQs

Submitted to EPJC arxiv:1602.05606



- Search for singly-produced vector-like quarks decaying to Wb
 - Limits on total rate derived from reconstructed VLQ candidate mass and compared to benchmark models
- Limits on rate can be translated to VLQ/SM mixing angle for a given VLQ flavor. Facilitates comparison with pair prod. searches.





Singly-Produced VLQs



Search for singly-produced vector-like quarks decaying to Wt

[HEP02(2016)110

- Limits on total rate derived from reconstructed VLQ candidate transverse mass, similar to Wb search
- Limits on coupling factors for gluon-b and Wt can be derived as a function of VLQ mass



Other Interpretations

• There are many more ATLAS Exotics interpretive efforts that we cannot cover in detail for this talk

- Contact Interaction Interpretations
- Lepto-quarks
- Dark Matter
- Excited Fermions
- Higgs triplet
- LRSM w/ Majorana neutrino
- Monopoles
- Multi-charge
- And more!

• We will do a quick fly-by pass of these, but it's not a comprehensive summary

LeptoQuarks and Excited Fermions



- Search for scalar leptoquarks
- Production rate depends primarily on LQ mass, decay rate to lepton+quark depends on Yukawa coupling. Leads to relatively modelindependent limits.





- Search for excited muons
- Effective Lagrangian predicts rates that depend on lepton compositeness scale (Λ) and excited fermion mass.



Contact Interactions

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g^2}{\Lambda^2} \left[\eta_{\text{LL}} \left(\overline{q}_{\text{L}} \gamma_{\mu} q_{\text{L}} \right) \left(\overline{\ell}_{\text{L}} \gamma^{\mu} \ell_{\text{L}} \right) + \eta_{\text{RR}} \left(\overline{q}_{\text{R}} \gamma_{\mu} q_{\text{R}} \right) \left(\overline{\ell}_{\text{R}} \gamma^{\mu} \ell_{\text{R}} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \eta_{\text{LR}} \left(\overline{q}_{\text{L}} \gamma_{\mu} q_{\text{L}} \right) \left(\overline{\ell}_{\text{R}} \gamma^{\mu} \ell_{\text{R}} \right) + \eta_{\text{RL}} \left(\overline{q}_{\text{R}} \gamma_{\mu} q_{\text{R}} \right) \left(\overline{\ell}_{\text{L}} \gamma^{\mu} \ell_{\text{L}} \right) \right]$$

- Broad excess over the SM invariant mass spectrum, and forward-backward asymmetry in angular distributions.
- Interaction describes a color and isospin singlet with couplings to L/R-handed fermion states.
- η_{XY} describes whether the interference is constructive (-), or destructive (+), and the couplings i.e. $\eta_{LL} = 1$, $\eta_{RR} = \eta_{LR} = 0$

Dijet: arXiv:1512.01530 Dilepton: CONF





Di-jets, di-b-jets, ttbar

- Dijet resonances → exclude quantum black holes (QBH) in benchmark models : m_{QBH} < 8.3 GeV (excited quarks), m_{QBH} < 8.1 GeV (W' model), and m_{QBH} < 5.3 GeV (Z' model)
- bbbar, bq, bg resonances : Sequential Standard Model (SSM) and Leptophobic Z'
- ttbar : topcolour-assisted-technicolour \rightarrow Z' boson production



16 June 2016

Summary / Conclusions

• ATLAS Exotics group searches cover a broad range of BSM signatures

Benchmark interpretations are applied to compare sensitivities for similar searches, but are not always trivial to compare/combine for coherent groups
Where possible, try to provide acceptance and efficiency curves, fiducial cross-section limits, etc, to help with theorist re-interpretation. Use of Rivet too.

• Broad classes of interpretive models lead to a loose organization of signature-based searches

– For example, Composite Higgs models can predict BSM signatures across experimental observables: leptons, jets, MET, etc.

 Potential for expansion of interpretative efforts where useful and/or feasible

 Though a generic set of models common to many signatures and agreed with CMS helps streamline the experimental effort.