

Determination of depletion voltage from CV, IV and CCE measurements on Pad Detectors

Katharina Kaska, Michael Moll CERN-PH



Various methods to determine the depletion voltage

- Standard CV
- IV
- CCE vs. voltage:
 - from TCT with laser
 - with source (not via scope): β-source

Do they agree?

RD50





Float Zone

—	CNM-03	0.5 x 0.5 cm ²	V _{fd} ~20V (ρ ~ 15 kΩcm)	n-type
_	HIP-002-C	0.25 x 0.25 cm ²	V _{fd} ~20V (ρ ~ 15 Ωcm)	n-type
-	CNM-20	0.5 x 0.5 cm ²	V_{fd} ~2V ($ ho$ ~ 470 k Ω cm)	p-type

MCz

—	HIP-MCz-01-n	0.5 x 0.5 cm ²	V_{fd} ~320V ($ ho$ ~ 1 k Ω cm)	n-type
_	8556-3 (CiS)	0.5 x 0.5 cm ²	V_{fd} ~100V ($ ho$ ~ 2.9 k Ω cm)	n-type
_	HIP-003-C	0.25 x 0.25 cm ²	V_{fd} ~300V ($ ho$ ~ 1 k Ω cm)	n-type
—	p069/8	0.5 x 0.5 cm ²	V_{fd} ~115V ($ ho$ ~ 7.4 k Ω cm)	p-type

Epitaxial (150 µm)

—	HIP-004-C	0.25 x 0.25 cm ²	V _{fd} ~150V (ρ ~ 500 Ωcm)	n-type
_	CNM-11	0.5 x 0.5 cm ²	V _{fd} ~155V (ρ ~ 500 Ωcm)	n-type
—	CNM-22	0.5 x 0.5 cm ²	V_{fd} ~210V ($ ho$ ~ 980 Ωcm)	p-type





For a part of the CCE measurements diodes of smaller size were used. No differences were observed in comparing results obtained on small (2.5x2.5) and big (5x5mm2) diodes (except for the noise levels in CCE[©]).

RD50 workshop Freiburg

RD50



Irradiation

- 24 GeV/c protons at CERN at 27°C
- 1 MeV neutrons in Ljubljana

Annealing

- 4 minutes at 80°C

• CV/IV

- Measured at room temperature in parallel mode at 10kHz

• CCE

- NIKHEF setup

• **TCT**

- IR laser

RD50

- voltage applied with Cu/Be needle
- floating guard ring!!!
- N₂ atmosphere
- Peltier cooling
- 660nm red laser
- 1060nm IR laser

- all detectors were measured at -5±0.1°C
- humidity in the box was around 15%







TCT setup

CCE setup





NIKHEF setup by Fred Hartjes

signal shaping time: $2.5 \ \mu s$

guard ring connected to ground

- all detectors were measured at -20±1°C
- humidity in the box was 18-30%
- gain of 247 e⁻/mV for these conditions





IR laser vs. beta



IR laser goes through sample (red laser only on surface) => similar to β particles

BUT: only very few samples investigated!

!!! Only for curve shape comparison, not CCE values**!!!**

RD50





 $IV < CV < CCE (\beta)$





1 MeV neutron irradiated



$IV < CV < CCE (\beta) =>$ same as proton

RD50 workshop Freiburg



100

10¹⁴

24 GeV/c proton irradiated









IV < CCE (beta) < CV => different from Fz







IV < CCE (beta) = CV







 $IV < CCE (\beta) < CV =>$ same as MCz





1 MeV neutron irradiated



 $IV < CV < CCE (\beta) =>$ different from proton irrad.







 $IV < CV < CCE (\beta)$





1 MeV neutron irradiated



 $IV < CV < CCE (\beta)$



material	Irradiation	Highest values	Junction
Fz-n	proton	CCE	back
Fz-n	neutron	CCE	back
Fz-p	proton	CCE	front
MCz-n	proton	CV	?
MCz-p	proton	CV=CCE	?
EPI-n	proton	CV	front
EPI-n	neutron	CCE	back
EPI-p	proton	CCE	back
EPI-p	neutron	CCE	front

There seems to be no correlation with:

- material
- n- or p-type
- side of junction



Summery

- Depletion voltages from CV, IV and CCE were investigated for Fz, MCz and EPI material.
- All methods on their own show expected behaviour, but don't agree in values.
- No clear correlations with inversion, material and type.

Outlook:

- Look at rest of methods
- Investigate after annealing (change in curve shape)

Thanks!



• spares









TCT with red laser from the front: electron signal in n-type









red laser = CCE (β) < CV

TCT with red laser from the front: electron signal in n-type

HIP-MCz-01-n-23 9.7 x 10¹⁴ p/cm²









red laser < CCE (β) = CV

TCT with red laser from the back: electron signal in p-type





RD50

Front vs. back











Protons vs. neutrons

RD50



