



## Analysis of circular polarization of γ - quanta with energy 10 – 30 MeV using Compton-polarimeter

## <u>A.P. Potylitsyn</u>, I.S. Tropin Tomsk Polytechnic University, Lenin Ave. 30, 634050 Tomsk, Russia

# Circularly polarized γ - beam i) Compton scattering of laser photons by ultra relativistic electrons (KEK)





γ**-rays** 20 dơ/dE<sub>γ</sub> [mb/MeV] 15 Sum 10 5 0 **50** 0 10 20 30 **40 60** Energy [MeV]

Differential cross section of the Compton scattering for right-handed polarized laser photons with wavelength of 532 nm backscattered off 1.28 GeV electrons as a function of the y-ray energy. The dashed and dotted curves correspond to the helicities of +1 and -1 for the  $\gamma$  rays, respectively

Transmission depends on the direction of the magnetic field

Cross section of Compton scattering

 $(\sigma_{comp}(\uparrow\uparrow) < \sigma_{comp}(\uparrow\downarrow))$ 

Air Cherenkov Threshold energy 22 MeV

 $N_+ - N_-$ 

 $N_{+} + N_{-}$ 

(~1%)

M. Fukuda. PRL, 91, 164801 (2003)

#### ii) Undulator radiation (SLAC)

Conceptual layout (not to scale) of the experiment to demonstrate the production of polarized positrons in the SLAC FFTB





The number of photon  $N(E_{\gamma})$  of undulator radiation as a function of photon energy  $E_{\gamma}$  integrated over angle, for electron energy  $E_{\gamma} = 46.6$  GeV, undulator period  $\lambda_u = 2.54$  mm, and undulatorstrength parameter K = 0.17. The peak energy  $E_1$  of the first-harmonic (dipole) radiation is 7.89 MeV

The longitudinal polarization  $P(E_{\gamma})$  of the undulator radiation as a function of photon energy for an undulator with a right-handed helical winding

G. Alexander et al. SLAC-PUB-13605



(3)

Polarimeter is based on the compton scattering process of circularly polarized photons by longitudinally polarized electrons in a magnetized iron.

The cross-section of this process:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot r_0^2 \cdot \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_0^2} \cdot \left\{ \left[ \frac{\omega_0}{\omega} + \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} - \sin^2 \theta \right] + P_c \cdot P_e \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{\omega_0}{\omega} - \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \right) \cdot \cos \theta \right] \right\} = \frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} \left\{ 1 + P_c \cdot P_e \cdot R \right\} \right]$$
(1)

 $\omega_0(\omega)$  – energy of initial (scattered) photons,  $\theta$  – photon scattering angle,

 $P_c$  – degree of photon circular polarization,  $P_e$  – degree of longitudinal electron polarization

$$\frac{d\sigma_0}{d\Omega} = r_0^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right) \cdot \left\{\frac{\omega_0}{\omega} + \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} - \sin^2\theta\right\} \right)$$

Cross-section for unpolarized particles

The asymmetry ratio R in (1) is expressed as

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{\left(\frac{\omega_0}{\omega} - \frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right) \cdot \cos\theta}{\frac{\omega_0}{\omega} + \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} - \sin^2\theta}$$

#### There were used transmission polarimeters in KEK and SLAC







σ <sub>↑↑</sub> mb	arn					
	<sup>10</sup> For $P_e = E_{\gamma} = 56$	= 0.07, MeV ce $\sim 7\%$	$P_e = 1.0$ $P_e = 0.07$	$ \begin{array}{c} \overline{R}P_{e} \\ 0.5 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.2 \end{array} $		P <sub>e</sub> = 1.0
		20 30	40 50 60	$E_{\gamma}$ , MeV	10 20 3	$P_e = 0.07$ 30 40 50 Ey, MeV
(	Exp	$E_{\gamma}$ , MeV	$\sigma_0$ , mbarn	R	T <sub>est</sub>	T <sub>sim</sub>
	KEK	56	13.2	0.506	0.0153	0.013
	SLAC	7.9	59.6	0.327	0.0446	0.034- 0.036

 $P_e = 0.07, n = 2.18 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-3}, L = 15 \text{ cm}$ 

Transmission polarimetry:

- possible contribution from shower photons
- low rate due to large length of iron (photon attenuation length for  $E_{\gamma} \approx 60$  MeV,  $\lambda = 30$  g/cm<sup>2</sup> = 4 cm)
- low asymmetry ratio
- The possible scheme for compton polarimeter without above mentioned disadvantages [A.S. Aryshev, A.P. Potylitsyn, M.N. Strihanov. IX Workshop on High Energy Spin Physics, SPIN01, Dubna, 373 (2001)]











35



$$\begin{split} T = \frac{N_{\uparrow\uparrow} - N_{\uparrow\downarrow}}{N_{\uparrow\uparrow} + N_{\uparrow\downarrow}} = \frac{P_e P}{1 + \frac{N_p}{N_c}} \\ N_p = \int_{\Delta E} N_{pair} dE_e; \quad N_c = \int_{\Delta E} N_{compton} dE_e \end{split}$$

 $\Delta E \rightarrow E_{e\min} = 27.5 \text{ MeV}, E_{e\max} = 31 \text{ MeV}$ Yield ~  $3 \cdot 10^2 e^-$  / bunch  $N_p/N_c =$ = 0.146; T = 0.055

A spectral – angular selection of scattered electrons may provide significant increasing of an analyzer power

> The Magnet Spectrometer was used in [T. Suwada et al. PRE, **67**, 016502 (2003)] to measure positron spectra at  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$

POSIPO



#### The ILC scheme proposed [S.Araki et al. Snowmass (2005)]



POSIPOL ((()))				
	CO2	YAG		
			-	

parameter	CO2	YAG
Electron energy (GeV)	4.1	1.3
Electron bunch charge (nC)	10	10
RF frequency (MHz)	650	650
Hor beam size at IP, rms ( $\mu$ m)	25	25
Ver beam size at IP, rms ( $\mu$ m)	5	5
Bunch length at IP, rms (mm)	5	5
Laser photon energy (eV)	0.116	1.164
Laser radius at IP, rms ( $\mu$ m )	25	5
Laser pulse width, rms (mm)	0.9	0.9
Laser pulse power / cavity (mJ)	210	592
Number of laser cavities (IPs)	30	30
Crossing angle (degrees)	8	8

 $n_{ph}(23.2 \text{ MeV} \le E_{\gamma} \le 29 \text{ MeV}) \sim 0.22 \text{ per e}^{-1}$  $\overline{n} = n_{ph}(0 \le E\gamma \le 29 \text{ MeV}) \sim 0.9 \text{ per e}^{-1}$ 

5 km



There may be a needful for precise measurement of Pc. The Poisson distribution for mean  $\overline{n}$ :

$$P_{\overline{n}}(n) = \exp(-\overline{n}) \frac{(\overline{n})^n}{n!}$$

(n = 0, 1, 2, ..., - number of emitted photons by electron)

For	$\overline{n} =$	0.9

n	$P_{n}(n)$
0	0.41
1	0.36
2	0.17
3	0.05
4	0.01
5	
	1

 $U_{m}(\gamma_{0},\gamma)$  – normalized electron energy distribution after emission of m photons (mc<sup>2</sup> = 1)[A. Kolchuzhkin,A. Potylitsyn et al. NIMB, **201**, 207 (2003)]





#### Photon spectra from electrons with $U_0$ , $U_1$



### CONCLUSION



- The polarimeter proposed may provide the increasing of analyzing power at list 4 times in comparison with a transmission polarimeter
- In order to decrease a systematic error the scheme proposed allows to change magnetization field H after passing of a few (< 10) bunches</li>
- The intensity of detected electrons allows to achieve a statistical error ~ 10 % during a few seconds



## Thank you for your attention!