# Analytic Study on Compton Rings

## Eugene Bulyak Thanks to: P. Gladkikh, T. Omori, L. Rinolfi, J. Urakawa

NSC KIPT (Kharkov, Ukraine)

Work supported by "Grant-In-Aid for Creative Scientific Research of JSPS (KAKENHI 17GS0210)" PosiPol'09 Lyon, 23 June 2009

<span id="page-0-0"></span>**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト** 

# **Underline**

- Advantages and limitations of ring-based Compton gamma sources
- Large recoils from scattered off gammas: how to overcome
- Steady–state spread and continual generation
- Laser cooling of electron bunches in gamma sources

(ロ) (伊)

ă.  $QQ$ 

#### Advantages c.f. baseline undulator-based

- Independence on the electron leg of a collider
	- Enables the positron leg to be maintained and operated independently from the electron's
	- Possibility to transform positron bunches before input
- High polarization degree
- Wide beam of gamma, easy to collimate

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**≮ロト ⊀ 何 ト ⊀ ヨ ト ⊀ ヨ ト** 

# Limitations and Drawbacks

- Technological limitations (will be overcome in future)
	- High power in laser pulses necessary
	- High electron currents and dense bunches required
- **•** Essential limitations
	- Large recoil underwent electrons while scattering off laser photons

each scattered gamma carries away the energy up to

$$
\Delta\gamma \leq 4\gamma^2\gamma_{las}
$$

(YAG laser: 20 MeV for  $E<sub>b</sub> = 1$  GeV, 30 MeV for 1.3 GeV, and so on)

• For the next turns the electron may avoid scattering

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

 $QQ$ 

## Pulsed Operation of Gamma Sources RF Phase Manipulation, [Phys. Rev. ST-AB, 2006]



ILC CO2 ring, no RFPM



ILC CO2 ring, RFPM

- Storage ring with RFPM makes possible to get necessary yield (60 gammas per 100 turns per electron)
- Scheme requires nonlinear orbit compaction with rather low linear term
- Very large deviation of momenta (causes problems with the transverse motion)
- Stability problems for intense bunches would arise because of the weak longitudinal focusing and the short bunches along the orbit
- **•** Train length should not exceed half of the synchrotron period: the scheme requires high laser power and wigglers for intercooling **K ロ ⊁ K 伊 ⊁ K**  $2Q$

## Strong Longitudinal Focusing Longitudinal Low–β Insert ( Idea: Junji Urakawa, 2006)



- Emittance (not spread)  $\bullet$ preserved along ring's orbit.
- Spread locked within the chicanes.
- Balance 'heating–cooling' at CP determines the spread.
- Short bunches within chicanes, low spread beyond.
- LLBI scheme is capable to produce long trains of gammas.

 $2Q$ 

← 一个 →

4 0 8





- Is it possible to get continual generation of gammas?
- Can we rid off the cooling wigglers?
- What is 'minimal' Compton ring?

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

<span id="page-6-0"></span>÷.

[Steady–State Energy Spread](#page-7-0) [Transverse Bunch Dimensions](#page-11-0)

Compton X–ray Rings Model: low intensity wide laser pulse

> Partial energy spread,  $\Delta y = \Delta \gamma / \gamma$ , induced by interactions of circulating electrons with the laser pulse,

$$
\sigma_{y}^{2} = \frac{\langle (\Delta y)^{2} \rangle}{4 \langle \Delta y \rangle} = \frac{7}{10} \gamma \gamma_{\text{las}}
$$

for Compton scattering  $\langle\Delta y\rangle = 2\gamma\gamma_{\rm las}, \left\langle(\Delta y)^2\right\rangle = 28/5(\gamma\gamma_{\rm las})^2$ as was obtained earlier and verified by simulations, independent on the laser pulse power and machine parameters.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

[Steady–State Energy Spread](#page-7-0) [Transverse Bunch Dimensions](#page-11-0)

### Compton Gamma–ray Rings Dependence of spread on laser power

- In gamma rings the electron may scatter off several photons per pass of CP. The ratio of mean squared losses to average losses becomes dependent on the power in the laser pulse. The steady–state spread increases with the laser pulse power.
- Symmetry between number of electrons per bunch and number of laser photons per pulse is broken:
	- Yield proportional to  $N_{\text{electrons}} \times N_{\text{photons}}$
	- Spread (and bunch length) increases with *N*<sub>photons</sub>
- To increase yield it is preferable to store more electrons

4 ロ ) (何 ) (日 ) (日 )

[Steady–State Energy Spread](#page-7-0) [Transverse Bunch Dimensions](#page-11-0)

#### Compton Gamma–ray Rings Dependence of spread on laser pulse dimensions



- If only the central volume of the bunch interacts with the laser pulse (small dimensions of the laser pulse) then:
	- Heating of the bunch remains the same
	- Cooling increases up to twofold (maximum cooling from point–size laser pulse)

 $2Q$ 

Spread decreased, by factor 1/ √ 2 maximum

Effect of intensity of the pulse is reverse to the effect of its dimensions **K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ** 

[Steady–State Energy Spread](#page-7-0) [Transverse Bunch Dimensions](#page-11-0)

#### Compton Gamma–ray Rings Spread in double–chicane ring



- Strong longitudinal focusing:
	- High synchrotron frequency, period of oscillations is about two turns
	- Small amplitude of oscillations at CP

#### Energy spread reads  $\bullet$

(ロ) (伊)

$$
\sigma_y^2 = \beta_{cp} \left< \frac{1}{\beta} \right> \boxed{\frac{7}{10} \gamma \gamma_{las}}
$$

 $2Q$ 

#### Simulations: spread in LLBI was not decreased

[Steady–State Energy Spread](#page-7-0) [Transverse Bunch Dimensions](#page-11-0)

Transverse Laser Cooling Analytics and simulations

# Partial transverse Compton emittance

$$
\epsilon_{x,z} \approx \frac{3}{10} \beta_{x,z}^{(CP)} \frac{\gamma_{\text{las}}}{\gamma}
$$

# and dimensions

$$
\sigma_{x,z}^2=\frac{\beta_{x,z}^2\gamma_{las}}{3\gamma}
$$

will help to get dense bunches to collide with the laser pulses.

- **•** Simulations for  $E = 1$  GeV Chicanes
	- $\epsilon_{x,z} = (21, 1.05) \times 10^{-9}$  m rad
	- $P_{\text{las}} = 0.6$  J, 5  $\mu$ m  $\times$  0.9 mm
	- 8 <sup>o</sup> crossing in (*x*, *y*) (horizontal) plane
- **Results of simulation** 
	- $\theta_{X,z} = 0.5 \,\text{m}$   $\epsilon_{x,z}$  = (45.6, 7.47) × 10<sup>-11</sup> m rad (theo  $\epsilon_{\bf x}$ , $\epsilon_{\bf x}$  = 1.65  $\times$  10<sup>-10</sup> m rad)

• 
$$
\beta_{x,z} = 0.05 \text{ m}
$$
  
\n $\epsilon_{x,z} = (26.8, 1.9) \times 10^{-11} \text{ m rad}$   
\n(theo  $\epsilon_{x,z} = 1.65 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m rad}$ )

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right.$ 

<span id="page-11-0"></span>B

# Summary

- Spread of energy in Comptom ring is controllable
- Better way to enhance yield is to increase beam current than to store more laser photons

[Simulated Ring](#page-14-0)

- 'Thin' laser waist is preferable
- Compton ring can operate in continual mode with laser cooling (no wiggler required)
- Very high rf-voltage necessary (about 100...200 MV)
- Collision insertion chicanes + transverse low betas has to be designed

**K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K** 

<span id="page-12-0"></span>重き

[Simulated Ring](#page-14-0)

# Outlook. Minimal machine

## **•** ILC baseline

- Average electron current 50  $\mu$ A
- Positron current = electron's $\times$ 1.5 (50 % overhead)
- Gammas–to–positrons conversion 0.005, equiv current of gammas  $0.05 \times 1.5/0.005 = 15$  mA
- Ring's electrons–to–gammas conversion at 0.6 J of pulse  $= 0.04$
- Minimal stored current 350 mA (ILC), 100 mA (CLIC) is attainable.
- Enhancement in gammas–to–positrons conversion is of prime importance

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

[Simulated Ring](#page-14-0)

# Simulation of Continual Mode 1.03 GeV, YAG laser 0.6 J, Max  $E_{\text{gamma}} = 20 \,\text{MeV}$

- Input
	- 100000 turns
	- $\bullet$  betaX = 0.6, betaZ = 0.2.
	- alpha1 =  $2.0E-4$
	- $\bullet$  emit0X = 2.1E-8.
	- $\bullet$  emit0Z = 1.05E-9.
	- $\bullet$  Urf = 2E8,
	- $\bullet$  Frf = 2E9.
	- $\bullet$  harmNUM = 312.
	- $\bullet$  synLOSS = 20000.0,
	- $\bullet$  lambdaChic = 0.9688
- Output
	- dispFI 8.9871876E-02
	- dispP 5.8855113E-02
	- Xdim 1.4911203E-05
	- emitX 3.6549094E-10
	- Zdim 2.7703127E-06
	- emitZ 3.8011181E-11
	- total quanta scattered 3941.89975775439

K ロ ⊁ K 何 ≯ K ヨ ⊁ K ヨ ⊁

 $2Q$ 

<span id="page-14-0"></span>€