

A diamond crystal bender for spectral diagnostics

Ulrike Boesenberg, Liubov Samoylova, Thomas Roth, Sergey Terentev, Diling Zhu, Harald Sinn, Anders Madsen

8th Hard X-ray FEL Collaboration Meeting, Pohang, Korea

XFEL Aims and Motivation

- Measurement of the energy dispersion within a single pulse with high resolution.
- Challenges at EU.XFEL with bent Si- spectrometer and 4.5MHz due to thermal load foreseen.
- Measurements on single shot basis (120 Hz @ LCLS and 4.5MHz @ EU.XFEL).
- Test of a diamond crystal-bender at LCLS with variable bending radius in February 2016.
- Simultaneous comparison of diamond and silicon analyzer crystals.







XFEL A bent-crystal spectrometer



Energy resolution: Function of detector pixel size, bending radius R, energy and detector distance L:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{\Delta p}{\tan\theta \cdot (2L + R\sin\theta)}$$

- The effect of the bending radius is on the energy resolution is negligible, here.
- Energy range: Function of energy, beamsize z and bending radius R:

$$\frac{\Delta E_{range}}{E} = \frac{z \cdot \cos\theta}{R \cdot \sin^2\theta}$$



8th Hard X-ray FEL Collaboration Meeting, Pohang, 24.-26. October 2016 Ulrike Boesenberg, European XFEL GmbH

XFEL Experiment parameters



- Comparison C*(220) with Si(220) and later Si(440) at 7.6 keV
- Further C*(440) measured at 10.5 keV
- C* analyzer: thickness 20 μm, R~0.1m
- Si analyzer: thickness 10 μm, R ~ 0.05 m
- Pink SASE beam, 180pC bunch charge, 33fs pulse duration
- 120Hz repetition rate
- Beamsize ~ 500 × 500 μm
- = 2D cameras with scintilator screens, ~5 μ m spatial resolution
- Experiments performed at the XCS instrument @ LCLS



XFEL Yes, it works!









8th Hard X-ray FEL Collaboration Meeting, Pohang, 24.-26. October 2016 Ulrike Boesenberg, European XFEL GmbH



- Si(220) resolution much coarser than C*(220)
- Si(440) resolution similar to C*(220)
- No effective change in energy resolution with changing the bending radius was observed



0.0

7570 7580 7590

7600 7610 7620 7630

Energy / eV

Analyzer crystal	Darwin width Analyzer (eV)	δE (pixel res.) (eV)	δE_{eff} (eV)	measured resolution (eV)
C*(220)	0.15	0.055	0.16	0.24
C*(440) (10.5 keV)	0.031	0.034	0.046	0.32
Si(220)	0.44	0.14	0.46	0.61
Si(440)	0.069	0.039	0.079	0.32

8th Hard X-ray FEL Collaboration Meeting, Pohang, 24.-26. October 2016 Ulrike Boesenberg, European XFEL GmbH





Sum over Si*(220) images, 1. 'notch' due to beamsplitter, 2. caused by the diamond spectrometer



XFEL Energy resolution - notch-width

- Introducing the C*(111) beam-splitter monochromator of XPP
- Comparing the expected and measured width of the notch to describe the energy resolution.



normalized energy / eV

Analyzer crystal	δE_{eff} (eV)	Darwin width C* 111 (eV)	Expected width (eV)	Measured width (eV)	Deviation
C*(220)	0.16	0.45	0.47	0.48	2.1%
C*(440) (10.5keV)	0.046	0.62	0.62	0.71	14.5%
Si (220)	0.46	0.45	0.64	1.0	56.3%
Si (440)	0.079	0.45	0.45	0.56	24.4%



XFEL Comparison to future EU.XFEL setup

- LCLS: FOV=5mm, assuming: distance 0.35m, 1024 pixels of 5µm.
 - This would be similar to ~15mm FOV at 1m distance.
- XFEL with a Gotthard I detector: FOV=64mm, distance 1m, 1280 pixels of 50µm.
 - The full bandwidth should be covered
 - Theoretical pixel resolution is 0.216 eV for C*(220) at 7.6 keV for 50 μm pixels (Si (220) @ LCSL was 0.14 eV).
 - For C*(440) at 10.5keV calculated pixel resolution is 0.092eV for 50 μm pixels (@ LCSL measured 0.034 eV)

XFEL Summary and conclusions

- Successful measurements with a bent-diamond spectrometer in transmission geometry at LCLS
- Direct comparison to in-line bent-Si spectrometer
- Two orientations (C*(220), C*(440)) tested and two different bending radii
- The ultra-thin diamond device is much more stable in vacuum than previously measured Si crystals (Feng et al, JPCS 425, 2013).











Maurizio Vannoni, Idoia Freijo Martín



XCS team with Aymeric Robert, Sanghoon Song, Yiping Feng, Tim Brandt van Driel



Vladimir Blank

Thank you for your attention!!!!