Intra-Beam scattering studies for CLIC damping rings

A. Vivoli*

Thanks to : M. Martini, Y. Papaphilippou

* E-mail : Alessandro.Vivoli@cern.ch

CONTENTS

- Introduction
- Status of IBS calculations
- MOCAC code
- Conclusions

Intra-Beam Scattering

IBS is the effect due to multiple Coulomb scattering between charged particles in the beam



- Only Particles at the same position interact
- Only the momenta of the particles change

All the scattering events produce a variation of the particle distribution of the beam:

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t), \qquad N_b = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) \, d^3 \mathbf{p} d^3 \mathbf{r}$$

4/1/2009

A. Vivoli, M. Martini IBS studies for CLIC DR 3

Considering only IBS, the evolution of the distribution function is given by:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{p},t)^{I} \stackrel{BS}{=} \int \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial t}(\mathbf{r},t,\mathbf{p}^{'}\rightarrow\mathbf{p}) - \frac{\partial N}{\partial t}(\mathbf{r},t,\mathbf{p}\rightarrow\mathbf{p}^{'})\right) d^{3}\mathbf{p}^{'}$$

Also mean values of functions of the particle coordinates change:

$$\epsilon_{k} = \beta_{k} r_{k}^{\prime 2} + 2\alpha_{k} r_{k} r_{k}^{\prime} + \gamma_{k} r_{k}^{2}$$

$$\epsilon_{k,RMS}(t) = \frac{\langle \epsilon_k \rangle_t}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int \epsilon_k(r_k, r'_k) \,\rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) \, d^3 \mathbf{r}' d^3 \mathbf{r}$$

It would be possible to follow the evolution of the beam parameters if the evolution of the distribution $\rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ was known.

4/1/2009

The effect of the IBS on the beam emittance is evaluated by means of the growth rates:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{k,IBS}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{k,RMS}} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\langle \epsilon_k \rangle}{2} \qquad \qquad k = x, z, s$$

Growth rates are calculated at specific points on the lattice.

The Bjorken-Mtingwa formulation gives, for the growth rates:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_k} = \frac{r_0^2 c N(\log)}{8\pi\gamma^4 \beta^3 \epsilon_x \epsilon_z \epsilon_s} \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{1/2} d\lambda}{\left|L + \lambda I\right|^{1/2}} \left\{ Tr L^{(k)} Tr (L + \lambda I)^{-1} - 3 Tr L^{(k)} (L + \lambda I)^{-1} \right\}$$

4/1/2009

Growth rates are calculated at different points of the lattice and then averaged over the ring:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {\bf S}_{6} & {\bf S}_{1} \\ {\bf S}_{5} & {\bf S}_{2} \\ {\bf S}_{5} & {\bf S}_{2} \\ {\bf S}_{4} & {\bf S}_{3} \end{array} & \quad \frac{1}{\tau} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{S_{k+1} - S_{k}}{S} \frac{1}{\tau_{k}} & \quad S = \sum_{k=1}^{N} S_{k} \\ \end{array}$$

Considering only the contribution of IBS, the evolution of the emittance is given by :

$$\frac{d\epsilon_{k,RMS}}{dt} = \frac{\epsilon_{k,RMS}}{\tau_{k,IBS}}$$

4/1/2009

Taking into consideration also radiation damping and quantum excitation, the emittance evolves according to the equation:

$$\frac{d\epsilon_{k,RMS}}{dt} = -\frac{2}{\tau_k} (\epsilon_{k,RMS} - \epsilon_{k,0,RMS}) + \frac{2\epsilon_{k,RMS}}{\tau_{k,IBS}}$$

For the CLIC damping rings the parameters are:

- Damping time = 1.5 , 1.5 , 0.8 ms
- IBS Growth time = 1.9, 6, 3.9 ms
- Horizontal emittance (No IBS) = 89 nm
- Horizontal emittance (IBS) = 461 nm
- Vertical emittance (No IBS) = 3.0 nm
- Vertical emittance (IBS) = 4.4 nm
- Longitudinal emittance (No IBS) = 2256 eV s
- Longitudinal emittance (IBS) =3460 eV s

In classical IBS theory $\tau_{k,IBS}$ is calculated assuming:

Bjorken-Mtingwa:

- Coulomb Scattering transition rate from QED
- Gaussian Distribution

Piwinsky-Martini:

- Rutherford cross section
- Gaussian Distribution

• How do $\tau_{k,IBS}$ change if the distribution is not Gaussian ?

• Is it possible to follow the evolution of the particle distribution in the DR?

MOCAC Code

Developed by P. R. Zenkevich and A. E. Bolshakov, ITEP, Moscow, Russia



- Not dedicated to IBS study.
- Rather complicated structure
- Not modified since 2006

It needs to be elaborated

4/1/2009

MOCAC Code: test

Due to the complicated structure of the code it was not easy to fit the parameters of the program for the test.

29-janv-09

SPS 1/108 lattice: p=26 GeV/c, N=1E14 (1 bunch), eHn=eVn=0.5 um, lbunch=1 ns, dpp=2.5E-3

	1/TL [s-1]	1/TX [s-1]	1/TY [s-1]	Cb(Log)
MADX: BJORKEN-MTINGWA Coulomb log computed	2,91E-03	1,22E-01	1,51E-02	15,742
Mathematica: BJORKEN-MTINGWA Coulomb log				
computed	3,05E-03	1,30E-01	1,65E-02	15,747
Mathematica: BJORKEN-MTINGWA Coulomb log=20	3,87E-03	1,65E-01	2,09E-02	20,000
Mathematica: PIWINSKI	3,81E-03	1,62E-01	2,28E-02	
MOCAC	-2,70E+00	2,88E+00	5,06E-01	

A more accurate comparison will be possible after cleaning of the code.





A. Vivoli, M. Martini IBS studies for CLIC DR

11

MOCAC 'tracks' particles through their invariants.

Transversal invariants:

$$\epsilon_x(i) = \beta_x \left(x'_i - D' \frac{\Delta p_i}{p} \right)^2 + 2\alpha_x \left(x'_i - D' \frac{\Delta p_i}{p} \right) \left(x_i - D \frac{\Delta p_i}{p} \right) + \gamma_x \left(x_i - D \frac{\Delta p_i}{p} \right)^2$$
$$\epsilon_z(i) = \beta_z {z'_i}^2 + 2\alpha_z z_i z'_i + \gamma_z {z_i}^2$$

Longitudinal invariant:

$$\epsilon_s(i) = \left(\frac{\Delta p_i}{p}\right)^2 + \frac{(2\pi)^2 \nu_s^2}{\left(\alpha - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)^2 C^2} \Delta s_i^2 \qquad i = 1, \dots, Num. Part.$$

Phases of the particles are not tracked.

4/1/2009

The initial distribution of the beam is a randomly generated Gaussian*:

Only the invariants of the particles are generated according to the probability density distribution:

$$p(\epsilon_k) = \frac{1}{\langle \epsilon_k \rangle} e^{\left(-\frac{\epsilon_k}{\langle \epsilon_k \rangle}\right)} \qquad \langle \epsilon_k \rangle = 2\epsilon_{k,RMS}$$

The initial emittances of the beam are given in the input file.

Taking uniformly distributed phases assures a Gaussian distribution of the particles.

- Input parameters are read from an input file (ASCII)
- Optical functions of the lattice are read from a MAD 8 output file

• A cubic interpolation of the optical functions is performed to calculate the values of the functions at N equally spaced points of the lattice (total length of the lattice is kept constant)



4/1/2009

A. Vivoli, M. Martini IBS studies for CLIC DR 14

The total length of the simulation is $N_{INJ} \ge T_{INJ}$

 T_{INJ} is the injection period (not used for IBS)

Each period T_{INJ} is divided in N_{IBS} sub-periods of length $T_{IBS} = T_{INJ} / N_{IBS}$

In each sub-period T_{IBS} the scattering routine is run at each of the equally spaced N points of the ring.

The scattering routine is called at intervals $\Delta T = T_{IBS} / N$

After each call of the scattering routine the invariants of every particle are changed according to the momentum change of the particles.

MOCAC Code: Scattering routine

The coordinates and momenta of the particles are generated.

 $\phi_x = \phi_z = \phi_s$ are randomly generated uniformly in [0 2π] for each particle.

$$\frac{\Delta p_i}{p} = \sqrt{\epsilon_s(i)} \cos(\phi_s) \qquad \Delta s_i = \frac{(\alpha - \frac{1}{\gamma^2})C}{2\pi\nu_s} \sqrt{\epsilon_s(i)} \sin(\phi_s)$$
$$x'_i = -\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_x(i)}}{\sqrt{\beta_x}} [\alpha_x \cos(\phi_x) + \sin(\phi_x)] + D'_x \frac{\Delta p_i}{p} \qquad x_i = \sqrt{\epsilon_x(i)\beta_x} \cos(\phi_x) + D_x \frac{\Delta p_i}{p}$$
$$z'_i = -\frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_z(i)}}{\sqrt{\beta_z}} [\alpha_z \cos(\phi_z) + \sin(\phi_z)] \qquad z_i = \sqrt{\epsilon_z(i)\beta_z} \cos(\phi_z)$$

The scattering routine implements the Binary Collision Model (*T. TAKIZUKA and H. ABE, Journal of Computational Physics 25, 1977*)

4/1/2009

MOCAC Code: Scattering routine (BCM)

Particles are grouped in geometric cells.



The density inside each cell is considered uniform $\rho = N_p/V$ Particles in the same cell are considered at the same point in space and then can scatter.

The particles inside each cell are randomly coupled and a 'collision' between the particles of each couple is simulated.

The collision is simulated in the center of mass frame, which is assumed to be the beam rest frame, for any couple of particles.



Operating the following rotation of the beam frame:

$$\mathsf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta P_{x}{}^{CM} \Delta P_{s}{}^{CM}}{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{CM}| |\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{-}_{\perp} CM|} & \frac{\Delta P_{z}{}^{CM} \Delta P_{s}{}^{CM}}{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{CM}| |\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{-}_{\perp} CM|} & -\frac{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{-}_{\perp} CM|}{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{CM}|} \\ -\frac{\Delta P_{z}{}^{CM}}{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{-}_{\perp} CM|} & \frac{\Delta P_{x}{}^{CM}}{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{-}_{\perp} CM|} & 0 \\ \frac{\Delta P_{x}{}^{CM}}{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{-}_{\perp} CM|} & \frac{\Delta P_{z}{}^{CM}}{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{-}_{\perp} CM|} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we are lead to the simplest case:

$$\left(0,0,\frac{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{CM}|}{2}\right) \qquad \left(0,0,-\frac{|\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{CM}|}{2}\right)$$

The momentum change can be now expressed by the angles Ψ and Φ



A. Vivoli, M. Martini IBS studies for CLIC DR 20

The scattering angle is determined by:

$$\Psi = 2r_i \sqrt{\frac{8\pi c\rho L_c \Delta T}{\gamma^5 \beta^3 |\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{CM}|^3}} \qquad L_c = \log\left(\frac{\Delta z \beta^2 \gamma^2 |\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{CM}|^2}{2r_i}\right)$$

There is a discrepancy between this formula and P. Zenkevich, NIM A, 577 (2007):

$$\sin\left(\frac{\Psi}{2}\right) = r_i \sqrt{\frac{4\pi c\rho L_c \Delta T}{\gamma^5 \beta^3 N |\overrightarrow{\Delta P}^{CM}|^{3/2}}}$$

To be clarified by Zenkevich.

4/1/2009

Averaging the momentum change over all the particles we should get:

$$\overrightarrow{F} = \frac{d < \Delta \overrightarrow{P_1} >}{dt} = -\frac{2\pi c r_i^2}{\gamma^5 \beta^3} \left\langle L_c \frac{\overrightarrow{P_1} - \overrightarrow{P_2}}{\left|\overrightarrow{P_1} - \overrightarrow{P_2}\right|^3} \right\rangle$$

 \overrightarrow{F} is the friction force in the Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial P_m} (F_m \rho) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial P_m \partial P_{m'}} (D_{m,m'} \rho)$$

4/1/2009

By transforming back $\overrightarrow{\Delta P_1}^{CM}$ to the laboratory frame it is possible to compute:

$$\overrightarrow{P_1'}^{LAB} = \overrightarrow{P_1}^{LAB} + \overrightarrow{\Delta P_1}^{LAB} \qquad \qquad \overrightarrow{P_2'}^{LAB} = \overrightarrow{P_2}^{LAB} + \overrightarrow{\Delta P_2}^{LAB}$$

Using the momentum conservation: $\overrightarrow{\Delta P_2}^{LAB} = -\overrightarrow{\Delta P_1}^{LAB}$

The new invariants for the 2 particles are then calculated.

MOCAC Code: Possible changes

- Complete the cleaning of the code and run new tests (in progress)
- Read the optical function from a MADX output file (short time)
- Tracking the particles using the phase advances (not an issue)

• Replace the scattering dynamics with a dynamics compatible with the classical IBS theory (been examined, feasible-medium time)

 Implement the damping effect in the code (under investigation by Zenkevich and Bolshakov – will be needed after IBS investigations completed)

Conclusions

MOCAC contains good ideas to investigate the evolution of the beam distribution in the Damping Ring

- It is possible to include radiation damping
- It is possible to use different scattering dynamics
- It is compatible with MAD X

But it needs to be made compatible with the classical IBS calculations

THANKS.

The End

4/1/2009