CERN

Size and $\langle p_T \rangle$ fluctuations ¹

Mikołaj Chojnacki



Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences Kraków, Poland

V Workshop on Particle Correlations and Femtoscopy 14-17 October 2009

¹based on: Woiciech Broniowski, MCh, Łukasz Obara; arXiv:0907.3216 [nucl-th] = > Mikołaj Chojnacki (IFJ PAN) 17 October 2009 pT fluctuations 1/17

Motivation

Size fluctuations of the initial conditions

An event with the same number of wounded nucleons N_w may have different shape and size.



Two examples² of non-central ¹⁹⁷Au + ¹⁹⁷Au collision with $N_w = 198$.

²GLISSANDO WB, M. Rybczyński, P. Bożek, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180** (2009) 69 🛓 ాం ૧

Motivation

Size fluctuations of the initial conditions

An event with the same number of wounded nucleons *N*_w may have different shape and size.



Two examples² of non-central ¹⁹⁷Au + ¹⁹⁷Au collision with $N_w = 198$.

smaller size \to larger gradients \to larger hydrodynamic flow \to \to larger p_{T} (and vice versa)

²GLISSANDO WB, M. Rybczyński, P. Bożek, Comput. Phys. Commun. **180** (2009) 69 ≣ ∽ ۹.0

Event-by-event fluctuations

average size fluctuations

 average of the transverse size in a given event

$$\langle r \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{w}} \sqrt{x_{i}^{2} + y_{i}^{2}}$$

e-by-e average of transverse size

$$\langle \langle r \rangle \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{events}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{events}} \langle r \rangle_k$$

Event-by-event fluctuations

average size fluctuations

 average of the transverse size in a given event

. .

$$\langle r \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm W}} \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$$

e-by-e average of transverse size

$$\langle \langle r \rangle \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{events}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{events}} \langle r \rangle_k$$



convenient measure — scaled standard deviation for set N_w

$$\sigma_{\text{scaled}} = rac{\sigma\left(\langle r
ight)}{\langle\langle r
angle}$$

In the wounded nucleon model the σ_{scaled} is insensitive to σ_{NN} .

Event-by-event fluctuations

average size fluctuations

 average of the transverse size in a given event

. .

$$\langle r \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{W}} \sqrt{x_{i}^{2} + y_{i}^{2}}$$

e-by-e average of transverse size

$$\langle \langle r \rangle \rangle = \frac{1}{N_{events}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{events}} \langle r \rangle_k$$



convenient measure — scaled standard deviation for set N_w

$$\sigma_{scaled} = rac{\sigma\left(\langle r
ight)}{\langle\langle r
angle}$$

In the mixed model $(\frac{\alpha}{2}N_w + (1 - \alpha)N_{bin})$ a moderate change with σ_{NN} is caused by the different admixture of the binary collisions profile which is much more sensitive to fluctuations.

Mikołaj Chojnacki (IFJ PAN)

Hydrodynamics with statistical hadronization ³

(2+1) perfect fluid hydro + THERMINATOR



³WB, MCh, W. Florkowski and A. Kisiel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 022301 (2008) AK, WB, MCh and WF, Phys. Rev. **C 79**, 014902 (2009)

Mikołaj Chojnacki (IFJ PAN)

pT fluctuations

e-by-e hydrodynamics

fluctuating initial conditions

Instead of 100 000 events, two are enough!

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

e-by-e hydrodynamics

fluctuating initial conditions

- Instead of 100 000 events, two are enough!
- Size of the initial condition for hydrodynamics (energy density profile) is scaled up and down according to the scaled variance.
- No e-by-e energy fluctuations (could be included).

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

e-by-e hydrodynamics

fluctuating initial conditions

- Instead of 100 000 events, two are enough!
- Size of the initial condition for hydrodynamics (energy density profile) is scaled up and down according to the scaled variance.
- No e-by-e energy fluctuations (could be included).





initial central temperature is changed from 455 MeV to 466 MeV (stretched) or 445 MeV (squeezed) profile \rightarrow total energy the same.

LHYQUID - 2+1 perfect fluid hydrodynamics

realistic Equation of State ^a



- initial time $\tau_i = 0.25$ fm/c
- freeze-out temperature $T_f = 145 \text{ MeV}$

Hydrodynamics with statistical hadronization

- LHYQUID 2+1 perfect fluid hydrodynamics
 - realistic Equation of State ^a



- initial time $\tau_i = 0.25$ fm/c
- freeze-out temperature $T_f = 145 \text{ MeV}$



- LHYQUID 2+1 perfect fluid hydrodynamics
 - realistic Equation of State^a



- initial time $\tau_i = 0.25$ fm/c
- freeze-out temperature $T_f = 145 \text{ MeV}$
- THERMINATOR statistical hadronization
 - Cooper-Frye formalism used to produce particles
 - resonance decays included

^aMCh, WF, WB and AK, Phys. Rev. **C 78**, 014905 (2008) MCh, WF, Acta Phys. Polon. **B 38** (2007) 3249



distributions of $\langle r \rangle$ and $\langle p_T \rangle$

• The distribution of the $\langle r \rangle$ is approximately Gaussian

$$f(\langle r
angle) \sim \exp\left(-rac{(\langle r
angle - \langle \langle r
angle))^2}{2\sigma^2(\langle r
angle)}
ight)$$

Imagine we ran simulations with fixed $\langle r\rangle$ (no size fluctuations). Then particles would have some average momentum \bar{p}_T

- Now let us include fluctuations of $\langle r \rangle$. We can use Taylor expansion

$$\bar{p}_{T} - \langle \langle p_{T} \rangle \rangle = \left. \frac{d\bar{p}_{T}}{d\langle r \rangle} \right|_{\langle r \rangle = \langle \langle r \rangle \rangle} (\langle r \rangle - \langle \langle r \rangle \rangle) + \dots$$

• The statistical distribution of $\langle \bar{p}_T \rangle$ is

$$f(ar{
ho}_T) \sim \exp\left(-rac{(ar{
ho}_T - \langle\langle oldsymbol{
ho}_T
angle)^2}{2\sigma^2(\langle r
angle) \left(rac{dar{
ho}_T}{d\langle r
angle}
ight)^2}
ight)$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

scaled variance of $\langle p_T \rangle$

The full statistical distribution $f(\langle p_T \rangle)$ in a given centrality class is a folding of the statistical distribution of $\langle p_T \rangle$ at a fixed initial size, centered around a certain \bar{p}_T , with the distribution of \bar{p}_T centered around $\langle \langle p_T \rangle \rangle$.

$$\begin{split} f(\langle p_T \rangle) &\sim \int d^2 \bar{p}_T \exp\left(-\frac{(\langle p_T \rangle - \bar{p}_T)^2}{2\sigma_{stat}^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{(\bar{p}_T - \langle \langle p_T \rangle \rangle)^2}{2\sigma_{dyn}^2}\right) \\ &\sim &\exp\left(-\frac{(\langle p_T \rangle - \langle \langle p_T \rangle \rangle)^2}{2\left(\sigma_{stat}^2 + \sigma_{dyn}^2\right)}\right) \end{split}$$

where $\sigma_{dyn}(\langle p_T \rangle) = \sigma(\langle r \rangle) \left. \frac{d\bar{p}_T}{d\langle r \rangle} \right|_{\langle r \rangle = \langle \langle r \rangle \rangle}$ is extracted by the experimentalists.

Scaled dynamical variance

$$\frac{\sigma_{dyn}}{\langle\langle \boldsymbol{p}_{T}\rangle\rangle} = \frac{\sigma(\langle \boldsymbol{r}\rangle)}{\langle\langle \boldsymbol{r}\rangle\rangle} \frac{\langle\langle \boldsymbol{r}\rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle \boldsymbol{p}_{T}\rangle\rangle} \left. \frac{d\bar{\boldsymbol{p}}_{T}}{d\langle \boldsymbol{r}\rangle} \right|_{\langle \boldsymbol{r}\rangle = \langle\langle \boldsymbol{r}\rangle\rangle}$$

・ロン ・四 ・ ・ ヨン ・ ヨン

comparison with experiments



- scaled variation for Wounded Nucleon model (red crosses) and mixed model (blue crosses)
- overal amazing agreement!
- mixed model overshoots data by 20% which can possibly be reduced by modified evolution (i.e. viscosity in hydrodynamics)
- proper scaling is reproduced, $\sigma_{dyn}/\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle \sim 1/\sqrt{N_W}$

connection to the EoS⁴

 \blacksquare scaled variance of $\langle p_T \rangle$ is connected to thermodynamics

$$\frac{\sigma_{dyn}}{\langle \langle \boldsymbol{p}_{T} \rangle \rangle} = \frac{P}{\varepsilon} \frac{\sigma(\langle \boldsymbol{s} \rangle)}{\langle \langle \boldsymbol{s} \rangle \rangle} = 2 \frac{P}{\varepsilon} \frac{\sigma(\langle \boldsymbol{r} \rangle)}{\langle \langle \boldsymbol{r} \rangle \rangle}$$

where s is the entropy density, ε energy density, and P the pressure

⁴Jean-Yves Ollitrault, Phys. Lett. **B 273** (1991)

connection to the EoS⁴

scaled variance of $\langle p_T \rangle$ is connected to thermodynamics

$$\frac{\sigma_{dyn}}{\langle \langle \boldsymbol{p}_{T} \rangle \rangle} = \frac{P}{\varepsilon} \frac{\sigma(\langle \boldsymbol{s} \rangle)}{\langle \langle \boldsymbol{s} \rangle \rangle} = 2 \frac{P}{\varepsilon} \frac{\sigma(\langle \boldsymbol{r} \rangle)}{\langle \langle \boldsymbol{r} \rangle \rangle}$$

where s is the entropy density, ε energy density, and P the pressure



We can study this way the average properties of the equation-of-state i.e. its stiffness

⁴Jean-Yves Ollitrault, Phys. Lett. **B 273** (1991)

Mikołaj Chojnacki (IFJ PAN)

pT fluctuations

17 October 2009 11 / 17

Conclusions

- a few percent fluctuations at the initial size of the collision explains the bulk of the experimental (p_T) fluctuations (even too much!)
- proper scaling with the number of wounded nucleons $\sigma_{dyn}/\langle\langle p_T \rangle\rangle \sim 1/\sqrt{N_W}$ proper dependence on centrality
- a weak dependence on energy
- our (p_T) fluctuations should be considered as the main geometric background for studying further effects like: (mini) jets, clusters, temperature fluctuations, etc.
- average information on P/ε according to Ollitrault's formula

backup slides

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

GLISSANDO

GLauber Initial-State Simulation AND mOre

The algorithm:

- nucleon positions generated according to the Woods-Saxon distribution,
- a short-range repulsion is simulated by keeping the distance (*d* ≥ 0.4 fm) between the nucleons,



Overlapping nucleons in the transverse plane

Mikołaj	Chojnacki	(IFJ PAN)
---------	-----------	-----------

イロト イポト イラト イラ

GLISSANDO

Nuclear density profiles

Nucleons interact if the distance $d = \sqrt{\sigma_{NN}/\pi}$.

Three models for constructing the nuclear density profile are consider:

- Wounded Nucleons [Bialas, Bleszynski, Czyz, 1976],
- Binary Collisions,
- mixture of the two above, where α is the fraction of the binary collisions taken.

The inelastic cross-section $\sigma_{\rm NN}$ varies from 32 mb (SPS), 42 mb (RHIC) to 63 mb at the LHC.



Initial condition

initial transverse energy density profile — Gaussian fit to GLISSANDO

$$\varepsilon(x, y) = \varepsilon_0(T_i) \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2a^2} - \frac{y^2}{2b^2}\right)$$

- parameters a, b and T_i depend on centrality,
- eccentricity fluctuations are included,
- **a** and **b** are fitted to reproduce the GLISSANDO's $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$,
- T_i is fitted to reproduce the correct particle multiplicity

С	[%]	0-5	5-10	10-20	20-30	30-40	40-50	50-60	60-70
а	[fm]	2.70	2.54	2.38	2.00	1.77	1.58	1.40	1.22
b	[fm]	2.93	2.85	2.74	2.59	2.45	2.31	2.16	2.02
T_i	[MeV]	500	491	476	455	429	398	354	279

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

average transverse momentum

• event-averaged transversed momentum $\langle \langle p_T \rangle \rangle$



- solid line: averaged over whole *p*_T range, dashed line: STAR cuts 0.2 GeV < *p*_T < 2 GeV
- experimental points from STAR Collaboration Phys. Rev. **C** 79, 034909 (2009) extrapolated to full p_T range

< 6 b

4 10 10 14