



The Fifth Workshop on Particle Correlations and Femtoscopy (WPCF2009) CERN 14 - 17 October 2009 (\*) G.Wilk, Z.Włodarczyk,

[#] Multiplicity fluctuations due to the temperature fluctuations in high-energy nuclear collisions PRC79(2009)054903

[#] Power laws in elementary and heavy-ion collisions; - A story of fluctuations and nonextensivity? - EPJA40(2009)299

[#] Fluctuations, correlations and the nonextensivity Physica A376(2007)279

(\*) M.Rybczyński, Z.Włodarczyk, G.Wilk,

[#] Possible signal for critical point in hadronization processes APPB35(2004)819

(\*) O.V.Utyuzh, G.Wilk, Z.Włodarczyk,

[#] Limitations of the  $\Phi$  measure of fluctuations in event-by-event analysis PRC64(2001)027901

# **Fluctuations**

- (\*) The large (and increasing) number of particles produced make it possible to study fluctuations in different physical observables on event-by-event basis
- (\*) Fluctuations are potentially very important source of information on the thermodynamic properties of strongly interacting systems formed in heavy ion collisions like, for example, specific heat, its chemical potential, matter compressibility,....
- (\*) Fluctuations of multiplicity observed in heavy ion collisions exhibit spectacular and unexpected features as function of the number of participants:

scaled variance of the multiplicity distributions, Var(N)/<N>, increases when proceeding from the central toward peripheral collisions, i.e., when the number of participants  $N_P$  decreases.

- (\*) So far models aimed at describing all essential features of multiparticle production processes fail to describe the above observation of behaviour of Var(N)/<N> versus N<sub>P</sub>.
- (\*) We shall analyse fluctuations using nonextensive statistical mechanics approach.

# Why statistical approach ...

Hadronic production processes: large number\_(N) of secondaries is produced

#### Hadronic production processes: large number (N) of secondaries is produced

... but usually only one of them is observed and single particle distributions are formed

L.Van Hove, Z.Phys. C21 (1985) 93, Z.Phys. C27 (1985) 135.

dσ = $f(\vec{p}_T, p_L)$  $d^3r$ 

# Hadronic production processes: *large number* (*N*) of secondaries is produced ... but usually only one of them is observed dσ and single particle distributions are formed = $f(\vec{p}_T, p_L)$ $\mathbf{d}^{3}$

L.Van Hove, Z.Phys. C21 (1985) 93, Z.Phys. C27 (1985) 135.

> statistical approach emerges as the simplest model independent tool with a single parameter T

Statistical approach emerges as simplest model independent tool with a single parameter T

(N-1 remain particles)

# Heat bath

 $\pi^{\dagger}$ 

(Single selected particle)

L.Van Hove, Z.Phys. C21 (1985) 93, Z.Phys. C27 (1985) 135.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^{3}p}\Big|_{\pi^{+}} = f\left(\vec{p}_{T}, p_{L}\right) = C \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E}{T}\right) = C \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\vec{p}_{T}^{2} + p_{L}^{2} + m^{2}}}{T}\right)$$

But: In such "thermodynamical" approach one has to remember tacit assumptions of infinity and homogenity made when formulating this approach - only then behaviour of the observed particle will be characterised by a single parameter - the "temperature" T

In reality: This is true only approximately and in most cases we deal with systems which are neither infinite nor homogeneous

In both cases: Fluctuations occur and new parameter(s) in addition to T is(are) necessary

Can one introduce it keeping simple structure of statistical model approach?

Yes, one can, by applying nonextensive statistical model.

[G.Wilk, Z.Włodarczyk, EPJA40(2009)299]

### Heath bath is not homogeneous



$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}_{0} = \langle \mathbf{T} \rangle, \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{T}_{1} \\ \mathbf{T}_{2} \\ \mathbf{T}_{2} \\ \mathbf{T}_{3} \\ \mathbf{T}_{4} \\ \mathbf{T}_{6} \\ \mathbf{T}_{6} \\ \mathbf{T}_{1} \\ \mathbf{Heat bath} \\ \mathbf{T}_{10} \\ \mathbf{q} \\ \mathbf{T}_{5} \\ \mathbf{T}_{0} = \langle \mathbf{T} \rangle \\ \mathbf{T}_{0} = \langle \mathbf{T} \rangle \\ \mathbf{T}_{10} = \langle \mathbf{T} \rangle$$



Statistical approach emerges as simplest model independent tool with a single parameter T

 $\pi^+$ 

Q

# Heat bath

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^{3}p}\Big|_{\pi^{+}} = f\left(\vec{p}_{T}, p_{L}\right) = C \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{E}{T}\right) = C \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{\vec{p}_{T}^{2} + p_{L}^{2} + m^{2}}}{T}\right)$$

In many cases there is still one more possibility, namely the

energy exchange between the local heat bath and a still bigger environment (surroundings).

In this case some energy can be transfered either

- (\*) to the system from this environment (as in cosmic rays case) or
- (\*) from the system to this environment (as in nuclear collisions case)

[WW, PRC79(2009)054903;EPJA40(2009)299; hep-ph/0904.0528].

In this case

$$T \rightarrow T_{eff} = T_0 + (q-1)T_{visc}$$

with other formulae remaing intact. Notice the new parameter,  $\mathbf{T}_{\rm visc},$  here.

**Not covered here:** Other types of fluctuations concerning, for example, the whole energy available (inelasticity); notice that they are fluctuations from event to event rather than in a given event.

**Ilustration of**  $T_{eff} = T_0 + (q-1)T_{visc}$  phenomenon



From RHIC data on 200 GeV for  $p_T$  distributions of pions (a) and antiprotons (b)

 $T_{eff}$ =0.22-1.25(q-1) for (a) and  $T_{eff}$ =0.36-3.4(q-1) for (b)

**Ilustration of**  $T_{eff} = T_0 + (q-1)T_{visc}$  phenomenon



Notice that  $T_{visc} < 0$ , i.e., the energy is transferred from the interaction region to the surroundings – here represented by the spectators (noninteracting nucleons)

**Ilustration of**  $T_{eff} = T_0 + (q-1)T_{visc}$  phenomenon



Notice that  $T_{visc}$  <0, i.e., the energy is transferred from the interaction region to the surroundings – here represented by the spectators (noninteracting nucleons)

Also this will not be discussed here in detail (cf. G.Wilk, Z.Włodarczyk, PRC79(2009)054903; EPJA40(2009)299)

# Multiplicity fluctuations

Multiplicity fluctuations are essentially the main (if not the only one ...) source of information on the fluctuations taking place in the hadronizing system. Notice: P(N) reflect global properties of the system whereas  $p_{T}$  distribution are sensitive rather to the local thermal-like properties. The corresponding values of q-1 are different:  $(q-1) >> (q_{\tau}-1)$ . In what follows we shall use only q.

Parameter q measures also dynamical fluctuations in P(N)

(\*) Experiment: P(N) is adequately described by NBD depending on  $\langle N \rangle$  and k (k $\geq$ 1) affecting its width:

$$\frac{1}{K} = \frac{\sigma^{2}(N)}{\langle N \rangle^{2}} - \frac{1}{\langle N \rangle}$$

(\*) If 1/k is understood as a measure of fluctuations of <N>, then

$$P(N) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d\overline{n} \frac{\overline{n}^{n} \exp(-\overline{n})}{n!} \cdot \frac{\gamma^{k} \overline{n}^{k-1} \exp(-\gamma \overline{n})}{\Gamma(k)}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(k+n)}{\Gamma(1+n)\Gamma)\Gamma(} \cdot \frac{\gamma^{k}}{(\gamma+1)^{k+n}} \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma = \frac{k}{<\overline{n}>}$$
$$\frac{1}{k} = D(\overline{n}) = \frac{\sigma^{2}(\overline{n})}{<\overline{n}>^{2}} = q-1$$

<**n>** 

one expects: q=1+1/k what indeed is observed G.Wilk, Z.Włodarczyk, EPJA40(2009)299; F.Navarra, O.Utyuzh, WW, PRD67(2003)114002]

(**P**. Int.

### **Parameter q measures also dynamical fluctuations in P(N)**

#### **Digression:**

C.Vignat and A.Plastino in

Estimation in a fluctuating medium and power-law distributions,

PIA360(2007)415,

demanded to give credit to

R.A.Fisher, Ann.Eugenics 11(1941)182, available at

http://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/coll/special/fisher/stat\_math.html

as the first person to propose and discus such connection between

the Poisson and NB distributions.

**Temperature fluctuations vs. Multiplicity fluctuations** 



$$g(E_{i}) = Cexp\left(-\frac{E_{i}}{T}\right) \implies P(N) = \frac{\langle N \rangle^{N}}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle\right); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{\langle N \rangle^{N}}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{\langle N \rangle^{N}}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{\Gamma(N+1)\Gamma(k)} \frac{\left(\frac{\langle N \rangle}{k}\right)^{N}}{\left(1+\frac{\langle N \rangle}{k}\right)^{N+k}} = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{\Gamma(N+k)}{\Gamma(N+1)\Gamma(k)} \frac{\left(\frac{\langle N \rangle}{k}\right)^{N}}{\left(1+\frac{\langle N \rangle}{k}\right)^{N+k}} = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{E}{T} \implies P(N) = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle = \frac{P(N)}{N!}exp\left(-\langle N \rangle); \quad \langle N \rangle$$

[G.Wilk, Z.Włodarczyk, PRC79(2009)054903; EPJA40(2009)299; Physica A376(2007)279]

# **Temperature fluctuations vs. Multiplicity fluctuations**



[G.Wilk, Z.Włodarczyk, PRC79(2009)054903; EPJA40(2009)299; Physica A376(2007)279]

# **Temperature fluctuations vs. Multiplicity fluctuations**



[G.Wilk, Z.Włodarczyk, PRC79(2009)054903; EPJA40(2009)299; Physica A376(2007)279]

#### **Temperature fluctuations vs. Multiplicity fluctuations**



For a system with finite size remaining in contact with a heat bath one has a kind of uncertainty relation (in the sense that it expresses the truth that in the case of conjugate variables one standard deviation in some measurement can only become small at the expense of the increase of some other standard deviation):

$$Var(U) + C_V^2 Var(T) = \left\langle T \right\rangle^2 C_V \quad [\bullet]$$
  
This is valid all the way from the canonical ensemble where

Var(T) = 0andVar(U) =  $\langle T \rangle^2 C_V$ microcanonical ensemblewhere

to the

Var(U) = 0 and  $Var(T) = \langle T \rangle^2 / C_V$ 

[•] expresses both the complementarity between the temperature and energy, and between the canonical and the microcanonical description of the system.

**Canonically distributed system:** 

Isolated system:

Let us assume that realistic (intermediate) case interpolates linearly between these two and that the energy fluctuations In the system is equal:

Parameter  $\xi$  depends on the size of the hadronizing source and is assumed to be given by the number N<sub>P.</sub> of nucleons participating in the collision:  $\xi \approx N_{P.}/A$ . Because C<sub>V</sub>  $\approx$  aN<sub>P</sub> we obtain



$$Var(U) = \langle T \rangle^2 C_V$$
$$Var(U) = 0$$



**Centrality dependence of fluctuations (measured by q-1)** 



#### Universal participant dependence of multiplicity fluctuations

#### We expect that:

$$Var(N)/\langle N \rangle - 1 = \langle N \rangle (q-1)$$

If U is the accessible energy and  $gT_{eff}$  is energy per particle (with g being a parameter and  $T_{eff} = T_0 + (q-1)T_{visc}$ ) then  $\langle N \rangle = \langle U \rangle / [gT_{eff}]$  and

 $n_0$  is the multiplicity in the single NN collision measured in the region of acceptance and defined by constraint  $<U>=n_0gT_0N_P$ 



$$\frac{\text{Var}(N)}{\langle N \rangle} = 1 - T_{\text{visc}} \left( \langle N \rangle - n_0 N_P \right)$$

Notice:

(\*) Var(N)/<N> and <N> are mutually connected

Therefore observed decreasing of Var(N)/<N> with N<sub>P.</sub> indicates nonlinear dependence of <N> on the number of participants N<sub>P</sub>

# Multiplicity fluctuations

To recapitulate:

- (\*) we know that if U=const and T=const then P(N) is Poissonian
- (\*) we know how fluctuations of T lead to NB multiplicity distributions
- (\*) we want to see how big are fluctuations of T in our hadronizing systems formed in a collision process:

Assuming that the variance expressing energy fluctuations in the system for the intermediate case is equal to  $Var(U) = \langle T \rangle^2 C_V \xi$ ,  $0 < \xi < 1$ , where parameter  $\xi$  depends on the size of the hadronizing source, one gets finally that  $q - 1 = (1 - \xi)/C_V$ 

(\*) knowing how big the fluctuations of T are, we attempt to deduce the fluctuations of the multiplicity N.

#### Multiplicity fluctuations: - system size dependence [1]

The system size enters through  $C_V$  and  $\xi \approx N_P/A$ :

- for  $C_v = a N_P$ 

 $\langle N \rangle_{NP=A} = n_0 A$  is multiplicity extrapolated to  $N_P = A$ . Notice different dependencies for Pb+Pb collision and lighter nuclei and deviation from the linear fit for most peripheral Pb+Pb collisions.

- for C<sub>v</sub> =a'<N>
one gets similar picture

$$\left[ \left\langle \mathbf{N} \right\rangle - \frac{\mathbf{N}_{P}}{\mathbf{A}} \left\langle \mathbf{N} \right\rangle \Big|_{\mathbf{N}_{P}=\mathbf{A}} \right] \frac{\mathbf{N}_{P}}{\left\langle \mathbf{N} \right\rangle} = \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{a}} \left( 1 - \frac{\mathbf{N}_{P}}{\mathbf{A}} \right) = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{N}_{P} (\mathbf{q} - 1)$$

$$\left[ \left\langle \mathbf{N} \right\rangle - \frac{\mathbf{N}_{P}}{\mathbf{A}} \left\langle \mathbf{N} \right\rangle \Big|_{\mathbf{N}_{P}=\mathbf{A}} \right] \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\left\langle \mathbf{N} \right\rangle} = \frac{\mathbf{c}}{\mathbf{a}} \left( 1 - \frac{\mathbf{N}_{P}}{\mathbf{A}} \right) = \mathbf{c} \mathbf{N}_{P} (\mathbf{q} - 1)$$

#### Multiplicity fluctuations: - system size dependence [2]

One gets in our approach simple scaling relation (dashed line):

Var(N)/<N>



#### Multiplicity fluctuations: - system size dependence [2]

The solid line represents the phenomenological fit to the simple formula

$$\frac{\operatorname{Var}(N)}{\langle N \rangle} = \omega_0 + \frac{1}{a'} \left( 1 - \frac{N_P}{A} \right) \quad where \quad \omega_0 = \left[ \frac{\operatorname{Var}(N)}{\langle N \rangle} \right]_{N_P = A}$$
(full line)  $\omega_0 = 0.79$ 





Parameter  $\omega_0$  (which within a statistical model with fixed volume varies in the range 0.5-1.0) accounts here for the possible effects expected from the following factors:

- (1) The global conservation laws when imposed on each microscopic state of the statistical system lead to suppression of the particle number fluctuation (by 0.8 for canonical ensemble down to 0.3 for microcanonical one).
- (2) Effect of quantum statistics it is observed in the primordial values of the scaled variables (i.e., calculated before decays of resonances), they enhance of particle number fluctuations by 1.05-1.06.
- (3) The inclusion of known resonance decays (in either ensemble) results in the enhancement of multiplicity fluctuations by about 1.1 (depending on the acceptance).

Multiplicity fluctuations: - system size dependence [2]



Multiplicity fluctuations: - system size dependence [2]



#### Notice:

- (\*) We observe a weak dependence on the mass number A of colliding nuclei.
- (\*) For a small number of participants, N<sub>P</sub> << A, we observe an additional increase of relative variance, Var(N)/<N>.
- (\*) However, should we use T<sub>visc</sub> > 0 instead, one would observe the opposite trend – resulting in a nonmonotonic behavior of Var(N)/<N> with increasing number of participants N<sub>P</sub>.

(The question about the sign of the heat source term or  $T_{visc}$  is still open because of the lack of data in the region of small number of participants).

#### Some other results (1)

Transverse momentum fluctuations measured in nuclear collisions at 158 A GeV and quantified by the measure  $\Phi(p_T)$  shows similar centrality dependence,



#### It is determined by the pattern of multiplicity fluctuations

# • Some other results (2)

Our predictions (full line) for the scaled covariance for:

Var(p<sub>T</sub>)/<p<sub>T</sub>>=0.43 <p<sub>T</sub>>=400 MeV, b=4.8 MeV n<sub>0</sub>=0.642, c=4.1, a'=1, Φ<sub>Np=A</sub>=1.44 MeV (PRC79(2009)054903)

Data points are from STAR (PRC72(2005)044902)

$$\frac{\text{Cov}(p_{\text{Ti}}, p_{\text{Tj}})}{2\sqrt{\text{Var}(p_{\text{T}})}} \cong \frac{\Phi_{N_{\text{P}}=A} + b\left(1 - \frac{N_{\text{P}}}{A}\right)}{n_{0}N_{\text{P}} + \frac{c+1}{a'}\left(1 - \frac{N_{\text{P}}}{A}\right)} = \frac{\Phi_{N_{\text{P}}=A} + aN_{\text{P}}b(q-1)}{n_{0}N_{\text{P}} + \frac{c+1}{a'}aN_{\text{P}}(q-1)}$$





A.Franz, BNL, PHENIX Highlights - QM08 Jaipur India, 04-10 February 2008

Slide: 9

### • Some other results (3) – particle ratio fluctuations

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{N_{\pi}/N_{K}}^{2} &= \frac{\left\langle \left(\Delta N_{\pi}\right)^{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{\pi}\right\rangle^{2}} + \frac{\left\langle \left(\Delta N_{K}\right)^{2}\right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{K}\right\rangle^{2}} - 2\frac{\left\langle\Delta N_{\pi}\Delta N_{K}\right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{\pi}\right\rangle\left\langle N_{K}\right\rangle} = \\ &= (q_{\pi}-1) + (q_{K}-1) - 2\frac{Cov(K\pi)}{\left\langle N_{\pi}\right\rangle\left\langle N_{K}\right\rangle} = \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{a_{K}} + \frac{1}{a_{\pi}}\right)\frac{1}{N_{P}}\left(1 - \frac{N_{P}}{A}\right) + \kappa = \alpha\frac{1}{N_{P}}\left(1 - \frac{N_{P}}{A}\right) + \kappa \\ \alpha &= \frac{1}{a_{K}} + \frac{1}{a_{\pi}}; \qquad \kappa = -2\frac{Cov(K\pi)}{\left\langle N_{\pi}\right\rangle\left\langle N_{K}\right\rangle}; \qquad a_{\pi,K} = \frac{C_{\pi,K}}{N_{P}} \end{split}$$

Assumption: fluctuations of both types of particles behave in the same way as function of centrality

• Some other results (3) – particle ratio fluctuations

Assumption: fluctuations of both types of particles behave in the same way as function of centrality



# Summary [1]:

- (\*) Some features of the observed multiplicity fluctuations are due to the intrinsic, nonstatistical fluctuations of the temperature of the hadronizing system.
- (\*) They can be described by the nonextensive Tsallis statistics with the nonextensivity parameter q, which can be associated with the number of participants  $N_P$  (assumed to represent the size of the colliding system).
- (\*) Data require a generalization of the notion of fluctuating temperature by adding effects of the possible energy transfer between the hadronizing source and the surroundings composed of nucleons not participating directly in the reaction. This resulted in the introduction of a q-dependent effective temperature T<sub>eff</sub>.
- (\*) One has to allow for the fluctuations of the full accessible energy U, otherwise Var(N)/<N> remains constant, independent of N<sub>P</sub>/A.

# Summary [2]:

- (\*) The above multiplicity fluctuations describe also fully:
  - [#] the observed transverse momentum fluctuations;
  - [#] the observed transverse momenta correlations;
  - [#] the observed pattern of particle ratios fluctuations.
  - In all above examples fluctuations in  $p_T$  only play minor role .

# The End

# **Thank You**