21st Real Time Conference, Williamsburg

# Development of next generation LLRF control system for J-PARC rapid cycling synchrotron

### Fumihiko Tamura <sup>1</sup> Yasuyuki Sugiyama <sup>1</sup> Masahito Yoshii <sup>1</sup> Masatsugu Ryoshi <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>J-PARC Center

<sup>2</sup>Mitsubishi Electric TOKKI systems Corporation

### June 2018

RT2018, Williamsburg, Fumihiko Tamura

Development of next generation LLRF control system for J-PARC rapid cycling synchrotron

### Contents

- 1. Introduction
  - J-PARC RCS and its rf system
- 2. LLRF functions for RCS
  - Existing LLRF control system and functions
  - Why new system?
- 3. Next generation LLRF control system
  - System overview
  - Key features and performance
- 4. Summary and outlook

# J-PARC rapid cycling synchrotron (RCS)

RCS is a very high intensity proton driver, which delivers beams to Material and Life Science Experimental Facility (MLF) and main ring synchrotron (MR).



- Magnetic alloy (MA) cavities employed
  - high rf voltage, 440 kV by 12 cavities
  - driven by high power tetrode tube amp
  - Wideband, Q = 2

parameter	
circumference	348.333 m
energy	0.400-3 GeV
beam intensity	8.3 × 10 <sup>13</sup> ppp
beam power	1 MW
repetition rate	25 Hz
accelerating freq	1.22-1.67 MHz
harmonic number	2
max rf voltage	440 kV
No. of cavities	12
Q of rf cavity	2

#### MA cavity and tube amplifier:



Frequency response of Q = 2 cavity:

#### Dual harmonic voltage:



- No tuning bias loop necessary to follow accelerating frequency sweep (h = 2)
  - Ferrite cavity requires it
- Dual harmonic operation
  - A single cavity driven by superposition of accelerating and second harmonic rf (h = 2,4)
  - Bunch shaping using second harmonic is indispensable for high intensity acceleration
- Wake voltage is multiharmonic; multiharmonic beam loading compensation is necessary



#### With second harmonic:



- No tuning bias loop necessary to follow accelerating frequency sweep (h = 2)
  - Ferrite cavity requires it
- Dual harmonic operation
  - A single cavity driven by superposition of accelerating and second harmonic rf (h = 2,4)
  - Bunch shaping using second harmonic is indispensable for high intensity acceleration
- Wake voltage is multiharmonic; multiharmonic beam loading compensation is necessary



- No tuning bias loop necessary to follow accelerating frequency sweep (h = 2)
  - Ferrite cavity requires it
- Dual harmonic operation
  - A single cavity driven by superposition of accelerating and second harmonic rf (h = 2, 4)
  - Bunch shaping using second harmonic is indispensable for high intensity acceleration
- Wake voltage is multiharmonic; multiharmonic beam loading compensation is necessary



- No tuning bias loop necessary to follow accelerating frequency sweep (h = 2)
  - Ferrite cavity requires it
- Dual harmonic operation
  - A single cavity driven by superposition of accelerating and second harmonic rf (h = 2, 4)
  - Bunch shaping using second harmonic is indispensable for high intensity acceleration
- Wake voltage is multiharmonic; multiharmonic beam loading compensation is necessary

### 1. Introduction

- J-PARC RCS and its rf system
- 2. LLRF functions for RCS
  - Existing LLRF control system and functions
  - Why new system?
- Next generation LLRF control system
  - System overview
  - Key features and performance
- 4. Summary and outlook

### Existing LLRF control system



- Developed JFY 2003-2006, in operation since 2007
- Consists of specialized 9U height VME modules
  - P1: VME
  - P2, P3: specialized parallel bus for signal distribution
  - Virtex II pro and Spartan-II used
- System clock: 36 MHz



• (Blue) common functions for whole system

• (Red) for each of 12 cavities



### For frequency sweep:

- Revolution frequency pattern
- Phase accumulator generates revolutional phase signal from -π to π. Multiplying h, higher harmonic phase signal generated
- h = 2, 4 phase signals distributed to modules



### Dual harmonic cavity voltage control:

- for each of 12 cavities
- (Scalar) amplitudes of h = 2,4 controlled by feedback loops

F. Tamura et al., Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, vol. 11, 072001, 2008



### Beam feedback loops:

- Radial loop for correction of frequency using BPM signal
  - Implemented but not used
- Phase loop for damp the longitudinal oscillations
  - Compares phases of beam and cavity vector sum



### Vector sum function:

- Detected I/Q cavity voltage of the harmonic is rotated and sent to the vector sum module
  - Rotation angle corresponds to the cavity position in RCS ring
- Summation signal is normalized by number of cavities

RT2018, Williamsburg, Fumihiko Tamura

Development of next generation LLRF control system for J-PARC rapid cycling synchrotron



### Multiharmonic feedforward for beam loading compensation:

- Pick up beam current signal by WCM
- FF compensation signal generated so that -i<sub>beam</sub> is fed to the cavity in addition to driving current, to cancel wake voltage. h = 1..6 are compensated

F. Tamura et al., Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, vol. 14, 051004, 2011 F. Tamura et al., Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams, vol. 18, 091004, 2015

RT2018, Williamsburg, Fumihiko Tamura

Development of next generation LLRF control system for J-PARC rapid cycling synchrotror



### Miscellaneous functions (not shown in diagram):

- Kicker trigger, linac chopper gate pulse generation
- Revolution clock for measurement



The existing system has been working well without major problems for more than ten years.

### Why new system?

### Although the existing system working well...

- Old FPGAs (Xilinx Virtex-II pro and others) used in the modules are already discontinued and not supported by the current development environment
- We have spare modules, but it will be difficult to maintain the system in near future

### Therefore, we decided to develop new system.

### Considerations:

- All functions except radial loop to be implemented
- Generic FPGA module + I/O module for specific function preferred
  - Existing modules are different for functions
  - Easier management of spares expected

### 1. Introduction

- J-PARC RCS and its rf system
- 2. LLRF functions for RCS
  - Existing LLRF control system and functions
  - Why new system?
- 3. Next generation LLRF control system
  - System overview
  - Key features and performance
- 4. Summary and outlook

### System overview

### MicroTCA.4 is employed for next generation system.



System clk:

- 144 MHz (existing: 36 MHz)
- generated by clock gen eRTM, distributed via DESY-type rf backplane

Modules classified into two categories:

- Common function module: frequency pattern, phase FB, ...
- Cavity driver: rf gen for cavities, feedforward driver

#### A special module in MCH2 slot:

 High speed serial communication module, detail described later

### System overview

### MicroTCA.4 is employed for next generation system.



System clk:

- 144 MHz (existing: 36 MHz)
- generated by clock gen eRTM, distributed via DESY-type rf backplane

Modules classified into two categories:

- Common function module: frequency pattern, phase FB, ...
- Cavity driver: rf gen for cavities, feedforward driver

A special module in MCH2 slot:

 High speed serial communication module, detail described later

### Multipurpose AMC by Mitsubishi Electric TOKKI systems



- Modern SoC FPGA, Xilinx Zyng XC7Z045
  - EPICS IOC with Linux embedded, setting / monitoring by CA
  - I/Q Waveform monitor is useful for commissioning
- 1 GB SDRAM used as pattern memory
- 8x ADC, 2xDAC
  - An AMC can handle two cavities

## Common function module



- Receives triggers/modes. Generates CTRL/PATN clocks. Distributed to modules via backplane
- Revolution frequency pattern memory, f1 distributed to the modules
  - Existing system: phase signals (h = 2,4)
  - More flexibility for multiharmonic rf generation in cavity drivers

Below are not implemented yet:

- Phase feedback, WCM beam signal analysis for rf feedforward
- Miscellaneous functions (kicker trigger / chopper pulse generation)

### Cavity driver module



- Can control two cavities, thanks to logic capacity of modern FPGA
- Phase accumulator generates phase signal
- Multiharmonic vector rf voltage control and feedforward (not implemented yet)

### Current status

### The system was built in JFY 2017.



#### We have at present:

- Infrastructures (shelf, PM, CPU, MCH)
- 1x common function module
- 1x cavity driver module
- 1x high speed serial communication module

### 1. Introduction

- J-PARC RCS and its rf system
- 2. LLRF functions for RCS
  - Existing LLRF control system and functions
  - Why new system?

### 3. Next generation LLRF control system

- System overview
- Key features and performance

### 4. Summary and outlook





Existing system: dual harmonic, scalar amplitude control → New: multiharmonic vector rf voltage control

- Number of harmonics increased, thanks to capacity of Zynq
- Phase control possible
- can compensate beam loading



Input: cavity voltage, output: multiharmonic rf signal. Eight FB blocks (h = 1..8).



#### Well-known I/Q feedback structure: I/Q demodulator, setpoint, PI control, and I/Q modulator.



Phase signal and frequency of the selected harmonic obtained by multiplying revolution phase signal and f1 with harmonic number hn. Used for I/Q demod/mod and addressing of LUT. LUTs are necessary for frequency sweep.



Phase offset LUT gives phase offset between I/Q demodulator and modulator, to control phase transfer function as well as to compensate frequency response of 1-turn transfer function.



Gain LUT compensates cavity frequency response.



Finally, multiharmonic rf signal obtained by summing up the signals from blocks.

Demonstration of multiharmonic rf generation: Sawtooth wave

Fourier series of a sawtooth wave up to m-th harmonic:

$$f(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{h=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{h+1}}{h} \sin h \omega_1 t$$

- w<sub>1</sub>: revolution angular freq
- Unity amplitude
- h: harmonic number

or m = 8,  

$$f(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \sin \omega_1 t - \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\omega_1 t + \frac{1}{3} \sin 3\omega_1 t \cdots - \frac{1}{8} \sin 8\omega_1 t \right)$$

#### Test setup:



• DUT: amplifier chain + cavity

Demonstration of multiharmonic rf generation: Sawtooth wave

Fourier series of a sawtooth wave up to m-th harmonic:

$$f(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{h=1}^{m} \frac{(-1)^{h+1}}{h} \sin h \omega_1 t$$

- w<sub>1</sub>: revolution angular freq
- Unity amplitude
- h: harmonic number

For m = 8,  

$$f(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \sin \omega_1 t - \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\omega_1 t + \frac{1}{3} \sin 3\omega_1 t \cdots - \frac{1}{8} \sin 8\omega_1 t \right)$$

#### Test setup:



• DUT: amplifier chain + cavity



#### Measured I/Q amplitude:

### Waveform comparison, calc/meas:

- $\omega_1 = 2\pi \cdot 1 \text{ MHz}$
- (I<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>1</sub>) = (0, 3000) for h = 1, higher harmonics according to the equation
- Measured amplitudes close to the set points
- Calc and meas waveforms agree very well



### Measured I/Q amplitude:

#### Waveform comparison, calc/meas:



- $\omega_1 = 2\pi \cdot 1 \text{ MHz}$
- (I<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>1</sub>) = (0, 3000) for h = 1, higher harmonics according to the equation
- Measured amplitudes close to the set points
- Calc and meas waveforms agree very well

Fourier series of a square wave (h = 2n - 1):

$$f(t) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2n-1} \sin(2n-1)\omega_{1}t$$
$$= \frac{4}{\pi} \left( \sin \omega_{1}t + \frac{1}{3} \sin 3\omega_{1}t + \frac{1}{5} \sin 5\omega_{1}t + \frac{1}{7} \sin 7\omega_{1}t \right)$$

#### Waveform comparison, calc/meas:



Multiharmonic vector rf control works nicely:

- Existing system: dual harmonic (h = 2, 4), scalar amplitude control
- New system: eight harmonics, vector control
  - Bunch shaping using third/fourth (h = 6,8) harmonics in addition to second (h = 4) harmonic is possible
  - Beam loading compensation done by voltage control (existing: FF only)

Fourier series of a square wave (h = 2n - 1):

$$f(t) = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2n-1} \sin(2n-1)\omega_1 t$$
$$= \frac{4}{\pi} \left( \sin \omega_1 t + \frac{1}{3} \sin 3\omega_1 t + \frac{1}{5} \sin 5\omega_1 t + \frac{1}{7} \sin 7\omega_1 t + \frac{1}{5} \sin 5\omega_1 t + \frac{1}{7} \sin 7\omega_1 t + \frac{1}{5} \sin 5\omega_1 t + \frac{1}{5} \sin 5\omega$$

#### Waveform comparison, calc/meas:



### Multiharmonic vector rf control works nicely:

- Existing system: dual harmonic (h = 2, 4), scalar amplitude control
- New system: eight harmonics, vector control
  - Bunch shaping using third/fourth (h = 6,8) harmonics in addition to second (h = 4) harmonic is possible
  - Beam loading compensation done by voltage control (existing: FF only)

#### Vector sum:





### Signal transfer is star topology.

- Vector sum: Cavity IQs (drivers)  $\rightarrow$  vector sum  $\rightarrow$  phase FB
- Phase FB signal (common)
   → volt control (driver modules)

Existing system uses cables and parallel backplane.

• Not very sophisticated

# How can we realize star topology with MicroTCA.4?

		Port	Slot:	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7	#8	#9	#10	#11	#12	
nommo	1GbE	0	×													
		2									-				-	
Ŭ	SAS/SATA	3		-			-	-			-	-				
۵	PCIe or SRIO	4	Swiat Jaou													
Fat Pipe		5														
		6														
Ø																<u> </u>
.Fat Pip	1GbE/ SRIO	9		-	H		H			H		H		H		Swlantsut
		10		5												X
Ě		11	мсн	<u> </u>				-			-		-			-
Extended Options	Point-2-point links	12	main		•	•	-	_ <b>_</b> 1		•	•	1	ייין 🕨			MCH
		13			•		•	_			•			-	<b>_</b>	ledundant
		14										ų,	÷	-		
	TCLKC	16										-		TCI		ika 🔤
	TCLKD	10									_		_	TCL	KD + C	
	Triggers, Clocks,	1/														4
		19														
	Interioeko	20									20		20			
<mark>Clocks</mark>		Clk 1		-	CLKA											
		Clk 2	2X	<b>+</b>	<b>ICLKB</b>											
2	PCIe Clock	Clk 3			CLKA										-	

• There are no trivial star-like connections among AMCs

Idea: putting FPGA logic in MCH2 slot and using Port1, although it sacrifices redundancy of MCH



- There are no trivial star-like connections among AMCs
- Idea: putting FPGA logic in MCH2 slot and using Port1, although it sacrifices redundancy of MCH

### Signal flow using Port1:



#### Aurora data format:



# High speed serial communication module:

- Virtex-5 used
- Gathers and delivers signals from/to cavity driver modules and common function module
- Vector sum function implemented

#### Xilinx Aurora used:

- 1 data frame contains 40 data blocks of 16-bits
- Enough for sending 2x cavities' I/Q signals of 8x harmonics
- Sent every control clock (1 MHz)

### Cavity driver I/Q signal:

#### (I,Q) = (20000,0)



#### Vector sum received by common module:

(1) no rotation, normalized by 1



 $\rightarrow$  Received I/Q signal identical to cavity driver

- DUT: 4 m cable
- Cav1 (I,Q) = (20000,0), cav2 (I,Q) = (0,0)
- Cavity driver
  - $\rightarrow$  communication module (vector sum)
  - $\rightarrow$  common module

# This simple test proves that the vector sum function works as expected.

### Cavity driver I/Q signal:

#### (I,Q) = (20000,0)



#### Vector sum received by common module:

(1) no rotation, normalized by 1



 $\rightarrow$  Received I/Q signal identical to cavity driver

#### (2) no rotation, normalized by 2



ightarrow Received amplitude is half

- DUT: 4 m cable
- Cav1 (I,Q) = (20000,0), cav2 (I,Q) = (0,0)
- Cavity driver
  - $\rightarrow$  communication module (vector sum)
  - $\rightarrow$  common module

This simple test proves that the vector sum function works as expected.

### Cavity driver I/Q signal:

#### (I,Q) = (20000,0)



#### Vector sum received by common module:

#### (1) no rotation, normalized by 1



 $\rightarrow$  Received I/Q signal identical to cavity driver

#### (2) no rotation, normalized by 2



ightarrow Received amplitude is half

- DUT: 4 m cable
- Cav1 (I,Q) = (20000,0), cav2 (I,Q) = (0,0)
- Cavity driver
  - ightarrow communication module (vector sum)
  - $\rightarrow$  common module



#### (3) 90 deg rotation, normalized by 1

#### ightarrow (0, 20000) received

This simple test proves that the vector sum function works as expected.

### Cavity driver I/Q signal:

#### (I,Q) = (20000,0)



### Vector sum received by common module:

#### (1) no rotation, normalized by 1



 $\rightarrow$  Received I/Q signal identical to cavity driver

#### (2) no rotation, normalized by 2



ightarrow Received amplitude is half

- DUT: 4 m cable
- Cav1 (I,Q) = (20000,0), cav2 (I,Q) = (0,0)
- Cavity driver
  - ightarrow communication module (vector sum)
  - $\rightarrow$  common module



#### (3) 90 deg rotation, normalized by 1

#### (4) -45 deg rotation, normalized by 1

 $\rightarrow$  (0, 20000) received



ightarrow close to (14142, -14142), 20000 × 1/ $\sqrt{2}$ 

This simple test proves that the vector sum function works as expected.

### Cavity driver I/Q signal:

#### (I,Q) = (20000,0)



### Vector sum received by common module:

#### (1) no rotation, normalized by 1



 $\rightarrow$  Received I/Q signal identical to cavity driver

#### (2) no rotation, normalized by 2



ightarrow Received amplitude is half

- DUT: 4 m cable
- Cav1 (I,Q) = (20000,0), cav2 (I,Q) = (0,0)
- Cavity driver
  - $\rightarrow$  communication module (vector sum)
  - $\rightarrow$  common module



#### $\rightarrow$ (0, 20000) received

## (4) -45 deg rotation, normalized by 1



ightarrow close to (14142, -14142), 20000 × 1/ $\sqrt{2}$ 

# This simple test proves that the vector sum function works as expected.



Development of next generation LLRF control system for J-PARC rapid cycling synchrotron