FCC-ee vs 750GeV

C. Grojean

FCC-ee Physics VIDYO meeting June 27, 2015

disclaimer: most of the slides stolen from K. Fujii @ Santander '16



"wait and see"?

T. Volansky @ NPKI'16, Seoul



"Mais je crois qu'il faut resister à la tentation. On ne va pas stopper la physique des particules chaque année pour un 3 sigma annuel qui va poser son ombre mystérieuse d'un endroit à l'autre. D'ailleurs en 2019 il faudra avoir décidé de la prochaine machine, et après il faudra s'y tenir, quelque soient les 3 sigmas qui ne manqueront pas de se présenter ici ou là -- et même s'ils s'avèrent être de réelles découvertes. Si le signal est réel tout comme s'il ne l'est pas, il faut envisager une recherche très large -- et je pense donc que le futur ne sera sans doute pas si dépendant que ça du résultat." A. Blondel, private communication

750 GeV > E(FCC-ee reach)

If the 750GeV excess were real,

would the next obvious step be to construct a higher energy machine?

Indeed FCC-ee won't directly produce di-gamma on shell (if there is anything to be produced... wait for 2016 results!) but the di-gamma "particle" talks to SM (at least to gamma's and hence to Z and/or W by U(1)xSU(2) invariance) so we can see some of its imprints via precision measurements

LCC exercise:



LCC PHYSICS WORKING GROUP

Main and legitimate question: what would be the added value of a low energy machine to LHC?

Christophe Grojean

750GeV VS. FCC-ee

Our Attitudes towards X750

- 1. It's too early to get excited,
- but if it is real, it is a good example of case 3 in the ICFA letter to MEXT's ILC Advisory Panel: case 3: LHC discovers relatively heavy new particles (which cannot be directly produced at the 500 GeV ILC)
- 3. Since the MEXT Panel recommended to *closely monitor, analyze, and examine the development of LHC experiments*, this is *a good opportunity to do exercise for case 3*. → motivation for this note
- 4. In LCC's letter to the panel, it is stated that "While performing precision studies of the Higgs boson and the top quark, we will prepare for the energy upgrade of the ILC taking advantage of energy expandability enabled by its linear shape."
- 5. The note is intended to show
 - The 500 GeV ILC has a lot to say about X750 through precision measurements plus possible discovery of NPs associated with X750. → this talk
 - Possible energy upgrade with PLC option will open up even greater opportunities to uncover the new physics operating behind X750 together with LHC. → next talk by Francois Richard

Christophe Grojean

750GeV VS. FCC-ee

Questions addressed in the note

- 1. If Φ (=X750) is real, what would the implications be for the program of the ILC?
- 2. Will the ILC be able to shed light on this resonance or on accompanying new physics?

Caution

It might turn out that **the \Phi is a relatively minor player** in a new sector of physics that the LHC will begin to uncover in the next few years.

For this reason, *it is premature to discuss a new accelerator intended specifically to target the* ϕ or any other new particle that turns up in the early 13 TeV LHC data.

Φ = **SM singlet** coupling to **vectorlike quarks and leptons**

Vectorlike quarks for both production and decay



Christophe Grojean

750GeV VS. FCC-ee

Vectorlike quarks for production and vectorlike leptons for decay



G and E are probably beyond the reach of LHC.

Christophe Grojean

750GeV VS. FCC-ee

Φ mixing with H125 (=h)

To avoid large mixing with H125, we need Z_2 under which Φ is odd and H is even. But the Yukawa coupling:

 $\mathcal{L} \subset (m_{\Psi} + y\Phi)\overline{Q}Q$

breaks Z₂. \rightarrow shifts in $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma / gg$ couplings by 1 - 10%

The extra scalar boson may induce a strongly 1st-order electroweak phase transition, opening the possibility of *electroweak baryogenesis*. \rightarrow *shifts in h* \rightarrow *yy/gg couplings by 5 - 10%*

Vectorlike quark mixing with top

In *Little Higgs theories* and *certain versions of the Randall-Sundrum model*, *vectorlike quarks with charge* 2/3—*top quark partners*—cancel the divergence in the Higgs boson mass coming from top quark loops and thus are a crucial ingredient in solving the hierarchy problem.

\rightarrow Top partners mix with the top

→ deviation in the ttZ couplings.

SM + a Singlet Higgs Boson (Φ) + Vector-like fermions

An SU(2) singlet scalar boson has the same quantum numbers, after symmetry breaking, as the Higgs boson and, in general, cannot avoid mixing with the Higgs boson. For a 750 GeV singlet, PEW measurements require

 $sin^2\theta_m < 0.12$

→ The 6% decrease in the hWW and hZZ couplings at maximum.

Neutral heavy vectorlike fermion = *DM*: 300 GeV < m_{ψ} < 450 GeV → *Mono-photon search at 1TeV ILC*

SM + a Doublet Higgs Boson (2HDM) + Vector-like fermions (Φ=H or A)

Non-observation of $\Phi \rightarrow \tau \tau$, tt at LHC $\rightarrow tan\beta \sim 7$

- → LHC "wedge" region where $bb \rightarrow H/A \rightarrow \tau \tau$ for $M_{H/A} > 500 \text{GeV}$ is difficult to observe. $gg \rightarrow H/A \rightarrow \tau \tau$ might also be difficult.
- \rightarrow shifts in H125 to $\tau\tau$ and bb couplings.
 - \rightarrow 750GeV H/A well within 5 σ reach of ILC (H20).

 Φ = H+A (double resonances sitting close together)

 \rightarrow PLC option with transverse photon polarizations to separate H/A.

750GeV VS. FCC-ee

Bound State of New Constituents

Φ = a bound state of heavy colored fermions

- → continuum production of the constituents should be discovered at the LHC.
- \rightarrow radiative corrections produce **O(5%)** shift in the hbb coupling.
 - → precision H125 measurement at ILC.
- → oblique correction of O(1%).
 - \rightarrow deviations in 2-fermion scattering (e⁺e⁻ \rightarrow µ⁺µ⁻/e⁺e⁻) at ILC.

Pion of a New Strong Interaction Sector

Φ = pNGB of *new strong interactions* at multi-TeV

→ Additional pNGBs are expected in the LHC range.

Composite Higgs models, models of **partial compositeness**, **Little Higgs** models or even **Twin Higgs** models, are all having **particular patterns of deviations in the Higgs (and also top) couplings**.

DM = the lightest pNGB → might be enhancing the Φ width (Φ→DM DM) $→ <math>m_{DM} < m_{Φ}/2$

Thermal relic abundance of dark matter is correct for $m_{DM} \sim 300 \text{ GeV}$. If there are two pNGBs close in mass, so that the relic abundance is set by coannihilation, $m_{DM} < 100 \text{ GeV}$ is favored (arXiv:1602.07297) \rightarrow within the reach of 500 GeV ILC.

Christophe Grojean

(750 GeV) effects @ FCC-ee reach

	hWW	$hb\overline{b}$	$h\gamma\gamma$	$ht\overline{t}$	$h \rightarrow$	$h au\mu$	$t\bar{t}Z$	$ ee \rightarrow$	$ee \rightarrow$
	hZZ	$h\tau\tau$	hgg		invis.			$ee, \mu\mu$	γ + invis.
Vectorlike									
fermions		X	X	X			X	X	
2 Higgs									
doublet	X	X	X	X					
Higgs									
singlet	X	X		X			X		
NMSSM									
	X	X	X	X	Х				X
Flavored									
Higgs	X	X	X			X			
NR bound									
state		X		X				X	
Pion of									
new forces		X	X	X	Х		X	X	X
RS									
radion	X	X	X	X			X		
RS									
graviton	X	X		X			X		

Table 2: Anomalies in precision measurements expected to be visible at the ILC for the models of the Φ discussed in this section.

LCC physics WG, to appear

(750 GeV) effects @ FCC-ee reach



LC and X750: summary

 LC can elucidate the physics behind X750 by precision measurement on Higgs, top etc.

+ EW precision measurements (test of SM structure)

- LC may be able to discover new particles related to X750 within its energy reach
- With energies ~1 TeV and above, LC could produce
 X750 directly through γγ option or e+e- at high energy

Provides excellent complementarity to LHC

H. Yamamoto @ Santader'16

(750 GeV) effects @ FCC-ee reach





12

FCC-ee

- LC can elucidate the physics behind X750 by precision measurement on Higgs, top etc.
 - + EW precision measurements (test of SM structure)
- LC may be able to discover new particles related to X750 within its energy reach
- With energies ~1 TeV and above, LC could produce X750 directly through γγ option or e+e- at high energy

Provides excellent complementarity to LHC

many arguments apply to FCC-ee

H. Yamamoto @ Santader'16