# WIN'09: Dark Matter Theory Summary or what's hot in dark matter model building

Adam Falkowski

**Rutgers University** 

WIN'09, Bosco in Italia, 19 September 2009

Image: A matrix

A B K A B K

- Much like in collider searches of new physics, there is a lot of model building options and no chance to discriminate between them without a guidance from experiment
- Unlike in collider searches of new physics, there is a constant flow of experimental data that often put new twists

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

## Evidence

- Rotation curves of galaxies and clusters of galaxies
- Spectrum of primordial density fluctuations from COBE and WMAP
- Numerical simulations of large scale structure
- Dwarf satellite galaxies

All evidence converging to collisionless matter component with  $\Omega_{DM}\sim 0.3,$   $\Omega_{DM}/\Omega_B\sim 5$ 

# Bullet Cluster et al.



Constrains self-interaction cross section  $\sigma/m \stackrel{<}{{}_\sim} 1000/\,{
m GeV^3}$ 



Talk of Zurab Berezhiani this conference: dark matter is made from mirror world particles who are exactly like the SM (just smaller temperature) All in all, everything has to conspire to make it look as very weakly interacting collisionless component

Occam's razor: it IS a very weakly interacting collisionless component

A B F A B F

# What's next

Pinpoint the microscopic properties of the particle (or several particles?) that makes dark matter

Collider physics

Thourough investigation of particle properties, if we are lucky

Direct detection via recoil in detectors

Mass, Cross section on nucleons, Type of interactions

#### Indirect detection via cosmic rays

Mass, Annihilation cross section on nucleons, Type of interactions

The last two have experienced astounding experimental progress in the last years; Further progress expected in very near future

## Direct detection - state of art



Spin-independent cross section limits

#### Spin-dependent cross section limits

Dark matter interacting with nucleons via Z boson exchange excluded, but lot's of other possibilities remain

## Thorn in the side



8/18

#### DAMA vs rest of the world

Can DAMA signal be reconciled with negative signals from other experiments?

- Inelastic dark matter (opening talk of Neal Weiner this conference)
- Form factor dark matter (Feldstein, Fitzpatrick, Katz)
- Anything else???

What is not compatible

• Standard WIMP with spin dependent or spin independent cross section



## Leptophilic dark matter scattering on electrons

Talk of Jure Zupan this conference



WIN'09

10/18

## PAMELA positron and antiproton measurements



Waiting for AMS02 for confirmation



Talk of Matt Reece this conference: searches for GeV scale sectors at Tevatron, LHC, and low energy e+e- colliders

WIN'09 12 / 18



・ロン ・回 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と



WIN'09 14 / 18

・ロ・・ (日・・ 日・・ 日・・

#### FERMI electrons + positrons



AA (Rutgers University)

WIN'09 15 / 18

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

## FERMI diffuse gamma ray photons from GC



## What are you waiting for?

Crucial measurements to unravel the PAMELA/FERMI puzzle

- Extending the positron and antiproton energy reach by PAMELA
- Clean measurement of the galactic center photons by FERMI
- Search for gamma ray emission from dwarf galaxies by FERMI
- Neutrinos from galactic center by ICECUBE

#### Arkani-Hamed et al [0810.0713], as of today cited 213 times Single model explaining:

- PAMELA positron anomaly
- Lack of PAMELA antiproton anomaly
- ATIC bump
- WMAP haze
- EGRET gamma ray anomaly
- DAMA modulation
- INTEGRAL anomaly

and also has susy in it ...