WIN'09: Electroweak Theory Summary

Adam Falkowski

Rutgers University

WIN'09, Bosco in Italia; 18 September 2009

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Outline

Opening Bla-Bla-Bla

2 Early LHC Physics

3 Higgs Physics

4 Top Physics

5 Final Word

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Collider physics now

Tevatron is zooming in on Higgs...



...but the center of the world is moving soon



э

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

The honest answer:

Standard Model plus a light Higgs boson and ...nothing else

This is the simplest interpretation of

- Electroweak precision data
- Flavor and CP data
- Tevatron direct searches

Any BSM scenario we know of requires a certain amount of conspiracy at the best, or large fine-tuning typically.

The honest answer:

Standard Model plus a light Higgs boson andnothing else

This is the simplest interpretation of

- Electroweak precision data
- Flavor and CP data
- Tevatron direct searches

Any BSM scenario we know of requires a certain amount of conspiracy at the best, or large fine-tuning typically.

The honest answer:

Standard Model plus a light Higgs boson and ...nothing else

This is the simplest interpretation of

- Electroweak precision data
- Flavor and CP data
- Tevatron direct searches

Any BSM scenario we know of requires a certain amount of conspiracy at the best, or large fine-tuning typically.

The honest answer:

Standard Model plus a light Higgs boson and ...nothing else

This is the simplest interpretation of

- Electroweak precision data
- Flavor and CP data
- Tevatron direct searches

Any BSM scenario we know of requires a certain amount of conspiracy at the best, or large fine-tuning typically.

.

• Hierarchy problem: needs a new sector (susy? new strong interactions? little Higgs?) to stabilize the weak scale

but: it's the first time we might be crossing a fundamental

- scale. We just don't know the rule
- A (small) set of collider anomalies
 - Muon g-2 (3.1 sigma deviation)
 - Forward-backward asymmetry for b quarks (~ 3σ) (and maybe also top quarks ~ 2σ anomaly Tevatron)
 - Higgs mass preferred by EWPT versus direct exclusion limits



but: It may well be just flukes

- Dark matter may be related to a TeV scale sector but: It may not
- There must be something or it'll be the end of us all

Image: A matrix

A B F A B F

• Hierarchy problem: needs a new sector (susy? new strong interactions? little Higgs?) to stabilize the weak scale

but: it's the first time we might be crossing a fundamental scale. We just don't know the rules

- A (small) set of collider anomalies
 - Muon g-2 (3.1 sigma deviation)
 - Forward-backward asymmetry for b quarks (~ 3σ) (and maybe also top quarks ~ 2σ anomaly Tevatron)
 - Higgs mass preferred by EWPT versus direct exclusion limits



but: It may well be just flukes

- Dark matter may be related to a TeV scale sector but: It may not
- There must be something or it'll be the end of us all

< □ > < 同

• Hierarchy problem: needs a new sector (susy? new strong interactions? little Higgs?) to stabilize the weak scale

but: it's the first time we might be crossing a fundamental scale. We just don't know the rules

- A (small) set of collider anomalies
 - Muon g-2 (3.1 sigma deviation)
 - Forward-backward asymmetry for b quarks (~ 3σ) (and maybe also top quarks ~ 2σ anomaly Tevatron)
 - Higgs mass preferred by EWPT versus direct exclusion limits



but: It may well be just flukes

- Dark matter may be related to a TeV scale sector but: It may not
- There must be something or it'll be the end of us all

• Hierarchy problem: needs a new sector (susy? new strong interactions? little Higgs?) to stabilize the weak scale

but: it's the first time we might be crossing a fundamental scale. We just don't know the rules

- A (small) set of collider anomalies
 - Muon g-2 (3.1 sigma deviation)
 - Forward-backward asymmetry for b quarks (~ 3σ) (and maybe also top quarks ~ 2σ anomaly Tevatron)
 - Higgs mass preferred by EWPT versus direct exclusion limits



but: It may well be just flukes

- Dark matter may be related to a TeV scale sector but: It may not
- There must be something or it'll be the end of us all

• Hierarchy problem: needs a new sector (susy? new strong interactions? little Higgs?) to stabilize the weak scale

but: it's the first time we might be crossing a fundamental scale. We just don't know the rules

- A (small) set of collider anomalies
 - Muon g-2 (3.1 sigma deviation)
 - Forward-backward asymmetry for b quarks (~ 3σ) (and maybe also top quarks ~ 2σ anomaly Tevatron)
 - Higgs mass preferred by EWPT versus direct exclusion limits



but: It may well be just flukes

- Dark matter may be related to a TeV scale sector but: It may not
- There must be something or it'll be the end of us all

• Hierarchy problem: needs a new sector (susy? new strong interactions? little Higgs?) to stabilize the weak scale

but: it's the first time we might be crossing a fundamental scale. We just don't know the rules

- A (small) set of collider anomalies
 - Muon g-2 (3.1 sigma deviation)
 - Forward-backward asymmetry for b quarks (~ 3σ) (and maybe also top quarks ~ 2σ anomaly Tevatron)
 - Higgs mass preferred by EWPT versus direct exclusion limits



but: It may well be just flukes

Dark matter may be related to a TeV scale sector

but: It may not

• There must be something or it'll be the end of us all

• Hierarchy problem: needs a new sector (susy? new strong interactions? little Higgs?) to stabilize the weak scale

but: it's the first time we might be crossing a fundamental scale. We just don't know the rules

- A (small) set of collider anomalies
 - Muon g-2 (3.1 sigma deviation)
 - Forward-backward asymmetry for b quarks (~ 3σ) (and maybe also top quarks ~ 2σ anomaly Tevatron)
 - Higgs mass preferred by EWPT versus direct exclusion limits



but: It may well be just flukes

Dark matter may be related to a TeV scale sector

but: It may not

• There must be something or it'll be the end of us all

• Hierarchy problem: needs a new sector (susy? new strong interactions? little Higgs?) to stabilize the weak scale

but: it's the first time we might be crossing a fundamental scale. We just don't know the rules

- A (small) set of collider anomalies
 - Muon g-2 (3.1 sigma deviation)
 - Forward-backward asymmetry for b quarks (~ 3σ) (and maybe also top quarks ~ 2σ anomaly Tevatron)
 - Higgs mass preferred by EWPT versus direct exclusion limits



but: It may well be just flukes

Dark matter may be related to a TeV scale sector

but: It may not

• There must be something or it'll be the end of us all

- Everything is allowed as long as it is a point in mSUGRA "String motivated" supergravity models
- Everything is allowed as long as it is supersymmetric Gauge mediation, Anomaly mediation, NMSSM...
- Everything is allowed as long as it solves the hierarchy problem Large extra dimensions, Randall-Sundrum, Little Higgs, Holographic composite Higgs models
- Everything is allowed as long as it leads to interesting phenomenology Split susy, UED, Hidden valley, Quirks

It is highly unlikely than ANY of the popular models is true Hopefully, the net will be tight enough that we will not miss the right one at the LHC

• Everything is allowed as long as it is a point in mSUGRA "String motivated" supergravity models

- Everything is allowed as long as it is supersymmetric Gauge mediation, Anomaly mediation, NMSSM...
- Everything is allowed as long as it solves the hierarchy problem Large extra dimensions, Randall-Sundrum, Little Higgs, Holographic composite Higgs models
- Everything is allowed as long as it leads to interesting phenomenology Split susy, UED, Hidden valley, Quirks

It is highly unlikely than ANY of the popular models is true Hopefully, the net will be tight enough that we will not miss the right one at the LHC

- Everything is allowed as long as it is a point in mSUGRA "String motivated" supergravity models
- Everything is allowed as long as it is supersymmetric Gauge mediation, Anomaly mediation, NMSSM...
- Everything is allowed as long as it solves the hierarchy problem Large extra dimensions, Randall-Sundrum, Little Higgs, Holographic composite Higgs models
- Everything is allowed as long as it leads to interesting phenomenology Split susy, UED, Hidden valley, Quirks

It is highly unlikely than ANY of the popular models is true Hopefully, the net will be tight enough that we will not miss the right one at the LHC

- Everything is allowed as long as it is a point in mSUGRA "String motivated" supergravity models
- Everything is allowed as long as it is supersymmetric Gauge mediation, Anomaly mediation, NMSSM...
- Everything is allowed as long as it solves the hierarchy problem Large extra dimensions, Randall-Sundrum, Little Higgs, Holographic composite Higgs models
- Everything is allowed as long as it leads to interesting phenomenology Split susy, UED, Hidden valley, Quirks

It is highly unlikely than ANY of the popular models is true Hopefully, the net will be tight enough that we will not miss the right one at the LHC

- Everything is allowed as long as it is a point in mSUGRA "String motivated" supergravity models
- Everything is allowed as long as it is supersymmetric Gauge mediation, Anomaly mediation, NMSSM...
- Everything is allowed as long as it solves the hierarchy problem Large extra dimensions, Randall-Sundrum, Little Higgs, Holographic composite Higgs models
- Everything is allowed as long as it leads to interesting phenomenology Split susy, UED, Hidden valley, Quirks

It is highly unlikely than ANY of the popular models is true Hopefully, the net will be tight enough that we will not miss the right one at the LHC

(D) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

- Everything is allowed as long as it is a point in mSUGRA "String motivated" supergravity models
- Everything is allowed as long as it is supersymmetric Gauge mediation, Anomaly mediation, NMSSM...
- Everything is allowed as long as it solves the hierarchy problem Large extra dimensions, Randall-Sundrum, Little Higgs, Holographic composite Higgs models
- Everything is allowed as long as it leads to interesting phenomenology Split susy, UED, Hidden valley, Quirks

It is highly unlikely than ANY of the popular models is true Hopefully, the net will be tight enough that we will not miss the right one at the LHC

(D) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

Early physics

Not clear what the first year implies (7 TeV? 10 TeV? what luminosity?)

Chances for a quick discovery...

.. if something spectacular and just outside reach of Tevatron

- Very light susy
- Diquark resonance
- Z prime gauge boson decaying to leptons

• . . .

Very narrow window between Tevatron exclusion limits and the early LHC reach

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Early physics: Z prime

Quite model independent approach to Z', talk of Ennio Salvioni this conference

- $G = G_{SM} \times U(1)'$
- SM fermions + 3 RH neutrinos
- anomaly-free \longleftrightarrow U(1)' lin. comb. of Y and *B-L*
- flavor-blind couplings

Not restrictive to write, in **mass eigenstate basis** (kinetic & mass mixing included): $\mathcal{L}_{NC} = eJ_{em}A + g_Z(Z J_Z + Z' J_{Z'})$ $J_Z, J_{Z'}$ obtained rotating by θ' the currents

$$J_{Z'^0} = \frac{g_Y}{g_Z} J_Y + \frac{g_{BL}}{g_Z} J_{B-L},$$

Z prime

Early LHC reach



Higgs physics

- It is absolutely essential to understand the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking
- LEP and Tevatron have excluded a large mass range for the Standard Model Higgs



Tevatron Run II Preliminary, L=0.9-4.2 fb⁻¹

- LHC will scan the entire mass range consistent with unitarity
- Several alternative scenarios will be probed: hidden Higgs, composite Higgs, Higgsless (Gino Isidori's talk this conference)
- New physics likely to show up via Higgs couplings, production cross section and branching ratio (Bob McElrath's talk this conference)

Non-standard Higgs



Non-standard Higgs



Higgsless

The dynamics of the system below the cut-off is described by 3 + 2 parameters: $(M_{\gamma}, G_{\gamma}, F_{\gamma}) + (M_A, F_A)$ [naive dimensional analysis implies $F_{VAP}, G_V = O(v)$]



Higgsless



The leading contributions to S & T generated by the sole exchange of heavy vector/axial fields are:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \hat{S} \stackrel{\text{vectors}}{(\text{tree})} &= g^2 \left(\frac{F_V^2}{4M_V^2} - \frac{F_A^2}{4M_A^2} \right) \\ \Delta \hat{T} \stackrel{\text{vectors}}{(1\text{-loop})} &= \frac{3\pi\alpha}{c_W^2} \left[\frac{F_A^2}{4M_A^2} + \left(\frac{F_V - 2G_V}{2M_V} \right)^2 \right] \underbrace{\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2 v^2} + \dots \right)^2}_{\text{Vector}} \underbrace{O(1) \text{ factor}}_{\text{EV-th}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{R}} & \mathfrak{O} \triangleleft \mathfrak{O} \end{split}$$

AA (Rutgers Univ

Top physics

- Around thousand top events collected at Tevatron
- Comparable number expected at the LHC in the first year
- The LHC running at full steam will produce 10⁷ tops per year

Top is the least studied elementary fermion in the SM, but that will change soon

- Top mass is the only quark with mass of order electroweak symmetry breaking scale
- It might be the one who triggers electroweak symmetry
- Due to its large coupling to EW breaking sector it might easily be affected by new physics
 - ▶ Via its couplings to the SM, e.g. corrections to V_{tb}, couplings of W to right handed tops
 - Via a resonance in the t-tbar invariant mass distribution

Need precision top physics (Gilad Perez' talk this conference) Need to improve top identification (Seung Lee talk this conference)

W polarization



F₀- CDF: 0.62 ± 0.11 D0: 0.490 ±0.106 (stat) ±0.085 (syst); SM: 0.7 **F**₊- CDF: -0.04 ± 0.05 D0: 0.110 ±0.059 (stat) ±0.052 (syst); SM: 0

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Spin-Spin Correlation



(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Boosted tops



Boosted tops



AA (Rutgers University)

WIN'09 19 / 20

Last Word

 It is essential to search for as wide class of signals as possible and in as model independent way as possible

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト