A few topics after Rome on FCC-ee Optics FCC-ee MDI Meeting 18 July 2016

K. Oide (KEK)

- A short try for 4 IP
- Effect of radiation fluctuation on dynamic aperture
- Low emittance tuning (S. Aumon)
- An IR optics with a new location for crab sextupole (A. Bogomyagkov)

Ideal case: perfect period 4, RF at 45°



- First a perfect period 4 ring is tried as a nearly ideal case.
- RF is placed at 45°, in the midpoint of arc (CEIK of FCC-hh).
- IR and RF sections, and the arc unit cell are identical to the 2 IP optics.
- The beam line does not match the FCC-hh tunnel.



Ideal case: perfect period 4, RF at 45° (2)

175 GeV, $\beta^*_{x,y} = (0.5 \text{ m}, 1 \text{ mm})$



- The effect on the dynamic aperture is small.
- ±2% momentum acceptance is maintained.

RF at the odd straight: perfect period 4



- Still assume a complete period 4.
- The geometry is not yet close to FCC-hh.



RF at the odd straight: perfect period 4 (2)

175 GeV, $\beta^*_{x,y} = (0.5 \text{ m}, 1 \text{ mm})$



- The dynamic aperture has shrunk a little.
- The momentum acceptance has reduced to ±1.7%.

RF at the odd straight, symmetric: period 2



- Now place the RF symmetric, at sections BFHL.
- Then the periodicity is reduced to 2.
- The layout becomes closer to FCC-hh, but not perfect, since the length of the RF section is not correct, and the 4 IPs are still identical.



RF at the odd straight, symmetric: period 2 (2)

175 GeV, $\beta^*_{x,y} = (0.5 \text{ m}, 1 \text{ mm})$



- The dynamic aperture has shrunk.
- The momentum acceptance has reduced to ±1.0%.
- If we put more conditions on the geometry & IR, it will be even worse.

Summary for 4IP



- A preliminary design for optics with 4 IP is tried.
- Usable optics will be possible by locating the RF at 45° at the arc.
- Placing the RF at the short straights of FCC-hh reduces the dynamic aperture drastically.
- More investigation/ideas are needed for 4 IP with the geometry of FCChh.

Effect of Radiation Fluctuation

$E = 175 \text{ GeV}, \beta_{x,y} = (1 \text{ m}, 2 \text{ mm})$

Radiation damping only

Radiation damping + fluctuation



- (Right figure) 100 samples are taken to evaluate the dynamic aperture with radiation fluctuation.
 - Within the lines: particles of 75% of the samples survive.
 - Error bars correspond to the range of survival between 50% and 100% of the samples.

Effect of Radiation Fluctuation (2)

$E = 175 \text{ GeV}, \beta x, y = (0.5 \text{ m}, 1 \text{ mm})$

Radiation damping only

Radiation damping + fluctuation



- (Right figure) 100 samples are taken to evaluate the dynamic aperture with radiation fluctuation.
 - Within the lines: particles of 75% of the samples survive.
 - Error bars correspond to the range of survival between 50% and 100% of the samples.

Summary for Radiation Fluctuation

- The radiation fluctuation has some impact on the dynamic aperture to reduce the transverse aperture by ~5 σ_x (at 175 GeV, 100% survival).
- The resulting DA for 100% survival still looks OK with $\beta_y^* = 2 \text{ mm.}$
- A synchrotron injection now seems necessary for $\beta_v^* = 1$ mm.

errors and vertical emittance tuning



FCC week in Rome (no sextupole fields; only global DFS)_

> alignment tolerance 5→20 µm



DFS without + with sextupoles + local dispersion correction in IR



Interaction Region optical functions: FCC-2



 A new location of the crab-waist sextupole will reduce the nonlinearity caused by the interference between final quads, and save the space for them.

FCC-1	FCC-2
42	16
835	2086
2	2.9
3.6	1.8
$-4076 + 45840 \cdot K3L$	$-1076 + 4620 \cdot K3L$
4070 – 45680 · K3L	991 – 4518 · <i>K</i> 3L
	-2622
	2887
	FCC-1 42 835 2 3.6 -4076 + 45840 · K3L 4070 - 45680 · K3L