



New results from IceCube

Tomasz Palczewski University of California, Berkeley (UCB) Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL)



Aspen 2017 Winter Conference "From the LHC to Dark Matter and Beyond" 19-25 March 2017 Aspen, Colorado, USA



Outline



- IceCube detector
- Detection principle
- Astrophysical Neutrinos
 - High-energy starting events (HESE) 4-year analysis
 - Through-going muons
- Searches for neutrino sources
- Dark Matter
- Summary





Neutrinos as ideal astronomical messengers

- Neutrinos travel from the edge of the Universe
 - with no deflection by magnetic fields
 - essentially without absorption
- no charge and essentially no mass
 - similar to the photon but interactions with matter are extremely weak.



Direct information about cosmological objects of the high redshift universe like gamma-ray bursts and active galactic nuclei.





IceCube detector



 IceCube detects neutrinos of all flavors by observing the end products of charged- or neutral-current deep-inelastic neutrino-nucleon interaction in the glacial ice



86 strings with 60 Digital Optical Modules (DOMs) (IceCube + DeepCore)

Optical sensor 10" photomultiplier (PMT) + in situ signal digitization in pressure glass sphere

Deployed between 1450 and 2450 m depth

81 IceTop surface stations



Detection principle



The characteristic pattern (topology) of the Cherenkov light provides information about the energy, direction, and flavor of the parent neutrino

1) Track-like events

good angular resolution (<1°), limited energy resolution when not fully contained in the detector volume; source - v_{μ} CC interactions

2) Cascade-like event

good energy resolution (~10% at high energies), limited angular resolution (>10°); source - v_e , v_μ , v_τ NC + v_e , v_τ CC interactions

3) Composite events

mixture of track-like and cascade-like events or multiple cascade events; high-energy v_{τ} CC as a possible source





Astrophysical Neutrinos

- The IceCube detector is triggered by down-going atmospheric muons at a rate of ~3 kHz.
 - There is roughly one atmospheric neutrino in a million cosmic-ray induced muons (rate of ~5 mHz), while this number for astrophysical neutrinos is one in a billion (rate of ~µHz)
- Thus, it is challenging to separate the astrophysical neutrinos from the enormous atmospheric backgrounds



Astrophysical Neutrinos

- Currently, two general-purpose strategies are used:
 - Veto: using a thin outer layer of the detector as an active veto; events starting within the detector fiducial volume (HESE) -> sensitive to neutrinos of all flavors from the whole sky
 - Use the **Earth as a filter** for atmospheric muons; only up-going track-like events >North sky and v_µ only

Tomasz Palczewski - UCB/LBNL - Aspen 2017 Winter Conference

Veto

u-dominat

Atmosphere



Up-down asymmetry due to veto



8



High Energy Starting Events (HESE)

- Search for contained, very bright events
- Sensitive to all flavors above ~ 60 TeV









High Energy Starting Events (HESE)

- Search for contained, very bright events
- Sensitive to all flavors above ~ 60 TeV

53 events in four years





6.5σ above background from

maximum likelihood forward-folding

 $\Phi_{
u}(E) = \Phi_0 \cdot (E/100 \, {
m TeV})^{-2.58 \pm 0.25} \ \Phi_0 \simeq 2.2 imes 10^{-18} / {
m GeV} / {
m cm}^2 / {
m s/sr}$





HESE - 4 years





2 yrs data, 28 events; 4.1 sigma
Science 342 (2013)
3 yrs data, 37 events; 5.9 ma
Phys.Rev.Lett. 113:101101 (2014)
4 yrs data, 53 events; 6.5 sigma

Proceedings of Science (ICRC2015)1081

since April 2016 : Online Starting Event Alerts to GCN/AMON network (track-like) ~1 min from event to alert



Through-going muons



-u-dominated

v only

Atmosphere (exaggerated)

Air shower

- Six years (2009-15) of data.
- Independent to HESE data set
- Astrophysical source Similar flux level, but best-fit spectrum somewhat harder

 $\Phi_{\nu+\overline{\nu}} = \left(0.90^{+0.30}_{-0.27}\right) \cdot \left(E_{\nu}/100 \,\mathrm{TeV}\right)^{-(2.13\pm0.13)}$

 5.6σ Energy range > 200 TeV

Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 081102 (2015), Astrophys. J. 833, 3 (2016)



North



Tomasz Palczewski - UCB/LBNL - Aspen 2017 Winter Conference

Astrophys. J. 833, 3 (2016)



Broken spectrum?



......

Are we seeing a spectral break anisotropy, multiple components,...?





Search for point sources in 7 years of data equatorial coordinates (J2000) IceCube Preliminary $+75^{\circ}$ North Atm. v +15Hottest spots not 24h0hsignificant South Atm. µ post-trial **p**-values of Equatorial -75° 29% and 17% for northern No significant excesses and southern sky, respectively.

Astrophys. J., 835 (2017) no. 2, 151



Indirect Dark Matter Search principle



- Look at dense regions of DM where self-annihilation may occur at significant rate:
 - Sun/Earth
 - The Milky Way
 - Galactic Dark Matter Halo
 - Nearby galaxies and galaxy clusters
- Annihilation products may decay producing neutrinos

Sensitive DM mass range

for IceCube:

~10 GeV - ~100 TeV

$$\chi \longrightarrow e^+, \mu^+, \tau^+, W^+, Z, b, t \implies e^\pm, \nu, \gamma, p, D, ...$$

$$\chi \longrightarrow e^-, \mu^-, \tau^-, W^-, Z, \overline{b}, \overline{t} \implies e^\pm, \nu, \gamma, p, D, ...$$

e

Search for dark matter annihilations in the **Sun**



- WIMPs could be captured by the Sun through WIMP-nucleon scattering
- Search for muon neutrinos from dark matter annihilation
- DeepCore sub-array was included in the analysis, lowering the energy threshold
- Data gathered in the austral winters between May 2011 and May 2014, corresponding to 532 days of livetime when the Sun, being below the horizon, is a source of up-going neutrino events, easiest to discriminate against the dominant background of atmospheric muons
- Dependent on WIMP mass & annihilation channel
- This search is sensitive to the spin-dependent WIMP-nucleon cross section
 - The cross section depends on the nature of the couplings. For non-relativistic WIMPs, one in general has to distinguish spin-independent (Scalar, Vector) and spin-dependent (Axial-Vector) couplings. Due to coherence effects, the spin-independent cross section scales approximately as the square of the mass of the nucleus.





The IceCube limits have been scaled up to the upper edge of the total systematic uncertainty band. The colored points correspond to models from a scan of the pMSSM and are shown color coded by the 'hardness' of the resultant neutrino spectrum.





Search for Neutrinos from Dark Matter Annihilations in the **Milky Way**



- The Milky Way is expected to be embedded in a halo of WIMPs, which can annihilate and produce a flux of neutrinos possibly detectable at Earth.
- The expected signal is particularly sensitive to the chosen density profile of the dark matter halo
- Two profiles used: Navvarro-Frenk-White (NFW) [APJ 462, 563 (1996)] and Burkert [APJ 447, L25 (1995)]





Search for Neutrinos from Dark Matter Annihilations in the Milky Way Eur. Phys. J. C76, 531 (2016)



self-annihilation cross-section averaged over the relative velocity distribution

329.1 live-days of detector operation between May 2011 and March 2012

focus on **cascades** produced by neutral or charged current neutrino interactions occurring inside the DeepCore

event selection has been optimized to identify and select the more spherical light pattern





Dark matter annihilation in **nearby** galaxies and galaxy clusters





IC59 Dwarfs, PRD 88 (2013) 122001
 VERITAS Seg1 95%C.L., PRD 85 (2012) 062001

- ••• MAGIC Seg1 95%C.L., JCAP 02 (2014) 008
- --- Fermi Dwarfs 95%C.L., PRD 89 (2014) 042001

Dwarf Galaxies & Clusters of

Galaxies analysis

IC59

Source stacking analysis (340 d) Optimized cuts & size of search window for 5 TeV WIMPs



Search for dark matter accumulated in the Galactic center





Conclusions



- Selected IceCube results were presented
 - IceCube reported significant detection of high-energy astrophysical neutrinos in three channels (HESE, Through-going muons, cascades)
 - The source of this flux has not yet been found
 - Neutrinos provide high discovery potential for indirect Dark Matter searches
 - The proposed Gen2 array will play the leading role, with its rich physics program, in the future multi-messenger astronomy programs and DM searches!









The IceCube-PINGU Collaboration

University of Alberta-Edmonton University of Toronto

USA

Clark Atlanta University Georgia Institute of Technology Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory **Ohio State University** Pennsylvania State University South Dakota School of Mines & Technology Southern University and A&M College **Stony Brook University** University of Alabama University of Alaska Anchorage University of California, Berkeley University of California, Irvine University of Delaware University of Kansas University of Maryland University of Wisconsin-Madison University of Wisconsin-River Falls Yale University

Japan Chiba University

University of Tokyo ungkyunkwan University,

> UK University of Oxford University of Manchester

Université Libre de Bruxelles Université de Mons Universiteit Gent Vrije Universiteit Brussel

Sweden Stockholms universitet

Uppsala universitet

Germany

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Max-Planck-Institut für Physik Ruhr-Universität Bochum RWTH Aachen Technische Universität München Universität Bonn Technische Universität Dortmund Universität Mainz Universität Wuppertal

- Université de Genève, Switzerland

versity of Adelaide, Australia

University of Canterbury, New Zealand

International Funding Agencies

- Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS–FNRS) Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek–Vlaanderen (FWO–Vlaanderen)
- Federal Ministry of Education & Research (BMBF) German Research Foundation (DFG)

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY) Inoue Foundation for Science, Japan Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation NSF-Office of Polar Programs NSF-Physics Division Swedish Polar Research Secretariat The Swedish Research Council (VR) University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF) US National Science Foundation (NSF)



Backup slides

IceCube-86 (78+8) interstring (surface) distances



<u>Gen2 array</u>

High Energy Extension will improve sensitivity to astrophysical sources by an order of magnitude

Gen2 would instrument $\sim 10\,km^3$

Layout optimization still in progress





Artist conception Here: 120 strings at 300 m spacing

DeepCore Physics

• Dark matter searches

- Solar WIMP annihilation: Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 131302 (2013), three-year update coming very shortly
- Galactic Center: Eur. Phys. J. C75. 492 (2015), Eur. Phys. J. C76. 531 (2016)
- Galactic Halo: Eur. Phys. J. C75. 20 (2015)
- Dwarf galaxies: *Phys. Rev.* D88, 122001 (2013)
- Direct searches for exotic particles
 - E.g. slow monopoles: *Eur. Phys. J.* C74, 2938 (2014)
- Neutrino astronomy
 - Transient bursts of low energy neutrinos, e.g. choked GRBs: Astrophys. J. 816, 75 (2016)
- Measurement of atmospheric neutrino spectrum
 - First measurement of electron neutrinos above 50 GeV: Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 151105 (2013)
- Measurements of atmospheric neutrino oscillations
 - First IceCube observation: *Phys Rev. Lett.* 111, 081801 (2013)
 - Improved analysis, reduced energy threshold and 2D data fit: Phys. Rev. D91, 072004 (2015)

Neutrino Oscillations

The deposited energy and path length through Earth to constrain neutrino oscillations

Best measurement so far is for ν_{μ} disappearance, using 3 years of data

 $\sin^2(\theta_{23})$ vs. $|\Delta m_{32}^2|$ fit consistent with other experiments

See Phys. Rev. D 91, 072004 (2015)



PINGU

- 26 additional, very densely instrumented strings embedded in DeepCore
 - Additional calibration devices to better control detector systematics
- 6 MTon fiducial volume with few GeV energy threshold



Neutrino Physics with PINGU



Possible Source Classes

X Gamma Ray Bursts

- No more than 1% of the observed HE neutrino flux is associated with GRBs
- However, limits on UHECR-GRB models are constraining but not definitive

? Active Galactic Nuclei

- No correlation found: < 30% of astrophysical neutrino flux is correlated with 2LAC blazar catalog (even less if weighted by gamma ray emission)
- Possible to evade limits if production is from special sub-populations

? Starburst Galaxies

• Gamma rays should be produced along with neutrinos – would exceed residual Fermi-LAT diffuse gamma ray flux not associated with blazars (Bechtol et al. 2015)

Gamma Ray Bursts

- Energetically attractive UHECR source candidates
- Good information from Swift, Fermi – very low background
 - Up-going ν_μ track search:
 506 northern bursts (4 years)
 - All-flavor cascade search: 257 bursts (1 year)
- Current UHECR-GRB models constrained but not ruled out

 but only ~1% of the HE v
 flux can come from GRBs
 Astrophys. J. 805, L5 (2015)



Candidate Neutrino Sources

Astrophys. J. 835, 151 (2017)

- No significant detections among 74 candidate neutrino sources, selected a priori
- Limits on several sources now constraining hadronic models of multiwavelength emission
 - Top: BL Lacs (Petropoulou et al. 2015)
 - Bottom: FSRQs (Reimer 2015)



A Galactic Component?



• No significant evidence for emission from Galactic plane (p = 4.3%)

• Upper limit is a factor of ~5 below the observed high energy neutrino flux

Active Galactic Nuclei/Blazars



- Stacking limit on Fermi-LAT blazars suggests less than 30% of observed HE astrophysical neutrino flux comes from these sources
 - But much more model dependence than for GRBs time dependence, correlation between neutrinos and gamma rays, etc.