Heavy flavour physics at LHCb

Patrick Owen,

on behalf of the LHCb collaboration

Aspen Winter Conference

22 March 2017





LHCb status

- LHC experiment focussed on heavy flavour physics.
 - We do plenty more than this though remember Mike's talk [here].



- Collected ~2.0fb⁻¹ so far in the LHC run II (2015-2016).
 - In run II, calibration/alignment performed online to allow trigger objects to be used directly in analysis.
 - Hope to collect another 1.5fb⁻¹ in 2017 looking forward to the restart!

Quark flavour physics

• Quark flavour physics is the study of how different flavours of quarks interact.

Two main avenues in this field:



Quark

Quark flavour physics is interact.
 Two mage



bysics different flavours of quarks s field:

Indirectly search for New F ..., S.S.S.

• Measure decays of around-state Measurement of the $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ branching fraction and effective lifetime.

Submitted to PRL last week: arXiv:1703.05747

- Compare measurements with SM predictions.
- Test CKM matrix unitarity.
- Find new sources of CPV.

 Measurement of the B_s⁰ and D_s⁰ lifetimes.
 LHCb-PAPER-2017-004: Presented for the first time at Lake Louise 2017

Study nature of bound states

Observation of 5 narrow Ω_c^0 states.

Submitted to PRL last week: arXiv:1703.04639.

• And maybe find something exotic!

 $B^0_{(s)} \to \mu^+ \mu^-$

arXiv:1703.05747 The decay $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

- One in every billion B_{s^0} mesons decays into two muons
- For example, like this:

Or this:



- The two things that make this decay special are:
 - Doubly suppressed (Helicity and GIM)
 - Good theoretical uncertainty (Lattice QCD needed for B meson decay constant).

arXiv:1703.05747 $ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ analysis in a nutshell

 Main challenge is to deal with huge background from random combinations of muons from different B decays.

•
$$\mathcal{B}(B \to \mu X) \sim 10\%$$
, $\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) \sim 10^{-9}$.

- Train multivariate selection to remove this.
- Dangerous peaking backgrounds from B—>hh and B—>µh.
- Normalise signal yield to $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ with the ratio of B_s/B⁺ production fractions.



• Fit dimuon mass in bins of the multivariate response.

In the arXiv:1703.05747 In the second secon

Nature 522, 68–72 ICb-CMS combination) to include

• 10^{5} 10^{6} 10^{4} 10^{4} 10^{4} 10^{4} 10^{4} 10^{4} 10^{2}

algorithm (important input to MVA).

backgrounds (look in data for mis-



Dimuon mass fit

- Fit dimuon mass to determine signal yield, accounting for all different backgrounds.
- Yields of peaking backgrounds checked by looking at data without muon ID - consistent results.



Much less background this time, mainly due to isolation improvements.

New $B^0_{(s)} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ results

• Using ratio of signal and normalisation yields and their efficiencies from simulation, determine branching fractions.



- In general results consistent with the SM.
- Also measure effective lifetime: $\tau(B_s^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-) = 2.04 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.05 \text{ ps}$, not yet enough data to be sensitive to $(z_{2,0,9})^{\times 10^{-9}}$

10

0.8

0.7

10

Measurement of the B_s^0 and D_s^+ lifetimes

The importance of the B_s^0 lifetime

- When calculating SM observables of heavy flavour decays common approximation: set the heavy quark masses to infinity (HQET).
- Another assumption: quark-hadron duality if average over large number of states, you can use a quark-level calculation to approximate the hadronic.
- Testing these frameworks of utmost importance lifetimes of bhadrons are excellent place to do this.
 Plot from A. Lenz

HQET predicts that the Bs and B0 lifetimes should be the same, previous results (e.g. [1]) have indicated otherwise. σ

[1] D0 Collab. Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015)



Recent measurement at LHCb-PAPER-2017-004

- Use flavour specific 'semi-leptonic' decays $B^0_s
 ightarrow D^{(*)+}_s \mu
 u$.
- Normalise to the well known B⁰ lifetime using $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)+}\mu\nu$ decays.
- Use the same charm final state of $K^+K^-\pi^+$ for both D⁺ and D_s⁺.
- Correct for missing neutrino using the k-factor technique.



- Determine time acceptance using simulation.
- Fit corrected mass in bins of decay time to determine lifetime ratio.

Corrected mass fit

• Corrected mass defined as:

Mass of visible decay products

 $m_{\rm corr} = \sqrt{m_{\rm vis}^2 + p_{\perp}^2 + p_{\perp}}$

 Momentum perpendicular to B direction.

Only ground and first excited states of charm meson considered as signal.

• B^0 sample much more separated than B_s^0 due to soft D_s^* + decay mode.



Decay time fit

¹Correct for acceptance in simulation (almost flat after reweighting due to D+/D+_s lifetime difference).



Cross-check using more abundant $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ decay mode and find consistent results.

LHCb-PAPER-2017-004

- No significance difference in decay widths found: $\Delta_{\Gamma}(B) = -0.0115 \pm 0.0053 \,(\text{stat}) \pm 0.0041 \,(\text{syst}) \,\text{ps}^{-1}$
- Also measure the difference in D+/D+_s lifetimes: $\Delta_{\Gamma}(D) = 1.0131 \pm 0.0117 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.0065 \text{ (syst)} \text{ ps}^{-1}$
- Systematics dominated by:

 ${oldsymbol{g}}(B^0_{K\pi\pi}/B^0_{KK\pi})$

22

20

18

- Composition of signal (e.g. knowledge of form factors)
- Decay time acceptance (production kinematics)

Results

• B_{s^0} and D_{s^+} lifetimes are found to be:

 $1.547 \pm 0.013 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.010 (\text{syst}) \pm 0.004 (\tau_B) \text{ ps},$ $0.5064 \pm 0.0030 (\text{stat}) \pm 0.0017 (\text{syst}) \pm 0.0017 (\tau_D) \text{ ps}$

- These are the world's most precise.
- The B_s⁰ lifetime is in agreement with HQET theory and LHCb's previous measurement with fully reconstructed decays [1], but is in tension from results from D0 [2]

[1] LHCb Collab. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, (2014)[2] D0 Collab. Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015)



Observation of five narrow Ω_c^0 states

 Ω_c^0 spectrum

- Spectroscopy another important way to improve our understanding of QCD and test HQET.
- The Ω_c^0 baryon has quark content css and their spectrum is almost completely unknown only two have been found previously.
- Predictions of the masses in boxes here are from Refs[1-7].
- In the LHCb analysis, look for the decay mode $\Omega_c^0 \to \Xi_c^+ K^-$ using 2011,2012 and 2015 data.



[1] Phys. Lett. B659 (2008)[2] Int. J. Mod. Phys. A23 (2008) 2817[3] Phys. Rev. D84 (2011) 014025[4] J. Phys. G34 (2007) 961[5] Eur. Phys. J. A37 (2008) 217[6] Eur. Phys. J. A28 (2006)[7] Chin. Phys. C40 (2016) 123102

Selection of Ξ_c^+ candidates

- First select Ξ_c^+ candidates.
- Selection uses a likelihood ratio approach.
- Useful variables include vertex quality, flight significance and particle ID of proton.



- Fit to looser selection criteria used to determine kinematic/geometric properties of the signal (production not so well known).
- After full selection see 1M signal at around 83% purity.

Add a kaon to look for Ω_c^0

- Combine a kaon which has a good PID response and vertex quality.
- Also require pT > 4.5 GeV for Ω_c^0 candidate.
- Opposite sign spectrum looks very peaky!
- No such structure seen in same-sign data.
- Checked pion hypothesis no narrow structures seen.



Where $m(\Xi_c^+K^-) = m([pK^-\pi^+]_{\Xi_c^+}K^-) - m([pK^-\pi^+]_{\Xi_c^+}) + m_{\Xi_c^+}$

Fit spectrum

- Fit data to determine significance and mass/widths of the new states
- Parameterise signal peaks with relativistic Breit-Wigner functions.
- Feed-down from other Ω_c^0 decay modes shown in grey.
- Background parameterisation inspired by same-sign data.



- **Five** states observed with over 10 significance! (record for a single analysis?).
- Also see a broad structure around 3200 single or multiple states??

Mass results



- Systematics include:
 - Alternate background model
 - Vary Blatt-Weisskopf factors.
 - Mass scale/resolution.
 - Possibility of interference.
 - Description of broad structure.

- Masses seem broadly consistent with predictions.
- For the widths, see the paper: arXiv:1703.04639.
- Dramatic increase in experimental knowledge in this area.

Summary

- LHCb very pleased with the run II dataset so far.
 - We are now publishing heavy flavour results with it.
- I just gave a very small and biased taste of what's come out recently.
 - B_(s)⁰—>µµ update [arXiv:1703.05747]
 - B_{s^0} and D_{s^+} lifetimes [LHCb-PAPER-2017-004]
 - Observation of five narrow Ω_c^0 states [arXiv:1703.05747].

Summary

I did not talk about the latest CP violation studies, a central theme of heavy

(A)
$$\left| \begin{array}{c} P \\ \hline \end{array} \right|^{2} \neq \left| \begin{array}{c} \overline{P} \\ \hline \end{array} \right|^{2} \neq \left| \begin{array}{c} \overline{P} \\ \hline \end{array} \right|^{2} = \left| \begin{array}{c} \overline{P} \\ \hline \end{array} \right|^{2} = \left| \begin{array}{c} \overline{P} \\ \overline{P} \\ \hline \end{array} \right|^{2} = \left| \begin{array}{c} \overline{P} \\ \overline{P}$$

• For example, new result on ϕ_s with $B_s^0 \longrightarrow J/\psi K^+K^-$ with $m_{KK} > m_{\phi}$.



 $\phi_s = 0.12 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.03$

l also did not discuss our anomalies in SL decays - discussed by Zoltan.



k-factor technique

- To determine the decay time, need to know flight distance and B momentum: $\tau=m_BL/p_B.$
- At each point of visible mass m_{Dµ}, use simulation to relate visible B momentum to total B momentum (k-factor).

