

*LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group 2 (Higgs Properties)*

## Higgs Basis: Proposal for an EFT basis choice for LHCHXSWG

*Internal note prepared by:*

André David, Michael Dürrssen, Adam Falkowski, Chris Hays, and Gino Isidori.

*We acknowledge comments and feedback from:*

Hermès Belusca-Maïto, Gerhard Buchalla, Alexandra Carvalho, Oscar Catà, Alejandro Celis, Benjamin Fuks, Christophe Grojean, Florian Goertz, Claudius Krause, Kentarou Mawatari, Ken Mimasu, Kin Mimouni, Marc Riembau, Tilman Plehn, Alex Pomarol, Francesco Riva, Veronica Sanz.

*Appearing in acknowledgements does not imply full or partial endorsement.*

### 1 Introduction

The LHC Higgs Cross Section Working Group is focused on various steps of the analysis chain:

**Data** → **Fiducial cross-sections** → **Pseudo-observables** → **Model-independent EFT** → **BSM Models** .

This note concerns model-independent interpretations of the data in the framework of effective field theory (EFT) beyond the Standard Model (SM), which is a part of the scope of the Working Group 2. The purpose of this note is to propose a common EFT language and conventions that could be universally used in LHC Higgs analyses and be implemented in numerical tools.

In the EFT approach to physics beyond the SM, the basic assumption is that the mass scale  $\Lambda$  of non-SM particles is larger than the electroweak scale  $v$ ,  $\Lambda \gg v$ . If this is the case, physics at energies  $E \ll \Lambda$  can be parametrized by the SM Lagrangian supplemented by new operators with canonical dimensions  $d$  larger than 4. The theory has the same field content and the same linearly realized  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  local symmetry as the SM.<sup>1</sup> The higher-dimensional operators are organized in a systematic expansion in  $d$ , where each consecutive term is suppressed by a larger power of  $\Lambda$ . The EFT Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFT}} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} + \sum_i \frac{c_i^{(5)}}{\Lambda} \mathcal{O}_i^{(5)} + \sum_i \frac{c_i^{(6)}}{\Lambda^2} \mathcal{O}_i^{(6)} + \dots \quad (1.1)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The latter assumption can be relaxed, leading to EFT with a non-linearly realized electroweak symmetry. In this note, we will not discuss these theories.

19 In this equation,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}}$  is the SM Lagrangian, which contains operators with  $d \leq 4$ . The  
 20 remaining terms parametrize effects of heavy particles beyond the SM. Each  $O_i^{(d)}$  is a  
 21 gauge-invariant operator of canonical dimension  $d$ , and  $c_i^{(d)}$  is the corresponding Wilson  
 22 coefficient. The contribution of each  $O_i^{(d)}$  to amplitudes of physical processes at the  
 23 energy scale of order  $v$  scales<sup>2</sup> as  $(v/\Lambda)^{d-4}$ . Since  $v/\Lambda < 1$  by construction, EFT typically  
 24 describes *small* deviations from the SM predictions, except for observables that, within  
 25 the SM, vanish or are suppressed by small parameters.

26 All dimension-5 operators that can be constructed from the SM fields violate the  
 27 lepton number. Experimental constraints dictate that their coefficients must be sup-  
 28 pressed at a level which makes them unobservable at the LHC, and for this reason  $d=5$   
 29 operators will not be discussed here. Consequently, the leading new physics effects are  
 30 expected from operators with  $d=6$  whose contributions scale as  $(v/\Lambda)^2$ . We will ignore  
 31 here the effects of operators with  $d > 6$ .

32 In the rest of this note, we discuss in detail the set  $d=6$  operators that can be  
 33 constructed from the SM fields. We review various possible choices of these operators  
 34 (the so-called *basis*) and their phenomenological effects. Only the operators that conserve  
 35 the baryon and lepton numbers are considered. On the other hand, we do not impose  
 36 any flavor symmetry. Also, we include CP violating operators in our discussion.

37 In Section 2, to define our notation and conventions, we write down the SM La-  
 38 grangian. Two popular bases of dimension-6 operators using the manifestly  $SU(2) \times U(1)$   
 39 invariant formalism are described in Section 3. In Section 4 we introduce an effective  
 40 Lagrangian summarizing the new interactions of the SM mass eigenstates that arise in  
 41 the presence of dimension-6 operators beyond the SM. We also derive provide a map be-  
 42 tween the couplings in that effective Lagrangian and Wilson coefficients of dimension-6  
 43 operators introduced in Section 3. In Section 5 we define a new basis of  $d=6$  operators,  
 44 the so-called Higgs basis, which is spanned by a subset of the independent couplings  
 45 of the effective Lagrangian. This basis is particularly convenient for leading-order EFT  
 46 analyses of LHC Higgs data.

## 47 2 Standard Model Lagrangian

48 The SM Lagrangian in our notation takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} &= -\frac{1}{4}G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a - \frac{1}{4}W_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\mu\nu}^i - \frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} + D_\mu H^\dagger D_\mu H + \mu_H^2 H^\dagger H - \lambda(H^\dagger H)^2 \\
 &+ \sum_{f \in q, \ell} i \bar{f}_L \gamma_\mu D_\mu f_L + \sum_{f \in u, d, e} i \bar{f}_R \gamma_\mu D_\mu f_R \\
 &- \left[ \tilde{H}^\dagger \bar{u}_R y_u q_L + H^\dagger \bar{d}_R y_d V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger q_L + H^\dagger \bar{e}_R y_e \ell_L + \text{h.c.} \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.1}$$

49 Here,  $G_\mu^a$ ,  $W_\mu^i$ , and  $B_\mu$  denote the gauge fields of the  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$  local  
 50 symmetry. The corresponding gauge couplings are denoted by  $g_s$ ,  $g$ ,  $g'$ ; we also define the  
 51 electromagnetic coupling  $e = gg'/\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$ , and the Weinberg angle  $s_\theta = g'/\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Apart from the scaling with  $\Lambda$ , the effects of higher-dimensional operators also scale with appropriate powers of couplings in the UV theory. The latter may be important to assess the validity range of the EFT description.

52 The field strength tensors are defined as  $G_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu G_\nu^a - \partial_\nu G_\mu^a + g_s f^{abc} G_\mu^b G_\nu^c$ ,  $W_{\mu\nu}^i =$   
53  $\partial_\mu W_\nu^i - \partial_\nu W_\mu^i + g \epsilon^{ijk} W_\mu^j W_\nu^k$ ,  $B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu$ . The Higgs doublet is denoted as  $H$ ,  
54 and we also define  $\tilde{H}_i = \epsilon_{ij} H_j^*$ . It acquires the VEV  $\langle H^\dagger H \rangle = v^2/2$ . In the unitary  
55 gauge we have  $H = (0, (v+h)/\sqrt{2})$ , where  $h$  is the Higgs boson field. After electroweak  
56 symmetry breaking, the electroweak gauge boson mass eigenstates are defined as  $W^\pm =$   
57  $(W^1 \mp iW^2)/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $Z = c_\theta W^3 - s_\theta B$ ,  $A = s_\theta W^3 + c_\theta B$ , where  $c_\theta = \sqrt{1-s_\theta^2}$ . The tree-level  
58 masses of  $W$  and  $Z$  bosons are given by  $m_W = gv/2$ ,  $m_Z = \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}v/2$ . The left-  
59 handed Dirac fermions  $q_L = (u_L, V_{\text{CKM}} d_L)$  and  $\ell_L = (\nu_L, e_L)$  are doublets of the  $SU(2)$   
60 gauge group, and the right-handed Dirac fermions  $u_R, d_R, e_R$  are  $SU(2)$  singlets. All  
61 fermions are 3-component vectors in the generation space, and  $y_f$  are  $3 \times 3$  matrices. We  
62 work in the basis where the fermion mass matrix is diagonal with real, positive entries.  
63 In this basis,  $y_f$  are diagonal, and the fermion masses are given by  $m_{f_i} = v[y_f]_{ii}/\sqrt{2}$ .

64 For a future use, we write down the equations of motions for the gauge fields following  
65 from Eq. (2.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\nu B_{\nu\mu} &= -\frac{ig'}{2} H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H - g' j_\mu^Y, \\ \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^i + g \epsilon^{ijk} W_\nu^j W_\mu^k &= D_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^i = -\frac{ig}{2} H^\dagger \sigma^i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H - g j_\mu^i, \\ D_\nu G_{\nu\mu}^a &= -g_s j_\mu^a, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

66 where  $j_\mu^Y = \sum_f Y_f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f$ ,  $j_\mu^i = \bar{q}_L \gamma_\mu \frac{\sigma^i}{2} q_L + \bar{\ell}_L \gamma_\mu \frac{\sigma^i}{2} \ell_L$ , and  $j_\mu^a = \bar{q} \gamma_\mu T^a q$  are the fermionic  
67 currents corresponding to the  $U(1)$ ,  $SU(2)$ , and  $SU(3)$  factors of the SM gauge group.

68 Rewriting the Lagrangian in Eq. (2.1) in terms of the mass eigenstates after elec-  
69 troweak symmetry breaking, one finds the following mass terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}}^{\text{SM}} = \frac{g^2 v^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v^2}{8} Z_\mu Z_\mu + \sum_{f \in u, d, e} m_f \bar{f} f, \quad (2.3)$$

70 the gauge boson couplings to fermions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{vff}^{\text{SM}} &= e A_\mu \sum_{f \in u, d, e} Q_f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f + g_s G_\mu^a \sum_{f \in u, d} \bar{f} \gamma_\mu T^a f, \\ &+ \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} (W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu V_{\text{CKM}} d_L + W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma_\mu e_L + \text{h.c.}) \\ &+ \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} Z_\mu \sum_{f \in u, d, e, \nu} (T_f^3 \bar{f}_L \gamma_\mu f_L - s_\theta^2 Q_f \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

71 the couplings of a single Higgs boson to gauge bosons and fermions:

$$\mathcal{L}_h^{\text{SM}} = \frac{h}{v} \left[ \frac{g^2 v^2}{2} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v^2}{4} Z_\mu Z_\mu \right] - \frac{h}{v} \sum_f m_f \bar{f} f \quad (2.5)$$

72 the couplings involving two or more Higgs bosons

$$\mathcal{L}_{hh}^{\text{SM}} = \frac{h^2}{2v^2} \left[ \frac{g^2 v^2}{2} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2) v^2}{4} Z_\mu Z_\mu \right] - \frac{m_h^2}{2v} h^3 - \frac{m_h^2}{8v^2} h^4, \quad (2.6)$$

73 and the triple and quartic self-interactions of the vector bosons:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{tgc}}^{\text{SM}} &= ie [(W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\mu^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\mu^+) A_\nu + A_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^-] \\
&+ igc_\theta [(W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\mu^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\mu^+) Z_\nu + Z_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^-] \\
&- g_s f^{abc} \partial_\mu G_\nu^a G_\mu^b G_\nu^c.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

74

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{qgc}}^{\text{SM}} &= \frac{g^2}{2} (W_\mu^+ W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- W_\nu^- - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ W_\nu^-) + g^2 c_\theta^2 (W_\mu^+ Z_\mu W_\nu^- Z_\nu - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- Z_\nu Z_\nu) \\
&+ g^2 s_\theta^2 (W_\mu^+ A_\mu W_\nu^- A_\nu - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- A_\nu A_\nu) \\
&+ g^2 c_\theta s_\theta (W_\mu^+ Z_\mu W_\nu^- A_\nu + W_\mu^+ A_\mu W_\nu^- Z_\nu - 2W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- Z_\nu A_\nu) \\
&- g_s^2 f^{abc} f^{ade} G_\mu^b G_\nu^c G_\mu^d G_\nu^e.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

75 The couplings multiplying the SM interaction terms depend on a number of input pa-  
76 rameters:  $m_h$ ,  $m_f$ ,  $V_{\text{CKM}}$ ,  $g_s$ ,  $g$ ,  $g'$ ,  $v$ , all of which are known with a good precision.  
77 The last 3 parameters are customarily derived from the observable Fermi constant  $G_F$   
78 (more precisely, from the measured muon lifetime  $\tau_\mu = 192\pi^3/G_F^2 m_\mu^5$ ), Z boson mass  
79  $m_Z$ , and the low-energy electromagnetic coupling  $\alpha(0)$ . The tree-level relations between  
80 the input observables and the electroweak parameters are given by:

$$G_F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}v^2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{g^2 g'^2}{4\pi(g^2 + g'^2)}, \quad m_Z = \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}v}{2}. \tag{2.9}$$

## 81 3 Bases of dimension-6 operators

82 A *basis* of dimension-6 operators is a complete, non-redundant set of  $O_i^{(6)}$  in Eq. (1.1).  
83 Complete means that any dimension-6 operator is either a part of the basis or can be  
84 obtained from a combination of operators in the basis using equations of motion, inte-  
85 gration by parts, field redefinitions, and Fierz transformations. Non-redundant means  
86 it is a minimal such set. Any complete basis leads to the same physical predictions con-  
87 cerning possible new physics effects. Several bases have been proposed in the literature,  
88 and they may be convenient for specific applications. In this section we describe two  
89 popular choices in the existing literature. Later, in Section 5, we propose a new basis  
90 choice that is particularly convenient for leading-order LHC Higgs analyses in the EFT  
91 framework.

### 92 3.1 Warsaw Basis

93 Historically, a complete and non-redundant set of  $d=6$  operators was first identified in  
94 Ref. [1], and is usually referred to as the *Warsaw basis*. For our purpose, it is more  
95 convenient to work with a variant of that basis which differs from the one in Ref. [1] by  
96 the following aspects:

- 97 • We replace the operator  $O_{HD} = |H^\dagger D_\mu H|^2$  by  $O_T = (H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)^2$ , where  $H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \equiv$   
98  $H^\dagger D_\mu H - D_\mu H^\dagger H$ . These operators are related by  $O_T = O_H - 4O_{HD}$ , where  $O_H$   
99 is also defined in Table 1.

$H^4 D^2$ and $H^6$		$f^2 H^3$		$V^3 D^3$	
$O_H$	$[\partial_\mu(H^\dagger H)]^2$	$[O_e]_{ij}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{m_{e_i} m_{e_j}}}{v}(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2})\bar{e}_i H^\dagger \ell_j$	$O_{3G}$	$g_s^3 f^{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_{\rho\mu}^c$
$O_T$	$(H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H)^2$	$[O_u]_{ij}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{m_{u_i} m_{u_j}}}{v}(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2})\bar{u}_i \tilde{H}^\dagger q_j$	$O_{\widetilde{3G}}$	$g_s^3 f^{abc} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_{\rho\mu}^c$
$O_{6H}$	$(H^\dagger H)^3$	$[O_d]_{ij}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{m_{d_i} m_{d_j}}}{v}(H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2})\bar{d}_i H^\dagger q_j$	$O_{3W}$	$g^3 \epsilon^{ijk} W_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\nu\rho}^j W_{\rho\mu}^k$
				$O_{\widetilde{3W}}$	$g^3 \epsilon^{ijk} \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\nu\rho}^j W_{\rho\mu}^k$
$V^2 H^2$		$f^2 H^2 D$		$f^2 VHD$	
$O_{GG}$	$\frac{g_s^2}{4} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a$	$[O_{H\ell}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{\ell}_i \gamma_\mu \ell_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{eW}]_{ij}$	$g \frac{\sqrt{m_{e_i} m_{e_j}}}{v} \bar{\ell}_i \sigma^k H \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_j W_{\mu\nu}^k$
$O_{\widetilde{GG}}$	$\frac{g_s^2}{4} H^\dagger H \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a$	$[O'_{H\ell}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{\ell}_i \sigma^k \gamma_\mu \ell_j H^\dagger \sigma^k \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{eB}]_{ij}$	$g' \frac{\sqrt{m_{e_i} m_{e_j}}}{v} \bar{\ell}_i H \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_j B_{\mu\nu}$
$O_{WW}$	$\frac{g^2}{4} H^\dagger H W_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\mu\nu}^i$	$[O_{He}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{e}_i \gamma_\mu \bar{e}_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{uG}]_{ij}$	$g_s \frac{\sqrt{m_{u_i} m_{u_j}}}{v} \bar{q}_i \tilde{H} \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a u_j G_{\mu\nu}^a$
$O_{\widetilde{WW}}$	$\frac{g^2}{4} H^\dagger H \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i W_{\mu\nu}^i$	$[O_{Hq}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{q}_i \gamma_\mu q_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{uW}]_{ij}$	$g \frac{\sqrt{m_{u_i} m_{u_j}}}{v} \bar{q}_i \sigma^k \tilde{H} \sigma_{\mu\nu} u_j W_{\mu\nu}^k$
$O_{BB}$	$\frac{g'^2}{4} H^\dagger H B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$	$[O'_{Hq}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{q}_i \sigma^k \gamma_\mu q_j H^\dagger \sigma^k \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{uB}]_{ij}$	$g' \frac{\sqrt{m_{u_i} m_{u_j}}}{v} \bar{q}_i \tilde{H} \sigma_{\mu\nu} u_j B_{\mu\nu}$
$O_{\widetilde{BB}}$	$\frac{g'^2}{4} H^\dagger H \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$	$[O_{Hu}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{u}_i \gamma_\mu u_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{dG}]_{ij}$	$g_s \frac{\sqrt{m_{d_i} m_{d_j}}}{v} \bar{q}_i H \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a d_j G_{\mu\nu}^a$
$O_{WB}$	$gg' H^\dagger \sigma^i H W_{\mu\nu}^i B_{\mu\nu}$	$[O_{Hd}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{d}_i \gamma_\mu d_j H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H$	$[O_{dW}]_{ij}$	$g \frac{\sqrt{m_{d_i} m_{d_j}}}{v} \bar{q}_i \sigma^k H \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_j W_{\mu\nu}^k$
$O_{\widetilde{WB}}$	$gg' H^\dagger \sigma^i H \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i B_{\mu\nu}$	$[O_{Hud}]_{ij}$	$i\bar{u}_i \gamma_\mu d_j \tilde{H}^\dagger D_\mu H$	$[O_{dB}]_{ij}$	$g' \frac{\sqrt{m_{d_i} m_{d_j}}}{v} \bar{q}_i H \sigma_{\mu\nu} d_j B_{\mu\nu}$

Table 1: Dimension-6 operators other than four-fermion operators in the Warsaw basis. In this table,  $e, u, d$  are always right-handed fermions, while  $\ell$  and  $q$  are left-handed. For complex operators the complex conjugate operator is implicit.

- For Yukawa-type  $d=6$  operators  $H|H|^2 \bar{f} f$  we subtracted  $v^2$  from  $|H|^2$  in the definition, so that they do not contribute to fermion mass terms. This way we avoid tedious rotations of the fermion fields to bring them back to the mass eigenstate basis. Moreover, we isolated factor of fermion masses in the definition, for a more direct connection to minimal flavor violating scenarios. Starting with the Yukawa couplings  $-H \bar{f}'_R (Y'_f + c'_f H^\dagger H / v^2) f'_L$  we can bring them to the form in Eq. (2.1) and Table 1 by defining  $f'_{L,R} = U_{L,R} f_{L,R}$ ,  $\sqrt{m_i m_j} [c_f]_{ij} / v = [U_R^\dagger c'_f U_L]_{ij}$ ,  $Y_f = U_R^\dagger (Y'_f + c'_f / 2) U_L$ , where  $U_{L,R}$  are unitary rotations to the mass eigenstate basis.

For other operators, we often use a different notation and normalizations than the original reference. The translation to the original notation in Ref. [1] is given in Appendix ??.

The Lagrangian in the Warsaw basis is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{warsaw}} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i \hat{c}_i O_i, \quad (3.1)$$

where the SM Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}}$  was introduced in Section 2,  $\Lambda$  is the EFT expansion parameter identified with the mass scale of new particles in the UV theory,  $O_i$  are the dimension-6 operators summarized in Table 1 and Table 2, and  $\hat{c}_i$  are the Wilson coefficient multiplying the operator  $O_i$ . Note that observables calculated in the EFT

$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$ and $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{L}R)$		$(\bar{R}R)(\bar{R}R)$		$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{R}R)$	
$O_{\ell\ell}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)$	$O_{ee}$	$(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)$	$O_{\ell e}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)$
$O_{qq}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)$	$O_{uu}$	$(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)$	$O_{\ell u}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)$
$O'_{qq}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\sigma^i q)(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\sigma^i q)$	$O_{dd}$	$(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$	$O_{\ell d}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$
$O_{\ell q}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\ell)(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)$	$O_{eu}$	$(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)$	$O_{eq}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)$
$O'_{\ell q}$	$(\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\sigma^i\ell)(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\sigma^i q)$	$O_{ed}$	$(\bar{e}\gamma_\mu e)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$	$O_{qu}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)$
$O_{quqd}$	$(\bar{q}^j u)\epsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}^k d)$	$O_{ud}$	$(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu u)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$	$O'_{qu}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu T^a q)(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu T^a u)$
$O'_{quqd}$	$(\bar{q}^j T^a u)\epsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}^k T^a d)$	$O'_{ud}$	$(\bar{u}\gamma_\mu T^a u)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu T^a d)$	$O_{qd}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu d)$
$O_{\ell equ}$	$(\bar{\ell}^j e)\epsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}^k u)$			$O'_{qd}$	$(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu T^a q)(\bar{d}\gamma_\mu T^a d)$
$O'_{\ell equ}$	$(\bar{\ell}^j\sigma_{\mu\nu}e)\epsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}^k\sigma^{\mu\nu}u)$				
$O_{\ell edq}$	$(\bar{\ell}^j e)(\bar{d}q^j)$				

Table 2: Four-fermion operators in the Warsaw basis [1]. In this table,  $e, u, d$  are always right-handed fermions, while  $\ell$  and  $q$  are left-handed. A flavor index is implicit for each fermion field. For complex operators the complex conjugate operator is implicit.

116 depend only on the combination  $\hat{c}_i/\Lambda^2$ . Therefore, working with the low-energy EFT,  
117 it is more convenient to redefine  $\hat{c}_i \rightarrow c_i\Lambda^2/v^2$ . In the following we will display all the  
118 formulas using the redefined Wilson coefficients  $c_i$ .

## 119 3.2 SILH basis

120 Another  $d=6$  basis choice commonly used in the literature is the SILH basis [3, 11].<sup>3</sup>  
121 The SILH Lagrangian is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SILH}} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} + \frac{1}{v^2} \sum_i s_i O_i. \quad (3.2)$$

<sup>3</sup>For the sake of this note, the SILH basis is understood simply as a particular choice of a non-redundant set of  $d=6$  operators whose Wilson coefficients are arbitrary. We do not assume any hierarchy of the Wilson coefficients motivated by particular strongly coupled UV completions that was discussed in Refs. [3, 11]. As in the case of the Warsaw basis, in this note we use a different notation and normalization than in the original references.

122 Compared to the Warsaw basis defined in Section 3.1, the SILH basis of dimension-6  
 123 operators introduces the following nine new operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 O_W &= \frac{ig}{2} \left( H^\dagger \sigma^i \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) D_\nu W_{\mu\nu}^i, \\
 O_B &= \frac{ig'}{2} \left( H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu H \right) \partial_\nu B_{\mu\nu}, \\
 O_{HW} &= ig \left( D_\mu H^\dagger \sigma^i D_\nu H \right) W_{\mu\nu}^i, \\
 O_{HB} &= ig' \left( D_\mu H^\dagger D_\nu H \right) B_{\mu\nu}, \\
 O_{\widetilde{HW}} &= ig \left( D_\mu H^\dagger \sigma^i D_\nu H \right) \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^i, \\
 O_{\widetilde{HB}} &= ig' \left( D_\mu H^\dagger D_\nu H \right) \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu}, \\
 O_{2W} &= D_\mu W_{\mu\nu}^i D_\rho W_{\rho\nu}^i, \\
 O_{2B} &= \partial_\mu B_{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho B_{\rho\nu}, \\
 O_{2G} &= D_\mu G_{\mu\nu}^a D_\rho G_{\rho\nu}^a.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

124 Consequently, in order to have a non-redundant set of operators, 9 operators present  
 125 in the Warsaw basis must be absent in the SILH basis. The absent ones are 4 bosonic  
 126 operators  $O_{WW}$ ,  $O_{\widetilde{WW}}$ ,  $O_{WB}$ ,  $O_{\widetilde{WB}}$ , 2 vertex operators  $[O_{H\ell}]_{11}$ ,  $[O'_{H\ell}]_{11}$ , and 3 four-  
 127 fermion operators  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$ ,  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{1122}$ ,  $[O'_{uu}]_{3333}$ . The remaining operators are the same as  
 128 in the Warsaw basis, and we use the normalizations in Table 1.<sup>4</sup>

### 129 3.3 Map between Warsaw and SILH bases

130 One way to derive the translation is to first transform the operators in Eq. (3.3) to the  
 131 Warsaw basis using integration by parts, Fierz transformations, and the equations of

---

<sup>4</sup>The original references do not discuss the flavor structure explicitly, and the flavor indices of the absent operators are not specified. Here, for concreteness, we made a particular though somewhat arbitrary choice of these indices.

132 motion Eq. (2.2). This way, one can derive the following operator equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
O_{HB} &= O_B - \frac{1}{4}O_{WB} - O_{BB}, \\
O_{HW} &= O_W - \frac{1}{4}O_{WB} - O_{WW}, \\
O_{\widetilde{HB}} &= -\frac{1}{4}O_{\widetilde{WB}} - O_{\widetilde{BB}}, \\
O_{\widetilde{HW}} &= -\frac{1}{4}O_{\widetilde{WB}} - O_{\widetilde{WW}}, \\
O_B &= g'^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{4}O_T + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{f \in q,u,d,\ell,e} Y_f \sum_i [O_{Hf}]_{ii} \right], \\
O_W &= g^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{4}O_H + O'_{HD} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{f \in q,\ell} \sum_i [O'_{Hf}]_{ii} \right], \\
O_{2B} &= g'^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{4}O_T + \sum_{f \in q,u,d,\ell,e} Y_f \sum_i [O_{Hf}]_{ii} + \sum_{f_1 f_2 \in q,u,d,\ell,e} Y_{f_1} Y_{f_2} \sum_{i,j} [O_{f_1 f_2}]_{iijj} \right], \\
O_{2W} &= g^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{4}O_H + O'_{HD} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{f \in q,\ell} \sum_i [O'_{Hf}]_{ii} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ij} ([O'_{\ell\ell}]_{iijj} + 2[O'_{\ell q}]_{iijj} + [O'_{qq}]_{iijj}) \right], \\
O_{2G} &= g_s^2 \sum_{i,j} \left[ \frac{1}{4}[O'_{qq}]_{ijji} + \frac{1}{4}[O_{qq}]_{ijji} - \frac{1}{6}[O_{qq}]_{iijj} + 2[O'_{qu}]_{iijj} + 2[O'_{qd}]_{iijj} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2[O'_{ud}]_{iijj} + \frac{1}{2}[O'_{uu}]_{ijji} - \frac{1}{6}[O'_{uu}]_{iijj} + \frac{1}{2}[O'_{dd}]_{ijji} - \frac{1}{6}[O'_{dd}]_{iijj} \right]. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

133 The operator  $O'_{HD} = |H|^2 |D_\mu H|^2$  appearing above is present neither in the Warsaw nor  
134 in the SILH basis. One can remove it from the Lagrangian by rescaling the Higgs field  
135 and the Yukawa couplings as  $H \rightarrow H(1 + \epsilon |H|^2/v^2)$ ,  $y_f \rightarrow y_f(1 - \epsilon/2)$ . To lowest order  
136 in  $\epsilon$ , this rescaling generates the following terms in the Lagrangian

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = \epsilon \left( 2O'_{HD} + O_H - 4\lambda O_{6H} + \sqrt{2} \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \sum_i ([O_f]_{ii} + [O_f^\dagger]_{ii}) \right). \tag{3.5}$$

137 Thus, to get rid of the  $O'_{HD}$  operator generated by the transformation from the SILH  
138 to the Warsaw basis we need to choose  $\epsilon = -g^2(s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W})/2$ . Effectively, this  
139 amount to replacing in Eq. (3.4):

$$O'_{HD} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}O_H + 2\lambda O_{6H} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \sum_i ([O_f]_{ii} + [O_f^\dagger]_{ii}). \tag{3.6}$$

140 Moreover, we have to get rid of the 4-fermion operator  $O'_{\ell\ell}$  using the identity

$$[O'_{\ell\ell}]_{iijj} \equiv (\bar{\ell}_i \gamma_\mu \sigma^k \ell_i)(\bar{\ell}_j \gamma_\mu \sigma^k \ell_j) = 2[O_{\ell\ell}]_{ijji} - [O_{\ell\ell}]_{iijj}. \tag{3.7}$$



141 Finally, one should take into account that certain combination of flavor indices corre-  
 142 spond to the same operators, e.g.  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{jii} \equiv [O_{\ell\ell}]_{ijji}$ , or  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{jjii} \equiv [O_{\ell\ell}]_{ijjj}$ .

143 We are ready to give the translation between the Wilson coefficient in the SILH and  
 144 Warsaw basis:

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_H &= s_H - \frac{3g^2}{4} (s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W}), \\
 c_T &= s_T - \frac{g'^2}{4} (s_B + s_{HB} + s_{2B}), \\
 c_{6H} &= s_{6H} + 2\lambda g^2 (s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W}), \\
 c_{WB} &= -\frac{1}{4} (s_{HB} + s_{HW}), \\
 c_{BB} &= s_{BB} - s_{HB}, \\
 c_{WW} &= -s_{HW}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{WB} &= -\frac{1}{4} (\tilde{s}_{HB} + \tilde{s}_{HW}), \\
 \tilde{c}_{BB} &= \tilde{s}_{BB} - \tilde{s}_{HB}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{WW} &= -\tilde{s}_{HW},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

145

$$\begin{aligned}
 [c_{Hf}]_{ij} &= [s_{Hf}]_{ij} + \frac{g'^2 Y_f}{2} (s_B + s_{HB} + 2s_{2B}) \delta_{ij}, \\
 [c'_{Hf}]_{ij} &= [s'_{Hf}]_{ij} + \frac{g^2}{4} (s_W + s_{HW} + 2s_{2W}) \delta_{ij},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

146

$$[c_f]_{ij} = [s_f]_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \frac{g^2}{\sqrt{2}} (s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W}), \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[c_{\ell\ell}]_{iiii} &= [s_{\ell\ell}]_{iiii} + \frac{1}{4} (g'^2 s_{2B} + g^2 s_{2W}), \\
[c_{\ell\ell}]_{iijj} &= [s_{\ell\ell}]_{iijj} + \frac{1}{2} (g'^2 s_{2B} - g^2 s_{2W}), \quad i < j, \\
[c_{\ell\ell}]_{ijji} &= [s_{\ell\ell}]_{ijji} + g^2 s_{2W}, \quad i < j, \\
[c_{\ell e}]_{iijj} &= [s_{\ell e}]_{iijj} + g'^2 s_{2B}, \\
[c_{ee}]_{iiii} &= [s_{ee}]_{iiii} + g'^2 s_{2B}, \\
[c_{ee}]_{iijj} &= [s_{ee}]_{iijj} + 2g'^2 s_{2B}, \quad i < j, \\
[c'_{\ell q}]_{iijj} &= [s'_{\ell q}]_{iijj} + \frac{g^2}{2} s_{2W}, \\
[c_{\ell q}]_{iijj} &= [s_{\ell q}]_{iijj} - \frac{g'^2}{6} s_{2B}, \\
[c_{\ell u}]_{iijj} &= [s_{\ell u}]_{iijj} - \frac{2g'^2}{3} s_{2B}, \\
[c_{\ell d}]_{iijj} &= [s_{\ell d}]_{iijj} + \frac{g'^2}{3} s_{2B}, \\
[c_{eq}]_{iijj} &= [s_{eq}]_{iijj} - \frac{g'^2}{3} s_{2B}, \\
[c_{eu}]_{iijj} &= [s_{eu}]_{iijj} - \frac{4g'^2}{3} s_{2B}, \\
[c_{ed}]_{iijj} &= [s_{ed}]_{iijj} + \frac{2g'^2}{3} s_{2B}, \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

148 where it is implicit that  $[s_{H\ell}]_{11} = [s'_{H\ell}]_{11} = [s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} = [s_{\ell\ell}]_{1122} = 0$ . The translation for  
149 4-quark Wilson coefficients is not listed in Eq. (3.11) but it can be easily derived from  
150 Eq. (3.4). For other Wilson coefficients not listed above the translation is trivial:  $c_i = s_i$ .

## 151 4 Phenomenological effective Lagrangian

152 In Section 3 we introduced  $d=6$  operators in the  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  invariant notation. At that  
153 point, the connection between the new operators and phenomenology is not obvious. In  
154 this section we relate the Wilson coefficients of dimension-6 operators to the parameters  
155 of the effective Lagrangian describing the interactions of SM mass eigenstates after  
156 electroweak symmetry breaking. The effective Lagrangian is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}, \tag{4.1}$$

157 where  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{SM}}$  is the SM Lagrangian introduced in Section 2, and  $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}$ , contains new  
158 interactions beyond the SM induced by the  $d=6$  operators.<sup>5</sup> The effect of  $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}$  is  
159 either to shift the coupling strength away from the SM predictions or to introduce new  
160 tensor structures of interactions that are absent in the SM Lagrangian. A subset of these  
161 interactions is relevant to describe new physics effects in Higgs searches at the LHC.

162 By construction,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}$  has the following features:

---

<sup>5</sup>Note that, after electroweak symmetry breaking, the canonical dimensions of some interaction terms  $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}$  is smaller than 6 due to insertions of the Higgs field VEV  $v$ .

163 #1 All kinetic and mass terms are diagonal and canonically normalized. In particular,  
 164 there is no kinetic mixing between the Z boson and the photon.

165 #2 Tree-level relations between the electroweak parameters and input observables are  
 166 the same as the SM ones in Eq. (2.9). In particular, the photon and the gluon  
 167 interact with fermions as in Eq. (2.4), and there is no correction to the Z boson  
 168 mass term.

169 #3 Two-derivative self-interactions of the Higgs boson are absent.

170 #4 For each fermion pair, the coefficient of the vertex-like Higgs interaction term  
 171  $\frac{\hbar}{v} V_\mu \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f$  is equal to the vertex correction to the respective  $V_\mu \bar{f} \gamma_\mu f$  interaction.

172 These conditions greatly simplify the connection between the parameters of the La-  
 173 grangian and collider observables. In general, dimension-6 operators can induce inter-  
 174 action terms that do not respect these features. However, the conditions #1-#4 can  
 175 always be achieved, *without any loss of generality*, by using equations of motion, inte-  
 176 grating by parts, and redefining the fields and couplings. Below, we discuss the required  
 177 set of transformations starting from the Warsaw basis. An analogous procedure could  
 178 be executed starting from the SILH basis; alternatively, the map between the SILH basis  
 179 and the phenomenological effective Lagrangian can be derived using the results for the  
 180 Warsaw basis obtained below together with the Warsaw-to-SILH translation given in  
 181 Section 3.3,

182 We need to bring the Warsaw basis Lagrangian to a form that satisfies the condi-  
 183 tions #1-#4. To begin with, the operator  $O_{WB}$  leads to a kinetic mixing between the  
 184 hypercharge and SU(2) gauge bosons,  $O_{WB} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} g g' W_{\mu\nu}^3 B_{\mu\nu}$ . To get rid of it, one has  
 185 to use the equations of motion in Eq. (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -c_{WB} \frac{g g'}{2} W_{\mu\nu}^3 B_{\mu\nu} = -c_{WB} \frac{g g'}{2} (-2s_\theta^2 B_\mu \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^3 - 2c_\theta^2 W_\mu^3 \partial_\nu B_{\nu\mu} + g c_\theta^2 \epsilon^{3jk} W_\mu^j W_\nu^k B_{\mu\nu}) \\
 \rightarrow & c_{WB} e^2 \left[ \frac{(v+h)^2}{4} (g W_\mu^3 - g' B_\mu)^2 - g W_\mu^3 j_\mu^Y - g' B_\mu j_\mu^3 - \frac{g^2}{2g'} \epsilon^{3jk} W_\mu^j W_\nu^k B_{\mu\nu} - g' \epsilon^{3jk} B_\mu W_\nu^j W_{\nu\mu}^k \right] \\
 = & c_{WB} e^2 \left[ \frac{(g^2+g'^2)(v+h)^2}{4} Z_\mu^2 - e A_\mu j_\mu^{\text{em}} + \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} Z_\mu (j_\mu^3 - c_\theta^2 j_\mu^{\text{em}}) \right] \\
 + & i c_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'}{(g^2+g'^2)^{3/2}} \left[ g^2 (g A_{\mu\nu} - g' Z_{\mu\nu}) W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - g'^2 (g A_\mu - g' Z_\mu) (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\nu^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\nu^+) \right], \quad (4.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

186 where  $j_\mu^{\text{em}} = j_\mu^3 + j_\mu^Y$  is the electromagnetic current. Next, the operators  $O_{BB}$ ,  $O_{WW}$ ,  
 187 and  $O_{GG}$  change the normalization of the kinetic terms of the gauge bosons. To recover  
 188 the canonical normalization we redefine the gauge fields as

$$B_\mu \rightarrow B_\mu \left( 1 + \frac{c_{BB} g'^2}{4} \right), \quad W_\mu^i \rightarrow W_\mu^i \left( 1 + \frac{c_{WW} g^2}{4} \right), \quad G_\mu^a \rightarrow G_\mu^a \left( 1 + \frac{c_{GG} g_s^2}{4} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

189 The operator  $\tilde{O}_{GG}$  contributes to the QCD  $\theta$ -term which, for phenomenological reasons,  
 190 should be extremely small. Therefore, we assume that this contribution if present,  
 191 precisely cancels against the  $\theta$ -term in the SM Lagrangian such that  $|\theta_{\text{SM}} + \theta_{\tilde{GG}}| <$   
 192  $10^{-10}$ . The operator  $O_H$  changes the normalization of the Higgs boson kinetic term,  
 193 and also induces Higgs boson self-interactions that contain two derivatives. To recover

194 the canonical normalization and remove the 2-derivative self-interactions we redefine the  
 195 Higgs field as

$$h \rightarrow h \left( 1 - c_H - \frac{h}{v} c_H - \frac{h^2}{3v^2} c_H \right). \quad (4.4)$$

196 The relation between the Higgs VEV  $v_0$  and the mass parameter in the SM Lagrangian  
 197 is affected by the  $O_{6H}$  operator:

$$v_0^2 = \frac{\mu_H^2}{\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{4\lambda} c_{6H} \right), \quad (4.5)$$

198 while the relation between the Higgs boson mass and the quartic coupling in the SM  
 199 Lagrangian is affected by both  $O_{6H}$  and  $O_H$ :

$$m_h^2 = 2v_0^2 \left( \lambda - 2c_H \lambda - \frac{3}{2} c_{6H} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

200 We still need to ensure the condition #2 which requires that the tree-level relations  
 201 between the couplings and the observables employed to determine them must be the  
 202 same as in the SM. This is a non-trivial requirement, because dimension-6 operators  
 203 affect the observables used to extract these parameters. We have seen that the operator  
 204  $O_{WB}$  shifts the electric charge and the Z boson mass. Similarly, the operator  $O_T$  shifts  
 205 the Z boson mass term. Furthermore, one of the  $O_{\ell\ell}$  operators leads to the 4-fermion  
 206 coupling  $v^{-2} [c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} (\bar{\nu}_{\mu,L} \gamma_\rho \nu_{e,L}) (\bar{e}_L \gamma_\rho \mu_L)$  that contributes to the muon decay at the linear  
 207 level and thus effectively shifts the Fermi constant. Finally, the leptonic vertex operators  
 208  $O_{H\ell}$  change the couplings of  $W$  to electrons and muons, and thus also effectively shift  
 209 the Fermi constant. To undo these effects, we need to ensure that the photon and the  
 210 gluon couple to the electromagnetic and strong currents as in Eq. (2.4). Furthermore,  
 211 the Z boson mass term in the Lagrangian should be as in Eq. (2.3), and the tree-level  
 212  $\mu \rightarrow e \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$  decay width should be given by  $\Gamma = \frac{m_\mu^5}{384\pi^3 v^4}$ . This is achieved by the following  
 213 redefinition of the coupling constants and the VEV:

$$\begin{aligned} g_s &\rightarrow g_s \left( 1 - c_{GG} \frac{g_s^2}{4} \right), \\ g &\rightarrow g \left( 1 - c_{WW} \frac{g^2}{4} - c_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} + (c_T - \delta v) \frac{g^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \right), \\ g' &\rightarrow g' \left( 1 - c_{BB} \frac{g'^2}{4} + c_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} - (c_T - \delta v) \frac{g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \right), \\ v_0 &\rightarrow v (1 + \delta v), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

214 where  $\delta v = ([c'_{H\ell}]_{11} + [c'_{H\ell}]_{22})/2 - [c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}/4$ .

215 One last transformation is needed satisfy the condition #4. At this point, the coef-  
 216 ficients of the contact  $hVff$  and  $h^2Vff$  interactions differ from the vertex corrections  
 217 to the  $Vff$  interactions by flavor universal terms depending only on the electric charge  
 218 and the isospin of the fermions. It is possible to get rid of the latter using equations of  
 219 motion for the gauge bosons, so as to trade them into zero- and two-derivative Higgs  
 220 boson interactions with gauge bosons of the form  $hV_\mu V_\mu$  and  $hV_\mu \partial_\nu V_{\mu\nu}$ . To this end, we

221 add and subtract the following Lagrangian term:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\mathcal{L} &= \left(2\frac{h}{v} + \frac{h^2}{v^2}\right) [L_{\text{add}} - L_{\text{add, eom}}] \\
\mathcal{L}_{\text{add}} &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{g^2}{g^2 - g'^2} (c_T - \delta v - g'^2 c_{WB}) (W_\mu^+ j_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}) \\
&+ \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} ((c_T - \delta v)(g^2 j_\mu^3 + g'^2 j_\mu^Y) - g^2 g'^2 c_{WB}(j_\mu^3 + j_\mu^Y)) Z_\mu
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

222 where  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{add, eom}}$  is  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{add}}$  with the fermionic currents  $j_\mu$  eliminated in favor of bosonic  
223 terms using the equations of motion in Eq. (2.2). This step ensures the the coefficients  
224 of the vertex-like Higgs contact interactions  $hVff$  and  $h^2Vff$  in the Lagrangian are  
225 proportional to the vertex correction to the SM  $Vff$  interactions.

226 After all these transformations, the conditions #1-#4 are satisfied. We can proceed  
227 to listing the corrections to the SM in  $\Delta L_{d=6}$  in this representation. We will focus on  
228 interaction terms that are relevant for LHC phenomenology. Coefficients of all interac-  
229 tion terms in  $\Delta L_{d=6}$  are  $\mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^2)$  in the EFT expansion, and will ignore all  $\mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^4)$   
230 and higher contributions. To facilitate presentation, we split  $\Delta L_{d=6}$  into the following  
231 parts,

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6} = \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{vertex}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{dipole}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{tgc}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{qgc}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{h}} + \mathcal{L}_{hVff} + \mathcal{L}_{hdVff} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{self}} + \Delta\mathcal{L}_{h^2} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{other}}. \tag{4.9}$$

232 Below we define each term in order of appearance. In this section we give the Lagrangian  
233 in the unitary gauge when the Goldstone bosons eaten by  $W$  and  $Z$  are set to zero; see  
234 Appendix C for a generalization to the  $R_\xi$  gauge.

## 235 4.1 Quadratic terms

236 By construction, there are no corrections to quadratic terms of the SM mass eigenstates  
237 with the exception of the shift of the  $W$  boson mass in Eq. (2.3):

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = 2\delta m \frac{g^2 v^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^-. \tag{4.10}$$

238 The relation between  $\delta m$  and the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw and SILH bases is  
239 given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta m &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [-g^2 g'^2 c_{WB} + g^2 c_T - g'^2 \delta v] \\
&= -\frac{g^2 g'^2}{4(g^2 - g'^2)} \left( s_W + s_B + s_{2W} + s_{2B} - \frac{4}{g'^2} s_T + \frac{2}{g^2} [s'_{H\ell}]_{22} \right). \tag{4.11}
\end{aligned}$$

## 240 4.2 Gauge boson interactions with fermions

241 Two types of corrections to the SM gauge boson interactions with fermions may be  
242 introduced by dimension-6 operators. One is the so-called *vertex corrections*, which

243 shift the W and Z couplings to fermions away from the SM Lagrangian of Eq. (2.4):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{vertex}} &= \frac{g}{\sqrt{2}} \left( W_\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_L \gamma_\mu \delta g_L^{W\ell} e_L + W_\mu^+ \bar{u} \gamma_\mu \delta g_L^{Wq} d_L + W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_R \gamma_\mu \delta g_R^{Wq} d_R + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ &+ \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} Z_\mu \left[ \sum_{f \in u, d, e, \nu} \bar{f}_L \gamma_\mu \delta g_L^{Zf} f_L + \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \bar{f}_R \gamma_\mu \delta g_R^{Zf} f_R \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

244 where all the  $\delta g$  are  $3 \times 3$  Hermitian matrices in the generation space, except for  $\delta g_R^{Wq}$   
 245 which is a general  $3 \times 3$  complex matrix. The vertex corrections to W and Z boson  
 246 couplings to fermions are expressed by the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw basis as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_L^{W\ell} &= c'_{H\ell} + f(1/2, 0) - f(-1/2, -1), \\ \delta g_L^{Z\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} c'_{H\ell} - \frac{1}{2} c_{H\ell} + f(1/2, 0), \\ \delta g_L^{Ze} &= -\frac{1}{2} c'_{H\ell} - \frac{1}{2} c_{H\ell} + f(-1/2, -1), \\ \delta g_R^{Ze} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{He} + f(0, -1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

247

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_L^{Wq} &= (c'_{Hq} + f(1/2, 2/3) - f(-1/2, -1/3)) V_{\text{CKM}}, \\ \delta g_R^{Wq} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{Hud}, \\ \delta g_L^{Zu} &= \frac{1}{2} c'_{Hq} - \frac{1}{2} c_{Hq} + f(1/2, 2/3), \\ \delta g_L^{Zd} &= -\frac{1}{2} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger c'_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} - \frac{1}{2} V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger c_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} + f(-1/2, -1/3), \\ \delta g_R^{Zu} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{Hu} + f(0, 2/3), \\ \delta g_R^{Zd} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{Hd} + f(0, -1/3), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

248 where

$$f(T^3, Q) = I_3 \left[ -Q_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} + (c_T - \delta v) \left( T^3 + Q \frac{g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \right) \right], \quad (4.15)$$

249 and  $I_3$  is the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix. The analogous expression in the SILH basis read

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_L^{Z\nu} &= \frac{1}{2}s'_{H\ell} - \frac{1}{2}s_{H\ell} + \hat{f}(1/2, 0), \\
\delta g_L^{Ze} &= -\frac{1}{2}s'_{H\ell} - \frac{1}{2}s_{H\ell} + \hat{f}(-1/2, -1), \\
\delta g_R^{Ze} &= -\frac{1}{2}s_{He} + \hat{f}(0, -1), \\
\delta g_L^{Zu} &= \frac{1}{2}s'_{Hq} - \frac{1}{2}s_{Hq} + \hat{f}(1/2, 2/3), \\
\delta g_L^{Zd} &= -\frac{1}{2}V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger s'_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} - \frac{1}{2}V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger s_{Hq} V_{\text{CKM}} + \hat{f}(-1/2, -1/3), \\
\delta g_R^{Zu} &= -\frac{1}{2}s_{Hu} + \hat{f}(0, 2/3), \\
\delta g_R^{Zd} &= -\frac{1}{2}s_{Hd} + \hat{f}(0, -1/3), \\
\delta g_L^{W\ell} &= s'_{H\ell} + \hat{f}(1/2, 0) - \hat{f}(-1/2, -1), \\
\delta g_L^{Wq} &= \left( s'_{Hq} + \hat{f}(1/2, 2/3) - \hat{f}(-1/2, -1/3) \right) V_{\text{CKM}}, \\
\delta g_R^{Wq} &= -\frac{1}{2}s_{Hud},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

250 where

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(T^3, Q) &\equiv \frac{1}{4} [g^2 s_{2W} + g'^2 s_{2B} + 4s_T - 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}] T^3 \\
&+ \frac{g'^2}{4(g^2 - g'^2)} [-(2g^2 - g'^2)s_{2B} - g^2(s_{2W} + s_W + s_B) + 4s_T - 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}] Q.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

251 Another type of gauge boson interactions with fermions, which does occur in the SM  
252 Lagrangian, are the so-called dipole interactions, We parametrize them as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{dipole}} &= -\frac{1}{4v} \left[ g_s \sum_{f \in u, d} \frac{\sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}}}{v} \bar{f}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a [d_{Gf}]_{ij} f_j G_{\mu\nu}^a + e \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \frac{\sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}}}{v} \bar{f}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} [d_{Af}]_{ij} f_j A_{\mu\nu} \right. \\
&+ \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \frac{\sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}}}{v} \bar{f}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} [d_{Zf}]_{ij} f_j Z_{\mu\nu} \\
&+ \sqrt{2}g \left( \frac{\sqrt{m_{u_i} m_{u_j}}}{v} \bar{d}_{L,i} \sigma_{\mu\nu} [d_{Wu}]_{ij} u_{R,j} W_{\mu\nu}^- + \frac{\sqrt{m_{d_i} m_{d_j}}}{v} \bar{u}_{L,i} \sigma_{\mu\nu} [d_{Wd}]_{ij} d_{R,j} W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \text{h.c.} \right) \\
&+ \sqrt{2}g \left( \frac{\sqrt{m_{e_i} m_{e_j}}}{v} \bar{\nu}_{L,i} \sigma_{\mu\nu} [d_{We}]_{ij} e_{R,j} W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \text{h.c.} \right) \\
&+ g_s \sum_{f \in u, d} \frac{\sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}}}{v} \bar{f}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a [\tilde{d}_{Gf}]_{ij} f_j \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a + e \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \frac{\sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}}}{v} \bar{f}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} [\tilde{d}_{Af}]_{ij} f_j \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} \\
&\left. + \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \frac{\sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}}}{v} \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \bar{f}_i \sigma_{\mu\nu} [\tilde{d}_{Zf}]_{ij} f_j \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

253 where  $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = i[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]/2$ , and  $d_{Af}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{Af}$ ,  $d_{Zf}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{Zf}$  are Hermitian  $3 \times 3$  matrices, while  
 254  $d_{Wf}$  are general complex  $3 \times 3$  matrices. The field strength tensors are defined as  
 255  $X_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu X_\nu - \partial_\nu X_\mu$ , and  $\tilde{X}_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho X_\sigma$ . The coefficients  $d_{vf}$  are related to the  
 256 Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw basis as

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{Gf} - i\tilde{d}_{Gf} &= -2\sqrt{2}c_{fG}, \\
 d_{Af} - i\tilde{d}_{Af} &= -2\sqrt{2}(\eta_f c_{fW} + c_{fB}), \\
 d_{Zf} - i\tilde{d}_{Zf} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{g^2 + g'^2} (g^2 \eta_f c_{fW} - g'^2 c_{fB}), \\
 d_{Wf} &= -2\sqrt{2}c_{fW},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

257 where  $\eta_u = +1$ ,  $\eta_{d,e} = -1$ , and the formulas in the SILH basis are the same with  $c_i \rightarrow s_i$ .

### 258 4.3 Gauge boson self-interactions

259 The corrections to the cubic interactions of gauge bosons in Eq. (2.7) are parametrized  
 260 as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{tgc}} &= ie \left[ \delta\kappa_\gamma A_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- + \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- \right] \\
 &+ igc_\theta \left[ \delta g_{1,z} (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_\mu^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_\mu^+) Z_\nu + \delta\kappa_z Z_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- + \tilde{\kappa}_z \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- \right] \\
 &+ i\frac{e}{m_W^2} \left[ \lambda_\gamma W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- A_{\rho\mu} + \tilde{\lambda}_\gamma W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- \tilde{A}_{\rho\mu} \right] + i\frac{gC_\theta}{m_W^2} \left[ \lambda_z W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- Z_{\rho\mu} + \tilde{\lambda}_z W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- \tilde{Z}_{\rho\mu} \right] \\
 &+ \frac{c_{3G}}{v^2} g_s^3 f^{abc} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_{\rho\mu}^c + \frac{\tilde{c}_{3G}}{v^2} g_s^3 f^{abc} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_{\rho\mu}^c,
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

261 The couplings of electroweak gauge bosons follow the customary parametrization of  
 262 Ref. [7]. The anomalous triple gauge couplings of electroweak gauge bosons are related  
 263 to the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw basis as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta g_{1,z} &= \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} (-g'^2 c_{WB} + c_T - \delta v), \\
 \delta\kappa_\gamma &= g^2 c_{WB}, \\
 \delta\kappa_z &= -2c_{WB} \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} (c_T - \delta v), \\
 \lambda_\gamma &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 c_{3W}, \\
 \lambda_z &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 c_{3W}, \\
 \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma &= g^2 \tilde{c}_{WB}, \\
 \tilde{\kappa}_z &= -g'^2 \tilde{c}_{WB}, \\
 \tilde{\lambda}_\gamma &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 \tilde{c}_{3W}, \\
 \tilde{\lambda}_z &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 \tilde{c}_{3W}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$



264 The analogous relations for the SILH basis read

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_{1z} &= -\frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4(g^2 - g'^2)} [(g^2 - g'^2)s_{HW} + g^2(s_W + s_{2W}) + g'^2(s_B + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \\
\delta \kappa_\gamma &= -\frac{g^2}{4} [s_{HW} + s_{HB}], \\
\delta \kappa_z &= -\frac{1}{4} (g^2 s_{HW} - g'^2 s_{HB}) - \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4(g^2 - g'^2)} [g^2(s_W + s_{2W}) + g'^2(s_B + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \\
\lambda_z &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 s_{3W}, \quad \lambda_\gamma = \lambda_z, \\
\delta \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma &= -\frac{g^2}{4} [\tilde{s}_{HW} + \tilde{s}_{HB}], \\
\delta \tilde{\kappa}_z &= \frac{g'^2}{4} [\tilde{s}_{HW} + \tilde{s}_{HB}], \\
\tilde{\lambda}_z &= -\frac{3}{2} g^4 \tilde{s}_{3W}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_\gamma = \tilde{\lambda}_z.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

265 The quartic gauge interactions can be parametrized as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{qgc}} &= \delta g_{W^4} \frac{g^2}{2} (W_\mu^+ W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- W_\nu^- - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- W_\nu^+ W_\nu^-) \\
&+ \delta g_{W^2 Z^2} g^2 c_\theta^2 (W_\mu^+ Z_\mu W_\nu^- Z_\nu - W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- Z_\nu Z_\nu) \\
&+ \delta g_{W^2 Z A} g^2 c_\theta s_\theta (W_\mu^+ Z_\mu W_\nu^- A_\nu + W_\mu^+ A_\mu W_\nu^- Z_\nu - 2W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- Z_\nu A_\nu) \\
&- \frac{g^2 \lambda_{W^4}}{2 m_W^2} (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- W_{\nu\rho}^+) (W_\mu^+ W_\rho^- - W_\mu^- W_\rho^+) \\
&- g^2 c_\theta^2 \frac{\lambda_{W^2 Z^2}}{m_W^2} [W_\mu^+ (Z_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- Z_{\nu\rho}) Z_\rho + W_\mu^- (Z_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^+ - W_{\mu\nu}^+ Z_{\nu\rho}) Z_\rho] \\
&- e^2 \frac{\lambda_{W^2 A^2}}{m_W^2} [W_\mu^+ (A_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- A_{\nu\rho}) A_\rho + W_\mu^- (A_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^+ - W_{\mu\nu}^+ A_{\nu\rho}) A_\rho] \\
&- e g c_\theta \frac{\lambda_{W^2 A Z}}{m_W^2} [W_\mu^+ (A_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- A_{\nu\rho}) Z_\rho + W_\mu^- (A_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^+ - W_{\mu\nu}^+ A_{\nu\rho}) Z_\rho] \\
&- e g c_\theta \frac{\lambda_{W^2 Z A}}{m_W^2} [W_\mu^+ (Z_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^- - W_{\mu\nu}^- Z_{\nu\rho}) A_\rho + W_\mu^- (Z_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho}^+ - W_{\mu\nu}^+ Z_{\nu\rho}) A_\rho] \\
&+ 3g_s^3 \frac{c_{4G}}{v^2} f^{abc} f^{cde} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\nu\rho}^b G_\rho^d G_\mu^e + \text{CP odd},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

266 where CP odd stands for analogous terms with  $\lambda_z \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_z$ ,  $c_{4G} \rightarrow \tilde{c}_{4G}$ , and one of the  
267 field strength tensors replaced by the dual one. The parameters in Eq. (4.23) can be  
268 expressed by the corrections to the triple gauge couplings

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta g_{W^4} &= \delta g_{W^2 Z^2} = \delta g_{W^2 Z A} = \delta g_{1,z}, \\
\lambda_{W^4} &= \lambda_{W^2 Z^2} = \lambda_{W^2 A^2} = \lambda_{W^2 A Z} = \lambda_{W^2 Z A} = \lambda_z, \\
c_{4G} &= c_{3G},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

269 and analogous formulas hold for the CP-odd couplings with  $\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}$  and  $c \rightarrow \tilde{c}$ .

## 270 4.4 Single Higgs couplings

271 This part is the most relevant one from the point of view of the LHC Higgs phenomenol-  
 272 ogy. First, we define the following single Higgs boson couplings to a pair of the SM  
 273 fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta\mathcal{L}_h &= \frac{h}{v} \left[ 2\delta c_w m_W^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \delta c_z m_Z^2 Z_\mu Z_\mu \right. \\
 &- \sum_{f \in u, d, e} \sum_{ij} \sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}} [\delta y_f]_{ij} \left[ e^{i\phi_{ij}^f} \bar{f}_{L,i} f_{R,j} + \text{h.c.} \right] \\
 &+ c_{ww} \frac{g^2}{2} W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\mu\nu}^- + \tilde{c}_{ww} \frac{g^2}{2} W_{\mu\nu}^+ \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + c_{w\Box} g^2 (W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \text{h.c.}) \\
 &+ c_{gg} \frac{g_s^2}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a + c_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{e^2}{4} A_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + c_{z\gamma} \frac{e\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} Z_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + c_{zz} \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4} Z_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} \\
 &+ c_{z\Box} g^2 Z_\mu \partial_\nu Z_{\mu\nu} + c_{\gamma\Box} g g' Z_\mu \partial_\nu A_{\mu\nu} \\
 &\left. + \tilde{c}_{gg} \frac{g_s^2}{4} G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a + \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{e^2}{4} A_{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} \frac{e\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} Z_{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{c}_{zz} \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{4} Z_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} \right], \tag{4.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

274 where all the couplings above are real. The terms in the first two lines shift the SM  
 275 couplings in Eq. (2.5), while the remaining terms introduce Higgs couplings to matter  
 276 with a tensor structure that is absent in the SM Lagrangian. Note that, using equations  
 277 of motion, we could get rid of certain 2-derivative interactions between the Higgs and  
 278 gauge bosons:  $h Z_\mu \partial_\nu Z_{\nu\mu}$ ,  $h Z_\mu \partial_\nu A_{\nu\mu}$ , and  $h W_\mu^\pm \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^\mp$ . These interactions would then be  
 279 traded for contact interactions of the Higgs, gauge bosons and fermions in Eq. (4.30).  
 280 However, one of the defining features of our effective Lagrangian is that the coefficients of  
 281 the latter couplings are equal to the corresponding vertex correction in Eq. (4.12). This  
 282 form can be always obtained, without any loss of generality, starting from an arbitrary  
 283 dimension-6 Lagrangian provided the 2-derivative  $h V_\mu \partial_\nu V_{\nu\mu}$  are kept in the Lagrangian.  
 284 Note that we work in the limit where the neutrinos are massless and the Higgs boson  
 285 does not couple to the neutrinos. In the EFT context, the couplings to neutrinos induced  
 286 by dimension-5 operators are proportional to neutrino masses, therefore they are far too  
 287 small to have any relevance for LHC phenomenology.

288 The shifts of the Higgs couplings to W and Z bosons are related to the Wilson  
 289 coefficients in the Warsaw and SILH basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta c_w &= -c_H - c_{WB} \frac{4g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} + 4c_T \frac{g^2}{g^2 - g'^2} - \delta v \frac{3g^2 + g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \\
 &= -s_H - \frac{g^2 g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \left[ s_W + s_B + s_{2W} + s_{2B} - \frac{4}{g'^2} s_T + \frac{3g^2 + g'^2}{2g^2 g'^2} [s'_{H\ell}]_{22} \right], \\
 \delta c_z &= -c_H - 3\delta v \\
 &= -s_H - \frac{3}{2} [s'_{H\ell}]_{22}, \tag{4.26}
 \end{aligned}$$

290 The Yukawa interactions are related to the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw and

291 SILH basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
[\delta y_f]_{ij} e^{i\phi_{ij}^f} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [c_f]_{ij} - \delta_{ij} (c_H + \delta v) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [s_f]_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \left[ s_H + \frac{1}{2} [s'_{H\ell}]_{22} \right], \tag{4.27}
\end{aligned}$$

292 The two-derivative Higgs couplings to gauge bosons are related to the Wilson coef-  
293 ficients in the Warsaw basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{gg} &= c_{GG}, \\
c_{\gamma\gamma} &= c_{WW} + c_{BB} - 4c_{WB}, \\
c_{zz} &= \frac{g^4 c_{WW} + g'^4 c_{BB} + 4g^2 g'^2 c_{WB}}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2}, \\
c_{z\Box} &= -\frac{2}{g^2} (c_T - \delta v), \\
c_{z\gamma} &= \frac{g^2 c_{WW} - g'^2 c_{BB} - 2(g^2 - g'^2) c_{WB}}{g^2 + g'^2}, \\
c_{\gamma\Box} &= \frac{2}{g^2 - g'^2} ((g^2 + g'^2) c_{WB} - 2c_T + 2\delta v), \\
c_{ww} &= c_{WW}, \\
c_{w\Box} &= \frac{2}{g^2 - g'^2} (g'^2 c_{WB} - c_T + \delta v). \tag{4.28}
\end{aligned}$$

294 and the same for the CP-odd couplings  $\tilde{c}_{gg}$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{z\gamma}$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{zz}$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{ww}$ , with  $c \rightarrow \tilde{c}$  on the right  
295 hand side. The analogous expressions for the SILH basis read

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{gg} &= s_{GG}, \\
c_{\gamma\gamma} &= s_{BB}, \\
c_{zz} &= -\frac{1}{g^2 + g'^2} [g^2 s_{HW} + g'^2 s_{HB} - g'^2 s_\theta^2 s_{BB}], \\
c_{z\Box} &= \frac{1}{2g^2} [g^2 (s_W + s_{HW} + s_{2W}) + g'^2 (s_B + s_{HB} + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \\
c_{z\gamma} &= \frac{s_{HB} - s_{HW}}{2} - s_\theta^2 s_{BB}, \\
c_{\gamma\Box} &= \frac{s_{HW} - s_{HB}}{2} + \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [g^2 (s_W + s_{2W}) + g'^2 (s_B + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \\
c_{ww} &= -s_{HW}, \\
c_{w\Box} &= \frac{s_{HW}}{2} + \frac{1}{2(g^2 - g'^2)} [g^2 (s_W + s_{2W}) + g'^2 (s_B + s_{2B}) - 4s_T + 2[s'_{H\ell}]_{22}], \tag{4.29}
\end{aligned}$$

296 Next, couplings of the Higgs boson to a gauge field and two fermions (which are not  
297 present in the SM Lagrangian) can be generated by dimension-6 operators. The vertex-  
298 like contact interactions between the Higgs, electroweak gauge bosons, and fermions are

299 parametrized as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{h\nu ff} &= \sqrt{2}g\frac{h}{v}W_\mu^+ \left( \bar{u}_L\gamma_\mu\delta g_L^{hWq}d_L + \bar{u}_R\gamma_\mu\delta g_R^{hWq}d_R + \bar{\nu}_L\gamma_\mu\delta g_L^{hW\ell}e_L \right) + \text{h.c.} \\ &+ 2\frac{h}{v}\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}Z_\mu \left[ \sum_{f=u,d,e,\nu} \bar{f}_L\gamma_\mu\delta g_L^{hZf}f_L + \sum_{f=u,d,e} \bar{f}_R\gamma_\mu\delta g_R^{hZf}f_R \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

300 As discussed before, by construction, the coefficients of these interaction are equal to  
301 the corresponding vertex correction in Eq. (4.12):

$$\delta g^{hZf} = \delta g^{Zf}, \quad \delta g^{hWf} = \delta g^{Wf}. \quad (4.31)$$

302 The dipole-type contact interactions of the Higgs boson are parametrized as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{hdvff}} &= -\frac{h}{4v^2} \left[ g_s \sum_{f \in u,d} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu}T^a d_{hGf} f G_{\mu\nu}^a + e \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hAf} f A_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hZf} f Z_{\mu\nu} \right. \\ &+ \sqrt{2}g \left( \bar{d}_L\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hWu} u_R W_{\mu\nu}^- + \bar{u}_L\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hWd} d_R W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \bar{\nu}_L\sigma_{\mu\nu} d_{hWe} e_R W_{\mu\nu}^+ + \text{h.c.} \right) \\ &\left. + g_s \sum_{f \in u,d} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu}T^a \tilde{d}_{hGf} f \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a + e \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu} \tilde{d}_{hAf} f \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} \sum_{f \in u,d,e} \bar{f}\sigma_{\mu\nu} \tilde{d}_{hZf} f \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

303 where  $d_{hAf}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{hAf}$ ,  $d_{hZf}$ ,  $\tilde{d}_{hZf}$  are Hermitian  $3 \times 3$  matrices, while  $d_{hWf}$  are general  
304 complex  $3 \times 3$  matrices. The coefficients are simply related to the corresponding dipole  
305 interactions in Eq. (4.18):

$$d_{hVf} = d_{Vf}. \quad (4.33)$$

306 Dimension-6 operators can also induce single Higgs couplings to 3 gauge bosons, but  
307 we do not display them in this note.

## 308 4.5 Higgs boson self-couplings

309 Corrections to the Higgs boson self-couplings in the SM are parametrized as

$$\Delta\mathcal{L}_{h,\text{self}} = -\delta\lambda_3 v h^3 - \delta\lambda_4 h^4. \quad (4.34)$$

310 The relation between the cubic corrections and the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw  
311 and SILH basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\lambda_3 &= -\lambda(3c_H + \delta v) - c_{6H} \\ &= -\lambda \left( 3s_H + \frac{1}{2}[s'_{H\ell}]_{22} \right) - s_{6H}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

312 The correction to the quartic Higgs boson term in Eq. (4.34) can be expressed as

$$\delta\lambda_4 = \frac{3}{2}\delta\lambda_3 - \frac{m_h^2}{6v^2}\delta c_z. \quad (4.36)$$

313 Self-interactions with more than 4 fields can also arise from dimension-6 operators,  
314 but we do not display them in this note.

## 315 4.6 Couplings of two or more Higgs bosons

316 To describe double Higgs production at the LHC we need, apart from a subset of the  
 317 single Higgs couplings introduced in Section 4.4 and the cubic Higgs self-interaction in  
 318 Eq. (4.34), the interactions between two Higgs bosons and two other SM fields. They  
 319 are parametrized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta\mathcal{L}_{hh} &= \frac{h^2}{v^2} \left( \delta c_z^{(2)} \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{2} Z_\mu Z_\mu + \delta c_w^{(2)} g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- \right) - \frac{h^2}{2v^2} \sum_{f:ij} \sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}} \left[ \bar{f}_{i,R} [y_f^{(2)}]_{ij} f_{j,L} + \text{h.c.} \right]. \\
 &+ \frac{h^2}{8v^2} \left( c_{gg}^{(2)} g_s^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a + 2c_{ww}^{(2)} g^2 W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\mu\nu}^- + c_{zz}^{(2)} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + 2c_{z\gamma}^{(2)} gg' Z_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + c_{\gamma\gamma}^{(2)} e^2 A_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} \right) \\
 &+ \frac{h^2}{8v^2} \left( \tilde{c}_{gg}^{(2)} g_s^2 G_{\mu\nu}^a \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a + 2\tilde{c}_{ww}^{(2)} g^2 W_{\mu\nu}^+ \tilde{W}_{\mu\nu}^- + \tilde{c}_{zz}^{(2)} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_{\mu\nu} \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu} + 2\tilde{c}_{z\gamma}^{(2)} gg' Z_{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}^{(2)} e^2 A_{\mu\nu} \tilde{A}_{\mu\nu} \right) \\
 &- \frac{h^2}{2v^2} \left( g^2 c_{w\Box}^{(2)} (W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^- + W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_{\nu\mu}^+) + g^2 c_{z\Box}^{(2)} Z_\mu \partial_\nu Z_{\nu\mu} + gg' c_{\gamma\Box}^{(2)} Z_\mu \partial_\nu A_{\nu\mu} \right). \tag{4.37}
 \end{aligned}$$

320 All double Higgs couplings arising from  $d=6$  operators can be expressed by the single  
 321 Higgs couplings:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta c_z^{(2)} &= \delta c_z, & \delta c_w^{(2)} &= \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\
 [y_f^{(2)}]_{ij} &= 3[\delta y_f]_{ij} e^{i\phi_{ij}} - \delta c_z \delta_{ij}, \\
 c_{vv}^{(2)} &= c_{vv}, & \tilde{c}_{vv}^{(2)} &= \tilde{c}_{vv}, & v &\in \{g, w, z, \gamma\}, \\
 c_{v\Box}^{(2)} &= c_{v\Box}, & v &\in \{w, z, \gamma\}. \tag{4.38}
 \end{aligned}$$

322 Other interaction terms with two Higgs bosons involve at least 5 fields: e.g the  $h^2 V^3$  or  
 323  $h^2 f f V$  contact interactions. We do not display them in this note.

## 324 4.7 Other terms

325 In the subsections above we wrote down interaction terms in the effective Lagrangian that  
 326 are relevant for SM precision tests and for Higgs searches at the LHC. The remaining  
 327 terms, which are not explicitly displayed in this note, are contained in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{other}}$ . They  
 328 include 4-fermion terms, couplings of a single Higgs boson to 3 or more gauge bosons,  
 329 dipole-like interactions of two gauge bosons and two fermions, and interaction terms  
 330 with 5 or more fields. Currently, these terms are not relevant for single and double  
 331 Higgs production and decay at the LHC. If phenomenological interest is presented, any  
 332 of the terms in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{other}}$  can be explicitly written down in this note.

## 333 5 Higgs basis

334 In principle, there is no theoretical obstacle to present the results of LHC Higgs analyses  
 335 as constraints on the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw or SILH basis. However, this  
 336 procedure may not be the most efficient one. One difficulty is that, in those bases, one  
 337 needs to consider a large number of parameters, however the LHC Higgs observables  
 338 depend only on a smaller number of linear combinations of the Wilson coefficients. An-  
 339 other practical difficulty is that some of these linear combinations are already stringently

340 constrained by electroweak precision tests, such that they cannot yield observable ef-  
 341 fects at the LHC. In this section we propose a more convenient parametrization of the  
 342 effective Lagrangian with  $d=6$  operators, along the lines of the *EFT primaries* in Ref. [2].

343 The salient features of our proposal are the following. The goal is to parametrize the  
 344  $d=6$  operators in a way that can be more directly connected to observable quantities  
 345 in Higgs physics. We call this parametrization the *Higgs basis*. Technically, the Higgs  
 346 basis can be defined as a linear transformation from the Warsaw or SILH basis into the  
 347 coefficients of certain interaction terms of the mass eigenstates (in particular the W,  
 348 Z, and the Higgs bosons) in the effective Lagrangian. In practice, we will define the  
 349 Higgs basis by choosing a subset of the couplings multiplying interaction terms in the  
 350 effective Lagrangian Eq. (4.1) defined in Section 4. We will refer to this subset as the  
 351 *independent couplings*. The number of independent couplings is the same as the num-  
 352 ber of independent operators in the Warsaw or SILH basis. They define the space of  
 353 all possible deformations of the SM Lagrangian in the presence of  $d=6$  operators. The  
 354 independent couplings include the single Higgs couplings to gauge bosons and fermions,  
 355 such that the parameters of the Higgs basis can be easily related to LHC Higgs observ-  
 356 ables. Furthermore, the vertex corrections to the Z boson interactions with fermions are  
 357 among the independent couplings so that the stringent constraints from the Z and W  
 358 partial decay widths can be incorporated in a transparent way.

359 The number of interaction terms in the effective Lagrangian of Eq. (4.1) is larger  
 360 than the number of Wilson coefficients in a dimension-6 EFT basis. Due to this fact,  
 361 some of the parameters in  $\Delta\mathcal{L}_{d=6}$  can be expressed by the independent couplings; we  
 362 call them the *dependent couplings*. The relations between dependent and independent  
 363 couplings can be inferred from the matching between the effective Lagrangian and the  
 364 Warsaw or SILH basis in Section 3. These relations *hold at the level of the dimension-6*  
 365 *Lagrangian*, and they are in general not respected in the presence of dimension-8 and  
 366 higher operators. Of course, the choice which couplings are independent and which  
 367 are dependent is a subjective choice dictated by convenience. In our case, the choice  
 368 of the independent couplings was motivated by their direct connection to observables  
 369 constrained by electroweak precision tests and Higgs searches. However, other choices  
 370 can be envisaged and may be more convenient for other applications.

## 371 5.1 Independent couplings

372 We select a subset of couplings in the effective Lagrangian of Eq. (4.1) that has a 1-to-1  
 373 mapping to the Wilson coefficients in the Warsaw or SILH basis (or any other dimension-  
 374 6 basis). This subset of independent couplings defines the Higgs basis. It can be used  
 375 on par with any other basis to describe the effect of dimension-6 operators on physical  
 376 observables.

377 The first group of independent couplings are the ones affecting the W boson mass  
 378 and the Z and W boson couplings to fermions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta m, \delta g_L^{Ze}, \delta g_R^{Ze}, \delta g_L^{W\ell}, \delta g_L^{Zu}, \delta g_R^{Zu}, \delta g_L^{Zd}, \delta g_R^{Zd}, \delta g_R^{Wq}, \\
 & d_{Gu}, d_{Gd}, d_{Ae}, d_{Au}, d_{Ad}, d_{Ze}, d_{Zu}, d_{Zd}, \tilde{d}_{Gu}, \tilde{d}_{Gd}, \tilde{d}_{Ae}, \tilde{d}_{Au}, \tilde{d}_{Ad}, \tilde{d}_{Ze}, \tilde{d}_{Zu}, \tilde{d}_{Zd}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.1}$$

379 Here the mass correction  $\delta m$  is defined in Eq. (4.10), the vertex corrections  $\delta g^i$  are

380 defined in Eq. (4.12), and the dipole moments  $d_i$  are defined in Eq. (4.18). While they  
 381 are free parameters from the EFT point of view, precision measurements constrain them  
 382 to be small. In particular, most of the parameters in the first line are constrained to be  
 383  $\lesssim 10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$  [10]. The remaining parameters are constrained by measurements of the  
 384 magnetic and electric dipole moments. Therefore, even if combinations of dimension-6  
 385 operators defined by the independent couplings in Eq. (5.1) affect the Higgs observables,  
 386 it is well-motivated to neglect them in LHC Higgs analyses whose precision is worse than  
 387 the existing constraints.

388 The second group of independent couplings are the ones describing the interactions  
 389 of the Higgs boson with the SM gauge boson, fermions, and with itself:

$$c_{gg}, \delta c_z, c_{\gamma\gamma}, c_{z\gamma}, c_{zz}, c_{z\Box}, \tilde{c}_{gg}, \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{z\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{zz}, \\ \delta y_u, \delta y_d, \delta y_e, \sin \phi_u, \sin \phi_d, \sin \phi_\ell, \delta \lambda_3. \quad (5.2)$$

390 They are defined by Eq. (4.25), except for the last one which is defined in Eq. (4.37). As  
 391 opposed to the ones in Eq. (5.1), the combinations of Wilson coefficients corresponding  
 392 to the independent couplings in Eq. (5.2) are weakly constrained by SM precision tests.  
 393 In fact, the strongest limits on these couplings typically come from Higgs searches. An  
 394 important task of the LHC collaborations is to provide model-independent limits on the  
 395 parameters in Eq. (5.2).

396 The third group of independent couplings are related to gauge bosons self-couplings:

$$\lambda_z, \tilde{\lambda}_z, c_{3G}, \tilde{c}_{3G}. \quad (5.3)$$

397 They are defined in Eq. (4.20). These couplings do not affect Higgs searches, and they  
 398 are only weakly constrained by SM precision tests.

399 To complete the definition of the Higgs basis, one has to include the independent  
 400 couplings corresponding to 4-fermion operators. We choose to parametrize them by the  
 401 same set of Wilson coefficients as in the Warsaw basis:

$$c_{\ell\ell}, c_{qq}, c'_{qq}, c_{\ell q}, c'_{\ell q}, c_{quqd}, c'_{quqd}, c_{lequ}, c'_{lequ}, c_{ledq}, \\ c_{le}, c_{lu}, c_{ld}, c_{qe}, c_{qu}, c'_{qu}, c_{qd}, c'_{qd}, c_{ee}, c_{uu}, c_{dd}, c_{eu}, c_{ed}, c_{ud}, c'_{ud}. \quad (5.4)$$

402 The parameters  $c_{ff}$  have 4 flavor indices. The non-trivial question of which combination  
 403 of flavor indices constitutes an independent set was worked out in Ref. [8]. In the Higgs  
 404 basis we take the same choice of independent 4-fermion couplings as in that reference,  
 405 with one exception. As explained in the next subsection, in the Higgs basis the coupling  
 406  $[c_\ell]_{1221}$  is a dependent coupling that can be expressed by  $\delta m$  and  $\delta g^i$ . Therefore  $[c_\ell]_{1221}$   
 407 is not among the independent couplings defining the Higgs basis.

## 408 5.2 Dependent couplings

409 The remaining couplings in the effective Lagrangian are called the dependent couplings  
 410 because, at the level of a dimension-6 EFT Lagrangian, they can be expressed by the  
 411 independent couplings defining the Higgs basis. To obtain the relations between the  
 412 dependent and independent couplings one can use the matching between the Warsaw  
 413 basis and the effective Lagrangian worked out in Section 3.1. The procedure is to solve

414 for the Warsaw basis Wilson coefficients in terms of the independent couplings and  
 415 eliminate the former from the expressions for the dependent couplings.

416 We start with the dependent couplings in Eq. (4.25) describing the single Higgs boson  
 417 interactions with matter. They can be expressed in terms of the independent couplings  
 418 as<sup>6</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta c_w &= \delta c_z + 4\delta m, \\
 c_{ww} &= c_{zz} + 2s_\theta^2 c_{z\gamma} + s_\theta^4 c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{ww} &= \tilde{c}_{zz} + 2s_\theta^2 \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} + s_\theta^4 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}, \\
 c_{w\Box} &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [g^2 c_{z\Box} + g'^2 c_{zz} - e^2 s_\theta^2 c_{\gamma\gamma} - (g^2 - g'^2) s_\theta^2 c_{z\gamma}], \\
 c_{\gamma\Box} &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [2g^2 c_{z\Box} + (g^2 + g'^2) c_{zz} - e^2 c_{\gamma\gamma} - (g^2 - g'^2) c_{z\gamma}].
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

419 The coefficients of W-boson dipole interactions in Eq. (4.18) are related to those of the  
 420 Z and the photon as

$$\eta_f d_{wf} = d_{zf} - i\tilde{d}_{zf} + s_\theta^2 (d_{Af} - i\tilde{d}_{Af}), \tag{5.6}$$

421 where  $\eta_u = 1$  and  $\eta_{d,e} = -1$ . The coefficients of the dipole-like Higgs couplings in  
 422 Eq. (4.32) are simply related to the corresponding dipole moments:

$$d_{hvf} = d_{vf}, \quad \tilde{d}_{hvf} = \tilde{d}_{vf}, \quad v \in \{g, w, z, \gamma\}. \tag{5.7}$$

423 The correction to the quartic Higgs boson term in Eq. (4.34) is given by

$$\delta\lambda_4 = \frac{3}{2}\delta\lambda_3 - \frac{m_h^2}{6v^2}\delta c_z. \tag{5.8}$$

424 Coefficients of all interaction terms with two Higgs bosons in Eq. (4.37) are dependent  
 425 couplings. They can be expressed in terms of the independent couplings as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta c_z^{(2)} &= \delta c_z, & \delta c_w^{(2)} &= \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\
 [y_f^{(2)}]_{ij} &= 3[\delta y_f]_{ij} e^{i\phi_{ij}} - \delta c_z \delta_{ij}, \\
 c_{vv}^{(2)} &= c_{vv}, & \tilde{c}_{vv}^{(2)} &= \tilde{c}_{vv}, & v &\in \{g, w, z, \gamma\}, \\
 c_{v\Box}^{(2)} &= c_{v\Box}, & v &\in \{w, z, \gamma\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

426 The dependent vertex corrections are expressed in terms of the independent ones as

$$\delta g_L^{Z\nu} = \delta g_L^{Ze} + \delta g_L^{W\ell}, \quad \delta g_L^{Wq} = \delta g_L^{Zu} V_{\text{CKM}} - V_{\text{CKM}} \delta g_L^{Zd}. \tag{5.10}$$

427 Note that we choose the W couplings to leptons (rather than the Z couplings to neutrinos)  
 428 as our independent couplings, because in the flavor non-universal case the former are  
 429 more directly constrained by experiment (in particular, in leptonic W decays measured  
 430 at LEP).

---

<sup>6</sup>The relation between  $c_{ww}$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{ww}$  and other parameters can also be viewed as a consequence of the accidental custodial symmetry at the level of the dimension-6 operators [11].



431 Next, all but two triple gauge couplings in Eq. (4.20) are dependent couplings ex-  
 432 pressed in terms of the independent couplings as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta g_{1,z} &= \frac{1}{2(g^2 - g'^2)} [c_{\gamma\gamma} e^2 g'^2 + c_{z\gamma} (g^2 - g'^2) g'^2 - c_{zz} (g^2 + g'^2) g'^2 - c_{z\Box} (g^2 + g'^2) g^2] \\
 \delta \kappa_\gamma &= -\frac{g^2}{2} \left( c_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{e^2}{g^2 + g'^2} + c_{z\gamma} \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} - c_{zz} \right), \\
 \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma &= -\frac{g^2}{2} \left( \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} \frac{e^2}{g^2 + g'^2} + \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} - \tilde{c}_{zz} \right), \\
 \delta \kappa_z &= \delta g_{1,z} - t_\theta^2 \delta \kappa_\gamma, \quad \tilde{\kappa}_z = -t_\theta^2 \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma, \\
 \lambda_\gamma &= \lambda_z, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_\gamma = \tilde{\lambda}_z.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

433 Note that  $\delta g_{1,z}$ ,  $\delta \kappa_\gamma$ , and  $\tilde{\kappa}_\gamma$  are *dependent* couplings here, unlike in Ref. [2]. Our  
 434 motivation is that the Higgs basis should be parametrized such that the connection  
 435 with Higgs observables is the simplest. However, for the sake of studying WW and  
 436 WZ production a different set of independent couplings would be more convenient. For  
 437 example, one could choose the independent couplings as  $\delta g_{1,z}$ ,  $\delta \kappa_\gamma$ ,  $\lambda_z$ ,  $\tilde{\kappa}_\gamma$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}_z$ , and  
 438 consider  $c_{z\Box}$ ,  $c_{zz}$ , and  $\tilde{c}_{zz}$  as dependent couplings expressed in terms of this set.

439 The corrections to quartic gauge boson self-couplings in Eq. (4.23) are all dependent.  
 440 They can be expressed by corrections to triple gauge couplings as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta g_{W^4} &= \delta g_{W^2 Z^2} = \delta g_{W^2 Z A} = \delta g_{1,z}, \\
 \lambda_{W^4} &= \lambda_{W^2 Z^2} = \lambda_{W^2 A^2} = \lambda_{W^2 A Z} = \lambda_{W^2 Z A} = \lambda_z, \\
 c_{4G} &= c_{3G},
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

441 Finally, we discuss how the Wilson coefficient  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  of the 2-electron-2-muon oper-  
 442 ator is expressed by the independent couplings. One feature of the effective Lagrangian  
 443 Eq. (4.1) is that the tree-level relations between the SM electroweak parameters and  
 444 input observables are not affected by new physics. On the other hand, one of the four-  
 445 fermion couplings in the Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{4f}^{D=6} \supset [c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} (\bar{\ell}_{1,L} \gamma_\rho \ell_{2,L}) (\bar{\ell}_{2,L} \gamma_\rho \ell_{1,L}) \tag{5.13}$$

446 does affect the relation between the parameter  $v$  and the muon decay width from which  
 447  $G_F = 1/\sqrt{2}v^2$  is determined:

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e\nu\nu)}{\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e\nu\nu)_{\text{SM}}} \approx 1 + 2[\delta g_L^{We}]_{11} + 2[\delta g_L^{We}]_{22} - 4\delta m - [c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}. \tag{5.14}$$

448 Therefore, the muon decay width is unchanged with respect to the SM when  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  is  
 449 related to  $\delta m$  and  $\delta g$  as

$$[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} = 2\delta[g_L^{We}]_{11} + 2[\delta g_L^{We}]_{22} - 4\delta m. \tag{5.15}$$

450 In other words, due to the fact that we defined  $\delta m$  as an independent coupling in the  
 451 Higgs basis,  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  has to be a dependent coupling. Of course, one could equivalently  
 452 choose  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  to define the Higgs basis, and remove  $\delta m$  from the list of independent  
 453 couplings.

### 5.3 Summary and comments

In summary, the Higgs basis is parametrized by the independent couplings in Eqs. (5.1), (5.2), (5.3), (5.4). In total, the Higgs basis, as any complete basis at the dimension-6 level, is parametrized by 2499 independent real couplings [8]. One should not, however, be intimidated by this number. The point is that a much smaller subset in Eq. (5.2) is adequate for EFT analyses of Higgs data at leading order in new physics parameters. For example, to describe single Higgs production and decay processes in full generality one needs 10 bosonic and  $2 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 54$  fermionic couplings. Furthermore, 31 of these couplings are CP-odd, therefore they affect the Higgs signal strength measurement only at the quadratic level, while flavor off-diagonal Yukawa couplings only affect exotic Higgs decays. In the limit where fermionic couplings respect the minimal flavor violation paradigm, 9 parameters are enough to describe leading order EFT corrections to the existing Higgs signal strength measurements at the LHC. In the Higgs basis, these 9 parameters are:

$$c_{gg}, \delta c_z, c_{\gamma\gamma}, c_{z\gamma}, c_{zz}, c_{z\Box}, \delta y_u, \delta y_d, \delta y_e. \quad (5.16)$$

We conclude with a number of comments.

- The Higgs basis is particularly well suited for data analyses performed using tree-level (LO) EFT calculations. On the other hand, existing one-loop EFT calculations have been performed in the Warsaw basis, therefore the Warsaw basis is currently the most natural choice as far as analyses beyond LO are concerned. In order to facilitate the transition between the two bases, and in order to provide a proper definition of the Higgs basis, the complete mapping between these two bases is provided. It is straightforward to extend this mapping to any other complete basis, and we provide a detailed mapping also in the case of the *SILH basis*, that is particularly useful within specific model-dependent approaches. At the same time, the independent couplings can be easily connected to Higgs *pseudo-observables* at the amplitude level, as defined e.g. in Ref. [9].
- The choice of independent couplings in the Higgs basis is made such that the constraints from the Z and W partial decay widths (measured with a per-mille precision by the LEP experiment) can be easily incorporated. These are among the most stringent constraints on EFT parameters, and they have an important impact on possible signals in Higgs searches. In particular, assuming vertex corrections are flavor blind, all the independent couplings in Eq. (5.1) are constrained to be smaller than  $O(10^{-3})$  (for the leptonic vertex corrections and  $\delta m \equiv \delta m_W/m_W$ ), or  $O(10^{-2})$  (for the quark vertex corrections) [4, 6, 12]. Dropping the assumption of flavor blindness, all the leptonic, bottom and charm quark vertex corrections are still constrained (assuming only  $d \leq 6$  operators contribute to the precision observables) at the level of  $O(10^{-2})$  or better [10]. In the LHC environment, experimental sensitivity is typically not sufficient to probe these parameters with a comparable accuracy. If that is indeed the case, the electroweak constraints on Z and W boson couplings to fermions can be imposed when analyzing LHC data, especially in the context of Higgs physics. Other precision observables, such as WW production or off-shell fermion scattering, lead to less stringent constraints that are not discussed in this note (see e.g. [4, 5, 6] for a recent discussion).

- 497 • The relations between independent and dependent couplings in Eqs. (5.5), (5.6),  
498 (5.7), (5.8), (5.9), (5.10), (5.11), (5.12), (5.15) are consequences of the *linear*  
499 realization of electroweak symmetry breaking at the level of dimension-6 EFT  
500 operators. *They are an essential part of the definition of the Higgs basis.* If the  
501 independent and dependent couplings were unrelated, then  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs Basis}}$  would not  
502 be a dimension-6 basis but would belong to a more general class of theories. Such  
503 theories are outside of the scope of this note.
- 504 • Customarily, the SM electroweak parameters are extracted from  $\alpha(0)$ ,  $m_Z$  and  $G_F$ .  
505 One could also use  $m_W$  instead of  $G_F$ , as suggested in Ref. [4]. This formalism  
506 leads to the same relations between the independent and dependent couplings as  
507 written down here, except that  $\delta m = 0$  by definition, and that  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  becomes an  
508 independent coupling. The downside of this formalism is that the SM predictions  
509 for all observables would have to be recalculated, as all existing high-precision  
510 calculations use  $G_F$  as an input.
- 511 • The number of independent couplings in Eq. (5.2) relevant for Higgs observables  
512 is still large. At the early stages of the LHC run-2 it may be reasonable to em-  
513 ploy simplified analyses with a smaller number of parameters. There are several  
514 motivated assumptions about the underlying UV theory that reduce the number  
515 of parameters:
- 516 – *Flavor universality*, in which case the matrices  $m_f \delta y_f$  and  $\sin \phi_f$  reduce to a  
517 single number for each  $f = u, d, e$ .
  - 518 – *Minimal flavor violation*, in which case the dominant entries in  $\delta y_f$  are  $[\delta y_u]_{33}$   
519 and  $[\delta y_d]_{33}$ , while other diagonal entries are suppressed by the respective mass  
520 square ratio.
  - 521 – *CP conservation*, in which case all CP-odd couplings vanish:  $\tilde{c}_i = 0 = \sin \phi_f$ .
  - 522 – *Custodial symmetry*, in which case  $\delta m = 0$ .<sup>7</sup>

523 We stress that independent couplings should not be arbitrarily set to zero with-  
524 out an underlying symmetry assumption. Furthermore, the relations between the  
525 dependent and independent couplings should be consistently imposed, so as to  
526 preserve the weak  $SU(2)$  local symmetry.

- 527 • The independent couplings are formally of order  $v^2/\Lambda^2$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the scale of  
528 new physics. For completeness, it is important to define the range of independent  
529 couplings such that the EFT description is valid. This issue is discussed in another  
530 document.

---

<sup>7</sup>Custodial symmetry implies several relations between Higgs couplings to gauge bosons:  $\delta c_w = \delta c_z$ ,  
 $c_{w\Box} = c_\theta^2 c_{z\Box} + s_\theta^2 c_{\gamma\Box}$ ,  $c_{ww} = c_{zz} + 2s_\theta^2 c_{z\gamma} + s_\theta^4 c_\gamma$ , and  $\tilde{c}_{ww} = \tilde{c}_{zz} + 2s_\theta^2 \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} + s_\theta^4 \tilde{c}_\gamma$ . The last three are  
satisfied automatically at the level of dimension-6 Lagrangian, while the first one is true for  $\delta m = 0$ ,  
see Eq. (5.5).

## 531 A Translation to the original Warsaw basis

532 In this appendix we summarize the relations between the independent couplings defining  
 533 the Higgs basis and the Wilson coefficients  $w_i$  in the Warsaw basis using the original  
 534 operator normalization of Ref. [1].

$$\delta m = \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} \left[ -gg'w_{\phi WB} + \frac{g'^2}{4} \left( [w_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} - 2[w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{11} - 2[w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{22} \right) - g^2w_{\phi\Box} - 4g^2w_{\phi D} \right], \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_L^{W\ell} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)} + f(1/2, 0) - f(-1/2, -1) \right), \\ \delta g_L^{Ze} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2}w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2}w_{\phi\ell}^{(1)} + f(-1/2, -1) \right), \\ \delta g_R^{Ze} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2}w_{\phi e} + f(0, -1) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g_R^{Wq} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2}w_{\phi ud} \right), \\ \delta g_L^{Zu} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( \frac{1}{2}w_{\phi q}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2}w_{\phi q}^{(1)} + f(1/2, 2/3) \right), \\ \delta g_L^{Zd} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2}V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger w_{\phi q}^{(3)} V_{\text{CKM}} - \frac{1}{2}V_{\text{CKM}}^\dagger w_{\phi q}^{(1)} V_{\text{CKM}} + f(-1/2, -1/3) \right), \\ \delta g_R^{Zu} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2}w_{\phi u} + f(0, 2/3) \right), \\ \delta g_R^{Zd} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2}w_{\phi d} + f(0, -1/3) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

537 where

$$\begin{aligned} f(T^3, Q) &= -I_3 Q \frac{gg'}{g^2 - g'^2} w_{\phi WB} \\ &+ I_3 \left( \frac{1}{4}[w_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} - \frac{1}{2}[w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{11} - \frac{1}{2}[w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{22} - w_{\phi\Box} - 4w_{\phi D} \right) \left( T^3 + Q \frac{g'^2}{g^2 - g'^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

538 and  $I_3$  is the  $3 \times 3$  identity matrix.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{Gf} - i\tilde{d}_{Gf} &= -\frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} 2\sqrt{2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}}} w_{fG}, \\ d_{Af} - i\tilde{d}_{Af} &= -\frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} 2\sqrt{2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}}} (\eta_f w_{fW} + w_{fB}), \\ d_{Zf} - i\tilde{d}_{Zf} &= -\frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{g^2 + g'^2} \frac{v}{\sqrt{m_{f_i} m_{f_j}}} (g^2 \eta_f w_{fW} - g'^2 w_{fB}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

539 where  $\eta_u = +1$ ,  $\eta_{d,e} = -1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_z &= -\frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{3}{2} g w_W, \\ \tilde{\lambda}_z &= -\frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{3}{2} g \tilde{w}_W,\end{aligned}\tag{A.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}c_{3G} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{w_G}{g_s^3} \\ \tilde{c}_{3G} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{w_{\tilde{G}}}{g_s^3}\end{aligned}\tag{A.7}$$

$$[\delta y_f]_{ij} e^{i\phi_{ij}^f} = \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left[ -\frac{v}{\sqrt{2m_{f_i}m_{f_j}}} [w_{f\phi}^*]_{ij} + \delta_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{4} [w_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} - \frac{1}{2} [w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{11} - \frac{1}{2} [w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{22} + w_{\phi\Box} \right) \right],\tag{A.8}$$

$$\delta c_z = \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left( w_{\phi\Box} + \frac{3}{4} [w_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} - \frac{3}{2} [w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{11} - \frac{3}{2} [w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{22} \right),\tag{A.9}$$

$$c_{z\Box} = -\frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{2}{g^2} \left( \frac{1}{4} [w_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} - \frac{1}{2} [w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{11} - \frac{1}{2} [w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{22} - w_{\phi\Box} - 4w_{\phi D} \right),\tag{A.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}c_{gg} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{4}{g_s^2} w_{\phi G}, \\ c_{\gamma\gamma} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} 4 \left( \frac{1}{g^2} w_{\phi W} + \frac{1}{g'^2} w_{\phi B} - \frac{1}{gg'} w_{\phi WB} \right), \\ c_{zz} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} 4 \frac{g^2 w_{\phi W} + g'^2 w_{\phi B} + gg' w_{\phi WB}}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2}, \\ c_{z\gamma} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{4w_{\phi W} - 4w_{\phi B} - 2\frac{g^2 - g'^2}{gg'} w_{\phi WB}}{g^2 + g'^2},\end{aligned}\tag{A.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{c}_{gg} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{4}{g_s^2} w_{\phi \tilde{G}}, \\ \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} 4 \left( \frac{1}{g^2} w_{\phi \tilde{W}} + \frac{1}{g'^2} w_{\phi \tilde{B}} - \frac{1}{gg'} w_{\phi \tilde{W}B} \right), \\ \tilde{c}_{zz} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} 4 \frac{g^2 w_{\phi \tilde{W}} + g'^2 w_{\phi \tilde{B}} + gg' w_{\phi \tilde{W}B}}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2}, \\ \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \frac{4w_{\phi \tilde{W}} - 4w_{\phi \tilde{B}} - 2\frac{g^2 - g'^2}{gg'} w_{\phi \tilde{W}B}}{g^2 + g'^2},\end{aligned}\tag{A.12}$$

$$\delta\lambda_3 = \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \left[ \lambda \left( 3w_{\phi\Box} + \frac{1}{4}[w_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} - \frac{1}{2}[w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{11} - \frac{1}{2}[w_{\phi\ell}^{(3)}]_{22} \right) - w_\phi \right], \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} c'_{qq} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{qq}^{(3)}, \\ c_{qq} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{qq}^{(1)}, \\ c'_{\ell q} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{\ell q}^{(3)}, \\ c_{\ell q} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{\ell q}^{(1)}, \\ c'_{ud} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{ud}^{(8)}, \\ c_{ud} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{ud}^{(1)}, \\ c'_{qd} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{qd}^{(8)}, \\ c_{qd} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{qd}^{(1)}, \\ c'_{qu} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{qu}^{(8)}, \\ c_{qu} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{qu}^{(1)}, \\ c'_{quqd} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{quqd}^{(8)}, \\ c_{quqd} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{quqd}^{(1)}, \\ c'_{\ell equ} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{\ell equ}^{(3)}, \\ c_{\ell equ} &= \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} w_{\ell equ}^{(1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

541 and the relation is trivial,  $c_i = w_i v^2 / \Lambda^2$ , for the remaining 4-fermion coefficients (except  
542 for  $[c_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$  which does not enter into the definition of the Higgs basis).

## 543 B More dictionaries

544 In this section we quote the linear transformation between the parameters defining the  
545 Higgs basis and the Wilson coefficients in several other bases of dimension-6 operators  
546 utilized in the literature.<sup>8</sup> For simplicity, we assume here (unlike in the rest of this note)  
547 that the parameters are flavor blind. Moreover, we give the dictionary only for the subset  
548 of the Higgs basis parameters that can give observable contributions to single Higgs and

---

<sup>8</sup>On request, translation to other bases may be added in the future.

549 electroweak diboson processes, given the constraints from electroweak precision tests.  
 550 That set consists of 10 CP-even and 8 CP-odd parameters:

$$c_{gg}, \delta c_z, c_{\gamma\gamma}, c_{z\gamma}, c_{zz}, c_{z\Box}, \delta y_u, \delta y_d, \delta y_e, \lambda_z, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

551

$$\tilde{c}_{gg}, \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{z\gamma}, \tilde{c}_{zz}, \sin \phi_u, \sin \phi_d, \sin \phi_e, \tilde{\lambda}_z. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

552 The dictionaries below allow one to translate results of any complete EFT Higgs analyses  
 553 into constraints on the Higgs basis parameters (and, by consequence, between any pair  
 554 of bases), as long as the full likelihood function in the space of Wilson coefficients is  
 555 given.

## 556 B.1 SILH' basis

557 The original SILH basis of Ref. [3] includes operators  $O_{2W}$ ,  $O_{2B}$  and  $O_{2G}$ , which lead to  
 558 4-derivative corrections to the kinetic terms of the gauge fields. This may be inconvenient  
 559 for some applications. A simple fix is to remove these operators in favor of the Warsaw  
 560 basis 4-fermion operators  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}$ ,  $[O_{\ell\ell}]_{1122}$ , and  $[O'_u]_{3333}$ . This construction was used  
 561 in Ref. [4] and we refer to it as the *SILH' basis*. One advantage of this choice is that  
 562 electroweak precision constraints take a particularly simple form. Namely, the vanishing  
 563 of the vertex correction  $\delta g$  and the  $W$  mass correction  $\delta m$  corresponds to setting  $s_T =$   
 564  $[s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221} = s_{Hf} = s'_{Hf} = 0$ , and  $s_B = -s_W$ .

565 The CP even Higgs basis parameters in Eq. (B.1) are related to the Wilson coefficients  
 566 in the SILH' basis by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{gg} &= s_{GG}, \\ \delta c_z &= -s_H + \frac{3}{4}[s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}, \\ c_{\gamma\gamma} &= s_{BB}, \\ c_{z\gamma} &= \frac{s_{HB} - s_{HW}}{2} - s_\theta^2 s_{BB}, \\ c_{zz} &= -c_\theta^2 s_{HW} - s_\theta^2 s_{HB} - s_\theta^4 s_{BB}, \\ c_{z\Box} &= \frac{1}{2}(s_W + s_{HW}) + \frac{g'^2}{2g^2}(s_B + s_{HB}) - \frac{2}{g^2}s_T - \frac{1}{2g^2}[s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}, \\ \delta y_f \cos \phi_f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\text{Re}[s_f] - s_H + \frac{1}{4}[s_{\ell\ell}]_{1221}, \quad j \in \{u, d, e\}, \\ \lambda_z &= -\frac{3}{2}g^4 s_{3W}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

567 The CP odd Higgs basis parameters in Eq. (B.2) are related to the Wilson coefficients  
 568 in the SILH' basis by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{gg} &= \tilde{s}_{GG}, \\ \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} &= \tilde{s}_{BB}, \\ \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} &= \frac{\tilde{s}_{HB} - \tilde{s}_{HW}}{2} - s_\theta^2 \tilde{s}_{BB}, \\ \tilde{c}_{zz} &= -c_\theta^2 \tilde{s}_{HW} - s_\theta^2 \tilde{s}_{HB} - s_\theta^4 \tilde{s}_{BB}, \\ \delta y_f \sin \phi_f &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\text{Im}[s_f]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

569 **B.2 HISZ basis**

570 We consider a subset of bosonic operators introduced by Hagiwara et al. (HISZ) in  
571 Ref. [7]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{O}_{H,2} &= \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu (H^\dagger H))^2, \\
\hat{O}_{GG} &= -\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a, \\
\hat{O}_{WW} &= H^\dagger W_{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu} H, \\
\hat{O}_{BB} &= H^\dagger B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} H, \\
\hat{O}_W &= D_\mu H^\dagger W_{\mu\nu} D_\nu H, \\
\hat{O}_B &= D_\mu H^\dagger B_{\mu\nu} D_\nu H, \\
\hat{O}_{WWW} &= \text{Tr} [W_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho} W_{\rho\mu}], \tag{B.5}
\end{aligned}$$

572

$$\begin{aligned}
O_{\widetilde{GG}} &= -\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} H^\dagger H G_{\mu\nu}^a \widetilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a, \\
\hat{O}_{\widetilde{WW}} &= H^\dagger W_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu} H, \\
\hat{O}_{\widetilde{BB}} &= H^\dagger B_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu} H, \\
\hat{O}_{\widetilde{W}} &= D_\mu H^\dagger \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu} D_\nu H, \\
\hat{O}_{\widetilde{WWW}} &= \text{Tr} [W_{\mu\nu} W_{\nu\rho} \widetilde{W}_{\rho\mu}], \tag{B.6}
\end{aligned}$$

573 where the electroweak field strength tensors are related to the one used in this note via:<sup>9</sup>

$$B_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2} g' B_{\mu\nu}, \quad \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{2} g \sigma^i W_{\mu\nu}^i. \tag{B.7}$$

574 We also consider the Yukawa operators

$$\hat{O}_u = \left( H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) \bar{q}_L \tilde{H} \frac{m_u}{v} u_R, \quad \hat{O}_d = \left( H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) \bar{q}_L H \frac{m_d}{v} d_R, \quad \hat{O}_e = \left( H^\dagger H - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) \bar{\ell}_L H \frac{m_e}{v} e_R, \tag{B.8}$$

575 where  $m_f$  are  $3 \times 3$  diagonal fermion mass matrices. The dimension-6 Lagrangian is  
576 given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HISZ}}^{\text{D=6}} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left[ \sum_i f_i \hat{O}_i + \sum_j (f_j \hat{O}_j + \text{h.c.}) + \dots \right], \tag{B.9}$$

577 where the first sum goes over the bosonic operators in Eq. (B.5) and Eq. (B.6), the  
578 second sum goes over the fermionic operators in Eq. (B.8), and the dots stands for  
579 remaining operators that complete the dimension-6 basis. The CP-even operators from  
580 this set (except  $\hat{O}_{WWW}$ ) are used by SFitter [13] to describe constraints on dimension-6  
581 operators from LHC Higgs data. Ref. [14] proposes to use the HISZ operators  $\hat{O}_W$ ,  $\hat{O}_B$ ,

---

<sup>9</sup>The additional minus sign in Eq. (B.7) is due to the fact that the covariant derivatives in Refs. [7] are defined with the opposite sign to that used here. This amounts to rescaling the gauge fields as  $W_\mu \rightarrow -W_\mu$ ,  $B_\mu \rightarrow -B_\mu$  in the translation.



582  $\hat{O}_{WWW}$ ,  $\hat{O}_{\widetilde{W}}$ , and  $\hat{O}_{\widetilde{WWW}}$  to describe constraints on dimension-6 operators from the pair  
 583 production of electroweak gauge bosons.

584 The CP even Higgs basis parameters in Eq. (B.1) are related to the Wilson coefficients  
 585 in the HISZ basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_{gg} &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} f_{GG} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 \delta c_z &= -\frac{1}{2} f_{H,2} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 c_{\gamma\gamma} &= (-f_{WW} - f_{BB}) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 c_{z\gamma} &= \left( \frac{1}{4} f_W - \frac{1}{4} f_B - c_\theta^2 f_{WW} + s_\theta^2 f_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 c_{zz} &= \left( \frac{c_\theta^2}{2} f_W + \frac{s_\theta^2}{2} f_B - c_\theta^4 f_{WW} - s_\theta^4 f_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 c_{z\Box} &= \left( -\frac{1}{4} f_W - \frac{s_\theta^2}{4c_\theta^2} f_B \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 \delta y_j \cos \phi_j &= \left( -\frac{1}{2} f_{H,2} - \frac{\text{Re} f_j}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad j \in \{u, d, e\}, \\
 \lambda_z &= \frac{3g^4}{8} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} f_{WWW},
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

586 The CP odd Higgs basis parameters in Eq. (B.2) are related to the Wilson coefficients  
 587 in the HISZ basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{c}_{gg} &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \tilde{f}_{GG} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} &= \left( -\tilde{f}_{WW} - \tilde{f}_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{z\gamma} &= \left( \frac{1}{4} \tilde{f}_W - c_\theta^2 \tilde{f}_{WW} + s_\theta^2 \tilde{f}_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 \tilde{c}_{zz} &= \left( \frac{c_\theta^2}{2} \tilde{f}_W - c_\theta^4 \tilde{f}_{WW} - s_\theta^4 \tilde{f}_{BB} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \\
 \delta y_j \sin \phi_j &= \left( \frac{\text{Im} f_j}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad j \in \{u, d, e\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.11}$$

588 For completeness, we also give the relation between the anomalous TGCs and the  
 589 HISZ basis Wilson coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta g_{1z} &= \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{8} f_W \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \\
 \delta \kappa_\gamma &= \frac{g^2}{8} (f_W + f_B) \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad \delta \tilde{\kappa}_\gamma = \frac{g^2}{8} \tilde{f}_W \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} \\
 \lambda_z &= \frac{3g^4}{8} f_{WWW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_z = \frac{3g^4}{8} \tilde{f}_{WWW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

590 Inverting the transformations, the relation between the Wilson coefficients in the

591 HISZ basis and the Higgs basis parameters reads

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{GG} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -8\pi^2 c_{gg}, \\
f_{H,2} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -2\delta c_z, \\
f_W \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{4}{g^2 - g'^2} [g^2 c_{z\Box} + g'^2 c_{zz} - s_\theta^2 e^2 c_{\gamma\gamma} - s_\theta^2 (g^2 - g'^2) c_{z\gamma}], \\
f_B \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{4}{g^2 - g'^2} [g^2 c_{z\Box} + g'^2 c_{zz} - c_\theta^2 e^2 c_{\gamma\gamma} - c_\theta^2 (g^2 - g'^2) c_{z\gamma}], \\
f_{WW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [2g^2 c_{z\Box} + (g^2 + g'^2) c_{zz} - s_\theta^2 g'^2 c_{\gamma\gamma}], \\
f_{BB} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [2g^2 c_{z\Box} + (g^2 + g'^2) c_{zz} - c_\theta^2 g^2 c_{\gamma\gamma}], \\
f_{WWW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{8}{3g^4} \lambda_z,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

592

$$f_j \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} = \sqrt{2} \delta c_z - \sqrt{2} \delta y_j e^{-i\phi_j}, \quad j \in \{u, d, e\}, \tag{B.14}$$

593

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}_{GG} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -8\pi^2 \tilde{c}_{gg}, \\
\tilde{f}_W \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{4}{g^2 - g'^2} [g'^2 \tilde{c}_{zz} - s_\theta^2 e^2 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma} - s_\theta^2 (g^2 - g'^2) \tilde{c}_{z\gamma}], \\
\tilde{f}_{WW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= -\frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [(g^2 + g'^2) \tilde{c}_{zz} - s_\theta^2 g'^2 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}], \\
\tilde{f}_{BB} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{1}{g^2 - g'^2} [(g^2 + g'^2) \tilde{c}_{zz} - c_\theta^2 g^2 \tilde{c}_{\gamma\gamma}], \\
\tilde{f}_{WWW} \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} &= \frac{8}{3g^4} \tilde{\lambda}_z.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

## 594 C Goldstone bosons and gauge fixing

595 In the main body of this note we worked in the unitary gauge where the Goldstone boson  
596 degrees of freedom in the Higgs doublet are set to zero. This is enough for the sake of  
597 tree-level EFT calculations. However, if the necessity arises to extend the calculations  
598 to a loop level, retrieving the Goldstone degrees of freedom is convenient, as this allows  
599 one to perform the standard gauge fixing procedure. This is done in this appendix.

600 We parametrize the Higgs doublet as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} iG_+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v + h - iG_3) \end{pmatrix} \tag{C.1}$$

601 where  $G_\pm$  and  $G_3$  are three Goldstone fields, that will be eaten by the W and Z bosons.  
602 In the Higgs basis, derivation of the Goldstone boson couplings follows exactly the same  
603 algorithm as the one applied before to derive the Lagrangian for physical fields: we

604 first derive these couplings in the Warsaw basis, and then perform the field and coupling  
605 redefinitions that take us to the Higgs basis. Of course, all the Goldstone boson couplings  
606 are dependent ones, that is they can be expressed by the independent couplings defining  
607 the Higgs basis. As an illustration, below we display a subset of these couplings that  
608 are relevant for the 1-loop calculation of  $h \rightarrow VV^*$ . These are

- 609 1. Goldstone kinetic terms and their mixing with the electroweak gauge fields.
- 610 2. Cubic interactions with one Higgs boson and one or two Goldstone fields.
- 611 3. Cubic interactions with one or two Goldstone fields and one electroweak gauge  
612 field.
- 613 4. Quartic interactions with one or two Goldstone fields and two electroweak gauge  
614 fields.

615 The relevant part of the Lagrangian is parametrized as

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{kin}} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^3} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{V}} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{SV}^2} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{SVdV}} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{V}^2} + \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{dV}^2}. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

616 where

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{\text{kin}} = \partial_\mu G_+ \partial_\mu G_- + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu G_3)^2 - \beta_{cW} \frac{gv}{2} (\partial_\mu G_+ W_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}) - \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v}{2} \partial_\mu G_3 Z_\mu, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^3} = -\frac{m_h^2}{v} \beta_{hcc} h G_+ G_- - \frac{m_h^2}{2v} \beta_{h33} h G_3 G_3 \quad (\text{C.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{V}} &= \beta_{hcW} \frac{g}{2} \partial_\mu h (G_+ W_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}) + \beta_{h3z} \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} \partial_\mu h G_3 Z_\mu \\ &+ i\beta_{3cW} \frac{g}{2} \partial_\mu G_3 (G_+ W_\mu^- - \text{h.c.}) - \beta_{3hz} \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} \partial_\mu G_3 h Z_\mu \\ &+ ie (\partial_\mu G_+ G_- - \text{h.c.}) A_\mu + i\beta_{ccZ} \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} (\partial_\mu G_+ G_- - \text{h.c.}) Z_\mu \\ &- \beta_{chW} \frac{g}{2} (\partial_\mu G_+ W_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}) h - i\beta_{c3W} \frac{g}{2} (\partial_\mu G_+ W_\mu^- - \text{h.c.}) G_3, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{\text{SV}^2} = i\beta_{cWA} \frac{egv}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- - \text{h.c.}) A_\mu - i\beta_{cWZ} \frac{c_\theta g'^2 v}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- - \text{h.c.}) Z_\mu, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_G^{\text{SVdV}} = i\eta_{cWA} \frac{eg}{2v} (G_+ W_{\mu\nu}^- - \text{h.c.}) A_{\mu\nu} - i\eta_{cWA} \frac{eg'}{2v} (G_+ W_{\mu\nu}^- - \text{h.c.}) Z_{\mu\nu} + (\text{CP-odd}). \quad (\text{C.7})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{V}^2} &= G_+ G_- \left( e^2 A_\mu A_\mu + \beta_{ccAZ} \frac{e(g^2 - g'^2)}{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} A_\mu Z_\mu + \beta_{ccZZ} \frac{(g^2 - g'^2)^2}{4(g^2 + g'^2)} Z_\mu Z_\mu + \beta_{ccWW} \frac{g^2}{2} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- \right) \\ &+ G_3 G_3 \left( \beta_{33WW} \frac{g^2}{4} W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- + \beta_{33ZZ} \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{8} Z_\mu Z_\mu \right) \\ &+ i\beta_{chWA} \frac{eg}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- - \text{h.c.}) h A_\mu - \beta_{c3WA} \frac{eg}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}) G_3 A_\mu \\ &- i\beta_{chWZ} \frac{eg'}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- - \text{h.c.}) h Z_\mu + \beta_{c3WZ} \frac{eg'}{2} (G_+ W_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}) G_3 Z_\mu \\ &+ \eta'_{ccWW} g_L^2 (G_+ G_+ W_\mu^- W_\mu^- + \text{h.c.}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.8})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_G^{\text{S}^2\text{dV}^2} &= G_+ G_- (\eta_{ccA^2} e^2 A_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{ccAZ} g g' A_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{ccZ^2} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{ccW^2} g^2 W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\mu\nu}^-) \\
&+ G_3 G_3 (\eta_{33AA} e^2 A_{\mu\nu} A_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{33AZ} g g' A_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{33ZZ} (g^2 + g'^2) Z_{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{33WW} g^2 W_{\mu\nu}^+ W_{\mu\nu}^-) \\
&+ \eta_{c3WAE} g (G_+ W_{\mu\nu}^- + \text{h.c.}) G_3 A_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{c3WZE} g' (G_+ W_{\mu\nu}^- + \text{h.c.}) G_3 Z_{\mu\nu} + (\text{CP-odd}).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

622 Above, ‘‘CP-odd’’ stands for analogous terms with  $V_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\mu\nu}$ , and  $\eta \rightarrow \tilde{\eta}$ . Note the  
623 Goldstone kinetic terms in Eq. (C.3) are assumed to be canonically normalized. To  
624 achieve this, one needs to rescale the neutral Goldstone field as

$$G_3 \rightarrow G_3 \left( 1 + c_T + 2c_T \frac{h}{v} \right). \tag{C.10}$$

625 Moreover, the Lagrangian in Eq. (C.2) does not contain 2-derivative cubic scalar self-  
626 interactions. To ensure this feature, the Higgs boson field redefinition in Eq. (4.4) has  
627 to be generalized to

$$h \rightarrow h \left( 1 - c_H - c_H \frac{h}{v} - c_H \frac{h^2}{3v^2} \right) - c_H \frac{2G_+ G_- + G_3 G_3}{v} - 2c_T \frac{G_3 G_3}{v}. \tag{C.11}$$

628 The above field redefinitions are in addition to the steps described in Section 3.1. These  
629 include the gauge coupling rescaling and the use of the equations of motion (that are  
630 modified to include the Goldstone fields). The final step is to transform the couplings  
631 from the Warsaw to the Higgs basis using the dictionary provided in Section 3.1. At the  
632 end of the day, the coefficients in the Goldstone Lagrangian of Eq. (C.2) take the form

$$\beta_{cW} = 1 + \delta m, \tag{C.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{hcc} &= 1 + g^2 c_{w\Box} + \delta c_z + 2\delta m, \\
\beta_{h33} &= 1 + g^2 c_{z\Box} + \delta c_z,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{hcW} &= 1 + g^2 c_{w\Box} + \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\
\beta_{h3Z} &= 1 + g^2 c_{z\Box} + \delta c_z, \\
\beta_{3cW} &= 1 - 2g^2 c_{w\Box} + \frac{3}{2}g^2 c_{z\Box} - 3\delta m, \\
\beta_{3hZ} &= 1 + \delta c_z, \\
\beta_{ccZ} &= 1 + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{2(g^2 - g'^2)} (-g^2 c_{z\Box} + 4\delta m), \\
\beta_{chW} &= 1 + \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\
\beta_{c3W} &= 1 - \frac{g^2}{2} c_{z\Box} + \delta m,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{cWA} &= 1 + \delta m, \\
\beta_{cWZ} &= 1 + \frac{g^2(g^2 + g'^2)}{2g'^2} (c_{z\Box} - c_{w\Box}) - \frac{2g^2 + g'^2}{g'^2} \delta m,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

$$\eta_{cWA} = \eta_{cWZ} = c_{zz} - \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} c_{z\gamma} - e^2 c_{\gamma\gamma}, \quad (\text{C.16})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{ccAZ} &= 1 + \frac{g^2 + g'^2}{2(g^2 - g'^2)} (-g^2 c_{z\Box} + 4\delta m), \\ \beta_{ccZZ} &= 1 + \frac{(g^2 + g'^2)^2}{(g^2 - g'^2)^2} \left( -\frac{g^2(g^2 - g'^2)}{g^2 + g'^2} c_{z\Box} + 3g^2 c_{w\Box} + 2\delta c_z + 2\frac{5g^4 + 6g^2 g'^2 + g'^4}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2} \delta m \right), \\ \beta_{ccWW} &= 1 + 2g^2 c_{z\Box} + 2\delta c_z + 2\delta m, \\ \beta_{33ZZ} &= 1 + 2g^2 c_{z\Box} + 2\delta c_z, \\ \beta_{33WW} &= 1 + g^2(c_{w\Box} + c_{z\Box}) + 2\delta c_z + 4\delta m, \\ \beta_{chWA} &= 1 + \delta c_z + 3\delta m, \\ \beta_{c3WA} &= 1 - \frac{g^2}{2} c_{z\Box} + \delta m, \\ \beta_{chWZ} &= 1 + \frac{3g^2(g^2 + g'^2)}{2g'^2} (c_{z\Box} - c_{w\Box}) + \delta c_z - 3\frac{2g^2 + g'^2}{g'^2} \delta m, \\ \beta_{c3WZ} &= 1 + \frac{g^4}{2g'^2} c_{z\Box} - \frac{g^2(g^2 + g'^2)}{2g'^2} c_{w\Box} - \frac{2g^2 + g'^2}{g'^2} \delta m, \\ \eta'_{ccWW} &= \frac{g^2}{2} (c_{w\Box} - c_{z\Box}) + \delta m, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.17})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ccAA} &= c_{zz} - \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} c_{z\gamma} + \frac{(g^2 - g'^2)^2}{4(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\ \eta_{33AA} &= \frac{1}{8} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\ \eta_{ccAZ} &= \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{g^2 + g'^2} c_{zz} - \frac{g^4 - 6g^2 g'^2 + g'^4}{2(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{z\gamma} - \frac{e^2(g^2 - g'^2)}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\ \eta_{33AZ} &= \frac{c_{z\gamma}}{4}, \\ \eta_{ccZZ} &= \frac{(g^2 - g'^2)^2}{4(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{zz} - \frac{e^2(g^2 - g'^2)}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{z\gamma} + \frac{e^4}{(g^2 + g'^2)^2} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\ \eta_{33ZZ} &= \frac{c_{zz}}{8}, \\ \eta_{ccWW} &= \frac{1}{2} c_{zz} + s_\theta^2 c_{z\gamma} + \frac{s_\theta^4}{2} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\ \eta_{33WW} &= \frac{1}{4} c_{zz} + \frac{s_\theta^2}{2} c_{z\gamma} + \frac{s_\theta^4}{4} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\ \eta_{c3WA} &= -\frac{1}{2} c_{zz} + \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{z\gamma} + \frac{e^2}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{\gamma\gamma}, \\ \eta_{c3WZ} &= \frac{1}{2} c_{zz} - \frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{z\gamma} - \frac{e^2}{2(g^2 + g'^2)} c_{\gamma\gamma}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.18})$$

633 With the Goldstone bosons degrees of freedom present in the Lagrangian, gauge  
634 fixing can be implemented as in any gauge theory. Below we show how to implement

635 the linear  $R_\xi$  gauge. For the electroweak sector, we introduce the following gauge fixing  
636 Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gf}} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} [F_A^2 + F_Z^2 + 2F_+F_-], \quad (\text{C.19})$$

637 where

$$\begin{aligned} F_A &= \partial_\mu A_\mu (1 + e^2 c_{WB}) + \partial_\mu Z_\mu c_{WB} \frac{gg'(g^2 - g'^2)}{g^2 + g'^2}, \\ F_Z &= \partial_\mu Z_\mu - \xi \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v}{2} G_3 (1 - 2c_T + e^2 c_{WB}), \\ F_\pm &= \partial_\mu W_\mu^\pm - \xi \frac{gv}{2} G_\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.20})$$

638 Above, the electroweak parameters  $g$ ,  $g'$ ,  $v$  and the Goldstone fields  $G_\pm$ ,  $G_3$  are the ones  
639 before the rescaling in Eq. (4.7) and Eq. (C.10). After the rescaling and going to the  
640 Higgs basis the quadratic terms in the gauge fixing Lagrangian become

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gf}} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} \left[ (\partial_\mu A_\mu)^2 + \left( \partial_\mu Z_\mu - \xi \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v}{2} G_3 \right)^2 + 2 \left| \partial_\mu W_\mu^+ - \xi \frac{gv}{2} (1 + \delta m) G_+ \right|^2 \right]. \quad (\text{C.21})$$

641 This way, the kinetic mixing between the Goldstone bosons and massive vector bosons  
642 in Eq. (C.3) is canceled after introducing the gauge fixing term. At the same time, the  
643 Goldstone bosons acquire the gauge dependent masses:

$$m_{G_\pm} = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{gv}{2} (1 + \delta m) \equiv \sqrt{\xi} m_W, \quad m_{G_3} = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v}{2} \equiv \sqrt{\xi} m_Z. \quad (\text{C.22})$$

644 To derive Eq. (C.21) one needs to take into account that the gauge fixing term affects  
645 the equations of motion used in Eq. (4.2) and Eq. (4.8) to bring the Warsaw basis  
646 Lagrangian to the prescribed form of phenomenological effective Lagrangian. Due to  
647 this, the gauge fixing term affects not only quadratic terms in the Lagrangian, but also  
648 yields new interactions terms of the Goldstone bosons, Higgs boson, and gauge fields.

649 Finally, the ghost Lagrangian can be obtained by the usual Fadeev-Popov procedure.  
650 In the  $R_\xi$  gauge introduced above

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ghost}} = - \sum_{n \in (+, -, Z, \gamma)} \left[ \bar{c}_+ \frac{\partial \delta F_+}{\partial \alpha_n} + \bar{c}_- \frac{\partial \delta F_-}{\partial \alpha_n} + \bar{c}_Z \frac{\partial \delta F_Z}{\partial \alpha_n} + \bar{c}_\gamma \frac{\partial \delta F_A}{\partial \alpha_n} \right] c_n, \quad (\text{C.23})$$

651 where  $\delta F$  is the variation of the gauge fixing term under the infinitesimal  $SU(2) \times$   
652  $U(1)$  gauge symmetry transformations parametrized by  $\alpha_n$ . Since the  $F$ 's in Eq. (C.20)  
653 contain the original (unrescaled) gauge and Goldstone fields, their gauge transformations  
654 are the same as in the SM:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \alpha_\gamma + ie (W_\mu^- \alpha^+ - W_\mu^+ \alpha^-), \\ \delta Z_\mu &= \partial_\mu \alpha_Z + igc_\theta (W_\mu^- \alpha^+ - W_\mu^+ \alpha^-), \\ \delta W_\mu^+ &= \partial_\mu \alpha_+ - ig\alpha_+ (c_\theta Z_\mu + s_\theta A_\mu) + ig (c_\theta \alpha_Z + s_\theta \alpha_\gamma) W_\mu^+, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.24})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta h &= -\frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} G_3 \alpha_Z - \frac{g}{2} (G_+ \alpha_- + G_- \alpha_+), \\
\delta G_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}}{2} (v + h) \alpha_Z - \frac{ig}{2} (G_+ \alpha_- - G_- \alpha_+), \\
\delta G_+ &= \frac{g}{2} (v + h - iG_3) \alpha_+ + ieG_+ \alpha_\gamma + i\frac{g^2 - g'^2}{2\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2}} G_+ \alpha_Z.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.25}$$

656 At this point the ghost kinetic and mass terms are not diagonal. To this end one needs  
657 to perform the transformation

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{c}_Z &\rightarrow \bar{c}_Z (1 + \delta\kappa_\gamma), \\
c_\gamma &\rightarrow c_\gamma (1 - s_\theta^2 \delta\kappa_\gamma) - c_Z \frac{g'(g^2 - g'^2)}{g'(g^2 + g'^2)}, \\
c_Z &\rightarrow c_z (1 - \delta g_{1,z} + s_\theta^2 \delta\kappa_\gamma).
\end{aligned} \tag{C.26}$$

658 After this transformation the ghost kinetic and mass terms become diagonal and the  
659 kinetic terms are canonically normalized. Their gauge dependent masses of the ghosts  
660 are given by

$$m_{c_\pm} = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{gv}{2} (1 + \delta m) \equiv \sqrt{\xi} m_W, \quad m_{c_Z} = \sqrt{\xi} \frac{\sqrt{g^2 + g'^2} v}{2} \equiv \sqrt{\xi} m_Z, \quad m_{c_\gamma} = 0. \tag{C.27}$$

## 661 References

- 662 [1] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzynski, M. Misiak, and J. Rosiek, *Dimension-Six Terms*  
663 *in the Standard Model Lagrangian*, *JHEP* **1010** (2010) 085, [[arXiv:1008.4884](#)].
- 664 [2] R. S. Gupta, A. Pomarol, and F. Riva, *BSM Primary Effects*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91**  
665 (2015), no. 3 035001, [[arXiv:1405.0181](#)].
- 666 [3] G. Giudice, C. Grojean, A. Pomarol, and R. Rattazzi, *The Strongly-Interacting*  
667 *Light Higgs*, *JHEP* **0706** (2007) 045, [[hep-ph/0703164](#)].
- 668 [4] A. Pomarol and F. Riva, *Towards the Ultimate SM Fit to Close in on Higgs*  
669 *Physics*, *JHEP* **1401** (2014) 151, [[arXiv:1308.2803](#)].
- 670 [5] M. Trott, *On the consistent use of constructed observables*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 046,  
671 [[arXiv:1409.7605](#)].
- 672 [6] A. Falkowski and F. Riva, *Model-independent precision constraints on dimension-6*  
673 *operators*, *JHEP* **1502** (2015) 039, [[arXiv:1411.0669](#)].
- 674 [7] K. Hagiwara, S. Ishihara, R. Szalapski, and D. Zeppenfeld, *Low-energy effects of*  
675 *new interactions in the electroweak boson sector*, *Phys.Rev.* **D48** (1993) 2182–2203.

- 676 [8] R. Alonso, E. E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar, and M. Trott, *Renormalization Group*  
677 *Evolution of the Standard Model Dimension Six Operators III: Gauge Coupling*  
678 *Dependence and Phenomenology*, *JHEP* **1404** (2014) 159, [[arXiv:1312.2014](#)].
- 679 [9] M. Gonzalez-Alonso, A. Greljo, G. Isidori, and D. Marzocca, *Pseudo-observables*  
680 *in Higgs decays*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** (2015), no. 3 128, [[arXiv:1412.6038](#)].
- 681 [10] A. Efrati, A. Falkowski, and Y. Soreq, *Electroweak constraints on flavorful*  
682 *effective theories*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 018, [[arXiv:1503.07872](#)].
- 683 [11] R. Contino, M. Ghezzi, C. Grojean, M. Mühlleitner, and M. Spira, *Effective*  
684 *Lagrangian for a light Higgs-like scalar*, *JHEP* **1307** (2013) 035,  
685 [[arXiv:1303.3876](#)].
- 686 [12] J. Ellis, V. Sanz, and T. You, *The Effective Standard Model after LHC Run I*,  
687 [arXiv:1410.7703](#).
- 688 [13] T. Corbett, O. J. P. Eboli, D. Goncalves, J. Gonzalez-Fraile, T. Plehn, and  
689 M. Rauch, *The Higgs Legacy of the LHC Run I*, [arXiv:1505.05516](#).
- 690 [14] C. Degrande, N. Greiner, W. Kilian, O. Mattelaer, H. Mebane, et al., *Effective*  
691 *Field Theory: A Modern Approach to Anomalous Couplings*, *Annals Phys.* **335**  
692 (2013) 21–32, [[arXiv:1205.4231](#)].