

## Top quarks at the LHC: the early days

### Detector commissioning and first results

T. Wengler Standard Model workshop UCL, London, 31 March 09

### What (I hope) you will take away from this

- LHC running in 2009/10 and first results in top physics
  - LHC running scenario
  - Data samples expected from the first physics run
  - Expected precision on  $\sigma(tt)$  from this run
- Use cases of tt events for detector calibration
  - Calibration of the light jet energy scale
  - b-tagging performance

### In this talk: $tt \equiv t\bar{t}$

## LHC as a top factory



 LHC will produce top quarks in heaps





- σ(tt) is about 850 pb
   (x100 Tevatron) @ 14 TeV
- rate of tt events is ~ Hz
- Expect millions per year

 $\sigma(tt)$  @ 10 TeV ~  $\frac{1}{2} \sigma(tt)$  @ 14 TeV [all results shown for 14 TeV]

# The 2009/10 LHC Run

Year	2009							<u> </u>	2010																	
Month	F	М	А	М	J	J	А	S	0	N	D	J	F	м	А	М	J	J	А	S	0	Ν	D	J	F	м
Baseline	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH	SU	P	H	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH	SH	SU	PH	PH	PH	PH	SH	SH	SH	SH

Typical Run/Shutdown setup Would leave little time for running in 2009 Delay may mean no running before autumn 2010!

#### Decisions taken

- Physics run as soon as possible
- Do not warm up all sectors
- Top energy is 5 TeV (had been reached for all other sectors)
- No winter shutdown 2009/10

#### Consequences

- 8 M Euro additional electricity cost
- Gain 20 weeks of physics running
- Further delays of a few weeks have small impact on physics 09/10
- Enough data to compete with Tevatron in many areas by end of 2009/10 run

## The 2009/10 data sample

### Beam energy

- No intention of long running below 5 TeV/beam
- Short collision run at injection energy 450 GeV/beam
- Possibly stop along the way several times for machine commissioning
- Reach 5 TeV/beam a.s.a.p.
- Data volume
  - Peak Luminosities from 5x10<sup>31</sup> to 2x10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
  - First 100 days of operation ~ 100 pb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Next 100 days of operation ~ 200 pb<sup>-1</sup>
  - $\rightarrow$  Large Uncertainties: somewhere between 100 500 pb<sup>-1</sup>?

## What can we do with a few 100 pb<sup>-1</sup>

Lets state the obvious:

Data volume is only one ingredient

How fast and well we can understand our brand new detectors is at least as important

Timing, tracking/calorimeter uniformity, alignment, detector resolutions, particle ID, energy scales, b-tagging performance, missing  $E_T$  signature, ...

This has to be kept in mind for what follows

### How to see top events on "day 1"



## tt is fairly easy to trigger on

	Sample of tt	
	semileptonic	Relative to offline
ATLAS	with W→ev	analysis selection
Trigger	Compared to Monte Carlo	Compared to offline selection
inggei	Eff. [%]	Eff. [%]
<u>e22i:</u>		
L1 EM18I	$74.7 \pm 0.5$	$96.0 \pm 0.6$
L2 e22i	$59.6 \pm 0.6$	$92.7\pm0.9$
EF e22i	$52.9 \pm 0.6$	$89.8 \pm 1.0$
<u>e12i:</u>		
L1 EM7I	$83.6 \pm 0.4$	$98.6 \pm 0.3$
L2 e12i	$66.7 \pm 0.5$	$92.6\pm0.8$
EF e12i	$63.5\pm0.5$	$91.8\pm0.8$

Main ref. here and below: ATLAS: CERN-OPEN-2008-20 CMS: CERN-LHCC-2006-001

#### Selection cuts:

- > 1 electron,  $p_T$ > 20 GeV
- E<sub>T,miss</sub> > 20 GeV
- > 3 Jets w/  $p_T$ >40 GeV
  - > 4 Jets w/  $p_T$ >20 GeV

## The first top signals



- tt  $\rightarrow$  semileptonic ( $\mu$ )
- 1 isolated muon, p<sub>T</sub> > 30 GeV
- 1 jet w/ p<sub>T</sub> > 65 GeV + 3 more w/ p<sub>T</sub> > 40 GeV
- No b-tagging
- S/B ~ 1.5
- Selection Efficiency ~ 10%
- tt  $\rightarrow$  semileptonic (e)
- 1 isolated electron, p<sub>T</sub> > 20 GeV
- 3 jets w/ p<sub>T</sub> > 40 GeV + 1 more w/ p<sub>T</sub> > 20 GeV
- No b-tagging
- Loose m<sub>w</sub> constraint
- S/B ~ 3.5
- Selection Efficiency ~ 10%

# First $\sigma(tt)$ measurements



- Invaluable for detector studies
  - fires many triggers
  - mass peak tells you if you got it
  - calibration of light jet scale from
     W→ jet jet, study b-tagging

# At ~200 pb<sup>-1</sup> more top-quarks than the Tevatron!



# Light jet energy scale



### **Tight selection**

- Exactly one isol. lepton,  $p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- E<sub>T,miss</sub> > 20 GeV
- Exactly 4 jets,  $p_T > 40 \text{ GeV}$
- Exactly 2 jets tagged as b-jets
- $\rightarrow$  W purity ~ 80%

#### **Motivation**

- tt → lepton + jets can be used to select an unbiased sample of W→ jet jet
- $m_W$  constraint  $\rightarrow$  light jet energy scale



## Light jet energy scale cont.

- Iterative re-scaling of  $E_{iet}$  in bins of  $E_{iet}$  and  $\eta$  to get  $M_{W,PDG}$ •
  - Precision of ~ 2% for 1 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Fit template distributions with energy scale  $\alpha$  / resolution  $\beta$ ۲
  - Precision of ~ 1% for 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> for overall scale



## b-tag efficiency

Select b-enriched samples using tt sample

- $t \rightarrow W b \sim 100\% \rightarrow tagging top = tagging b$
- Select pure b sample by using tt event topologies
  - 1(2) high  $p_T$  leptons,  $E_{T,miss}$ ,  $m_W \& m_t$  constraints
  - 70-80% b-purity after selection
- CMS study 1(10) fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Efficiencies 40% to 60%
     (at E<sub>T,b-Jet</sub> > 100) GeV
  - Uncertainty 4-6% for large data samples
- ATLAS study 100 pb<sup>-1</sup>
  - Similar efficiencies, purities
  - Estimated uncertainty ~10%



## Conclusions

tt events: the LHC collision equivalent of a Swiss army knife 100-200 pb<sup>-1</sup> @ 10 TeV would be enough to

- Measure  $\sigma(tt)|_{10TeV}$  to better than 20% (worlds best measurement!)
- Get clean samples for b-jet and W→jj
- Determine the light jet energy scale to  $\sim 5\%$
- Determine the b-tagging efficiency to ~ 10% (down to ~5% [top-event specific] with b-jet tag counting
- ... and much more, in top physics and elsewhere ...

The 2009/10 running period will not just be a technical trial run -It has the potential for some real physics and even some surprises!

### **Additional Slides**

## Data overview

#### ATLAS then went into a sustained cosmic-ray data taking campaign



Run number 16

## Pixel alignment

#### An example of using cosmic-ray data for detector alignment



## Calibration and alignment

### Initial values from

- test beam data
- calibration and alignment systems
- analysis of cosmic ray data

	Initial	Ultimate	Samples
e/γ E scale	~2%	0.1%	$Z \rightarrow ee, J/\psi, \pi^0$
e/ $\gamma$ uniformity	1-4%	0.5%	Z→ee
jet E scale	5-10%	~1-2%	W→jj in tt, γ/Z+jets
tracking alignment	10-100µm	<10 µm	tracks, Z→µµ
muon alignment	?	30 µm	inclusive $\mu$ , Z $\rightarrow$ $\mu\mu$

From the first collision data will be used to improve the detector performance with standard samples [iterative].

# Example: J/ψ



- Important standard candle for commissioning
- Huge statistics fast, especially in µµ channel
  - Easy to select, muon chamber, inner detector tracking alignment

# Example: $Z \rightarrow ee$





After a short period of running large calibration samples will be available to start improving the detector performance

## Jet spectra



- Very much driven by available centre-of-mass energy
- Will very soon surpass the Tevatron in sensitivity at high jet transverse momenta (10 or 14 TeV CME)

- Translates into sensitivity e.g. for contact interaction leading to modified high  $E_T$  spectrum
- Sensitivity beyond Tevatron reach from a few 10 pb<sup>-1</sup>

## New physics: $Z' \rightarrow II$



### • Z' mass peak

- small background, don't need ultimate detector performance
- can surpass current Tevatron limit (~1TeV) with ~ 100 pb<sup>-1</sup>