FCC-eh Configuration and Performance

Configuration:

Modular design elements:

- -60 GeV ERL configuration for the 'e' beam documented in the LHeC CDR → varied sizes; applicable to LHC, HE-LHC and FCC
- -IR configuration with head-on collisions
 - → without Crab Cavities (vs EI in US)!
 - → SR acceptance in detector and beam separation
 - → Dipole integrated into detector
 - → 'Sweetspot' IR magnet design
- -800MHz SRF: synergy with FCC-ee and FCC-hh

CDR Options for LHeC Infrastructure:

CDR Study assumptions:

- -Assume parallel operation [HL-LHC & FCC]
- -TeV Scale collision energy
 - → 50-150 GeV Beam Energy
- -Limit power consumption to 100 MW
 - → (beam & SR power < 70 MW)
 - → 60 GeV beam energy
- -Int. Luminosity > 100 * HERA
- -Peak Luminosity $> 10^{33}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹

Higgs @ $125 \text{GeV} \rightarrow > 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

SSN 0954-3899

Journal of Physics G

Nuclear and Particle Physics

Volume 39 Number 7 July 2012 Article 075001

A Large Hadron Electron Collider at CERN

Report on the Physics and Design Concepts for Machine and Detector

LHeC Study Group




iopscience.org/jphysg

IOP Publishing

60GeV ERL Configuration:

Super Conducting Recirculating Linac with Energy Recovery

Choose ⅓ of LHC circumference →



- → 944 cavities; 59 cryo modules per linac
- → ca. 9 km underground tunnel installation
- more than 4500 magnets (same magnet design as for RR option)


Two 1 km long, 10 GeV SC LINACs with 3 accelerating and 3 decelerating passes in CW operation

- → SRF sees 6*current at the IP (≈ 4ns spacing)
- → $Q_0 = 10^{10}$ requires cryogenic system comparable to LHC system! $Q_0 > 10^{10}$

Recirculating Linac with Energy Recovery:

60 GeV acceleration with Recirculating Linacs:

Animation from A. Bogacz (JLab) @ ERL'15




→ Three accelerating passes through each of the two 10 GeV linacs (efficient use of LINAC installation!)

→ 60 GeV beam energy

Recirculating Linac with Energy Recovery:

Collisions with one HL-LHC Beam:

Animation from A. Bogacz (JLab) @ ERL'15




- → Collisions with one of the LHC proton beams
- →1/2 RF wave length shift on return arc following the collision

Recirculating Linac with Energy Recovery:

60 GeV deceleration with Recirculating Linacs:

Animation from A. Bogacz (JLab) @ ERL'15



- Three decelerating passes through each of the two 10 GeV linacs
- → Beam dump at injection energy (e.g. 500 MeV)

LHeC: RL with ERL Operation as Baseline

Performance:

10 ³³ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ Luminosity reach	PROTONS	ELECTRONS	10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ Luminosity reach	PROTONS	ELECTRONS
Beam Energy [GeV]	7000	60	Beam Energy [GeV]	7000	60
Luminosity [10 ³³ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]	1	1	Luminosity [10 ³³ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]	16	16
Normalized emittance $\gamma \epsilon_{x,y} [\mu m]$	3.75	50	Normalized emittance $\gamma \epsilon_{x,y} [\mu m]$	2.5	20
Beta Funtion $\beta^*_{x,y}$ [m]	0.1	0.12	Beta Funtion $\beta^*_{x,y}$ [m]	0.05	0.10
rms Beam size σ* _{x,y} [μm]	7	7	rms Beam size σ* _{x,y} [μm]	4	4
rms Beam divergence σ□* _{x,y} [μ rad]	70	58	rms Beam divergence σ□* _{x,y} [μrad]	80	40
Beam Current @ IP [mA]	860	6.6	Beam Current @ IP[mA]	1112	25
Bunch Spacing [ns]	25	25	Bunch Spacing [ns]	25	25
Bunch Population	1.7*10 ¹¹	1*10 ⁹	Bunch Population	2.2*10 ¹¹	4*10 ⁹
Bunch charge [nC]	27	0.16	Bunch charge [nC]	35	0.64


C. Cook @ FCC week in Rome



LHeC Machine

Independent FCC-he Point L, F, H or B


LHeC / FCC-he LHC P8 & FCC PB





C. Cook @ FCC week in Rome

Racetrack Layout:

- Connection to FCC straight section at point B, F, H, or L
- 1070m ERLs 400m BDS 979m radius arcs 400m beam transfer
- 9091m total length, $\frac{1}{11}$ of FCC








FCC-eh Configuration and Performance

IR challenges and configurations:

E. Cruz @ FCC week in Rome

 Aim of the interaction region design: Collide one of the proton beams head-on with the electron beam from the ERL while the other proton beam bypasses the interaction.




- LHeC has to work alongside HL-LHC and built within an existing IR2 cavern layout, designed for a different experiment.
- FCC-he can be designed for the required purposes.

FCC-eh Configuration and Performance

Hadron IR design:

E. Cruz @ FCC week in Rome



Implementation of new triplet Q1-Q3 with aperture for 2 proton beams and one electron beam → current studies based on layout WITHOUT Crab Cavities!

strong synchrotron radiation and dipole inside detector!

We need:


- β *=10 cm (10³³ cm²s⁻¹)
- β *=5 cm (10³⁴ cm²s⁻¹)

SEVERE LIMITATIONS

- 1. Quadrupole apertures
- 2. Quadrupole gradients
- 3. Limits of the chromatic correction scheme


Asymmetric IR Layout: Magnet Design


The design of the magnets for the LHeC included a normal-aperture to focus the proton beam and a field-free aperture for the electron and unfocussed proton beam.




Consideration of the magnets for the LHeC included the design of a half quadrupole for Q1 given the short distance between the proton beam and the electron beam

This design presents stray fields in the 'field-free' region difficulting to match the **electron beam**. Also, beam is off-axis so there is a deflection on the focussed proton beam.





Asymmetric IR Layout: Magnet Design




Asymmetric IR Layout: Magnet Design

The sweet spot quadrupole has double the gradient for a given aperture, or double the aperture for the same gradients. Leaving more space to put masks through the whole length of Q1.

Various options on the table with solutions at hand!

Design work on the 'Sweet Spot' magnet is still ongoing!

Final implementation strongly depends on actual IR choice and FCC-hh optics configuration!!!



The baseline LHeC IR geometry is particularly challenging as it requires very wide Sweet Spot regions to locate both the electron and proton beams.

B. Parker, LHeC Workshop, Chavannes, 2015.

ERL Arc Optics: Emittance preservation


[Flexible Momentum Compaction]

A. Bogacz (JLab) @ ERL2015, Stony Brook University, June 9, 2015

Emittance dilution due to quantum excitations:

$$De^{N} = \frac{55 \, r_0}{48\sqrt{3}} \frac{\hbar c}{mc^2} g^6 \, I_5$$

$$I_5 = \int_0^L \frac{H}{|\rho|^3} ds = \frac{\theta \langle H \rangle}{\rho^2}$$
$$H = \gamma D^2 + 2\alpha DD' + \beta D'^2$$



total emittance increase in Arc 1-5: $\Delta \varepsilon_x^{\ \ N} = 4.9 \ \mu m \ rad$

ERL Beam Dynamics: HOM and Beam Stability




N=3 10⁹
Beam-beam effect included as linear kick

Result depends on seed for frequency spread "worst" of ten seed shown

normalised


 F_{rms} =1.135 for ILC cavity F_{rms} =1.002 for SPL cavity

Beam is stable but very small margin with 1.3GHz cavity → lower frequency



→ Choice of 802MHz for FCC-eh & LHeC!


ERL SRF: FCC-eh, LHeC, PERLE



High current, multi-turn (3) ERL concept with 802MHz SRF to be tested at PERLE facility in LAL in Orsay!!!

Key questions to be addressed: BB limit, ERL efficiency, beam size evolution etc.

→ Max Klein later in this session



Basic unit: 5-cell cavity into 4-cavity

module

TKITI OKT IIIIAU, OT-

cavities; 59 cryo modules /


linac

Number of passes: 6

Beam current: 6.6-25.6 mA

SRF: 802 MHz 5-Cell design minimizing HOM






Parameter	Ver 1 (Scaled)	Ver 2
Frequency [MHz]	801.58	801.58
Number of cells	5	5
Active cavity length [mm]	935	935
Voltage [MV]	18.7	18.7
E_p [MV/m]	45.1	48.0
B_p [mT]	95.4	98.3
$R/Q[\Omega]$	430	393
Cell-cell coupling (mid-cell)	4.47%	5.75%
Stored Energy [J]	154	141
Geometry Factor $[\Omega]$	276	283
Field Flatness	97%	96%

HOM Coupler: LHC-like dual

concept




SRF: Prototyping in collaboration with JLab

Robert Rimmer JLab

Evaluate scaled LHC type coupler and HOM dampers [CERN model by Rama Calaga]

- LHC power coupler is well proven but may be overkill
- JLab FEL waveguide dampers may be overkill*
- LHC HOM dampers are somewhat narrow band (tuned)
- High power capability (~1 kW), active cooling
- Demountable
- Evaluate scaled TESLA couplers in the same location



^{*} Or not, depending on filling pattern

22

SRF: JLab Collaboration

Fabricate dies. Q2 FY17

Robert Rimmer JLab

Test dies with AI or Cu disks, check dimensions etc.

Fabricate one or more copper 1-cell cavities. Q3 FY17

Check tuning procedure and useful for CERN coating tests


Can add ports for development of HOM couplers

Fabricate one bare Nb single cell. Q3 FY17

Validate frequency, Qo and gradient

Option to make one large grain single cell

Fabricate bare 5-cell cavity (no He vessel) with ports. Q4 FY17



 \checkmark = in plan, ? = option

SRF Design: Power



Rama Calaga

800 MHz IOTs (~60 kW) for the SPS 3rd harmonic system

Chain of 8 IOTs installed powering two cavities in the SPS



Beam Dynamics and 'front-end' Simulations:




- → Synchrotron radiation bunch shape and acceptance for deceleration and dump
- → Beam-beam interaction bunch shape and beam stability
- → RF Wakefields and HOM beam stability
- → Recombination patters beam stability (filling of the RF buckets can be controlled by tuning the arc lengths)
- → Cavity alignment requirements orbit and emittance control

Synchrotron Radiation

Evolution of the Longitudinal Phase Space


D. Pellegrini (EPFL/CERN) @ ERL'15



Synchrotron Radiation and Beam-Beam

Transverse Plane at Dump

D. Pellegrini (EPFL/CERN) @ ERL'15



Aperture radius of the SPL cavity is 40 mm.

FCC-eh ERL Configuration:

Consistent Performance Projections for ep:

parameter [unit]	LHeC CDR	ep at HL-LHC	ep at HE-LHC	FCC-he
E_p [TeV]	7	7	12.5	50
E_e [GeV]	60	60	60	60
\sqrt{s} [TeV]	1.3	1.3	1.7	3.5
bunch spacing [ns]	25	25	25	25
protons per bunch [10 ¹¹]	1.7	2.2	2.5	1
$\gamma \epsilon_p \; [\mu \mathrm{m}]$	3.7	2	2.5	2.2
electrons per bunch [10 ⁹]	1	2.3	3.0	3.0
electron current [mA]	6.4	15	20	20
IP beta function β_p^* [cm]	10	7	10	15
hourglass factor H_{geom}	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
pinch factor H_{b-b}	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
proton filling H_{coll}	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
luminosity $[10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$	1	8	12	15

EDMS 17979910 FCC-ACC-RPT-0012 V1.0, 6 April, 2017, "A Baseline for the FCC-he"

Oliver Brüning, John Jowett, Max Klein, Dario Pellegrini, Daniel Schulte, Frank Zimmermann

FCC-eh ERL Configuration:

[Daniel Schulte]



Performance Simulations for FCC-ep:

Parameter	Unit	Protons	Electrons
Beam energy	${ m GeV}$	50000	60
Normalised emittance	$ m \mu m$	$2.2 \rightarrow 1.1$	10
IP betafunction	$_{ m mm}$	150	$42 \rightarrow 52$
Nominal RMS beam size	$ m \mu m$	$2.5 \rightarrow 1.8$	$1.9 \rightarrow 2.1$
Waist shift	$_{ m mm}$	0	$65 \rightarrow 70$
Bunch population	10^{10}	$10 \rightarrow 5$	0.31
Bunch spacing	ns	25	25
Luminosity	$10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	$18.3 \rightarrow 14.3$	
Int. luminosity per 10 years	$[ab^{-1}]$	1.2	

EDMS 17979910 FCC-ACC-RPT-0012 V1.0, 6 April, 2017, "A Baseline for the FCC-he"

Daniel Schulte

FCC-eh ERL Configuration:

parameter [unit]	LHeC (HL-LHC)	eA at HE-LHC	FCC-he
$E_{\rm Pb} \ [{\rm PeV}]$	0.574	1.03	4.1
E_e [GeV]	60	60	60
$\sqrt{s_{eN}}$ electron-nucleon [TeV]	0.8	1.1	2.2
bunch spacing [ns]	50	50	100
no. of bunches	1200	1200	2072
ions per bunch [10 ⁸]	1.8	1.8	1.8
$\gamma \epsilon_A \ [\mu \mathrm{m}]$	1.5	1.0	0.9
electrons per bunch [10 ⁹]	4.67	6.2	12.5
electron current [mA]	15	20	20
IP beta function β_A^* [cm]	7	10	15
hourglass factor H_{geom}	0.9	0.9	0.9
pinch factor H_{b-b}	1.3	1.3	1.3
bunch filling H_{coll}	0.8	0.8	0.8
luminosity $[10^{32} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$	7	18	54

EDMS 17979910 FCC-ACC-RPT-0012 V1.0, 6 April, 2017,

"A Baseline for the FCC-he"

John Jowett, Frank Zimmermann



FCC-eh Studies: Next Steps



Key Studies:


- → Finalize a reference magnet design for the IR

 Sweet Spot design with adequate FQ and Field Free region
- → Select a reference IR for defining a reference layout Point 'L' looks most attractive at the moment
- → Prepare reference optics for proton beam once the FCC-hh optics is fixed so far we have a reference design for the HL-LHC ATS optics and layout → with or without crab cavities?
- → Prepare a reference optics for the electron beam transferline and IR
 → with or without Crab Cavities
- → Front-End simulations of the ERL with reference configuration → electron lens!

End

SNS like cryomodule


Cavity fits well in SNS type (805 MHz) cryomodule Cost and fabrication processes well understood Some updates for pressure code have been made by ORNL Plans to build new modules for SNS Power Upgrade Fresh cost estimate in hand, can be adapted to PERLE




Jlab Modular Cryostat

- Take the best features of previous JLab designs
- Modular approach to hold various different cavities
- Design suitable for industrial production

• Simple concepts, low parts count to reduce costs




Cooler ERL, 5-cell cavities



476.3 MHz Crab cavity

On-cell damper concept

 β =0.6 650 MHz cavity



LHeC: RL with ERL Operation as Baseline

Super Conducting Recirculating Linac with Energy Recovery


Choose ⅓ of LHC circumference →

Two 1 km long, 10 GeV SC LINACs with

tune-up dump	DE			***	
10-GeV linac comp. RF injector		3	3 accelerating and		
10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ Luminosity reach	PROTONS	ELECTRONS	PROTONS	ELECTRONS	
Beam Energy [GeV]	7000	60	7000	60	
Luminosity [10 ³³ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]	16	16	1	1	
Normalized emittance $\gamma \epsilon_{x,y} [\mu m]$	2.5	20	3.75	50	
Beta Funtion $\beta^*_{x,y}[m]$	0.05	0.10	0.1	0.12	
rms Beam size σ* _{x,y} [μm]	4	4	7	7	
rms Beam divergence σ□* _{x,y} [μrad]	80	40	70	58	
Beam Current @ IP[mA]	1112	25	860	6.6	
Bunch Spacing [ns]	25	25	25	25	
Bunch Population	2.2*10 ¹¹	4*10 ⁹	1.7*10 ¹¹	1*10 ⁹	
Bunch charge [nC]	35	0.64	27	0.16	

Asymmetric IR Layout: example LHeC






Beam pipe: in CDR 6m, Be, ANSYS calculations

Composite material R+D, prototype, support..

→ Essential for tracking, acceptance and Higgs



Have optics compatible with HL-LHC ATS optics and β*=0.1m

Head-on collisions mandatory →

High synchrotron radiation load, dipole in detector

Optimize LHeC to LHC ATS optics Specification of Q1 – NbTi prototype

Synchrotron Radiation (direct and backscattered), Masks+collimators Beam-beam dynamics and 3 beam operation studies

S. Russenschuck

