Neuroenergetics: How energy constraints shape brain function

Renaud Jolivet, PhD EP-DI & University of Geneva

CERN, July 21, 2016

Neuroenergetics

- Neuroenergetics
- Energy as a constraint on brain function

- Neuroenergetics
- Energy as a constraint on brain function
- Energy as a signal for the brain's immune system

- Neuroenergetics
- Energy as a constraint on brain function
- Energy as a signal for the brain's immune system
- CERN as a model for the neurosciences

Neuroenergetics

- Energy as a constraint on brain function
- Energy as a signal for the brain's immune system
- CERN as a model for the neurosciences

Energy

Energy



William Hamilton (1805 – 1865)

Energy





William Hamilton (1805 – 1865)

Brain energy



In the brain, the vast majority of energy is produced from glucose.

cell membrane



in

out

In the brain, the vast majority of energy is produced from glucose.















image courtesy of A. Rauch



image courtesy of A. Rauch



image courtesy of A. Rauch





Your brain ~20 W



Your brain ~20 W



Your brain ~20 W



iMac 135 – 200 W





The brain accounts for approximately 2% of the total body weight but for about 20% of the whole body glucose utilisation.



- The brain accounts for approximately 2% of the total body weight but for about 20% of the whole body glucose utilisation.
- Disruptions of the energy supply to the brain have rapid and dramatic consequences.

Interrupting the energy supply to the brain has dramatic consequences



Interrupting the energy supply to the brain has dramatic consequences

Stroke is the second leading cause of disability in Europe after ischaemic heart disease (IHD) and is the sixth leading cause worldwide (See Background Paper 6.6, Table 6.6.7). Women have a higher lifetime risk of stroke than men: about one in five women (20% to 21%) and one in six men (14% to 17%) will suffer a stroke in their lifetime, according to a 2006 study.^{5,6} The prevalence of stroke events is expected to increase across the globe as the global population aged over 65 increases.^{7,8} The number of stroke events in Europe is projected to rise from 1.1 million in 2000 to 1.5 million per year by 2025, largely due to the ageing population.⁹ In the EU27 countries, the annual economic cost of stroke is an estimated €27 billion: €18.5 billion (68.5%) for direct costs and €8.5 billion (31.5%) for indirect costs. An additional €11.1 billion is calculated for the value of informal care.¹⁰

http://www.who.int/medicines/areas/priority_medicines/Ch6_6Stroke.pdf



The brain accounts for approximately 2% of the total body weight but for about 20% of the whole body glucose utilisation.

Disruptions of the energy supply to the brain have rapid and dramatic consequences.





Brain glucose uptake to body's resting metabolic rate 60 40 (%) 20 $\left(\right)$ 15 5 10 Age (years)



Brain glucose uptake to body's resting metabolic rate 60 40 (%) 20 19.1% in adults → $\left(\right)$ 15 10 5 Age (years)
The brain is energetically efficient when compared to manmade computing devices.

- The brain is energetically efficient when compared to manmade computing devices.
- The brain is an expensive organ from our body's perspective.

Where is this energy being spent?









Establishes the resting membrane potential ~ -70 mV



Establishes the resting membrane potential ~ -70 mV



Establishes the resting membrane potential ~ -70 mV

Maintaining that status quo costs energy





.....

.

0 mV





0 mV



inputs from other neurons cause small depolarisations



0 mV

inputs from other neurons cause small depolarisations





potential



potential

























.....



.....



inputs from other neurons cause small depolarisations



Restoring the status quo costs energy

.....

0 mV

inputs from other neurons cause small depolarisations

An energy budget for the CNS gray matter

Harris*, Jolivet* and Attwell, *Neuron* 2012 Jolivet et al., *Front Neuroenerg* 2009 Attwell and Laughlin, *JCBFM* 2001

An energy budget for the CNS gray matter



Harris*, Jolivet* and Attwell, *Neuron* 2012 Jolivet et al., *Front Neuroenerg* 2009 Attwell and Laughlin, *JCBFM* 2001

An energy budget for the CNS gray matter



Harris*, Jolivet* and Attwell, *Neuron* 2012 Jolivet et al., *Front Neuroenerg* 2009 Attwell and Laughlin, *JCBFM* 2001

- The brain is energetically efficient when compared to manmade computing devices.
- The brain is an expensive organ from our body's perspective.
Cells extract energy from nutriments (glucose) and oxygen to produce their energy currency (ATP).

- The brain is energetically efficient when compared to manmade computing devices.
- The brain is an expensive organ from our body's perspective.
- Most brain energy is spent on neural communication.



Non-signalling energy use in the developing rat brain

Elisabeth Engl¹, Renaud Jolivet^{1,2}, Catherine N Hall³ and David Attwell¹

Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow &

Metabolism 0(00) 1-16



Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0271678X16648710 jcbfm.sagepub.com



Abstract

Energy use in the brain constrains its information processing power, but only about half the brain's energy consumption is directly related to information processing. Evidence for which non-signalling processes consume the rest of the brain's energy has been scarce. For the first time, we investigated the energy use of the brain's main non-signalling tasks with a single method. After blocking each non-signalling process, we measured oxygen level changes in juvenile rat brain slices with an oxygen-sensing microelectrode and calculated changes in oxygen consumption throughout the slice using a modified diffusion equation. We found that the turnover of the actin and microtubule cytoskeleton, followed by lipid synthesis, are significant energy drains, contributing 25%, 22% and 18%, respectively, to the rate of oxygen consumption. In contrast, protein synthesis is energetically inexpensive. We assess how these estimates of energy expenditure relate to brain energy use in vivo, and how they might differ in the mature brain.

Keywords

ATP, brain development, brain slice, energy metabolism, lipids

Received 12 January 2016; Revised 30 March 2016; Accepted 5 April 2016

Outline

- Neuroenergetics
- Energy as a constraint on brain function
- Energy as a signal for the brain's immune system
- CERN as a model for the neurosciences

The Expensive-Tissue Hypothesis

The Brain and the Digestive System in Human and Primate Evolution¹

by Leslie C. Aiello and Peter Wheeler

Brain tissue is metabolically expensive, but there is no significant correlation between relative basal metabolic rate and relative brain size in humans and other encephalized mammals. The expensive-tissue hypothesis suggests that the metabolic requirements of relatively large brains are offset by a corresponding reduction of the gut. The splanchnic organs (liver and gastrointestinal tract) are as metabolically expensive as brains, and the gut is the only one of the metabolically expensive organs in the human body that is markedly small in relation to body size. Gut size is highly correlated with diet, and relatively small guts are compatible only with high-quality, easy-to-digest food. The often-cited relationship between diet and relative brain size is more properly viewed as a relationship between relative brain size and relative gut size, the latter being determined by dietary Wood on the analysis of the postcranial fossils from Olduvai Gorge. She has published (with M. C. Dean) An Introduction to Human Evolutionary Anatomy (London: Academic Press, 1990), "Allometry and the Analysis of Size and Shape in Human Evolution" (Journal of Human Evolution 22:127-47), "The Fossil Evidence for Modern Human Origins in Africa: A Revised View" (American Anthropologist 95:73-96), (with R. I. M. Dunbar) "Neocortex Size, Group Size, and the Evolution of Language" (CURRENT ANTHROPOLOGY 34:184-93), and (with B. A. Wood) "Cranial Variables as Predictors of Hominine Body Mass" (American Journal of Physical Anthropology, in press).

PETER WHEELER is Director of Biological and Earth Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University. He was born in 1956 and educated at the University of Durham. His research focuses on physiological influences on human evolution and thermobiology. Among his publications are "The Influence of Bipedalism on the Energy and Water Budgets of Early Hominids" (Journal of Human Evolution 21:107–15), "The Influence of the Loss of Functional Body Hair on the Energy and Water Budgets of Early Hominids" (Journal of Human Evolution 23:379–88), "The Thermoregulatory Advantages of Large Body Size for Hominids Foraging in Savannah Environments" (Journal of Human Evolution 23: 351–62), and "The Influence of Stature and Body Form on Hominid Energy and Water Budgets: A Comparison of Australopithecus and Early Homo Physiques" (Journal of Human Evolution 24:13–28).

The present paper was sumitted in final form 15 v1 94.

Much of the work that has been done on encephalization in humans and other primates has been oriented toward why questions—why different primate taxa have different relative brain sizes or why the human line has undergone such a phenomenal increase in brain size during the past 2 million years. Hypotheses that have been put forward to answer these questions primarily invoke socio-ecological factors such as group size (Aiello and

The Expensive-Tissue Hypot LETTER

Wood on the analysis of the postcranial fossils from Olduvai Gorge. She has published (with M. C. Dean) An Introduction to Human Evolutionary Anatomy (London: Academic Press, 1990), "Allometry and the Analysis of Size and Shape in Human Evolution" (Journal of Human Evolution 20107, 17) ("The Fossil Evi

doi:10.1038/nature10

The Brain System in Evolution

by Leslie

Peter Wh

Energetics and the evolution of human brain size

Ana Navarrete¹, Carel P. van Schaik¹ & Karin Isler¹

Brain tissue is mer cant correlation be tive brain size in l expensive-tissue h ments of relatively reduction of the gr intestinal tract) ar gut is the only one human body that size is highly corre are compatible on often-cited relation more properly view size and relative g The human brain stands out among mammals by being unusually large. The expensive-tissue hypothesis¹ explains its evolution by proposing a trade-off between the size of the brain and that of the digestive tract, which is smaller than expected for a primate of our body size. Although this hypothesis is widely accepted, empirical support so far has been equivocal. Here we test it in a sample of 100 mammalian species, including 23 primates, by analysing brain size and organ mass data. We found that, controlling for fat-free body mass, brain size is not negatively correlated with the mass of the digestive tract or any other expensive organ, thus refuting the expensive-tissue hypothesis. Nonetheless, consistent with the existence of energy trade-offs with brain size, we find that the size of brains and adipose depots are negatively correlated in mammals, indicating that encephalization and fat storage are compensatory strategies to buffer against starvation. However, these two strategies can be combined if fat storage does not unduly hamper locomotor efficiency. We propose that human encephalization was made possible by a combination of stabilization of energy inputs and a redirection of energy from locomotion, growth and reproduction.

Brains are energetically expensive². The human brain is about three

Data). In this analysis, it is crucial to control for body size, but the u measure taken for this, body mass, is highly affected by variation in size of adipose depots. This variation may confound or even reverse direction of correlations among organs (Supplementary Fig. 2 Supplementary Table 4b). Here, we therefore used fat-free body mass the best proxy for body size.

Contrary to the predictions of the expensive-tissue hypothesis found no negative correlations between the relative size of the b and the digestive tract, other expensive organs or their combined s among mammals or within non-human primates, controlling for free body mass, even though statistical power was sufficient to de these negative correlations if they existed (see Table 1). We also did find any trade-offs among other expensive organs (Fig. 1). The results therefore refute the expensive-tissue hypothesis as a gen principle to explain the interspecific variation of relative brain in mammals. In our view, this finding reduces the plausibility of argument that human encephalization was made possible by a red tion of the digestive tract^{1,5}.

Energy trade-offs with other tissues that are less expensive but a bundant⁷ may nonetheless explain part of brain size variation. instance, adipose depots make up an appreciable proportion

An energy budget for the CNS gray matter



Harris*, Jolivet* and Attwell, *Neuron* 2012 Jolivet et al., *Front Neuroenerg* 2009 Attwell and Laughlin, *JCBFM* 2001

Chemical neurotransmission is a stochastic process with a relatively low probability (typically ~10% to 40%).

Chemical neurotransmission is a stochastic process with a relatively low probability (typically ~10% to 40%).



Branco et al., Neuron 2008

Chemical neurotransmission is a stochastic process with a relatively low probability (typically ~10% to 40%).





Branco et al., Neuron 2008

Chemical neurotransmission is a stochastic process with a relatively low probability (typically ~10% to 40%).





Branco et al., Neuron 2008

Chemical neurotransmission is a stochastic process with a relatively low probability (typically ~10% to 40%).





Branco et al., Neuron 2008

Chemical neurotransmission is a stochastic process with a relatively low probability (typically ~10% to 40%).





Branco et al., Neuron 2008

Chemical neurotransmission is a stochastic process with a relatively low probability (typically ~10% to 40%).





Branco et al., Neuron 2008

This configuration can be explained by representing the optimal energetic design.

Testing optimal energetic design in the visual pathway

Rat brain



Testing optimal energetic design in the visual pathway

Rat brain





Testing optimal energetic design in the visual pathway

Rat brain









from retina











Sever cortex

To remove cortical inputs



5 μ M gabazine

- Block GABA_A receptors
- To remove inhibitory input from interneurons



Sever cortex

To remove cortical inputs



5 μ M gabazine

- Block GABA_A receptors
- To remove inhibitory input from interneurons

P28 rats

 Relay neurons receive input from only one RGC axon













The retinogeniculate synapse does not maximise information transmission

Stimulation statistics



The retinogeniculate synapse does not maximise information transmission



The retinogeniculate synapse does not maximise information transmission



The retinogeniculate synapse maximises the energetic efficiency of information transmission



The retinogeniculate synapse maximises the energetic efficiency of information transmission


The retinogeniculate synapse maximises the energetic efficiency of information transmission



Harris*, Jolivet* et al., Current Biology 2015

Multicompartment simulations (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)



Multicompartment simulations (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)



Electrophysiology experiments (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)



Multicompartment simulations (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)



information 100 - 123456 $g_{syn+tc}/(normal g_{syn+tc})$ Electrophysiology experiments (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)



Multicompartment simulations (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)





Electrophysiology experiments (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)





Jolivet*, Harris* et al., in preparation

Multicompartment simulations (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)



Electrophysiology experiments (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)





Jolivet*, Harris* et al., in preparation

Multicompartment simulations (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)



Electrophysiology experiments (layer 4 spiny stellate cells)



Jolivet*, Harris* et al., in preparation

Neurons in the rat visual pathway trade information for energy savings.

- Neurons in the rat visual pathway trade information for energy savings.
- How is this mechanism affected by the rest of the local circuit?

- Neurons in the rat visual pathway trade information for energy savings.
- How is this mechanism affected by the rest of the local circuit?
- ► Is this a generic design principle in the brain?

- Neurons in the rat visual pathway trade information for energy savings.
- How is this mechanism affected by the rest of the local circuit?
- ► Is this a generic design principle in the brain?
- How does it arise?

- Neurons in the rat visual pathway trade information for energy savings.
- How is this mechanism affected by the rest of the local circuit?
- ► Is this a generic design principle in the brain?
- How does it arise?
- Can it be applied outside of the brain?

Outline

- Neuroenergetics
- Energy as a constraint on brain function
- Energy as a signal for the brain's immune system
- CERN as a model for the neurosciences





Microglia are the brain's resident immune cells



Microglia are the brain's resident immune cells



Pio del Rio-Hortega (1882 – 1945)

Microglia are the brain's resident immune cells





Pio del Rio-Hortega (1882 – 1945)

del Rio-Hortega, Bol de la Soc esp de biol 1919

Two-photon microscopy



900 nm pulsed excitation

Two-photon fluorescence

Signal
$$\propto I^2$$



image Webb Lab



Iba1-eGFP

















Long tubular structures are blood vessels

00:00 minutes



Long tubular structures are blood vessels

Glass pipette with ATP (1 mM)

00:00 minutes



Long tubular structures are blood vessels

Glass pipette with ATP (1 mM)

00:00 minutes







Haynes et al., *Nat Neurosci* 2006 Madry*, Jolivet* et al., *in revision*



Haynes et al., *Nat Neurosci* 2006 Madry*, Jolivet* et al., *in revision*



Haynes et al., *Nat Neurosci* 2006 Madry*, Jolivet* et al., *in revision*

Baseline immune surveillance of the brain



Data

Binarized image

Cumulative image
Baseline immune surveillance of the brain



Data

Binarized image

Cumulative image





























initial image thresholded image



initial image thresholded image

 Motility: processes extensions + retractions (normalised by the cell area).



Motility: processes extensions + retractions (normalised by the cell area).

initial thresholded image image





Motility: processes extensions + retractions (normalised by the cell area).

initial image

thresholded image



Madry*, Jolivet* et al., in revision

The energy currency of cells (ATP) plays a key role in coordinating the response of the brain's immune cells to acute damage.

The energy currency of cells (ATP) plays a key role in coordinating the response of the brain's immune cells to acute damage.

• We have identified one of the components of the machinery that controls the baseline immune surveillance of the brain.

The energy currency of cells (ATP) plays a key role in coordinating the response of the brain's immune cells to acute damage.

- We have identified one of the components of the machinery that controls the baseline immune surveillance of the brain.
- Immune surveillance of the brain might be compromised during surgeries using volatile anaesthetics and in ageing.

Outline

- Neuroenergetics
- Energy as a constraint on brain function
- Energy as a signal for the brain's immune system
- CERN as a model for the neurosciences

Acknowledgments

@ KAUST, Saudi Arabia

Pierre Magistretti

@ EPFL, Switzerland

Igor Allaman

@ University of Zurich, Switzerland

Bruno Weber

@ University College London, UK

David Attwell Lorena Arancibia Carcamo Elisabeth Engl Vasiliki Kyrargyri Christian Madry

@ Imperial College London, UK

Julia Harris

@ National Institute of Neuroscience, Japan

Shinichi Kohsaka





UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE

FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES

Thank you for your attention!