Is There a Common Ariadne's Thread in Exotic Spectroscopy ?

Luciano Maiani
CERN, Geneva
Universita' di Roma La Sapienza and INFN Roma

International Conference on New Frontiers in Physics
Kolymbari, Crete, 17-29 August, 2017

1. Unanticipated charmonia..and more



Figure 1: From Belle [31], the mass recoiling against $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs, M_{miss}, in e^+e^- collision

- Hidden charm/beauty resonances (peaks??) not fitting in the charmonium spectrum because of mass/decay properties or because charged
- X, e.g. X(3872): neutral, typically seen in J/Psi+pions, positive parity, $J^{PC}=0^{++},1^{++}, 2^{++}$
- Y, e.g. Y(4260): neutral, seen in e^+e^- annihilation with Initial State Radiation, therefore $J^{PC}=1^{--}$
- Z, eg. Z(4430): charged/neutral, typically positive parity, 4 valence quarks manifest, mostly seen to decay in $\Psi+\pi$ and some in $h_c(1P)+\pi$ (valence quarks: c c-bar u d-bar); Z_b observed (b b-bar u d-bar). $[bu\bar{s}\bar{d}]$
- open flavor states not yet seen or confirmed (Z(5568)->$B_s+\pi$ claimed by D0, not confirmed by LHCb.

Expected and Unexpected Charmonia

figure by:
S. L. Olsen, arXiv:1511.01589



Expected and Unexpected Charmonia

figure by:
S. L. Olsen, arXiv:1511.01589



1st Unexpected

Expected and Unexpected Charmonia

figure by:
S. L. Olsen, arXiv:1511.01589

2nd Unexpected
a radial excitation?



1st Unexpected

Expected and Unexpected Charmonia

figure by:
S. L. Olsen, arXiv:1511.01589

2nd Unexpected
a radial excitation?

3rd case:
start a multiplet?



1st Unexpected

Expected and Unexpected Charmonia

figure by:
S. L. Olsen, arXiv:1511.01589

2nd Unexpected
a radial excitation?

3rd case:
start a multiplet?

recent additions:
more than coincidence?
or
an almost filled multiplet?

1st Unexpected



Old and new structures observed by LHCb

R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2017) 022003



All $X(1^+)$				$16\pm3\ ^{+6}_{-2}$
$X(4140)$	8.4σ	$4146.5\pm4.5\ ^{+4.6}_{-2.8}$	$83\pm21\ ^{+21}_{-14}$	$13.0\pm3.2\ ^{+4.7}_{-2.0}$
ave.	Table 1	4147.1 ± 2.4	15.7 ± 6.3	
$X(4274)$	6.0σ	$4273.3\pm8.3\ ^{+17.2}_{-3.6}$	$56\pm11\ ^{+8}_{-11}$	$7.1\pm2.5\ ^{+3.5}_{-2.4}$
CDF	[26]	$4274.4\ ^{+8.4}_{-6.7}\pm1.9$	$32\ ^{+22}_{-15}\pm8$	
CMS	[23]	$4313.8\pm5.3\pm7.3$	$38\ ^{+30}_{-15}\pm16$	
All $X(0^+)$				$28\pm\ 5\pm\ 7$
$NR_{J/\psi\phi}$	6.4σ			$46\pm11\ ^{+11}_{-21}$
$X(4500)$	6.1σ	$4506\pm11\ ^{+12}_{-15}$	$92\pm21\ ^{+21}_{-20}$	$6.6\pm2.4\ ^{+3.5}_{-2.3}$
$X(4700)$	5.6σ	$4704\pm10\ ^{+14}_{-24}$	$120\pm31\ ^{+42}_{-33}$	$12\pm\ 5\ ^{+9}_{-5}$

$J/\Psi p$ resonances consistent with pentaquark states

[PRL 115 (2015) 072001]

Need to add two states with content uudccbar.
Best fit has J=3/2 and 5/2 with opposite parities.

$P_c(4380)$:
$M = 4380 \pm 8 \pm 29\,\mathrm{MeV}$,
$\Gamma = 205 \pm 18 \pm 86\,\mathrm{MeV}$
$P_c(4450)$:
$M = 4449.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.5\,\mathrm{MeV}$
$\Gamma = 39 \pm 5 \pm 19\,\mathrm{MeV}$



Clear resonant behaviour for narrow state,
Need more statistics to elucidate other state.



$J/\Psi p$ resonances consistent with pentaquark states

[PRL 115 (2015) 072001]

Need to add two states with content uudccbar.
Best fit has J=3/2 and 5/2 with opposite parities.

$P_c(4380)$:
$M = 4380 \pm 8 \pm 29\,\mathrm{MeV}$,
$\Gamma = 205 \pm 18 \pm 86\,\mathrm{MeV}$
$P_c(4450)$:
$M = 4449.8 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.5\,\mathrm{MeV}$
$\Gamma = 39 \pm 5 \pm 19\,\mathrm{MeV}$



Clear resonant behaviour for narrow state,
Need more statistics to elucidate other state.



preferred fit
$P(4380)=3/2^-$, $P(4450)=5/2^+$

14/09/15 LHCb - SPC, September 2015

Models for *XYZ* Mesons

Quarkonium Tetraquarks

- compact tetraquark



L. Maiani, A. Polosa, V. Riquer, F, Piccinini, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 114010 (2014) and reffs therein

- meson molecule



M.Cleven, F.K.Guo, C.Hanhart, Q.Wang and Q.Zhao, arXiv:1505.01771 and refs. therein

- diquark-onium



A. Ali, L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 1, 017502 and refs. therein

- hadro-quarkonium



X.Li, M.B.Voloshin, Mod. Phys. Lett. **29**(2014) 12, 1450060 and refs. therein

- quarkonium adjoint meson



Few think that X, Y, Z are kinematic effects due to the opening of new channels: see E. S. Swanson, *Cusps and Exotic Charmonia*, arXiv:1504.07952 [hep-ph]
However, it takes a lot of unconventional dynamics to produce the X(3872) as a "cusp"
Also, the phase of Z(4430) goes at 90^0 at the peak, like a text-book Breit-Wigner resonance...

Models for *XYZ* Mesons

Quarkonium Tetraquarks

This Talk

- compact tetraquark



L. Maiani, A. Polosa, V. Riquer, F, Piccinini, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 114010 (2014) and reffs therein

- meson molecule



M.Cleven, F.K.Guo, C.Hanhart, Q.Wang and Q.Zhao, arXiv:1505.01771 and refs. therein

- diquark-onium



A. Ali, L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 1, 017502 and refs. therein

- hadro-quarkonium



X.Li, M.B.Voloshin, Mod. Phys. Lett. **29**(2014) 12, 1450060 and refs. therein

- quarkonium adjoint meson



Few think that X, Y, Z are kinematic effects due to the opening of new channels: see E. S. Swanson, *Cusps and Exotic Charmonia*, arXiv:1504.07952 [hep-ph]
However, it takes a lot of unconventional dynamics to produce the X(3872) as a "cusp"
Also, the phase of Z(4430) goes at 90^0 at the peak, like a text-book Breit-Wigner resonance...

A certified example of cusp: $K \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$

Determination of the $a_0 - a_2$ pion scattering length from $K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$ decay

Nicola Cabibbo [1, 2]
CERN, Physics Department
CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland



Figure 1: The $\pi\pi$ re-scattering diagram.

A certified example of cusp: $K \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$

Determination of the $a_0 - a_2$ pion scattering length from $K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$ decay

Nicola Cabibbo [1, 2]
CERN, Physics Department
CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland



Figure 1: The $\pi\pi$ re-scattering diagram.



Theory

A certified example of cusp: $K \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$

Determination of the $a_0 - a_2$ pion scattering length from $K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$ decay

Nicola Cabibbo [1, 2]
CERN, Physics Department
CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland



Figure 1: The $\pi\pi$ re-scattering diagram.



Theory



Figure 1: (Left) Invariant mass distribution of $\pi^\pm\pi^0\pi^0$ candidate events. (Middle) $\pi^0\pi^0$ invariant mass squared distribution. (Right) Zoom of the previous in the region around the value $M_{00} = 2m_+$ indicated by the arrow.

Data

S. Giudici [NA48-CERN Collaboration], hep-ex/0505032

2. Diquarks

- ***QCD forces and spin-spin are attractive in the completely antisymmetric diquark [qq']: the "good diquark" (Jaffe, 1977)***

$$\text{color} = \bar{3};\ \ SU(3)_{flavor} = \bar{3}; \text{spin} = 0$$

- result holds in QCD perturbative (one gluon exchange) and non perturbative (one instanton exchange), see e.g. T. Schafer and E. V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70 (1998) 323

2. Diquarks

- ***QCD forces and spin-spin are attractive in the completely antisymmetric diquark [qq']: the "good diquark" (Jaffe, 1977)***

$$\text{color} = \bar{3};\ \ SU(3)_{flavor} = \bar{3}; \text{spin} = 0$$

- result holds in QCD perturbative (one gluon exchange) and non perturbative (one instanton exchange), see e.g. T. Schafer and E. V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70 (1998) 323
- To form hadrons, good or bad diquarks need to combine with other colored objects:
- with q → baryon (e.g. Λ), Y-shape
- with $[\bar{q}\bar{q}]$ → scalar meson, H-shape (Rossi &Veneziano,)



2. Diquarks

- ***QCD forces and spin-spin are attractive in the completely antisymmetric diquark [qq']: the "good diquark" (Jaffe, 1977)***

$$\text{color} = \bar{3};\ \ SU(3)_{flavor} = \bar{3}; \text{spin} = 0$$

- result holds in QCD perturbative (one gluon exchange) and non perturbative (one instanton exchange), see e.g. T. Schafer and E. V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70 (1998) 323
- To form hadrons, good or bad diquarks need to combine with other colored objects:
- with q → baryon (e.g. Λ), Y-shape
- with $[\bar{q}\bar{q}]$ → scalar meson, H-shape (Rossi &Veneziano,)



We expect many states: the string joining diquarks may have radial and orbital excitations

in different words:
J. Sonnenschein and D. Weissman, arXiv:1606.02732 [hep-ph].

…We propose a simple criterion to decide whether a state is a genuine stringy exotic hadron - a tetraquark - or a "molecule". If it is the former it should be on a (modified) Regge trajectory…..

2. Diquarks

- ***QCD forces and spin-spin are attractive in the completely antisymmetric diquark [qq']: the "good diquark" (Jaffe, 1977)***

$$\text{color} = \bar{3};\ \ SU(3)_{flavor} = \bar{3}; \text{spin} = 0$$

- result holds in QCD perturbative (one gluon exchange) and non perturbative (one instanton exchange), see e.g. T. Schafer and E. V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70 (1998) 323
- To form hadrons, good or bad diquarks need to combine with other colored objects:
- with q → baryon (e.g. Λ), Y-shape
- with $[\bar{q}\bar{q}]$ → scalar meson, H-shape (Rossi &Veneziano,)



We expect many states: the string joining diquarks may have radial and orbital excitations

in different words:
J. Sonnenschein and D. Weissman, arXiv:1606.02732 [hep-ph].

…We propose a simple criterion to decide whether a state is a genuine stringy exotic hadron - a tetraquark - or a "molecule". If it is the former it should be on a (modified) Regge trajectory…..

Decays: the string topology is related to B-antiB decay or tetraquark de-excitation

$[qq][\bar{q}\bar{q}] \to B\bar{B}$



$[qq][\bar{q}\bar{q}] \to [qq][\bar{q}\bar{q}] + \text{meson}$



Replacing: antiquark → diquark makes new objects

rule:



S-wave states: negative parity
L=1 states: positive parity

S-wave states: positive parity
L=1 states: negative parity



meson



baryon





antibaryon



tetraquark

S-wave states: positive parity, X,Z
L=1 states: negative parity, Y

Replacing: antiquark → diquark makes new objects



more spectacular results for 2 and 3 substitutions in antibaryons



more spectacular results for 2 and 3 substitutions in antibaryons

L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, PLB **749** (2015) 289





$$\mathcal{P}^+ \to (c\bar{c}) + (uud) = J/\Psi + p$$

more spectacular results for 2 and 3 substitutions in antibaryons

L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, PLB **749** (2015) 289





more spectacular results for 2 and 3 substitutions in antibaryons

L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, PLB **749** (2015) 289



antibaryon

S-wave states: positive parity
L=1 states: negative parity

pentaquark



$$\mathcal{P}^+ \to (c\bar{c}) + (uud) = J/\Psi + p$$

S-wave states: negative parity
(P(4380) $3/2^-$?)
L=1 states: positive parity
(P(4450) $5/2^+$?)



dibaryon ???

3. Diquarks vs Molecules

- The possibility of bound states of colourless hadrons raised by De Rujula, Georgi and Glashow;
- Has received a lot of attention for XYZ states:

A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 317.

N. A. Tornqvist, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 556 (1991); Z. Phys. C **61**, 525 (1994).
A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. **B 399**, 17 (1993);
A. E. Bondar, A. Garmash, A. I. Milstein, R. Mizuk and M. B. Voloshin,
F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart and U. G. Meissner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 242004 (2009)
see also: M. Cleven, F. K. Guo, C. Hanhart, Q. Wang and Q. Zhao, Phys.\ Rev.\ D {\bf 92} (2015) no.1, 014005 and references therein.

- Meson-meson molecules have a different string topology:
  - are they bound?
  - very few states: no orbital excitations or radial excitations expected



Nuclei obviously belong to the same class as hadron molecules, being 'made' by color singlet protons and neutrons

Alice has measured the production of light nuclei, deuteron, He^3 and hypertriton, $H^3{}_\Lambda$ in relatively high p_T bins in Pb-Pb collisions, at s_{NN} = 2.76 TeV

The cross section of these processes can be used as reference for a discrimination between tetra quarks (hadrons made by coloured subconstituents) and molecules (hadrons made by color singlet constituents)

X(3872) production @ LHC



Figure 1: The $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant-mass spectrum for $10 < p_T < 50$ GeV and $|y| < 1.2$. The lines represent the signal-plus-background fits (solid), the background-only (dashed), and the signal-only (dotted) components. The inset shows an enlargement of the X(3872) mass region.





- Production at Colliders speaks against extended objects;
- using Pythia to estimate the probability to find a D-Dbar pair in the relevant phase space, factors of 10^{-2} with respect to the X(3872) cross section measured by CDF (~ 30 nb) are found.

C. Bignamini, B. Grinstein, F. Piccinini, A. Polosa, C. Sabelli, *Phys Rev Lett*, ***103***, *162001 (2009)*

A. Esposito *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 3, 034028

Rescaling from Pb-Pb ALICE cross sections to p-p CMS cross section is done with: Glauber model (**left panel**) and blast-wave function (**right panel**}) (R_{AA} or R_{CP} =1)



Collective effects in Pb-Pb (e.g.quark-gluon plasma) **enhance nuclear cross sections** and therefore **reduce the cross section rescaled to p-p**.

- There is a vast difference in the probability of producing X(3872) and that of producing light nuclei, true "hadronic molecules", in high energy collisions
- high energy production of suspected exotic hadrons from quark-gluon plasma at Heavy Ion colliders can be a very effective tool to discriminate different models
- a long list of suspects: $f_0(980)$, X(3872), $Z^{\pm}(3900)$, $Z^{\pm}(4020)$, $Z^{\pm}(4430)$, X(4140)….

A. Esposito *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015) 3, 034028

Rescaling from Pb-Pb ALICE cross sections to p-p CMS cross section is done with: Glauber model (**left panel**) and blast-wave function (**right panel**}) (R_{AA} or R_{CP} =1)



Collective effects in Pb-Pb (e.g.quark-gluon plasma) **enhance nuclear cross sections** and therefore **reduce the cross section rescaled to p-p**.

- There is a vast difference in the probability of producing X(3872) and that of producing light nuclei, true "hadronic molecules", in high energy collisions
- high energy production of suspected exotic hadrons from quark-gluon plasma at Heavy Ion colliders can be a very effective tool to discriminate different models
- a long list of suspects: $f_0(980)$, X(3872), $Z^{\pm}(3900)$, $Z^{\pm}(4020)$, $Z^{\pm}(4430)$, X(4140)….

Can mixing with charmonium save the molecule?

4. Tetraquark constituent picture of unexpected quarkonia

L.Maiani, F.Piccinini, A.D.Polosa and V.Riquer, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 014028

$$[cq]_{S=0,1}[\bar{c}\bar{q}']_{S=0,1}, \quad L=0$$



- I=1, 0
- S-wave: positive parity
- total spin of each diquark, S=1, 0
- neutral states may be mixtures of isotriplet and isosinglet
- mass splitting due to spin-spin interactions (e.g. the non-relativistic costituent quark mode

$$H = 2M_{diquark} - 2\sum_{i<j}\kappa_{ij}(\vec{s}_i\cdot\vec{s}_j)\,\frac{\lambda_i^A}{2}\frac{\lambda_j^A}{2}$$

The S-wave, $J^P=1^+$ charmonium tetraquarks

- use the basis: $\left|s,\bar{s}\right\rangle_J$

$$J^P=0^+ \quad C=+ \quad X_0=|0,0\rangle_0,\ X_0'=|1,1\rangle_0$$

$$J^P=1^+ \quad C=+ \quad X_1=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|1,0\rangle_1+|0,1\rangle_1\right)$$

$$J^P=1^+ \quad C=- \quad Z=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|1,0\rangle_1-|0,1\rangle_1\right),\ Z'=|1,1\rangle_1$$

$$J^P=2^+ \quad C=+ \quad X_2=|1,1\rangle_2$$

4. Tetraquark constituent picture of unexpected quarkonia

L.Maiani, F.Piccinini, A.D.Polosa and V.Riquer, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 014028

$$[cq]_{S=0,1}[\bar{c}\bar{q}']_{S=0,1}, \quad L=0$$



- I=1, 0
- S-wave: positive parity
- total spin of each diquark, S=1, 0
- neutral states may be mixtures of isotriplet and isosinglet
- mass splitting due to spin-spin interactions (e.g. the non-relativistic costituent quark mode

$$H = 2M_{diquark} - 2\sum_{i<j} \kappa_{ij}(\vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j)\ \frac{\lambda_i^A}{2}\frac{\lambda_j^A}{2}$$

The S-wave, $J^P=1^+$ charmonium tetraquarks

- use the basis: $\left|s, \bar{s}\right\rangle_J$

$$J^P = 0^+ \quad C=+ \quad X_0 = |0,0\rangle_0,\ X_0' = |1,1\rangle_0$$

$$J^P = 1^+ \quad C=+ \quad X_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|1,0\rangle_1 + |0,1\rangle_1\right)$$

$$J^P = 1^+ \quad C=- \quad Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|1,0\rangle_1 - |0,1\rangle_1\right),\ Z' = |1,1\rangle_1$$

$$J^P = 2^+ \quad C=+ \quad X_2 = |1,1\rangle_2$$

4. Tetraquark constituent picture of unexpected quarkonia

L.Maiani, F.Piccinini, A.D.Polosa and V.Riquer, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 014028

$$[cq]_{S=0,1}[\bar{c}\bar{q}']_{S=0,1}, \quad L=0$$



- I=1, 0
- S-wave: positive parity
- total spin of each diquark, S=1, 0
- neutral states may be mixtures of isotriplet and isosinglet
- mass splitting due to spin-spin interactions (e.g. the non-relativistic costituent quark mode

$$H = 2M_{diquark} - 2\sum_{i<j} \kappa_{ij}(\vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j)\ \frac{\lambda_i^A}{2}\frac{\lambda_j^A}{2}$$

The S-wave, $J^P=1^+$ charmonium tetraquarks

- use the basis: $\left|s, \bar{s}\right\rangle_J$

$$J^P = 0^+ \quad C=+ \quad X_0 = |0,0\rangle_0,\ X_0' = |1,1\rangle_0$$

$$J^P = 1^+ \quad C=+ \quad X_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|1,0\rangle_1 + |0,1\rangle_1\right)$$

$$J^P = 1^+ \quad C=- \quad Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|1,0\rangle_1 - |0,1\rangle_1\right),\ Z' = |1,1\rangle_1$$

$$J^P = 2^+ \quad C=+ \quad X_2 = |1,1\rangle_2$$

X(3872)=X_1
Z(3900), Z(4020)=lin. combs. of Z&Z' that diagonalize H

Can we extrapolate spin-spin couplings from mesons and baryons?

- Spin-spin interactions are expected to be proportional to the overlap probability $|\psi(0)|^2$ of the two quarks/antiquarks involved.
- No symmetry principle says that overlap functions in tetraquarks have to be the same as in baryons or mesons
- spin-spin couplings in tetra quarks should be considered as free parameters to be determined from the mass spectrum

Phenomenology confirms

- A simple ansatz reproduces Z states ordering: spin-spin interaction is dominated by inter-diquark interaction
- constituents are ***not*** uniformely mixed in the bag, rather clump into two separate entities: diquarkonium
- The spectrum of 1S ground states is characterised by two quantities:
  - the diquark mass, $m_{[cq]}$
  - the spin-spin interaction inside the diquark or the antidiquark, κ_{cq}.
- The first radially excited, 2S, states are shifted up by a radial excitation energy, ΔE_r, mildly dependent on the diquark mass: E_r (cq) ~ ~E_r (cs)


MASS [GeV/c²]
4.4
4.2
4.0
3.8
3.6
3.4
3.2
3.0
$M_D+M_{D^*}$
$2M_D$
$\eta_c(4^1S_0)$
$\psi(4^3S_1)$
Z(4430)⁺
Y(4360)
Y(4260)
$h_c(3^1P_1)$
$Z_2(4250)^+$
$\chi_{c1}(3^3P_1)$
$\chi_{c2}(3^3P_2)$
$Z_c(4200)^+$
$\chi_{c0}(3^3P_0)$
X(4160)
$\psi(2^3D_1)$
$\eta_c(3^1S_0)$
$\psi(3^3S_1)$
$Z_c(4020)^+$
$Z_1(4050)^+$
X(3940)
$Z_c(3900)^+$
X(3915)
$\chi_{c2}(2^3P_2)$
X(3872)
$\chi_{c0}(2^3P_0)$
$\psi''(1^3D_1)$
$\psi'(2^3S_1)$
$\eta_c'(2^1S_0)$
$h_c(1^1P_1)$
$\chi_{c1}(1^3P_1)$
$\chi_{c2}(1^3P_2)$
$\chi_{c0}(1^3P_0)$
$J/\psi(1^3S_1)$
$\eta_c(1^1S_0)$
established $c\bar{c}$ states
predicted, undiscovered
neutral XYZ mesons
charged XYZ mesons
0^{-+}
1^{--}
1^{+-}
0^{++}
1^{++}
2^{++}
J^{PC}



$m_{[cq]} = 1980$ MeV

$\kappa_{cq} = 67$ MeV





$\Delta E_r(cq) = 530$ MeV



$m_{[cq]} = 1980$ MeV

$\kappa_{cq} = 67$ MeV



Old and new structures observed by LHCb arXiv:1606.07895



- 4 visible structures fit with BW amplitudes

28 Recontres de Blois, June 2, 2016

36

Results of fit

- J^P also measured all with >4σ significances

Particle	J^P	Signif-icance	Mass (MeV)	Γ (MeV)	Fit Fraction (%)
X(4140)	1^+	8.4 σ	$4146.5 \pm 4.5^{+4.6}_{-2.8}$	$83 \pm 21^{+21}_{-14}$	$13.0 \pm 3.2^{+4.8}_{-2.0}$
X(4274)	1^+	6.0 σ	$4273.3 \pm 8.3^{+17.2}_{-3.6}$	$56 \pm 11^{+8}_{-11}$	$7.1 \pm 2.5^{+3.5}_{-2.4}$
X(4500)	0^+	6.1 σ	$4506 \pm 11^{+12}_{-15}$	$92 \pm 21^{+21}_{-20}$	$6.6 \pm 2.4^{+3.5}_{-2.3}$
X(4700)	0^+	5.6 σ	$4704 \pm 10^{+14}_{-24}$	$120 \pm 31^{+42}_{-33}$	$12 \pm 5^{+9}_{-5}$
NR	0^+	6.4 σ			$46 \pm 11^{+11}_{-21}$

28 Recontres de Blois, June 2, 2016

37

- Four structures
- positive parity, J=0 and 1, positive charge conjugation
- X(4140) seen previously by CDF, D0, CMS and by BELLE

Old and new structures observed by LHCb arXiv:1606.07895



- 4 visible structures fit with BW amplitudes

28 Recontres de Blois, June 2, 2016

36

Results of fit

- J^P also measured all with >4σ significances

Particle	J^P	Signif-icance	Mass (MeV)	Γ (MeV)	Fit Fraction (%)
X(4140)	1^+	8.4 σ	$4146.5 \pm 4.5^{+4.6}_{-2.8}$	$83 \pm 21^{+21}_{-14}$	$13.0 \pm 3.2^{+4.8}_{-2.0}$
X(4274)	1^+	6.0 σ	$4273.3 \pm 8.3^{+17.2}_{-3.6}$	$56 \pm 11^{+8}_{-11}$	$7.1 \pm 2.5^{+3.5}_{-2.4}$
X(4500)	0^+	6.1 σ	$4506 \pm 11^{+12}_{-15}$	$92 \pm 21^{+21}_{-20}$	$6.6 \pm 2.4^{+3.5}_{-2.3}$
X(4700)	0^+	5.6 σ	$4704 \pm 10^{+14}_{-24}$	$120 \pm 31^{+42}_{-33}$	$12 \pm 5^{+9}_{-5}$
NR	0^+	6.4 σ			$46 \pm 11^{+11}_{-21}$

28 Recontres de Blois, June 2, 2016

37

- Four structures
- positive parity, J=0 and 1, positive charge conjugation
- X(4140) seen previously by CDF, D0, CMS and by BELLE

We suggest to fit the structures in two tetraquark multiplets, S-wave ground state and the first radial excitation, with composition $[cs][\bar{c}\bar{s}]$**.** L. Maiani, A. Polosa, V. Riquer, PRD **94** (2016) 054026

With the previously identified $[cq][\bar{c}\bar{q}]$ $(q = u,\ d)$ multiplet, the new resonances would make a step towards a ***full nonet*** of S-wave tetraquarks made by c c-bar with a pair of light (u, d, s) quarks.

J/Ψ-φ structures and S-wave tetraquarks



$\Delta m = m_{cs} - m_{cq} = 129$ MeV;

$\kappa_{sc} = 50$ MeV ($\kappa_{qc} = 67$ MeV)

radial excit. = 460 MeV

$[Z(4430) - Z(3900) = 530$ MeV$]$

J/Ψ-φ structures and S-wave tetraquarks



$\Delta m = m_{cs} - m_{cq} = 129$ MeV;

$\kappa_{sc} = 50$ MeV ($\kappa_{qc} = 67$ MeV)

radial excit. = 460 MeV

$[Z(4430) - Z(3900) = 530$ MeV$]$

NOTE :

$X(4140) - X(3872) \sim 270$ MeV;

$\phi(1020) - \rho(770) \sim 244$ MeV

J/Ψ-φ structures and S-wave tetraquarks



$\Delta m = m_{cs} - m_{cq} = 129$ MeV;

$\kappa_{sc} = 50$ MeV ($\kappa_{qc} = 67$ MeV)

radial excit. = 460 MeV

$[Z(4430) - Z(3900) = 530$ MeV$]$

NOTE :

$X(4140) - X(3872) \sim 270$ MeV;

$\phi(1020) - \rho(770) \sim 244$ MeV

X(4274) cannot be 1^{++}

- **0^{++} ?**
- **2^{++} ?**
- **2 unresolved, almost degenerate lines with $0^{++} + 2^{++}$??**

J/Ψ-φ structures and S-wave tetraquarks



$\Delta m = m_{cs} - m_{cq} = 129$ MeV;

$\kappa_{sc} = 50$ MeV ($\kappa_{qc} = 67$ MeV)

radial excit. = 460 MeV

$[Z(4430) - Z(3900) = 530$ MeV$]$

NOTE :

$X(4140) - X(3872) \sim 270$ MeV;

$\phi(1020) - \rho(770) \sim 244$ MeV

X(4274) cannot be 1^{++}

- **0^{++} ?**
- **2^{++} ?**
- **2 unresolved, almost degenerate lines with $0^{++} + 2^{++}$??**

J/Ψ-φ structures and S-wave tetraquarks



$\Delta m = m_{cs} - m_{cq} = 129$ MeV;

$\kappa_{sc} = 50$ MeV ($\kappa_{qc} = 67$ MeV)

radial excit. = 460 MeV

$[Z(4430) - Z(3900) = 530$ MeV$]$

NOTE :

$X(4140) - X(3872) \sim 270$ MeV;

$\phi(1020) - \rho(770) \sim 244$ MeV

X(4274) cannot be 1^{++}

- **0^{++} ?**
- **2^{++} ?**
- **2 unresolved, almost degenerate lines with $0^{++} + 2^{++}$??**

Decay modes of $J^P=1^+$, C=-1:

$$s_{c\bar{c}} = 1 : J/\Psi + \eta, \chi_c + \eta \ (P - wave)$$

$$s_{c\bar{c}} = 0 : \eta_c + \phi, h_c + \phi \ (P - wave)$$

Variations on the theme

N.V. Drenska, R. Faccini and A. D. Polosa, Phys. Rev. **D** 79 (2009) 077502

- J/Ψ-φ spectrum obtained with meson&baryon spin-spin parameters does not fit with experiment
- QCD sum rules with tetra quark currents tried with some success and support X(4500) and X(4700) to be higher excitations, radial or D-wave

Z. G. Wang, arXiv:1607.00701 [hep-ph];

- flavour SU(3) nonet including J/Ψ-φ has been considered in:

R. Zhu, Phys Rev. **D** 94 (2016) 054009

- diquarks in color 6 have been considered by several authors

J. Wu *et al.*, arXiv:1608.07900 [hep-ph]

- if at all bound, tetraquarks made by color 6 diquarks would double the spectrum
- an option if X(4270) turns out to be a pure 1^{++} resonance?
- basic masses of diquark in color 3 and 6 must be different: X(4270)-X(4140) is not due only to spin-spin interactions and will be essentially incalculable.

what about the strange members of the nonet?

- We expect strangeness= ±1 tetra quarks: $X_{\bar{s}} = [cq][\bar{c}\bar{s}]; \; X_s = [cs][\bar{c}\bar{q}]$
- partners of X(4140) should decay in: $J/\Psi + K^*/\bar{K}^* \to \mu^+\mu^- + \pi + K_S$
- or: $J/\Psi + K/\bar{K} \to \mu^+\mu^- + K_S$
- Mass can be estimated at: $M(X_s) \sim \frac{4140 + 3872}{2} \sim 4006$

  $[M(J/\Psi) + M(K^*) \sim 4000]$
- are they visible at LHCb/BELLE/BES III?

5. Y- states as L=1 Tetraquarks



- Tetraquark states with $J^{PC}=1^{--}$ can be obtained with odd values of the orbital angular momentum L=1, 3 and diquark and antidiquark spins

$$|(s,\bar{s})_S, L=1\rangle_J$$

- Using charge conjugation invariance we get four states with L=1, J=1:

	spin composition: $\|(s,\bar{s})_S, L>_J$	$P(s_{c\bar{c}}=1)$	$P(s_{c\bar{c}}=0)$
Y_1	$\|(0,0)_0,1\rangle_1$	0.75	0.25
Y_2	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{\|(1,0)_1,1\rangle_1+\|(0,1)_1,1\rangle_1\}$	1	0
Y_3	$\|(1,1)_0,1\rangle_1$	0.25	0.75
Y_4	$\|(1,1)_2,1\rangle_1$	1	0

- Mass degeneracy removed by: spin-spin, spin-orbit, tensor interactions
- works well for the specrum of charmonia and for Ω_c with L=1
- tensor interaction mixes Y_3 and Y_4
- heavy spin conservation gives an orientation about allowed decay modes
- Experimentally: a complex situation

Two scenarios for the 4 states



	Ali et al.	after BES III	
Y_1	Y(4008)	Y(4220)	
Y_2	Y(4260)	Y(4320)	
Y_3	Y(4360)	Y(4390)	mostly $S = 0$
Y_4	Y(4660)	Y(4660)	mostly $S = 2$

Two scenarios for the 4 states



	Ali et al.	after BES III	
Y_1	Y(4008)	Y(4220)	
Y_2	Y(4260)	Y(4320)	
Y_3	Y(4360)	Y(4390)	mostly $S = 0$
Y_4	Y(4660)	Y(4660)	mostly $S = 2$

- Y_2 =Y(4260) /Y(4320) has identical spin structure as X(3872)
- it suggests the decay: $Y_2 \rightarrow$ X(3872) + γ to be an ***unsuppressed E_1 transition***, with ΔL=1 and ΔSpin =0, similar to the observed transitions of charmonium χ states.

M.Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], arXiv:1310.4101 [hep-ex]

A. Ali *et al.*, Collaboration CERN-DESY-Islamabad-Moscow-Roma, arXiv:1708.04650 [hep-ph].

$$H_{\rm eff} = 2M_{cq} + \frac{B_c}{2}\mathbf{L}^2 - 3\kappa_{cq} + 2a\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{b}\frac{<\mathbf{S_{12}}>}{\mathbf{4}} + \kappa_{cq}\left[2(\mathbf{S_q}\cdot\mathbf{S_c} + \mathbf{S_{\bar q}}\cdot\mathbf{S_{\bar c}}) + \mathbf{3}\right]$$

$$\frac{<S_{12}>}{4} = Q(\mathbf{S}_{cq}, \mathbf{S}_{\bar c\bar q}) = 3(\mathbf{S}_{cq}\cdot\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{S}_{\bar c\bar q}\cdot\mathbf{n}) - (\mathbf{S}_{cq}\cdot\mathbf{S}_{\bar c\bar q})$$

- Hamiltonian should describe S-wave and P-wave
- we expect same M_{cq} and $\kappa_{cq}\simeq 67$ MeV
- define $M_{00} = 2M_{cq} + \frac{B_c}{2}\mathbf{L}^2$
- and fit the four masses in each scenario with a, b, M_{00}
- scenario II give a better fit

Table 1: Values of the parameters M_{00}, a, b (all in MeV), and $\chi^2_{\rm min}$/n.d.f. resulting from the χ^2 analysis with fixing $\kappa_{cq} = 67$ MeV.

Scenario	M_{00}	a	b	$\chi^2_{\rm min}$/n.d.f.
SI	4321 ± 79	2 ± 41	-141 ± 63	12.8/1
SII	4421 ± 6	22 ± 3	-136 ± 6	1.3/1

A. Ali *et al.*, Collaboration CERN-DESY-Islamabad-Moscow-Roma, arXiv:1708.04650 [hep-ph].

- In scenario II, combining with mass of X(3872) we eliminate M_{cq} and obtain B_c ,
- we may also obtain the orbital excitation energy in charmonia (and in Ω_c baryons)

$$B_c = M_{00} - \kappa_{cq} - 3872 = 482 \text{ MeV}$$

$$B_{c\bar{c}} = M(h_c) - \frac{1}{4}\left[3M(J/\psi) + M(\eta_c)\right] = 457 \text{ MeV}$$

$$B_{\Omega_c} = 340 \text{ MeV}$$

- Scenario II leads to a picture of S- and P-wave diquarkonia consistent with S- and P-wave charmonia (and Ω_c ?).

A. Ali *et al.*, Collaboration CERN-DESY-Islamabad-Moscow-Roma, arXiv:1708.04650 [hep-ph].

- In scenario II, combining with mass of X(3872) we eliminate M_{cq} and obtain B_c ,
- we may also obtain the orbital excitation energy in charmonia (and in Ω_c baryons)

$$B_c = M_{00} - \kappa_{cq} - 3872 = 482 \text{ MeV}$$
$$B_{c\bar{c}} = M(h_c) - \frac{1}{4}\left[3M(J/\psi) + M(\eta_c)\right] = 457 \text{ MeV}$$
$$B_{\Omega_c} = 340 \text{ MeV}$$

- Scenario II leads to a picture of S- and P-wave diquarkonia consistent with S- and P-wave charmonia (and Ω_c ?).

- We expect many more particles in the supermultiplet of L=1 diquarkonia, analogous of χ-states of charmonia and bottomonia

$L = 1$ Super Multiplet (in parenthesis state multiplicity)

3^{--} (1); 2^{--} (2); 2^{++} (2); 1^{--} (4 Ys); 1^{-+} (2); 0^{--} (1); 0^{-+} (2)

total n. of spin states = $4 \times 4 \times 3 = 48$

6. Pentaquarks: X and Y in one shot!

- The best fit quantum numbers and masses (consult the original article for experimental errors and more details):
- $J^P = 3/2^-$, M= 4380 MeV, ~ 8.4%
- $J^P = 5/2^+$, M= 4450 MeV,~ 4.1~%
- S wave: Parity-, P-wave: Parity+
- Too small gap (70 MeV)?: one possibility is given by the hypothesis

$$\mathcal{P}(3/2^-) = \{\bar{c}\,[cq]_{s=1}[q'q'']_{s=1}, L=0\}$$
$$\mathcal{P}(5/2^+) = \{\bar{c}\,[cq]_{s=1}[q'q'']_{s=0}, L=1\}$$

Need to add two states with content uudccbar.
Best fit has J=3/2 and 5/2 with opposite parities.



- The mass gap between bad, s=1, and good, s=0, diquarks is ~200 MeV and subtracts from the orbital gap, ~300 MeV, to give the correct order
- Pentaquarks arise from th decay of Λb= b[ud], pure good light diquark spectator
- Is the transition good→bad diquark at all possible in the decay?

Two amplitudes for Pentaquark production in the decay of $\Lambda_b(5620)$

L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, *The New Pentaquarks in the Diquark Model,* Phys. Lett. **B 750**, 37 (2015)
V. V. Anisovich *et al.*, arXiv:1507.07652 [hep-ph].

$$\text{weak decay}: b \to c + \bar{c} + s$$



Two amplitudes for Pentaquark production in the decay of $\Lambda_b(5620)$

L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, *The New Pentaquarks in the Diquark Model,* Phys. Lett. **B 750**, 37 (2015)
V. V. Anisovich *et al.*, arXiv:1507.07652 [hep-ph].

$$\text{weak decay}: b \to c + \bar{c} + s$$



$\longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{P}^+$ constituents $= \bar{c}[cu][ud]$

Two amplitudes for Pentaquark production in the decay of $\Lambda_b(5620)$

L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, *The New Pentaquarks in the Diquark Model,* Phys. Lett. **B 750**, 37 (2015)
V. V. Anisovich *et al.*, arXiv:1507.07652 [hep-ph].

$$\text{weak decay}: b \to c + \bar{c} + s$$



$\longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{P}^+$ constituents $= \bar{c}[cu][ud]$

Production of hypothetical charmed Dibaryonium in $\Lambda_b(5620)$ decay



Production of hypothetical charmed Dibaryonium in $\Lambda_b(5620)$ decay



Production of hypothetical charmed Dibaryonium in $\Lambda_b(5620)$ decay



Production of hypothetical charmed Dibaryonium in $\Lambda_b(5620)$ decay



$$\Lambda_b(5620) \to \bar{p} + \mathcal{D}_c^+ \qquad M(\mathcal{D}_c^+) < 4682 \text{ MeV}$$

Dibaryon decays

L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Lett. **B 750**, (2015) 37

- A dibaryon could decay in several different ways
- By string breaking, into baryon+pentaquark, e.g.:

$$\mathcal{D}_c^+ = [cd][ud][ud] \to p + \mathcal{P}_c^0 = p + \bar{u}[cd][ud] \to p + (D^0 + n, \text{ or } \pi^- + \Lambda_c);$$

$$M(\mathcal{D}_c^+) > 3740 \text{ MeV}$$

- By quark rearrangement, into two baryons:

$$\mathcal{D}_c^+ \to p + \Sigma_c^0 \to p + \Lambda_c^+ + \pi^-$$

$$M(\mathcal{D}_c^+) > 3390 \text{ MeV}$$

- By beta decay of the c quark ($c \to s(d) + e^+ + \nu_e$), lifetime ≈ 10^{-12} s:

$$\mathcal{D}_c^+ \to e^+\nu_e + \Sigma^- + p, \;\; M(\mathcal{D}_c^+) > 2135$$

$$\mathcal{D}_c^+ \to e^+\nu_e + \Delta^- + p, \;\; M(\mathcal{D}_c^+) > 2170$$

7. Molecules and tetraquarks: a second look

- Can we describe exotic hadrons in terms of conventional forces between "canonical hadrons" (q-qbar, qqq) ?
- Answer cannot be but: YES !
  - we do not claim that exotic hadrons correspond to new degrees of freedom beyond standard QCD (e.g. new constituents)
  - Exotic hadrons are poles in the canonical hadron S-matrix

The Old Bootstrap idea:

- forces generate S-Matrix poles
- the poles thus generated must coincide with the particles that generate the forces

Old Bootstrap was applied to π-π scattering:

- force generate by ρ exchange
- bound state thus generated must coincide ρ
- i.e. ρ is a ππ molecule !!!????!!!!

It did not work !

Duality (Dolen, Horn, Schmid, 1968)

- we learn very early that particles may be exchanged in the s and in the t channels
- in field theory (finite number of fields) we have to add the amplitudes corresponding to the s and t channel Feynman diagrams (e.g. photon exchange)
- it is the Feynman's sum over independent histories
- the reason is that the amplitude (a) has a pole in the s-channel and it cannot produce a pole in the t-channel, as in (c), and viceversa
- With infinitely many poles, the situation is different.
- Dolen, Horn, Schmid made the proposition that in π-N scattering, the sum over s-channel resonances has to reproduce a Regge behaviour, that is to reproduce the poles in the t-channel (duality of s and t channels)
- should we put separately the s-channel poles (resonances) and the t-channel poles (forces) we would make a ***double counting***

DHS duality holds in QCD, in leading $1/N_{color}$ meson-meson scattering amplitude

Meson-meson scattering



$\sim \frac{1}{N}$

G. 't~Hooft,~Nucl.~Phys. **B72** (1974) 461; Comm. Math. Phys. **88** (1983) 1.

- there is ***only one quark amplitude***, (the sum of al planar diagrams with quark on the edge) of order 1/N for normalised field insertions
- cutting along the s channels, one finds an infinite series of poles (the q-qbar mesons we found in the propagator), but this sum has to reproduce as well the poles in the t-channel!
- graphically



- once again: if we add meson-meson forces due to the exchange of all mesons, we produce a given meson-meson resonance, which however has quantum number and properties dictated by the quark-antiquark bound state
- this solves the existential problem: is the ρ a resonance due to π-π forces or is a q-qbar state? same for the Δ: a P- π resonance or a three quark state?
- the two pictures coincide

Meson-meson scattering



$\sim \frac{1}{N}$

G. 't~Hooft,~Nucl.~Phys. **B72** (1974) 461; Comm. Math. Phys. **88** (1983) 1.

- there is ***only one quark amplitude***, (the sum of al planar diagrams with quark on the edge) of order 1/N for normalised field insertions
- cutting along the s channels, one finds an infinite series of poles (the q-qbar mesons we found in the propagator), but this sum has to reproduce as well the poles in the t-channel!
- graphically



- once again: if we add meson-meson forces due to the exchange of all mesons, we produce a given meson-meson resonance, which however has quantum number and properties dictated by the quark-antiquark bound state
- this solves the existential problem: is the ρ a resonance due to π-π forces or is a q-qbar state? same for the Δ: a P- π resonance or a three quark state?
- the two pictures coincide

… when we describe forces with infinitely many exchanges, as required by QCD !

…exemplified to our context…

- rather dubious:
  - X(3872), Z(3900),…=D D* with 1 π exchange ???
  - X(4140),… = D_s D_s* with 1 η exchange ????
- $SU(3)_{flavor}$ effects would be much more dramatic than simply m_s-m_q first order effects (as required by QCD).
- For canonical hadrons, Constituent Quark Model gives a reasonably good approximation to spectroscopy,
- with $SU(6)_{flavor} \otimes O(3)_L$ symmetry as a guide, for light flavors
- we may use a similar guide for exotic hadrons, which come in different parities
  - X, Z: positive parity, S-wave tetraquarks (even n. of q-qbar pairs, L=0)
  - Y: negative parity, P-wave tetraquarks
  - $P(3/2^{-})$, S-wave pentaquark (one c-cbar pair, L=0);
  - $P(5/2^{+})$, P-wave

Tetraquarks in 1/N expansion

S. Coleman, *Aspects of Symmetry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, (1985).
S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 261601 (2013).
M. Knecht and S. Peris, Phys. Rev. **D 88**} (2013) 036016
L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, JHEP 1606 (2016) 160
G. Rossi and G. Veneziano, arXiv:1603.05830 [hep-th]

5. Conclusions

- Data have conclusively shown that there are “structures” beyond (q q-bar) or (qqq) states, but we do not know yet if this is a reflection of known dynamics in a new context (molecules? threshold effects?) or the indication of a new class of quark bound states;
- Constituent Quark Model predicts that q-q forces are attractive in color 3-bar and this is the basis to think that diquarks are a useful unit to build up more complex hadrons
- Diquarks ***may be*** a useful organising principle, to classify the structure of exotic mesons and pentaquarks, even if not without problems...
- experiments at colliders may provide further discrimination

Conclusions (cont'd)

- S-wave multiplets are slowly filling up;
- J/Ψ-φ resonances go well with simple, S-wave, tetraquarks....except for the puzzling 1^{++} duplication of X(4140) and X(4270)
- Y states: new data, picture still confused…Many states still missing, notably X^+, Y^+!
- Pentaquarks: two states is important! can we find more?
- An important prediction: dibaryons.
- Dibaryons can be searched for in Λ_b decays for a wide range of masses (from 4680 down to 2135 MeV);
- if found, dibaryons would complete a second layer of hadron spectroscopy: all quarks of the Gell-Mann Zweig construction replaced by diquarks, completing the saturation possibilities of one and three QCD strings.
- Open heavy flavour exotics is the new frontier
- exotics seen until now contain heavy quark flavours: an experimental reexamination of the lack of existence of light exotic mesons ("bad" diquarks) and positive strangeness baryons is in order.
- Much remains to be done, in theory and experiments at LHC and e^+e^- colliders.

Conclusions (cont'd)

- S-wave multiplets are slowly filling up;
- J/Ψ-φ resonances go well with simple, S-wave, tetraquarks....except for the puzzling 1^{++} duplication of X(4140) and X(4270)
- Y states: new data, picture still confused…Many states still missing, notably X^+, Y^+!
- Pentaquarks: two states is important! can we find more?
- An important prediction: dibaryons.
- Dibaryons can be searched for in Λ_b decays for a wide range of masses (from 4680 down to 2135 MeV);
- if found, dibaryons would complete a second layer of hadron spectroscopy: all quarks of the Gell-Mann Zweig construction replaced by diquarks, completing the saturation possibilities of one and three QCD strings.
- Open heavy flavour exotics is the new frontier
- exotics seen until now contain heavy quark flavours: an experimental reexamination of the lack of existence of light exotic mesons ("bad" diquarks) and positive strangeness baryons is in order.
- Much remains to be done, in theory and experiments at LHC and e^+e^- colliders.

Hadron Spectroscopy may teach us something fundamental about the, essentially unknown, non-perturbative QCD