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Strongly Interacting Matter Phase Diagram
Models of hadronic/nuclear matter EoS

- **Statistical Cluster models** - Fisher Droplet Model, Gas of Bags Model, Statistical Multifragmentation Model, Hadron Resonance Gas Model
  **Advantage:** fluctuations ⇒ physical critical point
  **Problems:** Hard core repulsion violates causality

- **Relativistic Mean Field models** - Walecka Model, Chiral Perturbation Theory, etc.
  **Advantage:** QCD symmetries are preserved
  **Problems:**
  1. NO fluctuations ⇒ unrealistic critical point
  2. Only few particle species

- **Hybrid approach** - Walecka model with nonrelativistic proper volume of nucleons
  \[ p(T, \mu) = p_{\text{Walecka}}(T, \mu - pV_{\text{eigen}}) \]


  **Why hard core repulsion?**
Hadronic hard core

- Prevents phenomenological EoS of QCD from quark confinement at high temperatures

\[ ideal \ gas: \ p \sim T^4 \]

\[ hard \ core: \ p \sim T \]

- Accounts for short range repulsion between the constituents (hadrons, nuclear fragments, etc.)

- Is necessary for statistical (not Van der Waals) liquid-gas phase transition in cluster models

- Important element in description of particle yields (ideal hadron gas is proven to be inadequate at high A+A collision energies)
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Basics of the VdWals EOS

\[ P = \frac{NT}{V - Nb} - \frac{N^2a}{V^2} \text{ or } \left( P + \frac{N^2a}{V^2} \right) (V - Nb) = NT \]

Although VdWals EOS behavior contradicts the 2-nd Van Hove axiom of statistical mechanics.

Van der Waal Isotherm \( T' = 0.90 \)
Maxwell’s rule eliminates the oscillating behavior of the isotherm in the phase transition zone by defining it as a certain isobar in that zone.
Hardcore Repulsion

- General prescription for hard core repulsion ⇒ **EoS of the Van der Waals type**
  \[ p(T, \mu) = p_{\text{ideal}}(T, \mu - pV_{\text{eigen}}) \]

- Several hard core radii
  \[ p(T, \mu) = \sum_h p_{\text{ideal}}(T, \mu_h - pV^h_{\text{eigen}}) \]
  **Advantage:** partial account of multicomponent repulsion
  **Problems:** NO repulsion between different particle species

- Excluded volume formalism
  \[ V_{\text{excl}}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{4\pi}{3} (R_i + R_j)^3 \]
  **Advantage:** multicomponent repulsion
  **Problems:** \( n \) species ⇒ \( n \) equations – complicated EoS

Simple multicomponent EoS is needed
Statistical Models vs. Mean Field Models

- Multicomponent EoS $\Rightarrow$ Grand Canonical Ensemble (GCE) is natural choice

- Thermodynamic consistency (in GCE pressure is function of $T$ and $\mu$ only)

  \[ p = p(T, \mu, n) \Rightarrow \text{contradiction with thermodynamic relation } n = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \mu} \]

  L. van Hove, Physica 15, 951 (1949) and Physica 16, 137 (1950)

- Switching between excluded and eigen volumes (per particle)

  Low densities \[ V_{\text{excl}} \approx 4V_{\text{eigen}} \]

  High densities \[ V_{\text{excl}} \approx V_{\text{eigen}} \]

  high order virial coefficients are needed

- Causality: \[ c_{\text{sound}} \leq c_{\text{light}} = 1, \text{ where } c_{\text{sound}}^2 = \frac{d p}{d \epsilon} \bigg|_{s/n=\text{const}} \]

  Existing approaches to restore causality violated by hard core are rather complicated


  Something more convenient for practical applications is needed
Application to Compact Astrophysical Objects

- Hadronic EoS used for modeling of the neutron star interiors **violates causality**
  
  H. Grigorian, COST Action @ CPOD 2016, Wroclaw

- Three, four, ... particle forces are needed - **high order virial coefficients**
  
  G. Baym, COST Action @ CPOD 2016, Wroclaw

\[
\begin{align*}
2 & \rightarrow 2 \text{ reactions} & \Rightarrow & \text{2nd virial coefficient} \\
3 & \rightarrow 3 \text{ reactions} & \Rightarrow & \text{3rd virial coefficient} \\
4 & \rightarrow 4 \text{ reactions} & \Rightarrow & \text{4th virial coefficient}
\end{align*}
\]

- Observational constrains require soft/stiff EoS at low/high densities
  
  T. Kojo, COST Action @ CPOD 2016, Wroclaw

hyperons \(\Rightarrow\) softening of the hadronic EoS

**multicomponent EoS in GCE is needed**
Objectives

- Simple multicomponent EoS with hard core repulsion
- Thermodynamic consistency
- Correct asymptotics of excluded volume EoS at low and high densities
- Higher virial coefficients
- Causality up to densities where QGP is expected
Cluster and Virial Expansions

- GC partition for one component Boltzmann system
  \[ Z = \exp \left( V \sum_k b_k e^{k \mu T} \right) \]
  \[ p = T \sum_k b_k e^{k \mu T} \]
  \[ n = T \sum_k k b_k e^{k \mu T} \]
  \[ p = T n \sum_k a_k n^k \]

Cluster integrals

\[ b_1 = \int \frac{d\Gamma}{2\pi V} e^{-\frac{\omega}{T}} \]
- one particle thermal density

\[ b_2 = \int \frac{d\Gamma_1 d\Gamma_2}{2! V^2} e^{-\frac{\omega_1 + \omega_2}{T}} f_{12} \]

\[ \ldots \]

\[ d\Gamma = g \frac{d\tilde{r} d\tilde{k}}{(2\pi^3)}, g - \text{degeneracy}, \omega^2 = k^2 + m^2, f_{12} = e^{-\frac{U_{12}}{T}} - 1 \]
- Mayer function

Virial coefficients

\[ a_1 = 1 \]
\[ a_2 = -\frac{b_2}{b_1^2} \]
\[ \ldots \]

- Multicomponent mixture of hard spheres with radii \( \{R_i\} \)
  \[ b_1^i = \phi_i, \quad b_{ij}^i = -\phi_i \phi_j V_{ij}^{\text{excl}} \]
  \[ V_{ij}^{\text{excl}} = \frac{2\pi}{3} (R_i + R_j)^3 \]
  \[ p = T \sum_i \phi_i e^{\mu_i T} - \sum_{i,j} V_{ij}^{\text{excl}} \phi_i \phi_j e^{\frac{\mu_i + \mu_j}{T}} + O(\phi^3) \]

- VdW extrapolation for one component system
  \[ \phi e^{\mu T} \simeq \frac{p}{T} \]
  \[ p \simeq T \phi e^{\mu T} \left( 1 - \frac{bp}{T} \right) = T \phi e^{\mu T} - \frac{bp}{T} \]
Extrapolation to High Densities

- Explicit expression for $V_{ij}^{\text{excl}} \Rightarrow$

  \[
  \frac{P}{T} = \sum_i \phi_i e^{\frac{\mu_i}{T}} - \sum_{i,j} \phi_i \phi_j e^{\frac{\mu_i + \mu_j}{T}} \left( \frac{2\pi}{3} R_i^3 + \frac{2\pi}{3} R_j^3 + 2\pi R_i^2 R_j + 2\pi R_i R_j^2 \right) + O(\phi^3)
  \]

  \[= \sum_i \phi_i e^{\frac{\mu_i}{T}} \left( 1 - \frac{4\pi}{3} R_i^3 \sum_j \phi_j e^{\frac{\mu_j}{T}} - \frac{4\pi R_i^2}{3} \sum_j R_j \phi_j e^{\frac{\mu_j}{T}} \right) + O(\phi^3)\]

  Term proportional to circumference $L_i = 2\pi R_i^2$ is accounted implicitly

- Bulk term $\sum_j \phi_j e^{\frac{\mu_j}{T}} = \frac{P}{T} + O(\phi^2)$, surface term $\sum_j R_j \phi_j e^{\frac{\mu_j}{T}} = \frac{\Sigma}{T} + O(\phi^2)$

- VdW like extrapolation:

  \[
  \frac{P}{T} = \sum_i \phi_i \exp \left( \frac{\mu_i - pV_i - \Sigma S_i}{T} \right) - \text{pressure} \]

  \[
  \frac{\Sigma}{T} = \sum_i \phi_i \exp \left( \frac{\mu_i - pV_i - \Sigma S_i}{T} \right) R_i - \text{surface tension} \]

Physical Origin of the Induced Surface Tension

- Hard core repulsion only in part is accounted by eigen volume.
- The rest corresponds to surface tension and curvature tension. Curvature tension can be accounted explicitly or implicitly.
- Physical clusters tend to have spherical (in average) shape.
Extrapolation to High Densities

Extrapolation to high densities is not unique ⇒ equations for $p$ and $\Sigma$ can differ

\[
\frac{p}{T} = \sum_i \phi_i \exp\left(\frac{\mu_i - pV_i - \Sigma S_i}{T}\right)
\]

\[
\frac{\Sigma}{T} = \sum_i R_i \phi_i \exp\left(\frac{\mu_i - pV_i - \Sigma S_i}{T}\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)S_i \Sigma}{T}\right), \quad \alpha = \text{const}
\]

Meaning of $\alpha > 1$: one component case

\[
\Sigma = pR \exp\left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)S\Sigma}{T}\right)
\]

\[
p = T \phi \exp\left(\frac{\mu - pV_{\text{eff}}}{T}\right)
\]

\[
V_{\text{eff}} = V\left[1 + 3 \exp\left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)S\Sigma}{T}\right)\right]
\]

$\alpha$ switches excluded and eigen volume regimes

**High order virial coefficients?**

Higher virial coefficients of hard spheres

- **Second virial coefficient** – reproduces
- **Third virial coefficient** – reproduced for $\alpha = 1.245$ within 16%
- **Fourth virial coefficient** – reproduced for $\alpha = 1.245$

IST EoS is causal up to $\simeq 7$ normal nuclear densities where quark matter is expected
EoS with Induced Surface Tension

\[ p = \sum_{i} p_0(T, \mu_i) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} p = \sum_i p_0(T, \mu_i - pV_i - \Sigma S_i) \\ \Sigma = \sum_i p_0(T, \mu_i - pV_i - \alpha \Sigma S_i) R_i \end{cases} \]

- Advantages compared to other EoS with hard core repulsion:
  - Multicomponent character and thermodynamic consistency
  - Correct asymptotic of excluded volume at high and low densities, higher virial coefficients
  - Wide range of causality
  - Straightforward generalization to quantum statistics and mean field models

- Questions
  - Value of \( \alpha \) in case of quantum statistics? Medium dependent \( \alpha \)?
Hadron Resonance Gas Model

- Hadrons with masses $\leq 2.5$ GeV (widths, strong decays, zero strangeness)
- 111 independent particle ratios measured at 14 energies (from 2.7 GeV to 200 GeV)
- $14 \times 4$ local parameters ($T, \mu_B, \mu_{I3}, \gamma_s$) + 5 global parameters (hard core radii)

\[ \chi^2/dof \approx 1.038 \]

V.V. Sagun et al., arXiv:1703.00049
11 independent particle yields, 6 parameters (temperature + 5 hard core radii)

- Overall $\chi^2/dof \simeq 1.038$

- Freeze out temperature $T_{FO} = 154 \pm 7$ MeV
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Attraction term

\[ U(n_{id}) = -C_d^2 n_{id}^{\frac{1}{3}} \equiv -Ck , \]  

where \( C = C_d^2 \left( \frac{g}{6\pi^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} . \)

Condition of thermodynamic consistency of model with the mean-filed interaction requires the special relation between the interaction pressure and potential:

\[ \frac{\partial p_{int}}{\partial n_{id}} = n_{id} \frac{\partial U(n_{id})}{\partial n_{id}} \]  

and

\[ p_{int}(n_{id}) = n_{id} U(n_{id}) - \int_0^{n_{id}} dn \ U(n) = -\frac{gCk^4}{24\pi^2} . \]  

Such a generalization of the EoS corresponds to the substitution of the pressure with \( p(m, \mu) \rightarrow p(m, \mu - U(n_{id})) + p_{int}(n_{id}) . \) This parametrization provides causal behaviour of the model EoS at high densities.
Values of the model parameters of the IST EoS found for the two limiting cases of neutron stars which satisfy all constraints and the parameters found from the description of A+A collisions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>baryon radius (fm)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>$\text{max } M_{\text{NS}}/M_\odot$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>red curve</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>2.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>green curve</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>2.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A+A collisions</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>1.544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Summary

- Using a novel a thermodynamically self-consistent IST EoS we calculated the properties of the NS at zero-temperature limit.

- It was shown that the present EoS can be successfully applied to the description of the hadron multiplicities measured in A+A collisions, to studies of the nuclear matter phase diagram and to modelling of the NS interiors.

- The found values of the hard-core radius of baryons between 0.425 fm and 0.476 fm, $\alpha$ between 1.06-1.245. Pressure-baryon density dependence are in good agreement with A+A collisions.

- The description of the compact stars with the IST, used to the description of the nuclear collision physics data, provide with a strong constraint on the attraction contribution in EoS at zero temperature.

- The IST EoS gives a possibility to describe the strongly interacting matter phase diagram in a wide range of its thermodynamic parameters which helps to create a solid bridge between the astrophysical and high-energy nuclear physics data.
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