

Pontryagin trace anomaly

Predrag Dominis Prester

University of Rijeka – Department of Physics
Croatia

In collaboration with:

L. Bonora, M. Cvitan, P.D.P., A. Duarte Pereira, S. Giaccari, T. Štemberga

Introduction: quantum anomalies

Property of a classical theory **violated by quantization**.

- Usually this property is some **symmetry**.

Important consequences in QFT:

- **Harmful anomalies**: destroying theories
 - helpful in model building
- **Harmless anomalies**: OK, but
 - violate conservation of charges (if such exist)
 - new phenomenology, implications for cosmology
- Some are 1-loop saturated \Rightarrow **full perturbative result**

Being genuine quantum properties, unveiling the essence of QFT!

("What is QFT?" is still a tantalising question.)

From Weyl symmetry to trace anomaly

Symmetry: (local) Weyl rescaling

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow e^{\omega(x)} g_{\mu\nu}(x) \quad , \quad \psi_j(x) \rightarrow e^{\lambda_j \omega(x)} \psi_j(x)$$

Invariance of classical action for matter \Rightarrow traceless EM tensor:

$$\delta_\omega S_m[\psi, g] = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad T_\mu^\mu(x) = 0$$

However, perturbative QFT calculations found anomaly [Capper, Duff 1975]:

$$\langle\langle T_\mu^\mu \rangle\rangle = a E_2 + c (W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma})^2 \quad , \quad D = 4$$

E_2 is 2nd Euler (Gauss-Bonnet) density, $W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is Weyl tensor.

- The form is universal, coefficients a and c theory dependent.
- coefficients a and c not 1-loop saturated
- At the beginning, community did not believe it!

General form of trace anomalies in $D = 4$

$$\langle\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu}(x) \rangle\rangle = \mathcal{A}_W(x)$$

WZ consistency conditions connect trace and diff- anomalies.

General (nontrivial) solution: no (pure gravity) diff-anomalies and

$$\mathcal{A}_W = a E_2 + c (W_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma})^2 + e P_4 \quad [\text{Bonora, Pasti, Tonin}]$$

where P_4 is Pontryagin (topological) density

$$P_4 = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\alpha\beta} R_{\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}$$

- Pontryagin anomaly, being P and CP odd, is exceptional,
- but, with rare exceptions ([Nakayama 2012]), neglected \Rightarrow Why?

Status of 4D Pontryagin anomaly before 2014

All against it:

- No CP violating free relativistic QFT's
- For Weyl fermion: in 4D classical 1-1 mapping with massless Majorana fermion, and P-odd anomaly not expected for Majorana
 - No Pontryagin anomaly for Majorana fermion [Bastianelli 2016]
 - Careful: mapping is not linear unitary!
- Realisations having unitarity problems [Nakayama 2012]
- Non-compatibility with SUSY [Bonora, Giaccari 2013]

As a consequence, Pontryagin anomaly mainly neglected by the community!

Status of 4D Pontryagin anomaly in 2016

For free Weyl fermion in curved spacetime anomaly was found, with

$$e_W = \pm \frac{i}{48} \quad [\text{Bonora, Giaccari, Lima de Souza 2014}]$$

Independently obtained with heat kernel method and perturbatively (1-loop) using dimensional regularisation.

- No anomaly for Majorana and Dirac fermions.
- Note the strange-looking **imaginary** coefficient.
- Calculation somewhat incomplete:
 - Heat kernel calculation naive extrapolation of real fermion one
 - Simplifying field redefinition used, **dim. regularisation subtleties**

Result was received (mainly) with disbelief!

Main part of the talk

Due to a controversial character of the result, we are in need of more complete, and also independent calculations.

We focused on perturbative 1-loop calculation using dimensional regularisation, and did it in two ways:

- 1 Repeat [Bonora et al.] calculation in more pedantic way
 - without using field redefinitions
- 2 Working with Dirac fermion by introducing chiral metric (MAT gravity)
 - analogue of Bardeen method used for chiral gauge anomalies

[Bonora, Cvitan, D.P., D.Pereira, Giaccari, Štemberga, Eur. Phys. J. C **77** (2017) 511]

I. Calculation with free Weyl fermion: the theory

Action:
$$S_L = \int d^4x \sqrt{|g|} i \bar{\psi}_L \gamma^\mu \left(\nabla_\mu + \frac{\omega_\mu}{2} \right) \psi_L$$

EM tensor:
$$T^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma^\mu \overleftrightarrow{\nabla}^\nu \psi_L + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$$

Perturbative expansion in $h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}$ up to h^3 :

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{int}} = & \int d^4x \left[-\frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi}_L h_a^m \gamma^a \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_m \psi_L + \frac{3i}{16} \bar{\psi}_L (h^2)_a^m \gamma^a \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_m \psi_L - \frac{5i}{32} \bar{\psi}_L (h^3)_a^m \gamma^a \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_m \psi_L \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{16} \epsilon^{mabc} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma_c \gamma_5 \psi_L \left(h_m^\sigma \partial_a h_{b\sigma} + (h^2)_m^\sigma \partial_b h_{a\sigma} - h_m^\rho h_a^\sigma \partial_\sigma h_{\rho b} - \frac{1}{2} h_m^\rho \partial_a h_{\rho\sigma} h_c^\sigma \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr} h) \left(\frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma^m \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_m \psi_L - \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi}_L h_a^m \gamma^a \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_m \psi_L + \frac{3i}{16} \bar{\psi}_L (h^2)_a^m \gamma^a \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_m \psi_L \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{16} \epsilon^{mabc} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma_c \gamma_5 \psi_L h_m^\sigma \partial_a h_{b\sigma} \right) \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{8} (\text{tr} h)^2 - \frac{1}{4} (\text{tr} h^2) \right) \left(\frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma^m \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_m \psi_L - \frac{i}{4} \bar{\psi}_L h_a^m \gamma^a \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_m \psi_L \right) \\ & \left. + \left(-\frac{1}{8} (\text{tr} h) (\text{tr} h^2) + \frac{1}{48} (\text{tr} h)^3 + \frac{1}{6} (\text{tr} h^3) \right) \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma^m \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_m \psi_L + \dots \right] \end{aligned}$$

I. Calculation with free Weyl fermion: Weyl anomaly

Full perturbative calculation up to 3-point functions:

- no assumptions, no field redefinition

$$\langle\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu}\rangle\rangle_{\text{P-odd}} = \frac{\mp i}{768\pi^2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} (\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\sigma}h_{\nu}^{\alpha}\partial_{\lambda}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\rho}^{\sigma} - \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\sigma}h_{\nu}^{\alpha}\partial_{\lambda}\partial^{\sigma}h_{\alpha\rho}) + O(h^3)$$

Covariantisation gives:

$$\langle\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu}\rangle\rangle_{\text{P-odd}} = \mp \frac{1}{16} P_4$$

Opposite sign and three times larger then in [Bonora et al. 2014]

Inconsistency? Not yet. First one has to check diff-covariance.

I. Calculation with Weyl fermion: Diff-anomaly and result

We have to check the conservation of EM tensor

$$\nabla_\mu \langle\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle\rangle_{\text{P-odd}} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{diff}}$$

We obtain $\mathcal{A}_{\text{diff}} \neq 0$, which can be canceled by the local counterterm:

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{6} \int d^4x h_\mu^\mu \mathcal{A}_W^{(0)}$$

But, this counterterm adds to trace anomaly $-\frac{4}{3}\mathcal{A}_W^{(0)}$, so the final result is:

$$\langle\langle T_\mu^\mu \rangle\rangle_{\text{P-odd}} = \pm \frac{1}{48} P_4 \quad , \quad \nabla_\mu \langle\langle T^{\mu\nu} \rangle\rangle_{\text{P-odd}} = 0$$

In full agreement with [Bonora et al. 2014, 2015]

II. Calculation with Dirac fermion. MAT gravity

Avoid subtleties with Weyl fermions by using **Dirac field**.

For this we have to invent chiral coupling to gravity \Rightarrow **MAT gravity**

Metric-axial-tensor (MAT) gravity based on **chiral metric**:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \gamma_5 f_{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad f_{\mu\nu} \text{ is additional spin-2 field}$$

One defines chiral **vierbein**, **connections**, **Riemann tensor**, ... in the **usual way** from $G_{\mu\nu}$.

Two diff-symmetries and **two Weyl-symmetries** \Rightarrow **two conserved traceless spin-2 tensors**.

(MAT gravity can be viewed as a **bimetric gravity** with $g_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)} = g_{\mu\nu} \pm f_{\mu\nu}$.)

II. Calculation with Dirac fermion. The theory

We put Dirac fermion in MAT gravity background

$$S_D = \int d^4x i\bar{\psi} \sqrt{|\bar{G}|} \gamma^a \hat{E}_a^\mu \left(\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_\mu \right) \psi$$

We obtained (dim. regularisation) for the trace anomalies

$$\langle\langle T_\mu^\mu \rangle\rangle = \frac{i}{384 \pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\sigma\tau} R_{\lambda\rho\sigma\tau}^{(2)}$$

$$\langle\langle T_{5\mu}^\mu \rangle\rangle = \frac{i}{768 \pi^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \left(R_{\mu\nu}^{(1)\sigma\tau} R_{\lambda\rho\sigma\tau}^{(1)} + R_{\mu\nu}^{(2)\sigma\tau} R_{\lambda\rho\sigma\tau}^{(2)} \right)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}^{(1,2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}^{(+)} \pm R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}^{(-)} \right)$

We are interested in the blue one, which is the standard trace anomaly.

II. Calculation with Dirac fermion. The results

- (a) If we take $g_{\mu\nu}^{(-)} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \omega^{(-)a}{}_{b\mu} = 0 = \Gamma^{(-)\mu}{}_{\nu\rho}$
- Dirac MAT action \Rightarrow action in flat space for Ψ_R plus action in curved metric $g^{(+)}$ for ψ_L
 - trace anomaly belongs to the left Weyl fermion, and is

$$\langle\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu} \rangle\rangle = \frac{i}{768 \pi^2} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} R_{\mu\nu}^{(+)\sigma\tau} R_{\lambda\rho\sigma\tau}^{(+)}$$

- (b) If we take $g_{\mu\nu}^{(+)} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ we obtain anomaly for the right Weyl fermion

$$\langle\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu} \rangle\rangle = -\frac{i}{768 \pi^2} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} R_{\mu\nu}^{(-)\sigma\tau} R_{\lambda\rho\sigma\tau}^{(-)}$$

- (c) If we take $g_{\mu\nu}^{(+)} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(-)} = g_{\mu\nu}$ we obtain a standard Dirac field in curved space, and

$$\langle\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu} \rangle\rangle = 0$$

Confirms previously obtained results

We have extended evidence that Weyl fermion in 4D has Pontryagin trace anomaly.

If true, this has important and strange consequences:

- Gravitational perturbative CP violation
- Weyl and massless Majorana not equivalent in curved spacetime.
- Strange imaginary coefficient, is unitarity violated?
- If yes, anomaly is harmful \Rightarrow only Dirac and Majorana fermions
 \Rightarrow minimal SM with Weyl fermions not consistent
- If harmless, leads to CP-violating imaginary term in effective action
 - CP-violating gravitational Schwinger mechanism?
 - No effect on 0th-order cosmology, could affect perturbations (GWs) [Mauro, Shapiro 2015]
 - could be important for black holes

Assuring the anomaly is not a quirk of dimensional regularisation:

- Perturbative calculation using other schemes
 - Pauli-Villars in MAT-gravity
- Point-splitting and heat kernel

If **sticks**, understand its **nature and further consequences**.

If **bogus**, understand what happened with dimensional regularisation.

Result looks really strange, but we've seen stranger stuff in QM.