



# Jet flavour tagging using Deep Learning in the CMS experiment

Anna Stakia (CERN)

on behalf of the CMS Collaboration



## 1. Motivation

The identification of jets originating from heavy flavour quarks (b/c 'tagging') is crucial in various studies at the Large Hadron Collider, in both New Physics searches and Standard Model processes.

## 2. Key features of b jets

b quarks typically hadronise in B hadrons forming the b jets

b hadrons: distinctive features with detectable particularities:

- Long lifetime  $\Rightarrow$  large displacement of Secondary Vertex (SV)
- Mass of 5-10 GeV  $\Rightarrow$  large decay angle  $\Rightarrow$  large  $d_0$
- Possible decay to leptons [BR  $\approx$  42%]
- $\sim$ 5 charged tracks per decay

## 3. b and c taggers

- CSVv2:** 'Combined\* SV, version 2' [updated CSV for Run2]
- Neural Network (NN) instead of likelihood ratio
  - Input: info on tracks & SV (= 'combined')
- cMVAv2:** 'combined\* Multivariate Algorithm, version 2'
- Boosted Decision Tree (BDT)
  - Input: info on tracks, SV (combined) & soft leptons in the jet as the output of the taggers:
    - Jet Probability (JP), Jet B Probability (JBP)
    - CSVv2
    - Soft Electron (SE), Soft Muon (SM)

- ctagger:** c jet identification algorithm against b (CvsB) and light (CvsL) jets
- Gradient Boosting Classifier (GBC)
  - Input: info on tracks, SV (combined) & soft leptons in the jet

**DeepCSV** CMS DP 2017-005 [cds.cern.ch/record/2255736](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2255736)

of a light (or c) jet as a b jet

0.4% (40%)  
-0.7% (70%)

Absolute (relative) less misid. prob.

36 fb<sup>-1</sup>,  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV, 2016

10% (30%)  
5% (8%)

Absolute (relative) gain in efficiency

Methods for the b tagging efficiency measurement

Similar Scale Factors between CSVv2 and DeepCSV  $\Rightarrow$  better performance for DeepCSV in data too

DeepCSV significantly better than CSVv2 in  $\sim$ every b jet efficiency value, vs both light and c jet misid. (as b jet) prob.

DeepCSV efficiency equal or better than (i) cMVAv2 and (ii) ctagger (in most cases), despite not containing lepton info. (ii) also shows DeepCSV as a multi-classifier

DeepCSV already been applied and improved sensitivity in the analysis on the search for:  $\tilde{h} \rightarrow h (\rightarrow b\bar{b}) + |\tilde{p}_T^{\text{miss}}|$ , 4 b jets in the final state

CMS PAS SUS-16-044 [cds.cern.ch/record/2256648](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2256648)

**DeepCSV**

Same input as CSVv2 but based on more tracks, deep NN, multi-classification

**NN structure**

"Particle Flow" (PF) candidates: jet constituents

8 properties

( $\leq$ ) 6 Charged PF cand.

5 dense layers [100 nodes each]

4 Output classes: B, BB, C, UDSG

light

global

( $\leq$ ) 1 SV

8

12

features regarding the jet

[QCD] At high  $p_T$ , very likely that 2 B are inside the same AK4 jet

Larger input, deeper NN, +convolutional, recurrent layers

**DeepFlavour** CMS DP 2017-013 [cds.cern.ch/record/2263802](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2263802)

36 fb<sup>-1</sup>,  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV, 2016

16% (89%)  
22% (60%)

Significant gain in efficiency of DeepFlavour w.r.t DeepCSV in all  $p_T$  regions, especially in high  $p_T$   $\Rightarrow$  can lead to improved sensitivity for analyses with highly energetic b jets in the final state

**DeepFlavour** **DeepJet**

**NN structure**

16 properties

( $\leq$ ) 25 Charged PF cand.

( $\leq$ ) 25 Neutral PF cand.

( $\leq$ ) 4 SVs

6 global

4 1x1 convolutional layers

64/32/32/8 filters

1 recurrent layer [150 nodes]

1 recurrent l. [50]

1 recurrent l. [50]

1 dense layer [350 nodes]

200 nodes

Change existing only in DeepJet

7 dense layers [100 nodes each]

4 Output classes: B, BB, C, UDSG

leptB

UDSG

light

light

Changes exist. only in DeepJet

**DeepFlavour** **DeepJet**

36 fb<sup>-1</sup>,  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV, 2016

5% (10%)  
4% (5%)

Removing the convolutional layers degrades performance, even with larger input and deeper NN

Improvement w.r.t. DeepCSV comes from both larger input and a better NN model (i.e. exploiting input structure)

**DeepJet** CMS DP 2017-027 [cds.cern.ch/record/2275226](https://cds.cern.ch/record/2275226)

**NN approaches compared to DeepJet in Quark/Gluon discrimination [Quark: 'light']:**

**recurrent** [inspired by arXiv:1702.00748]: Input: relative  $p_T/\eta/\phi$ , and PUPPI (Pileup Per Particle Identification) weight (arXiv:1407.6013) of charged (fed to one recurrent layer of 100 nodes - LSTM) and neutral PF cand. (fed to identical layer). LSTM output then merged with global\* variables in a dense NN [1 layer of 200 nodes, 5 of 100].

**convolutional:** Jet treated as image on  $\eta - \phi$  plane. 3<sup>rd</sup> dimension - color: (separate study for charged and neutral PF cand.) relative  $p_T$  and particle multiplicity within the pixel. This input info fed to a CNN (Convolutional NN) [as in arXiv:1612.01551], then merged with the global\* variables in a dense layer of 128 nodes.

\*Jet variables: jet  $p_T/\eta$ , # (charged PF cand.), # (neutral PF cand.), # (SV within the jet)

gluon jet misid. (as a light jet) prob.

correct light jet identification prob.  $\leftarrow$  light quark efficiency

Similar performance among DeepJet and 2 alternative approaches