# CLIC DRIVE BEAM FREQUENCY MULTIPLICATION SYSTEM DESIGN

C. Biscari, D. Alesini, A. Ghigo, F. Marcellini, LNF-INFN, Frascati, Italy B. Jeanneret, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

23 June 2009 – ILC-CLIC Beam Dynamics Workshop - Geneve

# CLIC Layout 3 TeV (not to scale)



#### Beam temporal structure along the frequency multiplication system



## **FMS** layout



May 09

## **FMS** layout



June 09

# Main parameters of the rings

Parameter		DL	TA	CR1	CR2
L	m	73.05	146 + 73	146.09	438.28
Combination factor		2	2	3	4
RF deflector frequency	GHz	1.5	1.5	2.	3.
N of dipoles		12	12	12	16
ρ	m	4.7	4.7	4.7	12
В	Т	1.7	1.7	1.7	0.7
N of quadrupoles / families		18 / 9	44/17	48 / 9	64 + fodo quads
I <sub>q *</sub> dB/dx max	Т	10	11	6	6

# **DL** against TA

## DELAY LOOP

L = 73 m Total bending angle =  $2\pi$ Low number of elements 1 rf deflector

High element density Higher T566 (-55m, sext off)

# **TURN AROUND**

L = 73 \* 2 + 73 m Total bending angle > 10% High number of elements 2 rf deflectors

Low element density Lower T566 (-35, sext off) Better tunability

## Energy loss per turn (Incoherent Synchrotron Radiation)



From 1 turn to 7.1 turns: energy loss from 0.42 to 3 MeV

Spread between the minimum and maximum lost:  $\Delta E/E \sim 0.1$  %

#### Delay loop – full of dipoles – $\rho$ = 4.7 m



# CLIC CR1 and TA- similar to CTF3 CR





In TurnAround Loop dipoles bend 33° instead of 30°

#### Turn Around Loop – same isochronous arc of CR



ADDING a Dquad between the rf deflector and the septum The odd and even bunches are separated and vertically focused on the septum position

DL injection - extraction region



#### 1° combiner ring



#### 2° combiner ring



# Tracking 6d particle distribution along fms

Optimisation of 2° order chromaticity terms – work in progress

•Beam energy spread is the parameter mostly influencing the three phase spaces.

•Correcting the 2° term isochronicity by sextupoles can be harmful for the transverse planes.

•Up to  $\pm$  1% of energy spread 3 emittances are easily preserved.

•Particles with higher energy deviations can be lost transversely when sextupoles are not carefully optimised

Dp/p = 1% -> 3.5 mm

	ТА	CR1	CR2
T566 sext off	-34.6	-19.2	-13.4
T566 sext on	-4.4	-0.6	0.2
T166 sext off	-42.	-4.5	22.6
T166 sext on	5.8	-0.5	-48.









## MAD X

Correction for CR1 : one sextupole family T566 = 0 Q'x = -9.8 sext off, and -2.1 sext on Q'y = -10.4 sext off, and -13.6 sext on  $\Delta\beta/\beta < 0.22$  for 2% of  $\delta p$ .

Tracking particles of amplitudes  $A_{x,y} = 1,2,3 \sigma_{x,y}$  evenly spaced in phase and covering the momentum range  $\pm$ 2% over three turns: •no significative deformation of the vertical phase-space •the horizontal phase-space is preserved up to  $\delta p = \pm 1.2 \%$ 

 Qualitatively and quantitatively same results of Madx, but with different sextupole strengths



Figure 2: The results of thee turns tracking through CR1. Four upper pictures : the functions  $h(\delta_p)$  defined in the text for the four canonical transverse phase-space variables x, px, y, py. Down right: the extrema of the  $1\sigma$  deformed phase-space (red) at  $\delta_p$  observed in the tracking data which are used to construct the h functions, compared to the nominal (blue) phase-space ellipse  $(\sigma_x, \sigma_{px})$ . Down-left : the residual ct error with  $\delta_p$ . The red-curve is an eye-fit mixing of polynom with  $3^{rd}$  and  $4^{th}$  terms.

$$h_{x+}(\delta_p) = [x_{\max}(\delta_p) - x_{av}(\delta_p)] / \sigma_{\beta,x}$$
  

$$h_{x-}(\delta_p) = [x_{av}(\delta_p) - x_{\min v}(\delta_p)] / \sigma_{\beta,x}$$
  

$$h_{x0}(\delta_p) = x_{av}(\delta_p) / \sigma_{\beta,x}$$

# MadX – mad8

- Different values for chromaticity evaluation
- 2° order longitudinal correction slightly different

Use ctf3 combiner ring as benchmark:

Apply sextupole corrections for bunch length and chromaticity optimisation Measurements of bunch length and of beam emittances in TL2

# **RF** deflectors

## **Deflector Frequencies**



#### **Delay Loop:**

- $f = f_{linac}/2 (2n+1), n=0,1,2,...$
- f = 0.5 GHz, 1.5 GHz, 2.5 GHz,...



Same rule for CR2 (recombination factor m = 4):

f = 3 GHz, 6 GHz,...

# **COMB RING 1**



	a (11111)	L (III)	IN	t <sub>f</sub> [IIS]	v <sub>g</sub> /C			
1	42	1.7	17	379	-0.016	0.04	44	9.6e5
2	21	0.9	18	192	-0.016	0.1	60	3.7e6
4	18	0.6	24	136	-0.014	0.34	117	9.8e6

#### DEFLECTING FIELD EXCITED BY THE BEAM IN RF DEFLECTORS (1/2)

Unwanted deflecting field can be *excited by the beam if the pass off-axis* into the deflectors both in the horizontal than in the vertical plane.

This is due to the fact that the *deflecting field has longitudinal electric field* off-axis.



This happens, in the *horizontal* plane, even in the case of *r* arfect injection and both in the DL than in the CR RF deflectors.

In the vertical plane there is beam loading only in case a non-perfect steering of the orbit inside the structure.



## WAKEFIELD INDUCED BY THE VERTICAL MODES (3/3)



## TRACKING CODE RESULTS

-The tracking allows studying the *distribution of the Courant-Snyder invariants (l<sub>out</sub>)* for all bunches and its dependence on the resonant mode properties and ring optical functions.



#### TRACKING CODE RESULTS: key parameters to reduce the instability



# Choice of ring tunes and phase advances

- Rf deflectors loading : Qx far from integer, Qy near half integer
- Misalignment errors and beam loading: Qx, Qy far from integer

• In progress simulations for CLIC fms (David will present them in CLIC workshop 09)

# Conclusions

- FMS Layout and first order optics defined: two different possibilities for 1° ring
- 2° order chromaticity compensation in progress -> may require 1° order optics modifications, assured by system tunability
- Rf deflector main parameters defined
- Beam loading calculations in rf deflectors in progress-> may require rf deflectors parameters modifications

23 June 2009 – ILC-CLIC Beam Dynamics Workshop - Geneve