## Mitigating Bias & Creating Balance Reference Guide

Diversity & Inclusion @ SLAC

	How It Shows Up	
Common bias patterns when selecting for, and evaluating, talent include:	Women, & Underrepresented Minorities	Caucasian Males
Accomplishments (ex. Past performance indicators such as delivered results, educational degrees, awards)	<ul> <li>✓ Overlooked when positive or more scrutinized</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Overlooked when negative or less scrutinized</li> </ul>
Abilities (i.e. Future performance indications based on accomplishments)	✓ Questioned	✓ Assumed
Contribution Levels (i.e. relevance of accomplishments and abilities in relation to criteria/ goals )	✓ Given Less Weight	✓ Given More Weight
Personality (i.e. Individual tendencies such as how one speaks, dresses, etc.)	✓ Given More Weight	✓ Given Less Weight
Personal Issues (Ex. personal leaves, work schedule, has young children)	✓ Included in Assessment	✓ Separated from Assessment
Communication Style (Ex. relationship focus or achievement focus – see below)	✓ More Scrutinized	✓ Less Scrutinized

Common Bias Patterns in Language (partial list)		
Relationship/Others (We) Language	Achievement/Self (I) Language	
Collaborative	Confident	
Helpful	Independent	
Team Player	Ambitious	
Friendly	Direct	
Compassionate	Go-Getter	
Agreeable	Influential	
Follows Instructions	Daring	
Warm	Intellectual	
Supportive	Assertive	
Strive for Balance Across Both Lists		

Contact: Maryann Baumgarten, maryannb@slac.stanford.edu, x2265

Primary Sources:

Clayman Institute at Stanford University

Dunbar, R. (1998) The Social Brain Hypothesis. Evolutionary Anthropology 6: 178-190

Haidt, J. (2001) The emotional dog and its rational tail: A social intuitionist approach to moral judgment. Psychological Review. 108, 814-834

Neufeld, E., Brown, E.C., Lee-Grimm, S., Newen, A., Brüne, M. (2016) Intentional action processing results from automatic bottom-up attention: An EEG-investigation into the Social Relevance Hypothesis using hypnosis. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 2016; 42: 101

Williams, J.C. (2014) Hacking Tech's Diversity Problem. *Harvard Business Review*. Oct 2014 Issue.