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Software in research

• Claim: software (including services) essential for the bulk of research

• Evidence from surveys
  • UK academics at Russell Group Universities (2014)
  • Members of (US) National Postdoctoral Research Association (2017)
  • My research would not be possible without software: 67% / 63% (UK/US)
  • My research would be possible but harder: 21% / 31%
  • It would make no difference: 10% / 6%

S. Hettrick, “It's impossible to conduct research without software, say 7 out of 10 UK researchers,” Software Sustainability Institute, 2014. Available at: https://www.software.ac.uk/blog/2016-09-12-its-impossible-conduct-research-without-software-say-7-out-10-uk-researchers

Software in scholarship

• Claim: software (including services) essential for the bulk of research

• Evidence from journals:
  • About half the papers in recent issues of Science were software-intensive projects
  • In Nature Jan–Mar 2017, software mentioned in 32 of 40 research articles
    • Average of 6.5 software packages mentioned per article

Software in research cycle

Research Infrastructure (share and cite)

Create Hypothesis

Acquire Resources (e.g., Funding, Software, Data)

Perform Research (Build Software & Data)

Publish Results (e.g., Paper, Book, Software, Data)

Gain Recognition

Knowledge
How to better measure software contributions

• Citation system was created for papers/books
• We need to either/both
  1. Jam software into current citation system
  2. Rework citation system
    • Focus on 1 as possible; 2 is very hard.
• Overall challenge: not just to identify software in a paper
  • To identify software used within research process
Software citation principles: People & Process

• FORCE11 Software Citation group started July 2015 (co-leads Smith & Katz)
• WSSSPE3 Credit & Citation working group joined September 2015 (Niemeyer joined as co-lead)
• ~60 members (researchers, developers, publishers, repositories, librarians)
• Reviewed existing community practices & developed use cases
• Drafted software citation principles document
  • Started with data citation principles, updated based on software use cases and related work, updated based working group discussions, community feedback and review of draft, workshop at FORCE2016 in April
  • Discussion via GitHub issues, changes tracked
• Submitted, reviewed and modified (many times), now published (with reviews)
• Contains: principles (general statements), use cases (where the principles should apply), discussion (suggestions on how to apply principles)
Principle 1. Importance

- Software should be considered a legitimate and citable product of research. Software citations should be accorded the same importance in the scholarly record as citations of other research products, such as publications and data; they should be included in the metadata of the citing work, for example in the reference list of a journal article, and should not be omitted or separated. Software should be cited on the same basis as any other research product such as a paper or a book, that is, authors should cite the appropriate set of software products just as they cite the appropriate set of papers.
Principle 2. Credit and Attribution

- Software citations should facilitate giving scholarly credit and normative, legal attribution to all contributors to the software, recognizing that a single style or mechanism of attribution may not be applicable to all software.

Principle 3. Unique Identification

- A software citation should include a method for identification that is machine actionable, globally unique, interoperable, and recognized by at least a community of the corresponding domain experts, and preferably by general public researchers.
Principle 4. Persistence

• Unique identifiers and metadata describing the software and its disposition should persist – even beyond the lifespan of the software they describe.

Principle 5. Accessibility

• Software citations should facilitate access to the software itself and to its associated metadata, documentation, data, and other materials necessary for both humans and machines to make informed use of the referenced software.

Principle 6. Specificity

• Software citations should facilitate identification of, and access to, the specific version of software that was used. Software identification should be as specific as necessary, such as using version numbers, revision numbers, or variants such as platforms.
Example 1: Make your software citable

• Publish it – if it’s on GitHub, follow steps in https://guides.github.com/activities/citable-code/
• Otherwise, submit it to zenodo or figshare, with appropriate metadata (including authors, title, …, citations of … & software that you use)
• Get a DOI
• Create a CITATION file, update your README, tell people how to cite
• Also, can write a software paper and ask people to cite that (but this is secondary, just since our current system doesn’t work well)
Example 2: Cite someone else’s software in a paper

- Check for a CITATION file or README; if this says how to cite the software itself, do that
- If not, do your best following the principles
  - Try to include all contributors to the software (maybe by just naming the project)
  - Try to include a method for identification that is machine actionable, globally unique, interoperable – perhaps a URL to a release, a company product number
  - If there’s a landing page that includes metadata, point to that, not directly to the software (e.g. the GitHub repo URL)
  - Include specific version/release information
- If there’s a software paper, can cite this too, but not in place of citing the software
ACAT examples (unpublished software)

- LLVM project, “LLVM Core” [software], version 4.0.1, Available from http://releases.llvm.org/download.html#4.0.1 [accessed 2017-08-17]
- R project, “R” [software], version 3.4.1, Available from https://cran.r-project.org/src/base/R-3/ [accessed 2017-08-17]
- TensorFlow Project, “TensorFlow” [software], version 1.3.0, Available from https://github.com/tensorflow/tensorflow/releases/tag/v1.3.0 [accessed 2017-08-17]
ACAT examples (published software)

ACAT software citation experiment

- ACAT paper proceedings coming up
- This is something organizers (and I) want to do

Proceedings link
ACAT software citation experiment

• On that page, at the bottom (as of Wed 23 Aug):

Citing Software

ACAT is trying an experiment this year: software citation of software. For a general background on this topic, see the talk given at the conference.

More info to come.

• “More info to come”
• Including guidelines from the organizers
• And these slides
In the meantime, there’s JOSS

A developer friendly journal for research software packages

“If you've already licensed your code and have good documentation then we expect that it should take less than an hour to prepare and submit your paper to JOSS”

Everything is open:

- Submitted/published paper: http://joss.theoj.org
- Code itself: where is up to the author(s)
- Reviews & process: https://github.com/openjournals/joss-reviews
- Code for the journal itself: https://github.com/openjournals/joss

Zenodo archives JOSS papers and issues DOIs

First paper submitted 4 May 2016

- 31 May 2017: 111 accepted papers, 41 under review, ~15 submitted (pre-review)
- 31 July 2017: 125 accepted papers, 35 under review, ~30 submitted (pre-review)
Working group status & next steps

- Software Citation Working Group (co-chairs Smith, Katz, Niemeyer) ended May 2017
- Software Citation Implementation group (co-chairs Katz, Fenner, Chue Hong) started (slowly) May 2017
- Now planning…
  - Work with institutions, publishers, funders, researchers, etc.,
  - Considering endorsement period for both individuals and organizations
    - Want to endorse? Email/talk to me
    - Write full implementation examples paper?
- Want to join? Sign up on new FORCE11 group page
  - https://www.force11.org/group/software-citation-implementation-working-group