



Operational experience from Tevatron and relevance for HL-LHC

Alexander Valishev

CERN, Review of Hollow E-Lens Needs for HL-LHC October 6th, 2016





- The entire Tevatron Team!
- Dean Still, Vladimir Shiltsev, Giulio Stancari, Miriam Fitterer.







- What did we learn about losses and halo removal from the Tevatron experience that can be relevant for HL-LHC?
- General description of machine and operations. Lost stores and losses vs. machine cycle. Major causes of losses. Collimation system performance.
- E-lens uses, reliability, and compatibility with collider operations.
- What performance can we expect in HL-LHC? Tracking simulations on expected halo survival vs. amplitude, removal rates, and effects on the core – talk by M.Fitterer.
- Measured effects of depleted halo population with hollow elens and relevance for HL-LHC – talk by G.Stancari.





Aerial View of Tevatron





4



Tevatron Parameters



Beam energy	0.98 TeV
N bunches – 2 beams in one vacuum pipe!	36 (3×12)
Beam stored energy	2 MJ
Protons per bunch	2.9×10 ¹¹
Antiproton per bunch	0.9×10 ¹¹
Initial proton emittance (95% norm)	18 μm
Initial antiproton emittance (95% norm)	8 μm
Initial proton bunch length	0.55 m
Initial antiproton bunch length	0.45 m
Initial momentum spread	0.0012
β -function at IP	0.28 m
Betatron tunes (Q_x, Q_y)	20.583, 20.585
Record initial luminosity	4.3×10 ³² cm ⁻² s ⁻¹
Initial luminosity lifetime	5 h





Tevatron Run II Integrated Luminosity











Initial luminosity and \overline{p} Accumulation Rate



7

10/6/16

Fermilab





10/6/16



Contributions to Luminosity Loss and (Some) Fixes



Beam lifetime at 150GeV:	Optimization of sextupoles	
Ultimately were losing 5% protons and 1% antiprotons	Machine impedance (Lambertsons)	
	Improved injection helical orbits	
Beam losses on ramp:	Better helical orbits (beam-beam separation)	
ultimately ~2%	Improved coupling (repaired all 800 dipoles)	
	Improved instrumentation (machine reproducibility)	
Beam losses in squeeze:	Better helical orbits	
2% protons and <1% pbars	Collimation (2010)	
	Improved aperture	
β^* and beam separation	Better lattice control	
Luminosity lifetime:	Better helix	
dominated by luminous losses, IBS. Beam-beam ~5%	New proton working point	
	Second order chromaticity	
Reliability: in FY2010 averaged 120 store hours/week (71%)	cryo, controls, TEL, orbit stabilization, collimation	
	🕹 🔂 Fermilab	





- Model of collider operation
 - Antiproton transmission efficiencies
 - Stacking rate in Accumulator as function of stack size
 - Pbar lifetime in Recycler
 - Tevatron initial
 - Luminosity
 - Luminosity decay
 - Shot setup time
- The model was used to determine the optimal operating parameters to maximize luminosity integral







LARP



Two-Stage Halo Removal System

- 4 primary W targets
- 8 secondary L-shaped collimators









- December 5, 2003
 - First learned of a new category of quench called a "Fast Quench"
 - A Roman Pot moved into beam due to a controls error causing beam loss damaging 2 collimators and 2 spool pieces (3 correction elements)









- Total quenches in HEP mode Oct.2007-Mar.2011: 154
- Percentage
 - Ramp: 16
 - Squeeze: 41
 - Collisions: 68
- 32 quenches in squeeze were caused by beam dynamics related losses



- Total number of stores 1200 one in 40 lost in squeeze, between Apr. 09-Mar.11 14 of 372 lost in squeeze – one in 30
- A quench during squeeze accounts for ~8pb⁻¹ lost ~3% integral
 - Integrated dose leads to equipment failures in detectors

🔁 Fermilab

Collimating Losses in Squeeze





A single proton collimator + orbit control reduced losses. Since implementation in Dec. 2010 114 stores – no quenches in squeeze

- Halo was generated through fast (seconds) beam-beam mechanism
- Cleaning with collimators was sustainable at Tevatron intensity/energy





Losses in HEP





 Often detectors "blinded" by losses (not by pile-up) in the first minutes of a store – soft collimator would be beneficial, and would result in integrated luminosity improvement.

🚰 Fermilab



Tevatron Electron Lenses





e- beam energy	< 10 kV
Peak e- current	< 3 A
Solenoid B-field	3 T
Gun B-field	0.3 T
e- beam radius (SEFT)	2.3 mm
Interaction length	2 m
TEL-1 <i>β</i> x/ <i>β</i> y	95/32 m
TEL-2 <i>β</i> x/ <i>β</i> y	66/160 m

V.Shiltsev, *Electron Lenses for Super-Colliders*, Springer 2015

- V. Shiltsev et al., Phys. Rev. ST AB 11 (2008) 103501
- V.Shiltsev et al., NJP 10 (2008) 043042
- G. Stancari et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 084802



- In continuous operation 24/7 2001-2011
 - Excellent reliability record
 - 5 failures (2 of them in-tunnel electronics) •
 - 1 trip resulted in store abort
 - Lessons: beam stability, noise, shape, control, etc.
- Mostly used for smooth abort gap cleaning
 - Also a versatile tool for beam removal once scraped *all* beam after abort kickers failure
- Long-range beam-beam compensation demonstration

10/6/16

Time (min)

High

LHC

Luminosity

18

TEL average electron current (mA)

0

60

🔁 Fermilab





10/6/16

- Commissioned in 2006-2007
- Nonlinear transverse beam profile, head-on beam-beam compensation studies
- Studies of coherent stability of e-p system
- Hollow-beam collimation studies talk by G.Stancari







- Beam loss, especially uncontrolled, was a major factor in Tevatron Run II collider operations
- The two-stage collimation system was mostly adequate in stable beam operation and protected against catastrophic failures
- Transition modes (ramp, squeeze) posed the biggest threats owing to complex long-range beam-beam configuration
- Tevatron Electron Lenses demonstrated remarkable reliability and versatility in collider operations
 - Uncaptured beam removal
 - Beam-beam compensation
 - Collimation
- Much experience gained in modeling/simulations

🏞 Fermilab



2011 - 2016





Fermilab's second director and 1988 Nobel Prize Laureate Leon Lederman (left) and Bob Mau watch as Dr. Helen Edwards terminates the final beam in the Tevatron collider in the Fermilab Main Control Room on September 30, 2011

