Working Group 2 Low x and diffraction Summary



Anna Staśto



Daniel Tapia Takaki



DIS 2017, 25th International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering and Related Topics April 7 2017, University of Birmingham

Working Group 2: Low x and Diffraction

9 sessions, 42 talks, 18 experiment, 24 theory/phenomenology

Speakers:

Marcin Guzik Laurent Forthomme Alexander Bylinkin Bartłomiej Rachwał Jesus G. Contreras Nuno Frigyes Janos Nemes Grzegorz Gach Peter John Bussey John Dainton Karel Cerny Kay Graham Martin Hentschinski Jan Cepila Heikki Mantysaari Radek Zlebcik **Renaud Boussarie** Yoshitaka Hatta **Oleg Kuprash Benoit Roland Gilvan Augusto Alves**

Merijn van de Klundert Jamal Jalilian-Marian Mirko Serino **Oldrich Kepka Grigorios Chachamis** Krzysztof Kutak Agustin Sabio Vera Victor Fadin Sergey Bondarenko Giovanni Antonio Chirilli Guillaume Beuf **Tibor Zenis Nestor Armesto Perez Stephane Munier** Leszek Motyka **Bertrand Ducloue** lan Balitsky Elena Petreska Aleksander Kusina

Main topics

Exclusive and diffractive processes in DIS and ultraperipheral collisions Total and elastic cross sections

> New theory developments: Higher orders New observables for low x TMDs and low x

> > Multi-jets and forward jets Multi-parton interactions

> > > Fluctuations and correlations at low x

D^{*} diffractive production in DIS

Karel Cerny HI



Shape and normalization reproduced well by NLO QCD with fit HIB 2006

Support for collinear factorization in diffractive DIS

WG2: Low x and Diffraction

100

Diffractive production of prompt photons in Peter J. Bussey ZEUS Photoproduction







Distribution well described by RAPGAP with standard sets of DPDFs determined from DDIS. Deviations only in the last bin. Evidence for direct Pomeron interactions?



Frigyes Nemes Elastic and total cross-section

The nuclear slope B and the σ_{el}/σ_{tot} ratio at $v_s = 2.76$ TeV



Exclusive production of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ in p+Pb

Jesus G. Contreras ALICE

Offers sensitivity to the gluon density (GPD) Low scales open the window to test gluon saturation t-dependence provides access to investigating shape of the proton



In pPb in ALICE, W_{YP} from 20 GeV to 1.5 TeV



Martin Hentschinski



VM exclusive and dissociative production

...so we do not know if gluon density saturates (yet), but maybe it fluctuates?

Heikki Mantysaari

Model the geometric fluctuations of density inside the proton



WG2: Low × and Diffraction

Coherent VM production: target stays intact $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\gamma^* p \to V p}}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim |\langle \mathcal{A}(x, Q^2, t) \rangle|^2$

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma^{\gamma^* p \to V p^*}}{\mathrm{d}t} \sim \langle |\mathcal{A}(x, Q^2, t)|^2 \rangle - \left| \langle \mathcal{A}(x, Q^2, t) \rangle \right|^2$



At high energies the incoherent cross section decreases with energy, due to increase and overlap of hotspots

Jan Cepila

Exclusive production of $\Psi(2s)$ and Υ

Bartłomiej Rachwał

Exclusive production $\Psi(2s)$ at 13 TeV

LHCb

 $\sigma_{\psi(2S) \to \mu^+ \mu^-} (2.0 < \eta(\mu^{\pm}) < 4.5) = 9.4 \pm 1.3(stat) \pm 0.5(sys) \pm 0.4 \text{pb}$

Alexander Bylinkin CMS



A fit with power-law **A X** (**W**/400)^{δ} to the CMS data δ = (0.96 ± 0.43), **A** = 655 ± 196 Data compatible with power-law dependence of σ (W_p), disfavours LO pQCD predictions

Still missing: energy dependence of t distribution for vector meson







and $\psi(2S)$ in Pb+Pb

 $\sigma(\psi(2s))/\sigma(J/\psi) \approx 0.166 \pm 0.011$ fits well with H1 data: 0.166 ± 0.007 (stat) ± 0.008 (syst) ± 0.007 (BR) [Phys.Lett.B541:251-264,2002]



Multi-jet production in UPC in Pb+Pb

Oldrich Kepka ATLAS

First study of photo-nuclear jets in Pb+Pb ultraperipheral collisions Potential for constraining nuclear PDFs in extended kinematics



Diffractive dijets in DIS and UPC

Diffractive VM production, especially t - dependence, can provide with the valuable information about the spatial distribution of the target.

It is similar to image processing:

Fourier image







Yoshitaka Hatta



$$\vec{\Delta_{\perp}} = -(\vec{k}_{1\perp} + \vec{k}_{2\perp})$$

Proton recoil momentum

$$ec{P_{\perp}}=rac{1}{2}(ec{k}_{2\perp}-ec{k}_{1\perp})$$

Dijet relative momentum

Diffractive dijets provide access to Wigner distribution or Generalized Transverse Momentum Distribution

 $W(x, \vec{k}, \vec{\Delta})$

Diffractive dijets: higher order calculations

Higher order corrections to diffractive dijets

Renaud Boussarie

Diffractive dijet in CGC formalism at NLO



Diffractive VM production in CGC formalism at NLO



Diffractive dijets: higher order calculations

Higher order corrections to diffractive dijets

Renaud Boussarie

Diffractive dijet in CGC formalism at NLO



Diffractive VM production in CGC formalism at NLO



Radek Zlebcik

First study of diffractive dijets in collinear formalism at NNLO!

Renormalization scale dependence



Reduction of the renormalization (and factorization) scale dependence at NNLO

WG2: Low x and Diffraction



Higher order corrections are large: NNLO substantially higher than NLO for some distributions. Need more detailed study, NNLO DPDFs?



Very strong suppression of the p+Pb/Pb+p ratio Caveat: compromised by boost of center of mass frame



Krzysztof Kutak

Calculation of very forward inclusive jet in pp using formalism

of hybrid factorization for CASTOR kinematics. Comparison of calculations with and without the gluon saturation.

Strong suppression expected at low transverse momenta even in the proton - proton case.

Very strong suppression of the p+Pb/Pb+p ratio Caveat: compromised by boost of center of mass frame



WG2: Low \times and Diffraction

14

Low x and angular dependence



difference between forward and backward jets

Low x and angular dependence

Low x dynamics, BFKL or saturation affects transverse momentum distribution of gluons. This can be tested by studying angular dependence of three partons

Grigorios Chachamis



Jamal Jalilian-Marian

Three parton production in DIS within Color Glass Condensate



WG2: Low × and Diffraction

Dependence of the normalized cross section on the angular differences between gluon and quark/ antiquark



BFKL predicts very flat dependence on the rapidity difference between forward and backward jets



Low x and angular dependence

Leszek Motyka

Low x dynamics can be also tested in Drell - Yan process by studying lepton angular distribution.

Lam-Tung relation holds up to NNLO in collinear approx. Can be violated by k_T and/or higher twist effects.

$$W_L - 2W_{TT} = 0$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_F dM^2 d\Omega d^2 q_T} = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}^2}{2(2\pi)^4 M^4} \left[(1 - \cos^2 \theta) W_L + (1 + \cos^2 \theta) W_T + (\sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi) W_{TT} + (\sin 2\theta \cos \phi) W_{LT} \right]$$



Transverse momentum distributions (TMD)

Ian Balitsky Aleksander Kusina Elena Petreska Radek Zlebcik

A lot of theoretical effort to further develop TMD formalism

The main task is to understand the connection between the TMD and the low dynamics

Transverse momentum distributions (TMD)

Ian Balitsky Aleksander Kusina Elena Petreska Radek Zlebcik

A lot of theoretical effort to further develop TMD formalism

The main task is to understand the connection between the TMD and the low dynamics

lan Balitsky

New evolution equation that interpolates between DGLAP at moderate x and nonlinear Balitsky-Kovchegov equation at small values of x

Lipatov vertex at arbitrary momenta:

$$\begin{split} L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_{\perp}, x_{B})^{\text{light-like}} \\ &= g(k_{\perp} | \mathcal{F}^{j} \big(x_{B} + \frac{k_{\perp}^{2}}{\alpha s} \big) \Big\{ \frac{\alpha x_{B} s g_{\mu i} - 2k_{\mu}^{\perp} k_{i}}{\alpha x_{B} s + k_{\perp}^{2}} (k_{j} U + U p_{j}) \frac{1}{\alpha x_{B} s + p_{\perp}^{2}} U^{\dagger} \\ &- 2k_{\mu}^{\perp} U \frac{g_{ij}}{\alpha x_{B} s + p_{\perp}^{2}} U^{\dagger} - 2g_{\mu j} U \frac{p_{i}}{\alpha x_{B} s + p_{\perp}^{2}} U^{\dagger} + \frac{2k_{\mu}^{\perp}}{k_{\perp}^{2}} g_{ij} \Big\} | y_{\perp})^{ab} + O(p_{2\mu}) \end{split}$$

WG2: Low \times and Diffraction

NLO calculations of impact factor in DIS

Giovanni Chirilli Guillaume Beuf

DIS amplitude is factorized in rapidity: η



Virtual and real corrections to the photon impact factor in light-front perturbation theory



Next steps: phenomenology to NLO accuracy in DIS

Violation of Regge factorization at NNLL





 $p_{B'}$

 p_B

Violation of Regge factorization at NNLL

Victor Fadin Regge limit: $s \to \infty$ -t fixed p_A $p_{A'}$ Property of QCD in the Regge limit: gluon Reggeization Allows to express (many) amplitudes in terms of effective vertices and gluon trajectory Valid at LL and NLL in powers of In s

Violation of Regge factorization at NNLL New structures appear

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{[8]}\left(\frac{s}{\mu^2},\frac{t}{\mu^2},\alpha_s\right) = 2\pi\alpha_s \,\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{(0),[8]}$$
$$\times \left\{ C_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\frac{t}{\mu^2},\alpha_s\right) \left[\mathcal{A}_+\left(\frac{s}{t},\alpha_s\right) + \kappa_{\mathrm{rs}} \,\mathcal{A}_-\left(\frac{s}{t},\alpha_s\right) \right] C_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\frac{t}{\mu^2},\alpha_s\right) \right. \\\left. + \left. \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{rs}}^{[8]}\left(\frac{s}{\mu^2},\frac{t}{\mu^2},\alpha_s\right) \right\}, \ \kappa_{gg} = \kappa_{qg} = 0, \ \kappa_{qq} = (4 - N_c^2)/N_c^2$$

Non-factorizing remainder

 p_B $p_{B'}$

$$\mathcal{A}_{AB}^{A'B'} = \Gamma_{A'A}^{c} \left[\left(\frac{-s}{-t} \right)^{j(t)} - \left(\frac{s}{-t} \right)^{J(t)} \right] \Gamma_{B'B}^{c}$$



Underlying event studies

Oleg Kuprash ATLAS Benoit Roland CMS



• Refers to anything that accompanies the main hard scattering process:

- ➢ Beam remnants
- Multiple Parton Interactions (MPI)
- ➢ Initial and Final State QCD Radiation

Underlying event studies



Large discrepancy between MC models in the low p_T region.

Double parton scattering

AB

Oleg Kuprash ATLAS Gilvan Alves CMS



 \rightarrow Pocket formula:

σ

$$\sigma_{DPS}^{AB} = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\sigma_{SPS}^{A} \sigma_{SPS}^{B}}{\sigma_{eff}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad$$

<u>ATLAS</u>

 $f_{DPS}\sigma_{tot}^{nD}$ DPS Effective $\operatorname{cr}_{\Theta} s_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}}}^{A}$ section consistent with the previous measurements using jets $\sigma_{\rm eff} = 14.9 \, {}^{+1.2}_{-1.0} \, (\text{stat.}) \, {}^{+5.1}_{-3.8} \, (\text{syst.}) \, \text{mb}$

 $\sigma_{\it eff}$

$$f_{\text{DPS}} = 0.092 \stackrel{+0.005}{_{-0.011}} \text{(stat.)} \stackrel{+0.033}{_{-0.037}} \text{(syst.)}$$

10⁵

10⁴

ATLAS

 $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}, 37 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

Entries/0.1 rad

WG2: Low x and Diffraction



Anti- k_t jets, R = 0.6

21

Multi-jet production and DPS

Mirko Serino

Description of multi-jet production within k_T (high-energy) factorization:

$$\sigma_{h_{1},h_{2}\to q\bar{q}} = \int d^{2}k_{1\perp}d^{2}k_{2\perp}\frac{dx_{1}}{x_{1}}\frac{dx_{2}}{x_{2}}f_{g}(x_{1},k_{1\perp})f_{g}(x_{2},k_{2\perp})\hat{\sigma}_{gg}(m,x_{1},x_{2},s,k_{1\perp},k_{2\perp})$$

Off-shell gauge invariant amplitudes, constructed by embedding them into processes involving on-shell states



High energy factorization with DPS overshoots the data.

CMS, $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, Normalized ΔS in pp $\rightarrow 4j$ in $|\eta| < 4.7$



Now HEF without DPS consistently undershoots the data at small Δ S. Suggest the need for DPS in 4jet production.

Quark correlations in Color Glass Condensate

Nestor Armesto

One of the most striking observations at LHC is the ridge: long range correlation in rapidity. Long range two-particle correlations appear in pp and pA, and show features which were previously thought to be present in AA only.

Within Color Glass Condensate (one of) the explanations is the Bose enhancement of the gluons in the wave function experience Pauli

blocking and, if so, is it short or long range in rapidity?



Measurement of Bose - Einstein correlations

Tibor Zenis ATLAS



Correlation function

- Bose-Einstein correlations (BEC) represent a unique probe of the space-time geometry of the hadronization region and allow the determination of the size and shape of the source from which particles are emitted.
- BEC effect corresponds to an enhancement in two identical boson correlation function when the two particles are near in momentum space. It is a consequence of their wave function symmetry.



Final remarks

- Apologies to speakers whose talks were omitted in the summary.
- Many new results from experiments: exclusive production, (mostly from UPC), diffraction, jets, multi-parton interactions.
- Theoretical developments: new observables, higher order calculations in low x physics, building connection between low x formalism and other approaches, lots of new phenomenology.
- Finally, we would like to thank all the speakers in Working Group 2 for their excellent presentations. We would also like to thank all the participants who attended our session: we had great attendance and very lively discussions!

backup

Ultraperipheral Pb+Pb collisions



Ultraperipheral and exclusive production

Alexander Bylinkin CMS

Exclusive production of W pairs in proton proton collisions

- The exclusive production of W pairs is sensitive to anomalous quartic gauge couplings (aQGC)
- → The electroweak sector of Standard Model predicts QGC
- Any deviation from SM expectations can reveal a sign of new physics



Ultraperipheral and exclusive production

Alexander Bylinkin CMS

Exclusive production of W pairs in proton proton collisions

- The exclusive production of W pairs is sensitive to anomalous quartic gauge couplings (aQGC)
- → The electroweak sector of Standard Model predicts QGC
- Any deviation from SM expectations can reveal a sign of new physics





Cross section times branching fraction

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow p^{(*)}W^+W^-p^{(*)} \rightarrow p^{(*)}\mu^{\pm}e^{\mp}p^{(*)})=2.2^{+3.3}_{-2.0}fb$$



 $\sigma(pp \to p^{(*)}W^+W^-p^{(*)} \to p^{(*)}\mu^{\pm}e^{\mp}p^{(*)}) = 10.8^{+5.1}_{-4.1} \,\text{fb}$

Ultraperipheral and exclusive production

Alexander Bylinkin CMS

Exclusive production of W pairs in proton proton collisions

- The exclusive production of W pairs is sensitive to anomalous quartic gauge couplings (aQGC)
- → The electroweak sector of Standard Model predicts QGC
- Any deviation from SM expectations can reveal a sign of new physics





 The most stringent limit so far, two orders of magnitude more stringent than LEP