Rapidity evolution of gluon TMD from low to moderate x

I. Balitsky

JLAB & ODU

DIS 2017, 6 April 2017

Outline

- Reminder: rapidity factorization and evolution of color dipoles
- Definitions of small-x and "moderate-x" gluon TMDs
- Method of calculation: shock-wave approach + light-cone expansion.
- One loop: real corrections and virtual corrections.
- One-loop evolution of gluon TMD
- DGLAP, Sudakov and BK limits of TMD evolution equation
- Gluon TMDs in particle production
- Conclusions and outlook

DIS at high energy: Wilson lines and color dipoles

- At high energies, particles move along straight lines $\Rightarrow$ the amplitude of $\gamma^* A \to \gamma^* A$ scattering reduces to the matrix element of a two-Wilson-line operator (color dipole):



$$A(s) = \int \frac{d^2 k_\perp}{4\pi^2} I^A(k_\perp) \langle B | \mathrm{Tr}\{U(k_\perp) U^\dagger(-k_\perp)\} | B \rangle$$

$$U(x_\perp) = \mathrm{Pexp}\left[ig \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du\, n^\mu A_\mu(un + x_\perp)\right] \qquad \text{Wilson line}$$

DIS at high energy: Wilson lines and color dipoles

- At high energies, particles move along straight lines $\Rightarrow$ the amplitude of $\gamma^* A \to \gamma^* A$ scattering reduces to the matrix element of a two-Wilson-line operator (color dipole):



$$A(s) = \int \frac{d^2 k_\perp}{4\pi^2} I^A(k_\perp) \langle B | \mathrm{Tr}\{U(k_\perp) U^\dagger(-k_\perp)\} | B \rangle$$

$$U(x_\perp) = \mathrm{Pexp}\left[ig \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du\, n^\mu A_\mu(un + x_\perp)\right] \qquad \text{Wilson line}$$

Formally, $\rightarrow$ means the operator expansion in Wilson lines

Rapidity factorization: OPE in Wilson lines



η - rapidity factorization scale

Rapidity Y > η - coefficient function ("impact factor")

Rapidity Y < η - matrix elements of (light-like) Wilson lines with rapidity divergence cut by η

$$U_x^\eta = \mathrm{Pexp}\Big[ig\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx^+ A_+^\eta(x_+, x_\perp)\Big], \quad A_\mu^\eta(x) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\theta(e^\eta - |\alpha_k|)e^{-ik\cdot x}A_\mu(k)$$

Spectator frame: propagation in the shock-wave background.

Each path is weighted with the gauge factor $Pe^{ig\int dx_\mu A^\mu}$. Quarks and gluons do not have time to deviate in the transverse space $\Rightarrow$ we can replace the gauge factor along the actual path with the one along the straight-line path.



[$x \to z$: free propagation]$\times$
[$U^{ab}(z_\perp)$ - instantaneous interaction with the $\eta < \eta_2$ shock wave]$\times$
[$z \to y$: free propagation]

Reminder: evolution of color dipoles at small x

To get the evolution equation for color dipoles, consider the dipole with the rapidies up to η_1 and integrate over the gluons with rapidities $\eta_1 > \eta > \eta_2$. This integral gives the kernel of the evolution equation (multiplied by the dipole(s) with rapidities up to η_2).



$$\alpha_s(\eta_1 - \eta_2) K_{\text{evol}} \quad \otimes$$



Rapidity evolution of color dipoles in the leading order

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\mathrm{Tr}\{\hat{U}_x\hat{U}_y^\dagger\} = K_{\mathrm{LO}}\mathrm{Tr}\{\hat{U}_x\hat{U}_y^\dagger\} + \ldots \quad \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\langle\mathrm{Tr}\{\hat{U}_x\hat{U}_y^\dagger\}\rangle_{\mathrm{shockwave}} = \langle K_{\mathrm{LO}}\mathrm{Tr}\{\hat{U}_x\hat{U}_y^\dagger\}\rangle_{\mathrm{shockwave}}$$



$$U_z^{ab} = \mathrm{Tr}\{t^a U_z t^b U_z^\dagger\} \quad \Rightarrow (U_x U_y^\dagger)^{\eta_1} \to (U_x U_y^\dagger)^{\eta_1} + \alpha_s(\eta_1 - \eta_2)(U_x U_z^\dagger U_z U_y^\dagger)^{\eta_2}$$

$\Rightarrow$ Evolution equation is non-linear

Non linear evolution equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y) \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{N_c}\mathrm{Tr}\{\hat{U}(x_\perp)\hat{U}^\dagger(y_\perp)\}$$

BK equation

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y) \;=\; \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2}\int \frac{d^2z\,(x-y)^2}{(x-z)^2(y-z)^2}\Big\{\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,z)+\hat{\mathcal{U}}(z,y)-\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y)-\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,z)\hat{\mathcal{U}}(z,y)\Big\}$$

I. B. (1996), Yu. Kovchegov (1999)
Alternative approach: JIMWLK (1997-2000)

Non-linear evolution equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y) \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{N_c}\mathrm{Tr}\{\hat{U}(x_\perp)\hat{U}^\dagger(y_\perp)\}$$

BK equation

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y) = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2}\int \frac{d^2z\,(x-y)^2}{(x-z)^2(y-z)^2}\left\{\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,z)+\hat{\mathcal{U}}(z,y)-\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y)-\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,z)\hat{\mathcal{U}}(z,y)\right\}$$

I. B. (1996), Yu. Kovchegov (1999)
Alternative approach: JIMWLK (1997-2000)

LLA for DIS in pQCD $\Rightarrow$ BFKL (LLA: $\alpha_s \ll 1, \alpha_s\eta \sim 1$)

Non-linear evolution equation

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y) \equiv 1 - \frac{1}{N_c}\mathrm{Tr}\{\hat{U}(x_\perp)\hat{U}^\dagger(y_\perp)\}$$

BK equation

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y) = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2}\int \frac{d^2z\,(x-y)^2}{(x-z)^2(y-z)^2}\left\{\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,z)+\hat{\mathcal{U}}(z,y)-\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,y)-\hat{\mathcal{U}}(x,z)\hat{\mathcal{U}}(z,y)\right\}$$

I. B. (1996), Yu. Kovchegov (1999)
Alternative approach: JIMWLK (1997-2000)

LLA for DIS in pQCD $\Rightarrow$ BFKL (LLA: $\alpha_s \ll 1, \alpha_s\eta \sim 1$)

LLA for DIS in sQCD $\Rightarrow$ BK eqn (LLA: $\alpha_s \ll 1, \alpha_s\eta \sim 1,\ \alpha_s A^{1/3} \sim 1$)

(s for semiclassical)

NLO kernels for BK and JIMWLK are now known (even NNLO BK for $\mathcal{N} = 4SYM$!)

Guon TMD at low x_B

At small x - Weizsacker-Williams unintegrated gluon distribution

$$\sum_X \mathrm{tr}\langle p|U\partial^i U^\dagger(z_\perp)|X\rangle\langle X|U\partial_i U^\dagger(0_\perp)\}|p\rangle$$

Rapidity factorization: each gluon has rapidity $\leq \ln x_B$.
Rewrite (later $n \equiv p_1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_s \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp) &= -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi(p\cdot n)x_B}\int du \sum_X \langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^a_\xi(z_\perp + un)|X\rangle\langle X|\mathcal{F}^{a\xi}(0)|p\rangle \\
\mathcal{F}^a_\xi(z_\perp + un) &\equiv [\infty n + z_\perp, un + z_\perp]^{am} n^\mu F^m_{\mu\xi}(un + z_\perp) \\
\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^a_\xi(z_\perp + un) &\equiv n^\mu F^m_{\mu\xi}(un + z_\perp)[un + z_\perp, \infty n + z_\perp]^{ma}
\end{aligned}$$

and define the "WW unintegrated gluon distribution"

$$\mathcal{D}(x_B, k_\perp) = \int d^2 z_\perp \, e^{-i(k,z)_\perp}\mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp) \qquad x_B s \gg k_\perp^2 \gg \Lambda^2_{\mathrm{QCD}}$$

NB: $\alpha_s \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp)$ is renorm-invariant.

Gluon TMD at moderate x_B

$$\mathcal{D}(x_B, k_\perp, \eta) \;=\; \int d^2 z_\perp \; e^{-i(k,z)_\perp} \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp, \eta),$$

$$\alpha_s \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp, \eta)$$

$$= \; \frac{-x_B^{-1}\alpha_s}{2\pi(p\cdot n)} \int du \; e^{-ix_B u(pn)} \sum_X \langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^a_\xi(z_\perp + un)|X\rangle\langle X|\mathcal{F}^{a\xi}(0)|p\rangle$$

There are more involved definitions with the above TMD multiplied by some Wilson-line factors but we will discuss the “primordial” TMD.

Gluon TMD at moderate x_B

$$\mathcal{D}(x_B, k_\perp, \eta) \;=\; \int d^2 z_\perp \; e^{-i(k,z)_\perp} \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp, \eta),$$

$$\alpha_s \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp, \eta)$$

$$= \; \frac{-x_B^{-1}\alpha_s}{2\pi(p\cdot n)} \int du \; e^{-ix_B u(pn)} \sum_X \langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^a_\xi(z_\perp + un)|X\rangle\langle X|\mathcal{F}^{a\xi}(0)|p\rangle$$

There are more involved definitions with the above TMD multiplied by some Wilson-line factors but we will discuss the "primordial" TMD.

Now x_B is introduced explicitly in the definition of gluon TMD.
However, because light-like Wilson lines exhibit rapidity divergencies, we need a separate cutoff η (not necessarily equal to $\ln x_B$) for the rapidity of the gluons emitted by Wilson lines.

Gluon TMD at moderate x_B

$$\mathcal{D}(x_B, k_\perp, \eta) = \int d^2 z_\perp \, e^{-i(k,z)_\perp} \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp, \eta),$$

$$\alpha_s \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp, \eta)$$

$$= \frac{-x_B^{-1}\alpha_s}{2\pi(p\cdot n)} \int du \, e^{-ix_B u(pn)} \sum_X \langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^a_\xi(z_\perp + un)|X\rangle\langle X|\mathcal{F}^{a\xi}(0)|p\rangle$$

There are more involved definitions with the above TMD multiplied by some Wilson-line factors but we will discuss the "primordial" TMD.

Now x_B is introduced explicitly in the definition of gluon TMD. However, because light-like Wilson lines exhibit rapidity divergencies, we need a separate cutoff η (not necessarily equal to $\ln x_B$) for the rapidity of the gluons emitted by Wilson lines.

The above TMD can have double-logarithmic contributions of the type $(\alpha_s \eta \ln x_B)^n$ while the WW distribution has only single-log terms $(\alpha_s \ln \eta)^n$ described by the BK evolution.

Some definitions

Sudakov variables:

$$k = \alpha p_1 + \beta p_2 + k_\perp$$

Dimensionless light-cone coordinates

$$x_* \equiv x_\mu p_2^\mu = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} x_+, \quad x_\bullet \equiv x_\mu p_1^\mu = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} x_-$$

Gluon operators ($x_B \equiv x_B$ for DIS and $-x_B \equiv \frac{1}{z}$ for annihilation)

$$\mathcal{F}_i^a(k_\perp, x_B) = \int d^2 z_\perp \, e^{-i(k,z)_\perp} \mathcal{F}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B) \equiv \frac{2}{s} \int dz_* \, e^{i x_B z_*} [\infty, z_*]_z^{am} F_{\bullet i}^m(z_*, z_\perp)$$

and similarly

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(k_\perp, x_B) = \int d^2 z_\perp \, e^{i(k,z)_\perp} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B),$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B) \equiv \frac{2}{s} \int dz_* \, e^{-i x_B z_*} F_{\bullet i}^m(z_*, z_\perp) [z_*, \infty]_z^{ma}$$

Some definitions

Sudakov variables:

$$k = \alpha p_1 + \beta p_2 + k_\perp$$

Dimensionless light-cone coordinates

$$x_* \equiv x_\mu p_2^\mu = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} x_+, \quad x_\bullet \equiv x_\mu p_1^\mu = \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} x_-$$

Gluon operators ($x_B \equiv x_B$ for DIS and $-x_B \equiv \frac{1}{z}$ for annihilation)

$$\mathcal{F}_i^a(k_\perp, x_B) = \int d^2 z_\perp \, e^{-i(k,z)_\perp} \mathcal{F}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B) \equiv \frac{2}{s} \int dz_* \, e^{i x_B z_*} [\infty, z_*]_z^{am} F_{\bullet i}^m(z_*, z_\perp)$$

and similarly

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(k_\perp, x_B) = \int d^2 z_\perp \, e^{i(k,z)_\perp} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B),$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B) \equiv \frac{2}{s} \int dz_* \, e^{-i x_B z_*} F_{\bullet i}^m(z_*, z_\perp) [z_*, \infty]_z^{ma}$$

At first we study gluon TMDs with Wilson lines stretching to $+\infty$ (like in SIDIS).

Double fun. interval for cross sections

$$\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(k'_\perp, x'_B)\mathcal{F}^{ai}(k_\perp, x_B)|p\rangle \equiv \sum_X \langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(k'_\perp, x'_B)|X\rangle\langle X|\mathcal{F}^{ai}(k_\perp, x_B)|p\rangle$$
$$= \; -2\pi\delta(x_B - x'_B)(2\pi)^2\delta^{(2)}(k_\perp - k'_\perp)2\pi x_B\mathcal{D}(x_B = x_B, k_\perp, \eta)$$

Short-hand notation

$$\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 ... \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_m \mathcal{O}_1 ... \mathcal{O}_n|p\rangle \equiv \sum_X \langle p|\tilde{T}\{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 ... \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_m\}|X\rangle\langle X|T\{\mathcal{O}_1 ... \mathcal{O}_n\}|p\rangle$$

This matrix element can be represented by a double functional integral

$$\langle \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 ... \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_m \mathcal{O}_1 ... \mathcal{O}_n\rangle$$
$$= \int D\tilde{A}D\bar{\tilde{\psi}}D\tilde{\psi}\; e^{-iS_{\rm QCD}(\tilde{A},\tilde{\psi})} \int DAD\bar{\psi}D\psi\; e^{iS_{\rm QCD}(A,\psi)}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_1 ... \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_m \mathcal{O}_1 ... \mathcal{O}_n$$

The boundary condition $\tilde{A}(\vec{x}, t = \infty) = A(\vec{x}, t = \infty)$ (and similarly for quark fields) reflects the sum over all intermediate states X.

Gauge invariance

Due to the boundary condition $\tilde{A}(\vec{x}, t=\infty) = A(\vec{x}, t=\infty)$ the matrix element

$$\langle \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(z'_\perp, x'_B)[z'_\perp + \infty p_1, z_\perp + \infty p_1]\mathcal{F}^{ai}(z_\perp, x_B)\rangle$$
$$= \int D\tilde{A}D\tilde{\bar{\psi}}D\tilde{\psi}\; e^{-iS_{\rm QCD}(\tilde{A},\tilde{\psi})} \int DAD\bar{\psi}D\psi\; e^{iS_{\rm QCD}(A,\psi)}$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(z'_\perp, x'_B)[z'_\perp + \infty p_1, z_\perp + \infty p_1]\mathcal{F}^{ai}(z_\perp, x_B)$$

is gauge invariant
However, the gauge link $[z'_\perp + \infty p_1, z_\perp + \infty p_1]$ does not contribute at least at the one-loop level ($\gamma_{\rm cusp}$ and self-energy diagrams vanish)

Rapidity evolution: one loop

We study evolution of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^{a\eta}(x_\perp, x_B)\mathcal{F}_j^{a\eta}(y_\perp, x_B)$ with respect to rapidity cutoff η

$$A_\mu^\eta(x) \;=\; \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\theta(e^\eta - |\alpha_k|)e^{-ik\cdot x}A_\mu(k)$$

Matrix element of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(k'_\perp, x'_B)\mathcal{F}^{ai}(k_\perp, x_B)$ at one-loop accuracy: diagrams in the "external field" of gluons with rapidity $< \eta$.



Figure : Typical diagrams for one-loop contributions to the evolution of gluon TMD.

(Fields $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ to the left of the cut and $\mathcal{A}$ to the right.)

Shock-wave formalism and transverse momenta

$\alpha \gg \alpha$ and $k_\perp \sim k_\perp \Rightarrow$ shock-wave external field



Characteristic longitudinal scale of fast fields: $x_* \sim \frac{1}{\beta}$, $\beta \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s} \Rightarrow x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2}$

Characteristic longitudinal scale of slow fields: $x_* \sim \frac{1}{\beta}$, $\beta \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s} \Rightarrow x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2}$

If $\alpha \gg \alpha$ and $k_\perp^2 \leq k_\perp^2 \Rightarrow x_* \gg x_*$
$\Rightarrow$ Diagrams in the shock-wave background at $k_\perp \sim k_\perp$

Problem: different transverse momenta

$\alpha \gg \alpha$ and $k_\perp \gg k_\perp \Rightarrow$ the external field may be wide



Characteristic longitudinal scale of fast fields: $x_* \sim \frac{1}{\beta}$, $\beta \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s} \Rightarrow x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2}$

Characteristic longitudinal scale of slow fields: $x_* \sim \frac{1}{\beta s}$, $\beta \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s} \Rightarrow x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2}$

If $\alpha \gg \alpha$ and $k_\perp^2 \gg k_\perp^2 \Rightarrow x_* \sim x_* \Rightarrow$ shock-wave approximation is invalid

Problem: different transverse momenta

$\alpha \gg \alpha$ and $k_\perp \gg k_\perp \Rightarrow$ the external field may be wide



Characteristic longitudinal scale of fast fields: $x_* \sim \frac{1}{\beta}$, $\beta \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s} \Rightarrow x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2}$

Characteristic longitudinal scale of slow fields: $x_* \sim \frac{1}{\beta s}$, $\beta \sim \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s} \Rightarrow x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2}$

If $\alpha \gg \alpha$ and $k_\perp^2 \gg k_\perp^2 \Rightarrow x_* \sim x_* \Rightarrow$ shock-wave approximation is invalid

Fortunately, at $k_\perp^2 \gg k_\perp^2$ we can use another approximation

$\Rightarrow$ Light-cone expansion of propagators at $k_\perp \gg k_\perp$

Method of calculation

We calculate one-loop diagrams in the fast-field background



in following way:

if $k_\perp \sim k_\perp \Rightarrow$ propagators in the shock-wave background

if $k_\perp \gg k_\perp \Rightarrow$ light-cone expansion of propagators

We compute one-loop diagrams in these two cases and write down "interpolating" formulas correct both at $k_\perp \sim k_\perp$ and $k_\perp \gg k_\perp$

One-loop corrections in the shock-wave background



Figure : Typical diagrams for one-loop evolution kernel. The shaded area denotes shock wave of background fast fields.

Shock-wave calculation

Reminder:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B) \;\equiv\; \frac{2}{s}\int dz_* \; e^{-ix_B z_*} F^m_{\bullet i}(z_*, z_\perp)[z_*, \infty]^{ma}_z$$

At $x_B \sim 1$ $e^{-ix_B z_*}$ may be important even if shock wave is narrow.
Indeed, $x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2} \ll x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2} \Rightarrow$ shock-wave approximation is OK,
but $x_B \sigma_* \sim x_B \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2} \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2} \geq 1 \Rightarrow$ we need to "look inside" the shock wave.

Shock-wave calculation

Reminder:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(z_\perp, x_B) \;\equiv\; \frac{2}{s}\int dz_* \; e^{-ix_B z_*} F^m_{\bullet i}(z_*, z_\perp)[z_*, \infty]^{ma}_z$$

At $x_B \sim 1$ $e^{-ix_B z_*}$ may be important even if shock wave is narrow.
Indeed, $x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2} \ll x_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2} \Rightarrow$ shock-wave approximation is OK,
but $x_B \sigma_* \sim x_B \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2} \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2} \geq 1 \Rightarrow$ we need to "look inside" the shock wave.

Technically, we consider small but finite shock wave:
take the external field with the support in the interval $[-\sigma_*, \sigma_*]$ (where $\sigma_* \sim \frac{\alpha s}{k_\perp^2}$), calculate diagrams with points in and out of the shock wave, and check that the σ_*-dependence cancels in the sum of "in" and "out" contributions.

Point(s) inside the shock wave: linear terms



$\Delta_\perp$ is small $\Rightarrow$ expansion of $Pe^{ig\int dx_\mu A^m u}$ around $y_\perp$ $\Rightarrow$ same operator $\mathcal{F}(y_\perp, x_B)$ $\Rightarrow$ linear evolution.

Point(s) outside the shock wave: non-linear terms



$\Delta_\perp$ is small $\Rightarrow$ expansion of $Pe^{ig\int dx_\mu A^m u}$ around $z_\perp$
$\Rightarrow$ Wilson line $U_z = [\infty_* p_1 + z_\perp, -\infty_* p_1 + z_\perp]$ in addition to U_y $\Rightarrow$ non-linear terms in the evolution equation

One-loop corrections in the shock-wave background



Figure : Typical diagrams for production (a) and virtual (b) contributions to the evolution kernel.

Real corrections: square of "Lipatov vertex"



Figure : Lipatov vertex of gluon emission.

Definition

$$L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_\perp, x_B) \;=\; i \lim_{k^2 \to 0} k^2 \langle T\{A^a_\mu(k) \mathcal{F}^b_i(y_\perp, x_B)\}\rangle$$

Lipatov vertex in the shock-wave case

Result of calculation (in the background-Feynman gauge)

$$L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_\perp, x_B) \;=\; 2ge^{-i(k,y)_\perp}\Big(\frac{p_{2\mu}}{\alpha s} - \frac{\alpha p_{1\mu}}{k_\perp^2}\Big)[\mathcal{F}_i(x_B, y_\perp) - U_i(y_\perp)]^{ab}$$

$$+\, g(k_\perp|g_{\mu i}\Big(\frac{\alpha x_B s}{\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2} - U\frac{\alpha x_B s}{\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2}U^\dagger\Big) + 2\alpha p_{1\mu}\Big(\frac{p_i}{\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2} - U\frac{p_i}{\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2}U^\dagger\Big)$$

$$+\, \Big[2ix_B p_{2\mu}\partial_i U - 2i\partial_\mu^\perp U p_i + \frac{2p_{2\mu}}{\alpha s}\partial_\perp^2 U p_i\Big]\frac{1}{\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2}U^\dagger - \frac{2\alpha p_{1\mu}}{p_\perp^2}U_i|y_\perp)^{ab}$$

$U_i \equiv \mathcal{F}_i(0) = i(\partial_i U)U^\dagger.$

Schwinger's notations $(x_\perp|\mathcal{O}(\hat{p}_\perp, \hat{X_\perp})|y_\perp) \equiv \int d^2p\mathcal{O}(p_\perp, x_\perp)e^{-i(p,x-y)_\perp}$

Lipatov vertex in the light-cone case

Result of calculation (in the background-Feynman gauge)

$$L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_\perp, x_B)\rangle \;=\; \frac{2ge^{-i(k,y)_\perp}}{\alpha x_B s + k_\perp^2}\mathcal{F}^{ab}_l(x_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}, y_\perp)$$
$$\times\left[\frac{\alpha x_B s}{k_\perp^2}(\frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}p_{2\mu} - \alpha p_{1\mu})\delta^l_i - \delta^l_\mu k_i + \frac{\alpha x_B s g_{\mu i}k^l}{k_\perp^2 + \alpha x_B s} + \frac{2\alpha k_i k^l}{k_\perp^2 + \alpha x_B s}p_{1\mu}\right]$$

NB:

$$k^\mu L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_\perp, x_B) \;=\; 0$$

for both shock-wave and light-cone Lipatov vertices.

It is convenient to write Lipatov vertex in the light-like gauge $p_2^\mu A_\mu = 0$ by replacement $\alpha p_1^\mu \to \alpha p_1^\mu - k^\mu \;=\; -k_\perp^\mu - \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}$

$$L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_\perp, x_B)^{\text{light-like}} \;=\; 2ge^{-i(k,y)_\perp}$$
$$\times\left[\frac{k_\mu^\perp \delta^l_i}{k_\perp^2} - \frac{\delta^l_\mu k_i + \delta^l_i k_\mu^\perp - g_{\mu i}k^l}{\alpha x_B s + k_\perp^2} - \frac{k_\perp^2 g_{\mu i}k^l + 2k_\mu^\perp k_i k^l}{(\alpha x_B s + k_\perp^2)^2}\right]\mathcal{F}^{ab}_l(x_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}, y_\perp) \;+\; O(p_{2\mu})$$

Lipatov vertex at arbitrary momenta

"Interpolating formula" between the shock-wave and light-cone Lipatov vertices

$$L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_\perp, x_B)^{\text{light-like}}$$
$$= g(k_\perp|\mathcal{F}^j\big(x_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big)\Big\{\frac{\alpha x_B s g_{\mu i} - 2k_\mu^\perp k_i}{\alpha x_B s + k_\perp^2}(k_j U + U p_j)\frac{1}{\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2}U^\dagger$$
$$- 2k_\mu^\perp U\frac{g_{ij}}{\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2}U^\dagger - 2g_{\mu j}U\frac{p_i}{\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2}U^\dagger + \frac{2k_\mu^\perp}{k_\perp^2}g_{ij}\Big\}|y_\perp)^{ab} + O(p_{2\mu})$$

This formula is actually correct (within our accuracy $\alpha_{\text{fast}} \ll \alpha_{\text{slow}}$) in the whole range of x_B and transverse momenta

Virtual corrections: similar calculation



Figure : Virtual gluon corrections.

Result of the calculation (in light-like and background-Feynman gauges)

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_i^n(y_\perp, x_B)\rangle^{\text{Fig. 5}} = -ig^2 f^{nkl} \int_{\sigma'}^{\sigma} \frac{đ\alpha}{\alpha} (y_\perp| - \frac{p^j}{p_\perp^2} \mathcal{F}_k(x_B)(i\overleftarrow{\partial}_l + U_l)$$
$$\times\, (2\delta_j^k \delta_i^l - g_{ij} g^{kl}) U \frac{1}{\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2} U^\dagger + \mathcal{F}_i(x_B) \frac{\alpha x_B s}{p_\perp^2(\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2)} |y_\perp)^{kl}$$

NB: with $\alpha < \sigma$ cutoff there is no UV divergence.

Rapidity *vs* UV cutoff

Regularizing the IR divergence with a small gluon mass m^2 we obtain

$$\int_0^\sigma \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \int d^2p_\perp \frac{\alpha x_B s}{(p_\perp^2 + m^2)(\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2 + m^2)} \simeq \frac{\pi}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\sigma x_B s + m^2}{m^2} \qquad (1)$$

Simultaneous regularization of UV and rapidity divergence is a consequence of our specific choice of cutoff in rapidity.

For a different rapidity cutoff we may have the UV divergence in the remaining integrals which has to be regulated with suitable UV cutoff.

Rapidity *vs* UV cutoff

Regularizing the IR divergence with a small gluon mass m^2 we obtain

$$\int_0^\sigma \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \int d^2p_\perp \frac{\alpha x_B s}{(p_\perp^2 + m^2)(\alpha x_B s + p_\perp^2 + m^2)} \simeq \frac{\pi}{2} \ln^2 \frac{\sigma x_B s + m^2}{m^2} \qquad (1)$$

Simultaneous regularization of UV and rapidity divergence is a consequence of our specific choice of cutoff in rapidity.

For a different rapidity cutoff we may have the UV divergence in the remaining integrals which has to be regulated with suitable UV cutoff.

We calculated

$$\int \frac{đ\alpha đ\beta đ\beta' đ^2p_\perp}{(\beta - i\epsilon)(\beta' + x_B - i\epsilon)(\alpha\beta s - p_\perp^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)(\alpha\beta' s - p_\perp^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)}$$

by taking residues in the integrals over Sudakov variables β and β' and cutting the obtained integral over α from above by the cutofl by $\alpha < \sigma$

Rapidity *vs* UV cutoff

Instead, let us take the residue over α:

$$ix_B \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{m^2 + p_\perp^2} \int đ\beta đ\beta' \frac{\theta(\beta)\theta(-\beta') - \theta(-\beta)\theta(\beta')}{(\beta' + x_B - i\epsilon)(\beta - i\epsilon)(\beta' - \beta)}$$

$$= \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{m^2 + p_\perp^2} \int \frac{đ\beta đ\beta'}{\beta' + x_B - i\epsilon} \frac{ix_B \theta(\beta)}{(\beta - i\epsilon)(\beta' - \beta + i\epsilon)} = x_B \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{m^2 + p_\perp^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{đ\beta}{\beta(\beta + x_B)}$$

which is integral (1) with change of variable $\beta = \frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha s}$.

Rapidity *vs* UV cutoff

Instead, let us take the residue over α:

$$ix_B \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{m^2 + p_\perp^2} \int đ\beta đ\beta' \frac{\theta(\beta)\theta(-\beta') - \theta(-\beta)\theta(\beta')}{(\beta' + x_B - i\epsilon)(\beta - i\epsilon)(\beta' - \beta)}$$

$$= \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{m^2 + p_\perp^2} \int \frac{đ\beta đ\beta'}{\beta' + x_B - i\epsilon} \frac{ix_B \theta(\beta)}{(\beta - i\epsilon)(\beta' - \beta + i\epsilon)} = x_B \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{m^2 + p_\perp^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{đ\beta}{\beta(\beta + x_B)}$$

which is integral (1) with change of variable $\beta = \frac{p_\perp^2}{\alpha s}$.

A conventional way of rewriting this integral in the framework of collinear factorization approach is

$$x_B \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{m^2 + p_\perp^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\beta}{\beta(\beta + x_B)} = \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{m^2 + p_\perp^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dz}{1 - z}$$

where $z = \frac{x_B}{x_B + \beta}$ is a fraction of momentum $(x_B + \beta)p_2$ of "incoming gluon" (described by $\mathcal{F}_i$ in our formalism) carried by the emitted "particle" with fraction $x_B p_2$.

If we cut the rapidity of the emitted gluon by cutoff in fraction of momentum z, we would still have the UV divergent expression which must be regulated by a suitable UV cutoff.

Evoltuion equation for the gluon TMD operator

A. Tarasov and I.B.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\left(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(x_\perp,x_B)\mathcal{F}_j^a(y_\perp,x_B)\right)^{\ln\sigma} \\
&= -\alpha_s\int đ^2k_\perp\ \mathrm{Tr}\{\tilde{L}_i{}^\mu(k,x_\perp,x_B)^{\mathrm{light-like}}L_{\mu j}(k,y_\perp,x_B)^{\mathrm{light-like}}\} \\
&- \alpha_s\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i(x_\perp,x_B)(y_\perp| - \frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2}\mathcal{F}_k(x_B)(i\overleftarrow{\partial}_l + U_l)(2\delta_m^k\delta_j^l - g_{jm}g^{kl})U\frac{1}{\sigma x_B s + p_\perp^2}U^\dagger \\
&\qquad + \mathcal{F}_j(x_B)\frac{\sigma x_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma x_B s + p_\perp^2)}|y_\perp) \\
&+ (x_\perp|\tilde{U}\frac{1}{\sigma x_B s + p_\perp^2}\tilde{U}^\dagger(2\delta_i^k\delta_m^l - g_{im}g^{kl})(i\partial_k - \tilde{U}_k)\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_l(x_B)\frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2} \\
&\qquad + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i(x_B)\frac{\sigma x_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma x_B s + p_\perp^2)}|x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j(y_\perp,x_B)\Big\} + O(\alpha_s^2)
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression is UV and IR convergent.

It describes the rapidity evolution of gluon TMD operator in for any x_B and transverse momenta!

Evoltuion equation for the gluon TMD

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\langle p|\big(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(x_\perp,x_B)\mathcal{F}_j^a(y_\perp,x_B)\big)^{\ln\sigma}|p\rangle \\
=\ & -\alpha_s\int đ^2k_\perp\ \langle p|\mathrm{Tr}\{\tilde{L}_i{}^\mu(k,x_\perp,x_B)^{\mathrm{light-like}}\theta\big(1-x_B-\frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big)L_{\mu j}(k,y_\perp,x_B)^{\mathrm{light-like}}\}|p\rangle \\
&-\alpha_s\langle p|\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i(x_\perp,x_B)(y_\perp|-\frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2}\mathcal{F}_k(x_B)(i\overleftarrow{\partial}_l+U_l)(2\delta_m^k\delta_j^l-g_{jm}g^{kl})U\frac{1}{\sigma x_B s+p_\perp^2}U^\dagger \\
&\qquad\qquad+\mathcal{F}_j(x_B)\frac{\sigma x_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma x_B s+p_\perp^2)}|y_\perp) \\
&+(x_\perp|\tilde{U}\frac{1}{\sigma x_B s+p_\perp^2}\tilde{U}^\dagger(2\delta_i^k\delta_m^l-g_{im}g^{kl})(i\partial_k-\tilde{U}_k)\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_l(x_B)\frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2} \\
&\qquad\qquad+\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i(x_B)\frac{\sigma x_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma x_B s+p_\perp^2)}|x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j\big(y_\perp,x_B\big)\Big\}|p\rangle\ +\ O(\alpha_s^2)
\end{aligned}
$$

The factor $\theta\big(1-x_B-\frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big)$ reflects kinematical restriction that the fraction of initial proton's momentum carried by produced gluon should be smaller than $1-x_B$

Light-cone limit

$$\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^n(x_B,x_\perp)\mathcal{F}^{in}(x_B,x_\perp)|p\rangle^{\ln\sigma} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}N_c\int_{\sigma'}^{\sigma}\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}\int_0^\infty d\beta\,\Big\{\theta(1-x_B-\beta)$$
$$\times\Big[\frac{1}{\beta}-\frac{2x_B}{(x_B+\beta)^2}+\frac{x_B^2}{(x_B+\beta)^3}-\frac{x_B^3}{(x_B+\beta)^4}\Big]\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^n(x_B+\beta,x_\perp)$$
$$\times\,\mathcal{F}^{ni}(x_B+\beta,x_\perp)|p\rangle^{\ln\sigma'}-\frac{x_B}{\beta(x_B+\beta)}\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^n(x_B,x_\perp)\mathcal{F}^{in}(x_B,x_\perp)|p\rangle^{\ln\sigma'}\Big\}$$

In the LLA the cutoff in $\sigma \Leftrightarrow$ cutoff in transverse momenta

$$\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^n(x_B,x_\perp)\mathcal{F}^{in}(x_B,x_\perp)|p\rangle^{k_\perp^2<\mu^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}N_c\int_0^\infty d\beta\int_{\frac{\mu'^2}{\beta s}}^{\frac{\mu^2}{\beta s}}\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}\,\{\text{same}\}$$

$\Rightarrow$ DGLAP equation $\Rightarrow$ $(z' \equiv \frac{x_B}{x_B+\beta})$ DGLAP kernel

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\alpha_s\mathcal{D}(x_B,0_\perp,\eta) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}N_c\int_{x_B}^1\frac{dz'}{z'}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{1-z'}\Big)_+ + \frac{1}{z'} - 2 + z'(1-z')\Big]\alpha_s\mathcal{D}\Big(\frac{x_B}{z'},0_\perp,\eta\Big)$$

Low-x case: BK evolution of the WW distribution

Low-x regime: $x_B = 0$ + characteristic transverse momenta $p_\perp^2 \sim (x-y)_\perp^{-2} \ll s$
$\Rightarrow$ in the whole range of evolution ($1 \gg \sigma \gg \frac{(x-y)_\perp^{-2}}{s}$) we have $\frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s} \ll 1 \Rightarrow$ the kinematical constraint $\theta\big(1 - \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big)$ can be omitted

$\Rightarrow$ non-linear evolution equation

$$\frac{d}{d\eta}\tilde{U}_i^a(z_1)U_j^a(z_2)$$
$$= -\frac{g^2}{8\pi^3}\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{(-i\partial_i^{z_1} + \tilde{U}_i^{z_1})\Big[\int d^2z_3(\tilde{U}_{z_1}\tilde{U}_{z_3}^\dagger - 1)\frac{z_{12}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{23}^2}(U_{z_3}U_{z_2}^\dagger - 1)\Big](i\overleftarrow{\partial}_j^{z_2} + U_j^{z_2})\Big\}$$

where $\eta \equiv \ln\sigma$ and $\frac{z_{12}^2}{z_{13}^2 z_{23}^2}$ is the BK kernel

This eqn holds true also at small x_B up to $x_B \sim \frac{(x-y)_\perp^{-2}}{s}$ since in the whole range of evolution $1 \gg \sigma \gg \frac{(x-y)_\perp^{-2}}{s}$ one can neglect $\sigma x_B s$ in comparison to $p_\perp^2$ in the denominators $(p_\perp^2 + \sigma x_B s) \Leftrightarrow$ effectively $x_B = 0$.

Sudakov double logs

Sudakov limit: $x_B \equiv x_B \sim 1$ and $k_\perp^2 \sim (x-y)_\perp^{-2} \sim$ few GeV.

One can show that the non-linear terms are power suppressed $\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(x_B,x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j^a(x_B,y_\perp)|p\rangle$$
$$= 4\alpha_s N_c \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{p_\perp^2}\Big[e^{i(p,x-y)_\perp}\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a\big(x_B+\frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s},x_\perp\big)\mathcal{F}_j^a\big(x_B+\frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s},y_\perp\big)|p\rangle$$
$$- \frac{\sigma x_B s}{\sigma x_B s + p_\perp^2}\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(x_B,x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j^a(x_B,y_\perp)|p\rangle\Big]$$

Double-log region: $1 \gg \sigma \gg \frac{(x-y)_\perp^{-2}}{s}$ and $\sigma x_B s \gg p_\perp^2 \gg (x-y)_\perp^{-2}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\mathcal{D}(x_B,z_\perp,\ln\sigma) = -\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi^2}\mathcal{D}(x_B,z_\perp,\ln\sigma)\int\frac{d^2p_\perp}{p_\perp^2}\left[1-e^{i(p,z)_\perp}\right]$$

Sudakov double logs

Sudakov limit: $x_B \equiv x_B \sim 1$ and $k_\perp^2 \sim (x-y)_\perp^{-2} \sim$ few GeV.

One can show that the non-linear terms are power suppressed $\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(x_B,x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j^a(x_B,y_\perp)|p\rangle$$
$$= 4\alpha_s N_c \int \frac{đ^2 p_\perp}{p_\perp^2}\Big[e^{i(p,x-y)_\perp}\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a\big(x_B+\frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s},x_\perp\big)\mathcal{F}_j^a\big(x_B+\frac{p_\perp^2}{\sigma s},y_\perp\big)|p\rangle$$
$$- \frac{\sigma x_B s}{\sigma x_B s + p_\perp^2}\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_i^a(x_B,x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j^a(x_B,y_\perp)|p\rangle\Big]$$

Double-log region: $1 \gg \sigma \gg \frac{(x-y)_\perp^{-2}}{s}$ and $\sigma x_B s \gg p_\perp^2 \gg (x-y)_\perp^{-2}$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\mathcal{D}(x_B,z_\perp,\ln\sigma) = -\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi^2}\mathcal{D}(x_B,z_\perp,\ln\sigma)\int\frac{d^2p_\perp}{p_\perp^2}\big[1-e^{i(p,z)_\perp}\big]$$

$\Rightarrow$ Sudakov double logs

$$\mathcal{D}(x_B,k_\perp,\ln\sigma) \sim \exp\Big\{-\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}\ln^2\frac{\sigma s}{k_\perp^2}\Big\}\mathcal{D}(x_B,k_\perp,\ln\frac{k_\perp^2}{s})$$

Gluon TMD in particle production

Suppose we produce a scalar particle (e.g. Higgs) in a gluon-gluon fusion. For simplicity, assume the vertex is local: $\int dz F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}_a \Phi(z)$. Again, we integrate over rapidities $Y > \eta$:



Gluons with rapidities $Y < \eta$ shrink to a pancake

Gluon TMD in particle production

⇒ Rapidity factorization for particle production



$Y > \eta$

$Y < \eta$



Matrix element between hadron states $\Rightarrow \sum_X = 1$



$\Rightarrow$



Gluon TMD with gauge links to $-\infty$





$$\Rightarrow \quad \alpha_s \mathcal{D}(x_B, z_\perp) \;=\; -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi(p\cdot n)x_B}\int du\; e^{-ix_B u(pn)}\langle p|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^a_\xi(z_\perp + un)[z_\perp, 0]_{-\infty}\mathcal{F}^{a\xi}(0)|p\rangle$$

$$\mathcal{F}^a_\xi(z_\perp + un) \;\equiv\; [-\infty n + z_\perp, un + z_\perp]^{am} n^\mu F^m_{\mu\xi}(un + z_\perp)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^a_\xi(z_\perp + un) \;\equiv\; n^\mu F^m_{\mu\xi}(un + z_\perp)[un + z_\perp, -\infty n + z_\perp]^{ma}$$

Lipatov vertex for gauge links to $-\infty$

$$L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_\perp, \beta_B)^{\text{light-like}}_{-\infty} = g(k_\perp|U\mathcal{F}^j\big(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big)$$
$$\Big[\frac{\alpha\beta_B s g_{\mu i} - 2k_\mu^\perp k_i}{\alpha\beta_B s + k_\perp^2}\frac{(p+k)_j}{\alpha\beta_B s + p_\perp^2} - \frac{2k_\mu^\perp g_{ij} + 2g_{\mu j}p_i}{\alpha\beta_B s + p_\perp^2}\Big] + 2U\frac{p_\mu^\perp}{p_\perp^2}\mathcal{F}_i\big(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big)|y_\perp)^{ab}$$

Lipatov vertex for gauge links to $-\infty$

$$L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_\perp, \beta_B)^{\text{light-like}}_{-\infty} = g(k_\perp | U \mathcal{F}^j \big(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big)$$
$$\Big[\frac{\alpha\beta_B s g_{\mu i} - 2k_\mu^\perp k_i}{\alpha\beta_B s + k_\perp^2} \frac{(p+k)_j}{\alpha\beta_B s + p_\perp^2} - \frac{2k_\mu^\perp g_{ij} + 2g_{\mu j} p_i}{\alpha\beta_B s + p_\perp^2}\Big] + 2U \frac{p_\mu^\perp}{p_\perp^2} \mathcal{F}_i \big(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big) | y_\perp)^{ab}$$

Compare to L. vertex for gauge links to $+\infty$

$$L^{ab}_{\mu i}(k, y_\perp, \beta_B)^{\text{light-like}}_{+\infty} = g(k_\perp | \Big\{ \frac{\alpha\beta_B s g_{\mu i} - 2k_\mu^\perp k_i}{\alpha\beta_B s + k_\perp^2} \mathcal{F}^j \big(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big) U \frac{(p+k)_j}{\alpha\beta_B s + p_\perp^2} U^\dagger$$
$$- 2\mathcal{F}^j \big(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big) U \frac{g_{ij} k_\mu^\perp + g_{\mu j} p_i}{\alpha\beta_B s + p_\perp^2} U^\dagger + \frac{2k_\mu^\perp}{k_\perp^2} \mathcal{F}_i \big(\beta_B + \frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big) \Big\} | y_\perp)^{ab}$$

Evoltuion equation for the gluon TMD

Replace
$\infty n \to -\infty n$ everywhere
and
$x_B \to -x_B$ in the virtual correction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{d\ln\sigma}\langle p|\big(\mathcal{F}_i^a(x_\perp,x_B)\mathcal{F}_j^a(y_\perp,x_B)\big)^{\ln\sigma}|p\rangle \\
= \; & -\alpha_s\int \bar{d}^2k_\perp \; \langle p|\mathrm{Tr}\{L_i{}^\mu(k,x_\perp,x_B)^{\mathrm{light-like}}\theta\big(1-x_B-\frac{k_\perp^2}{\alpha s}\big)L_{\mu j}(k,y_\perp,x_B)^{\mathrm{light-like}}\}|p\rangle \\
& -\alpha_s\langle p|\mathrm{Tr}\Big\{\mathcal{F}_i(x_\perp,x_B)(y_\perp|U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma x_B s-p_\perp^2+i\epsilon}U(2\delta_m^k\delta_j^l-g_{jm}g^{kl})(i\partial_l+U_l)\mathcal{F}_k(x_B)\frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2} \\
& \qquad\qquad +\mathcal{F}_j(x_B)\frac{\sigma x_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma x_B s-p_\perp^2+i\epsilon)}|y_\perp) \\
& +(x_\perp|\frac{p^m}{p_\perp^2}\mathcal{F}_l(x_B)(i\overleftarrow{\partial}_k+U_k)(2\delta_i^k\delta_m^l-g_{im}g^{kl})U^\dagger\frac{1}{\sigma x_B s-p_\perp^2-i\epsilon}U \\
& \qquad\qquad +\mathcal{F}_i(x_B)\frac{\sigma x_B s}{p_\perp^2(\sigma x_B s-p_\perp^2-i\epsilon)}|x_\perp)\mathcal{F}_j\big(y_\perp,x_B\big)\Big\}|p\rangle \; + \; O(\alpha_s^2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Conclusions and outlook

1. Conclusions
   - The evolution equation for gluon TMD at any x_B and transverse momenta.
   - Interpolates between linear DGLAP and Sudakov limits and the non-linear low-x BK regime
2. Outlook
   - Conformal invariance (for N=4 SYM)?
   - Transition between collinear factorization and k_T factorization.

Conclusions and outlook

1. Conclusions
   - The evolution equation for gluon TMD at any x_B and transverse momenta.
   - Interpolates between linear DGLAP and Sudakov limits and the non-linear low-x BK regime
2. Outlook
   - Conformal invariance (for N=4 SYM)?
   - Transition between collinear factorization and k_T factorization.

Thank you for attention!