TMDs at small x

Jian Zhou
ShanDong University

DIS Apr. 2017, Birmingham

Outline:

➢Joint TMD and small x evolution

➢Spin dependent TMDs at small x

    1: unpolarized target

    2: transversely polarized target

➢ Summary

Gluon initiated Drell-Yan process

- ➤ $M^2 >> p_T^2$, TMD factorization, $\ln \dfrac{M^2}{p_T^2}$ resummed by Collins-Soper equation

  1982-1983, Collins, Soper

- ➤ $S >> M^2$, Kt factorization, $\ln \dfrac{S}{M^2}$ resummed by BFKL equation

  1991, Catani, Ciafaloni and Hautmann
  1991, Collins and R. K. Ellis

The overlap region $S >> M^2 >> p_T^2$

An explicit NLO cross section calculation shows that both the large logarithm appear.

2013, Mueller, Xiao, Yuan

Such joint resummation has been also disscussed in other literatures.

2015, Balitsky and Tarasov; 2015 Marzani

In collinear calculation $\ln\dfrac{M^2}{\mu^2}$ absorbed into PDF.

One natural question:

$$\int \frac{d\xi^- d^2\xi_\perp}{(2\pi)^3 P^+} e^{ixP^+\xi^- - ik_\perp \cdot \xi_\perp} \langle P | F^{+i}(\xi^-, \xi_\perp) \mathcal{L}_\xi^\dagger \mathcal{L}_0 F^{+i}(0) | P \rangle$$

Does it accommodate both type large logarithms?

$$\ln\frac{S}{M^2} \qquad \ln\frac{M^2}{p_T^2}$$

small x gluon TMD at LO

In a simple quark model, at tree level:

$$xG(x, l_\perp, x\zeta)_{LO}\big|_{x \to 0} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{l_\perp^2}$$

➢ both large logarithms are absent at LO;
how is it dressed by quantum corrections at NLO?

NLO Sample diagrams:

➤ Calculation formulated in the Ji-Ma-Yuan scheme.

In the leading logarithm approximations,

Small x gluon TMD at NLO reads,

$$
\begin{aligned}
xG(x, l_\perp, x\zeta)_{NLO} &= xG(x, l_\perp, x\zeta)_{LO} \\
&+ \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi^2} \ln\frac{1}{x} \int \frac{d^2 k_\perp}{k_\perp^2} \left[xG_{LO}(x, k_\perp + l_\perp, x\zeta) - \frac{l_\perp^2}{2(l_\perp + k_\perp)^2} xG_{LO}(x, l_\perp, x\zeta) \right] \\
&+ \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi} \left[\ln\frac{x^2\zeta^2}{l_\perp^2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{x^2\zeta^2}{\mu^2} - \left(\ln\frac{x^2\zeta^2}{l_\perp^2} \right)^2 \right] xG_{LO}(x, l_\perp, x\zeta) \\
&+ \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^2 k_\perp}{2\left[k_\perp^2 + l_\perp^4/x^2\zeta^2 \right]} \ln\frac{k_\perp^2(k_\perp^2 + x^2\zeta^2)}{(k_\perp^2 + l_\perp^2)^2} xG_{LO}(x, k_\perp + l_\perp, x\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

2016, ZJ

$$
\ln\frac{1}{x} \quad\longrightarrow\quad \ln\frac{S}{M^2}
\qquad\qquad
\ln\frac{x^2\varsigma^2}{k_T^2} \quad\longrightarrow\quad \ln\frac{M^2}{p_T^2}
$$

The resulting gluon TMD indeed simultaneously satisfies the both

BFKL equation:

$$\frac{\partial \left[xG(x, l_\perp, x\zeta) \right]}{\partial \ln(1/x)} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi^2} \int \frac{d^2 k_\perp}{k_\perp^2} \left\{ xG(x, k_\perp + l_\perp, x\zeta) - \frac{l_\perp^2}{2(l_\perp + k_\perp)^2} xG(x, l_\perp, x\zeta) \right\}$$

CS equation:

$$\frac{\partial \left[G(x, b_\perp, x\zeta) \right]}{\partial \ln \zeta} = -\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi} \ln \left[\frac{x^2 \zeta^2 b_\perp^2}{4} e^{2\gamma_E - \frac{1}{2}} \right] G(x, b_\perp, x\zeta)$$

More formal treatment

Computing small x gluon TMDs in CGC with Collins 2011 scheme

Small x TMDs in CGC at NLO

Sample diagrams

Collinear approach vs CGC I

- **TMDs in collinear approach**
  collinear divergence   DGLAP
- **TMDs in CGC,**
  rapidity divergence   BK or JIMWLK

Collins-Soper light cone divergence appears in both collinear approach and CGC calculation

Match small x TMDs onto two point functions instead of PDFs.

Collinear approach vs CGC II

$$\tilde{f}_g^{(sub.)}(x, r_\perp, \zeta_c{}') = e^{-S_{pert}^g(Q, r_\perp)} \sum_i C_{g/i}(\mu_r/\mu) \otimes f_i(x, \mu)$$

Sudakov factor

Hard coefficient

Colliner PDF

$$xG^{(1)}(x, r_\perp, \zeta_c) = -\frac{2}{\alpha_S} \cdot \mathcal{H}^{WW}(\alpha_s(Q)) e^{-\mathcal{S}_{sud}(Q^2, r_\perp^2)} \mathcal{F}_{Y=\ln 1/x}^{WW}(x_\perp, y_\perp)$$

Hard coefficient

Sudakov factor

Two point function

Xiao, Yuan and ZJ, 2017.

Two step evolution: $S \Rightarrow M^2 \Rightarrow k_T^2$

Spin dependent TMDs at small x

1: Inside an unpolarized target

Linearly polarized gluon TMD

$$\int \frac{dr^- d^2 r_\perp}{(2\pi)^3 P^+} e^{-ix_1 P^+ r^- + i\vec{k}_{1\perp} \cdot \vec{r}_\perp} \langle A| F^{+i}(r^- + y^-, r_\perp + y_\perp) L^\dagger L F^{+j}(y^-, y_\perp)|A\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\delta_\perp^{ij}}{2} x_1 G(x_1, k_{1\perp}) + \left(\hat{k}_{1\perp}^i \hat{k}_{1\perp}^j - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\perp^{ij} \right) x_1 h_1^{\perp g}(x_1, k_{1\perp}),$$

Mulders, Rodrigues, 2001

Unpolarized gluon TMD
computed in the MV model

Kovchegov, 96
J. Marian, Kovner, Mclerran & Weigert, 97

Gluon TMDs in the MV model

The linearly polarized gluon TMDs in the MV model,

Metz & ZJ, 2011

Weizsäcker-Williams(WW) distribution:

$$x h^{\perp g}_{1,WW}(x, k_\perp) = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{8\pi^3} S_\perp \int d\xi_\perp \frac{K_2(k_\perp \xi_\perp)}{\frac{1}{4\mu_A} \xi_\perp Q_s^2} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\xi_\perp^2 Q_s^2}{4}}\right)$$

Dipole distribution:

$$x h^{\perp g}_{1,DP}(x, k_\perp) = x G^g_{DP}(x, k_\perp) = \frac{k_\perp^2 N_c}{2\pi^2 \alpha_s} S_\perp \int \frac{d^2\xi_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i\vec{k}_\perp \cdot \vec{\xi}_\perp} e^{-\frac{Q_{sq}^2 \xi_\perp^2}{4}}$$

positivity bound saturated for any value of k_t

TMD evolution effect

FIG. 2: The ratio $R = h_1^{\perp g}/f_1^g$ as function of $k_\perp$, at $x = 0.01$ for $\mu = 6$, 15 and 90 GeV.

D. Boer, P. Mulders, JZ, Y. J. Zhou, 2017

2: **Inside a transversely polarized target**

Three T-odd gluon TMDs

Identify 6 leading power gluon TMDs for a transversely polarized target (8 in total). Among them, 3 gluon TMDs are T-odd distributions.

$$\frac{1}{xP^+} \int \frac{dy^- d^2 y_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ik\cdot y} \langle P, S_T | 2\text{Tr} \left[F^\mu_{+T}(0) U F^\nu_{+T}(y) U' \right] | P, S_T \rangle$$

$$= \delta^{\mu\nu}_T f^g_1 + \left(\frac{2k^\mu_T k^\nu_T}{k^2_\perp} - \delta^{\mu\nu}_T \right) h^{\perp g}_1 - \delta^{\mu\nu}_T \frac{\epsilon_{T\alpha\beta} k^\alpha_T S^\beta_T}{M} f^{\perp g}_{1T}$$

$$- i\epsilon^{\mu\nu}_T \frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{M} g^g_{1T} - \frac{\tilde{k}^{\{\mu}_T k^{\nu\}}_T}{k^2_\perp} \frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{M} h^{\perp g}_{1T} - \frac{\tilde{k}^{\{\mu}_T S^{\nu\}}_T + \tilde{S}^{\{\mu}_T k^{\nu\}}_T}{2M} h^g_{1T}$$

Mulders, Rodrigues, 2001

Are the T-odd gluon TMDs relevant at small x?

T-odd gluon TMDs & the odderon

Starting point,

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\text{T-odd}} = \frac{1}{xP^+} \int \frac{dy^- d^2 y_T}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ik\cdot y} \langle P, S_T | 2\text{Tr}\left[F^\mu_{+T}(0) U^{[-]\dagger} F^\nu_{+T}(y) U^{[+]} \right] | P, S_T \rangle$$

Using time reversal invariance and parity symmetry, at small x one obtains,

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{\text{T-odd}} = \frac{k^\mu_T k^\nu_T}{g^2 V x P^+} \int \frac{d^2 y_{1T} d^2 y_{2T}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{ik_T \cdot y_T} \langle P, S_T | \text{Tr}\left[U^{[\Box]}(y_T) - U^{[\Box]\dagger}(y_T) \right] | P, S_T \rangle$$

Schematically,

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu}_{T-odd} \propto \frac{1}{2} k^\mu_T k^\nu_T \left\{ \quad - \quad \right\}$$

$$\hat{D}(R_\perp, r_\perp) = \frac{1}{N_c} \text{Tr}\left[U(R_\perp + \frac{r_\perp}{2}) U^\dagger(R_\perp - \frac{r_\perp}{2}) \right]$$

Nothing but an odderon operator in CGC

$$\hat{O}(R_\perp, r_\perp) = \frac{1}{2i} \left[\hat{D}(R_\perp, r_\perp) - \hat{D}(R_\perp, -r_\perp) \right]$$

Kovchegov, Szymanowski & Wallon 2004
Hatta, Iancu, Itakura & McLerran 2005

Spin dependent odderon

$$
\begin{aligned}
< \hat{O}(r_\perp) > &= -\frac{c_0 \alpha_s^3 \pi}{8 M_p R_0^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4} r_\perp^2 Q_s^2} r_\perp^2 \epsilon_\perp^{ij} S_{\perp i} r_{\perp j} \int dx_q d^2 z_\perp \sum_{u,d} \mathcal{E}(x_q, z_\perp^2) \\
&= -\frac{c_0 \alpha_s^3 \pi}{8 M_p R_0^2} e^{-\frac{1}{4} r_\perp^2 Q_s^2} r_\perp^2 \epsilon_\perp^{ij} S_{\perp i} r_{\perp j} \left(\kappa_p^u + \kappa_p^d \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

ZJ 2013

In the momentum space:

$$
\frac{\epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} S_{T\alpha} k_{T\beta}}{M} O_{1T,x}^\perp(k_\perp^2) \propto \left\{ \quad - \quad \right\}
$$

$$
\Gamma_{T-odd}^{\mu\nu} \propto \frac{1}{2} k_T^\mu k_T^\nu \left\{ \quad - \quad \right\}
$$

Two different paramertrizations

Equaling two parmetrizations:

$$\frac{k_T^\mu k_T^\nu N_c}{2\pi^2 \alpha_s x} \frac{\epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} S_{T\alpha} k_{T\beta}}{M} O_{1T,x}^\perp(k_\perp^2) = -\delta_T^{\mu\nu} \frac{\epsilon_{T\alpha\beta} k_T^\alpha S_T^\beta}{M} f_{1T}^{\perp g}$$

$$-\frac{\tilde{k}_T^{\{\mu} k_T^{\nu\}}}{k_\perp^2} \frac{k_T \cdot S_T}{M} h_{1T}^{\perp g} - \frac{\tilde{k}_T^{\{\mu} S_T^{\nu\}} + \tilde{S}_T^{\{\mu} k_T^{\nu\}}}{2M} h_{1T}^g$$

Simple algebra leads to

$$x f_{1T}^{\perp g} = x h_{1T}^g = x h_{1T}^{\perp g} = \frac{k_\perp^2 N_c}{4\pi^2 \alpha_s} O_{1T,x}^\perp(k_\perp^2)$$

Boer, Echevarria, Mulders, ZJ; 2016

All of three dipole type T-odd gluon TMDs become identical at small x!

Summary

At small x:

➢ A joint resummation can be achieved

➢ Very rich polarization dependent phenomenology

**It would be interesting to test these theoretical ideas
at RHIC and the future EIC**

A general remark:

empolying powerful small x machinery to TMD/spin physics often leads to fruitful results.

Thank you for your attention.