Going Beyond WIMPs: New Avenues in the Search for Dark Matter

Jan. 2017

Tomer Volansky Tel-Aviv University

Will We Find Dark Matter?

All experimental signatures of dark matter are *gravitational*.

Dark Matter 26.8% Ordinary Matter 4.9% Dark Energy

68.3%

Q: Why should we see dark matter anywhere else?

A: Because it was produced in the early universe!

How do we usually explain the 85% DM abundance?

Thermal WIMP (Weakly Interacting Massive Particle).

The Thermal WIMP



So where do we stand with WIMPs?

Tension is building up!

A Word of Caution...

All constraints are *always* model-dependent

Direct detection:

Indirect detection:

Depends on mediator, couplings, inelasticity, etc.

Depends on annihilation channels, pwave suppression, etc.

Collider: Depends on mediator, couplings, etc.

All constraints are *always* model-dependent

Direct detection:

Indirect detection:

Depends on mediator, couplings, inelasticity, etc.

Depends on annihilation channels, pwave suppression, etc.

Collider: Depends on mediator, couplings, etc.

So no need to give up on WIMPS

Obsessed with the WIMP...

For the last ~30 years we have been focusing on the WIMP scenario



Our experimental effort is strongly focused on the WIMP!



Obsessed with the WIMP...

For the last ~30 years we have been focusing on the WIMP scenario



(repeat everything we did for the WIMP...)

Where do WIMPs come from?

WIMPs are predicted by theories beyond the SM that address the Naturalness Problem.



Where do WIMPs come from?

WIMPs are predicted by theories beyond the SM that address the Naturalness Problem.





Significant theoretical and experimental activities in recent years to go beyond the WIMP paradigm

Experimentally, it is possible to search for DM much lighter than previously thought and it is possible to search for more complex DM sectors.

Outline

- Classifying Theories of Light Dark Matter
 - The Dark Sector: Self-interactions
 - Production Mechanisms
- Searching for Light Dark Matter
 - (Collider and Beam-dump experiments)
 - Direct Detection
 - Astrophysical Probes: Searching for Structure

Going Beyond the WIMP Classifying Theories of Light Dark Matter

Dark Sector

- Spin
- Mass
- Self-Interactions
- Light States
- Gauge symmetries
- ...

Dark Sector

- Spin
- Mass

. . .

- Self-Interactions
- Light States
- Gauge symmetries

Production Mech.

- Freeze-out
- Freeze-in
- Freeze-out and decay
- Non-thermal
- Asymmetric
- Misalignment
- . . .

Dark Sector

- Spin
- Mass

. . .

- Self-Interactions
- Light States
- Gauge symmetries

Production Mech.

- Freeze-out
- Freeze-in
- Freeze-out and decay
- Non-thermal
- Asymmetric
- Misalignment
- ...

Mediation Scheme

- Gravity
- Weak-scale Mediator
- Light Hidden photon
- Axion portal
- Higgs portal
- ...

Dark Sector

- Spin
- Mass

. . .

- Self-Interactions
- Light States
- Gauge symmetries

Production Mech.

- Freeze-out
- Freeze-in
- Freeze-out and decay
- Non-thermal
- Asymmetric
- Misalignment
- ...

Mediation Scheme

- Gravity
- Weak-scale Mediator
- Light Hidden photon
- Axion portal
- Higgs portal
- ...

Couplings

- Quarks
- Gluons
- Charged Leptons
- Neutrinos
- Photons

• ...

Dark Sector

Spin

Mass

. . .

- Self-Interactions
- Light States
- Gauge symmetries

Production Mech.

- Freeze-out
- Freeze-in
- Freeze-out and decay
- Non-thermal
- Asymmetric
- Misalignment
 - ...

Mediation Scheme

- Gravity
- Weak-scale Mediator
- Light Hidden photon
- Axion portal
- Higgs portal
- ...

Only a small fraction is probed for the WIMP

Couplings

Charged Leptons

Indirec

Quarks

Neutrinos

Photons

. . .

• Gluons

New production mechanisms and mediation schemes often imply a hidden dark sector. Possibly with complex dynamics.



Such hidden sectors often include low scale particles, below the GeV scale.

Very different from the WIMP paradigm!!

Dark Sector

- Spin
- Mass

. . .

- Self-Interactions
- Light States
- Gauge symmetries

- - Freeze-out
 - Freeze-in
- Non-thermal
- Asymmetric
 - Misalignment
- •

Production Mech. Mediation Scheme

- Gravity
- Weak-scale Mediator
- Freeze-out and decay Light Hidden photon
 - Axion portal
 - Higgs portal
 - •

Couplings

- Quarks
- Gluons
- Charged Leptons
- Neutrinos
- Photons
- • •

- Several discrepancies between N-body simulations and astrophysical observations:
 - I. Core vs. Cusp

[Moore 1994; Flores, Primack 1994]

- N-body simulations typically predict:
- Measurements suggest a core:
- Problem exists in: (field and satellite) dwarfs, LSBs, Clusters

[Walker, Penarrubia, 2011; de Blok, Bosma, 2002; Kuzio de Naray et al., 2007; Kuzio de Naray, Spekkens, 2011; Newman et al. 2012; Oh et al. 2015;...]





[Boylan-Kolchin et al. 'I I]

Problems with Cold Dark Matter?

- Several discrepancies between N-body simulations and astrophysical observations:
 - I. Core vs. Cusp
 - 2. "Too-big-to-fail" problem
 - N-body simulations typically predict: MW should have O(10) satellite galaxies that are more massive than the observed most massive dwarf.
 - Problem recently shown to exist also in dSph in Andromeda and around the local group.

[Boylan-Kolchin,Bullock,Tollerud 2014; Garrison-Kimmel et al. 2014; Kirby et al. 2014; Papastergis et al. 2014;...] [Moore 1994; Flores, Primack 1994]

[Boylan-Kolchin,Bullock,Kaplinghat 2011,2012]



- Several discrepancies between N-body simulations and astrophysical observations:
 - I. Core vs. Cusp

[Moore 1994; Flores, Primack 1994]

- 2. "Too-big-to-fail" problem
- 3. Missing satellite problem

[Boylan-Kolchin,Bullock,Kaplinghat 2011,2012]

[Kauffmann et al. 1993; Klypin et al. 1999; Moore et al. 1999]

• N-body simulations typically predict: More MW dSPhs than observed.

Discrepancies above strongly rely on N-body simulations, typically without baryons.

• Statistically significant once M31 and field dwarfs are included.

[Purcell, Zentner 2012; Rodríguez-Puebla et al., 2013]

• It is still possible that the missing dwarf galaxies will be discovered.

Can one explain these with CDM?

Discrepancies above strongly rely on N-body simulations, typically without baryons.

Can one explain these with CDM?

Discrepancies above strongly rely on N-body simulations, typically without baryons.

Can one explain these with CDM?

Definitely maybe! But highly non-trivial...

Discrepancies above strongly rely on N-body simulations, typically without baryons.

Can one explain these with CDM?

Definitely maybe! But highly non-trivial...

Baryonic effects such as supernova feedback may explain (some) these discrepancies (significant ongoing study). Harder to explain (some) discrepancies in field dwarfs. To answer, must understand baryonic feedback much better!

One more problem to note...

Features in Rotation Curves

Disk-halo decomposition

Disk-halo decomposition



Features in rotation curves are intriguing. Mergers may provide a clue?

• DM self-interactions may solve many of the above problems.

[Spergel, Steinhardt, 2000]

- Idea:
 - DM interacts with itself allowing for the transfer of heat from outer to inner regions, thereby producing a core.



• DM self-interactions may solve many of the above problems.

[Spergel, Steinhardt, 2000]

- Idea:
 - DM interacts with itself allowing for the transfer of heat from outer to inner regions, thereby producing a core.
 - Collisions strip sub-halos and reduce number of satellites.



Dark Matter Interpretation

- Numerous models of self-interactions.
- Several implications:
 - Typical self-interacting cross-section (for small-scale structure such as dwarfs):

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm self}}{m_{\rm DM}} \simeq 0.1 - 10 \,{\rm cm}^2/{\rm g}$$

• Requires light states or strong dynamics. Prefers mild velocity-dependent Xsec.



• Numerous additional constraints (on large-scale structure) mpy



$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm self}}{m_{\rm DM}} \lesssim 0.5\,{\rm cm}^2/{\rm g}$$

A Non-trivial dark sector!

Dissipative Dark Matter?

- If light states exist for self-interactions, dark matter may dissipate. Consequently small-scale structure can be formed.
- One interesting example: Double Disk Dark Matter.

[Katz, Fan, Randall, Reece, Shelton, 2013]

• Simple model: 2 charged states (heavy + light) under $U(I)_{hid}$.



- Light states allow for dissipation through cooling.
- Consequently, DM may form a disk (instead of a halo).


Dissipative Dark Matter?

- Structure cannot be more than 5-10% of the total DM density! (quite model-dependent..)
- Once a disk is formed, can smaller structure be formed?

Dark Stars? Dark Planets? Accretion disks?

• What are the implications? (more on this later.)

Classifying Theories of DM

Dark Sector

- Spin
- Mass
- Self-Interactions
- Light States
- Gauge symmetries
- •

Production Mech.

- Freeze-out
- Freeze-in
- Freeze-out and decay
- Non-thermal
- Asymmetric
- Misalignment
- ...

Mediation Scheme

- Gravity
- Weak-scale Mediator
- Light Hidden photon
- Axion portal
- Higgs portal
- ...

Couplings

- Quarks
- Gluons
- Charged Leptons
- Neutrinos
- Photons
- . . .

The Dark Matter Tree

















Thermal Freeze-out



Strongly Interacting Massive Particles

A New Perspective on Freeze Out

[Kuflik, Hochberg,TV, Wacker, 2014]

[Kuflik, Hochberg, Murayama, TV, Wacker, 2014]

• The WIMP paradigm assumes significant 2-2 annihilations (typically to SM) that suppresses the number density.



• The WIMP paradigm assumes significant 2-2 annihilations (typically to SM) that suppresses the number density.



• But what if DM is the lightest state in a hidden (sequestered) sector?



• The WIMP paradigm assumes significant 2-2 annihilations (typically to SM) that suppresses the number density.



• But what if DM is the lightest state in a hidden (sequestered) sector?



• Then 2-2 annihilations may be highly suppressed





- However, DM can still interact in the hidden sector.
- But this is number-conserving, which implies,

$$\frac{n_{\rm DM}}{s} \sim 1$$

A way out?



• More generally, the hidden sector will have additional interactions (especially in a strongly coupled case).



WIMP DM

Weak scale emerges for a weak-strength interactions

$$m_{\rm DM} \simeq \alpha_{\rm eff} \left(T_{\rm eq} M_{\rm Pl} \right)^{1/2} \sim {\rm TeV}$$

SIMP DM QCD scale emerges for a strongly-interacting sector.

 $m_{\rm DM} \simeq \alpha_{\rm eff} \left(T_{\rm eq}^2 M_{\rm Pl} \right)^{1/3} \sim 100 \ {\rm MeV}$



2-2 Good or Bad?



• Problem: We implicitly assumed that $T_{dark} = T_{SM}$. Otherwise DM is hot and excluded.

- Problem: We implicitly assumed that $T_{dark} = T_{SM}$. Otherwise DM is hot and excluded.
- To evade limits on hot DM, the dark sector needs to be in thermal equilibrium with SM.



- Problem: We implicitly assumed that $T_{dark} = T_{SM}$. Otherwise DM is hot and excluded.
- To evade limits on hot DM, the dark sector needs to be in thermal equilibrium with SM.



• Consequently, two more diagrams:





- Problem: We implicitly assumed that $T_{dark} = T_{SM}$. Otherwise DM is hot and excluded.
- To evade limits on hot DM, the dark sector needs to be in thermal equilibrium with SM.



• Consequently, two more diagrams:



Thus, much like the WIMP, the SIMP scenario predicts couplings to SM.



SIMP DM: Experimental Status



SIMP Realization: QCD-like Theories

[Kuflik, Hochberg, Murayama, TV, Wacker, 2014]

• A simple realization: QCD-like theories with a Wess-Zumino-Witten term.

[Wess,Zumino 1971; Witten, 1983]

• Sp(Nc) gauge symmetry with 2Nf Weyl fermions and SU(2Nf) global symmetry.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SIMP}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu a} + \bar{q}_i i \not\!\!D q_i , \quad i = 1, \dots 2N_f$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = -\frac{1}{2} M^{ij} q_i q_j + c.c., \quad M^{ij} = m_Q J^{ij}$$

• In the asymptotically-free range, theory breaks chiral symmetry, SU(2Nf) \longrightarrow Sp(Nf):

$$\langle q_i q_j \rangle = \mu^3 J_{ij}$$

- At low energy, theory described by the chiral Lagrangian. Pions parametrize the coset space SU(2Nf)/Sp(Nf). Play the role of DM.
- WZW produce 3-2 annihilations:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm WZW} = \frac{2N_c}{15\pi^2 f_\pi^5} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\pi \partial_\mu \pi \partial_\nu \pi \partial_\rho \pi \partial_\sigma \pi\right]$$

SIMP Realization: QCD-like Theories

[Kuflik, Hochberg, Murayama, TV, Wacker, 2014]





Asymmetric Production

[Nussinov, 1985; , Kaplan, 1992]

Experimental fact:

 $\Omega_{\rm DM}\simeq 5\Omega_b$

Main idea:

Relate the DM abundance to the baryon abundance.

But:

Baryon density is asymmetric (no anti-baryons), so DM may also be asymmetric.

- If we take this as a hint, both densities are related through some joint dynamics.
 [Nussinov, `85; Gelmini, Hall, Lin, `87'; Barr, Chivukula, Farhi, `90'; Kaplan, Luty, Zurek, `09;...
- Typical models of Asymmetric DM work as follows:

- If we take this as a hint, both densities are related through some joint dynamics.
 [Nussinov, `85; Gelmini, Hall, Lin, `87'; Barr, Chivukula, Farhi, `90'; Kaplan, Luty, Zurek, `09;...
- Typical models of Asymmetric DM work as follows:
 - I. Asymmetry is **created** in one or both sectors. Couplings between the two sectors ensure an asymmetry in both.





- If we take this as a hint, both densities are related through some joint dynamics.
 [Nussinov, `85; Gelmini, Hall, Lin, `87'; Barr, Chivukula, Farhi, `90'; Kaplan, Luty, Zurek, `09;...
- Typical models of Asymmetric DM work as follows:
 - I. Asymmetry is **created** in one or both sectors. Couplings between the two sectors ensure an asymmetry in both.



- If we take this as a hint, both densities are related through some joint dynamics.
 [Nussinov, `85; Gelmini, Hall, Lin, `87'; Barr, Chivukula, Farhi, `90'; Kaplan, Luty, Zurek, `09;...
- Typical models of Asymmetric DM work as follows:
 - I. Asymmetry is **created** in one or both sectors. Couplings between the two sectors ensure an asymmetry in both.
 - 2. The two sectors **decouple**.





- If we take this as a hint, both densities are related through some joint dynamics.
 [Nussinov, `85; Gelmini, Hall, Lin, `87'; Barr, Chivukula, Farhi, `90'; Kaplan, Luty, Zurek, `09;...
- Typical models of Asymmetric DM work as follows:
 - I. Asymmetry is **created** in one or both sectors. Couplings between the two sectors ensure an asymmetry in both.
 - 2. The two sectors **decouple**.
 - 3. The symmetric component is **annihilated** away.



- If we take this as a hint, both densities are related through some joint dynamics.
 [Nussinov, `85; Gelmini, Hall, Lin, `87'; Barr, Chivukula, Farhi, `90'; Kaplan, Luty, Zurek, `09;...
- Typical models of Asymmetric DM work as follows:
 - I. Asymmetry is **created** in one or both sectors. Couplings between the two sectors ensure an asymmetry in both.
 - 2. The two sectors **decouple**.
 - 3. The symmetric component is **annihilated** away.


Asymmetric DM

- If we take this as a hint, both densities are related through some joint dynamics.
 [Nussinov, `85; Gelmini, Hall, Lin, `87'; Barr, Chivukula, Farhi, `90'; Kaplan, Luty, Zurek, `09;...
- Typical models of Asymmetric DM work as follows:
 - I. Asymmetry is **created** in one or both sectors. Couplings between the two sectors ensure an asymmetry in both.
 - 2. The two sectors **decouple**.
 - 3. The symmetric component is **annihilated** away.
- Whether or not the symmetric component dominates, depends on the DM annihilation cross-section

Asymmetric / Non-thermal

 $\Omega_{\rm DM} \simeq 5\Omega_b$ Large $\langle \sigma v \rangle$ Asymmetric Dark Matter

Asymmetric / Non-thermal



What should we expect here??

• Simple scenario: 2-sector leptogenesis.

[Falkowski,Kuflik,Levi,TV, work in progress]



- N_i $\lambda_i N_i \chi \phi$ $y_i N_i LH$ SM DM
- When N decays it produces the baryon asymmetry through CP violation (loops):



• Simple scenario: 2-sector leptogenesis.

[Falkowski,Kuflik,Levi,TV, work in progress]

- Simple scenario: 2-sector leptogenesis. $\begin{bmatrix} Falkowski, Kuflik, Levi, TV, work in progress \end{bmatrix}$
- When N decays it produces the baryon asymmetry through CP violation (loops):



• Symmetric DM produced through tree level:





DM

Consequently, DM number density is generically larger than baryon number density:

SM

 $n_{\rm DM} > n_b$

$$m_{\rm DM}n_{\rm DM} = \Omega_{\rm DM} \simeq 5\Omega_b = m_{\rm p}n_b$$

• To have the same mass density:

 $m_{\rm DM} < m_p \simeq {\rm GeV}$

• And hence:

Light DM

• Simple scenario: 2-sector leptogenesis.

[Falkowski,Kuflik,Levi,TV, work in progress]



• One typically finds (preliminary): $m_{DM} \sim O(keV)$



Searching for a Dark Sector

The WIMP

Tree

Searching for a Dark Sector

Searching for DM



[Snowmass report, 2013]

Everything we've done for the WIMP should be repeated!

Which method is applicable depends strongly on the *production* and *mediation* scheme

Collider Experiments

Low-E Colliders



[Bird et al. 2004; McElrath 2005; Fayel 20105; Dreiner et al. 2009; Freytsis et al. 2009; Borodatchenkova et al. 2006; Reece, Wang 2009; Essig., Mardon, Papucci, TV, Zhong, 2013]

Neutrino Experiments



[MiniBooNE + Batell, deNiverville, McKeen, Pospelov, Ritz 2012]

High-E Colliders



[Falkowski, Ruderman, TV, Zupan, 2010; Curtin, Essig, Gori, Shelton, 2014; Ilten et al. 2015; Ilten et al. 2016]

Electron Beam-dumps



[Batell, Essig, Surujon 2014]

Prospects for Direct Detection

Current experiments: Search for elastic nuclear recoils. Extremely inefficient for light DM!



Prospects for Direct Detection

Current experiments: Search for elastic nuclear recoils. Extremely inefficient for light DM!



Prospects for Direct Detection

Current experiments: Search for elastic nuclear recoils. Extremely inefficient for light DM!



- Two basic efforts:
 - Lower threshold of existing techniques (DM-nucleon elastic scattering)

Threshold ≥ 10-50 eV



- Two basic efforts:
 - Lower threshold of existing techniques (DM-nucleon elastic scattering)
 - Search for inelastic processes (DM-electron and DM-nucleon scattering) [Essig, Mardon, TV, 2011]

Threshold ≥ 0.1 eV









Electron Ionisation in Noble Gas





[Essig,Manalaysay,Mardon,Sorensen,TV, 2012]

Electron Ionisation in Noble Gas



[Essig,,TV,Yu, 1702.xxxx]

• Uses 30 kg-years of data.

Electron Ionisation in Noble Gas

- Event rate in these experiments can be very high (event/minute in Xenon100, event/sec in LZ).
- Some idea for the origin (e.g. photo-dissociation of negatively charged impurities, electrons trapped in the liquid-gas interface, field emission from the cathode).
- Could it be DM? Probably not, but who knows...
- Study modulation!



[Essig,,TV,Yu, 1702.xxxx]

Electron Ionisation in Crystals

SuperCDMS and DAMIC





Upcoming and existing direct detection constraints from DM-electron recoil are sensitive to many interesting theories

Electron Ionization is also sensitive to Axions!



S2-only analysis can significantly lower the threshold and demonstrate sensitivity to lighter axions and hidden photons.



2D-targets

(graphene)

• Several new technologies have been suggested in recent years.

[Essig, Mardon, TV, 2011; Anderson, Figueroa-Feliciano, Formaggio, 2011; Drukier, Nussinov, 2013; Agnes et al. 2014; Hochberg, Zhao, Zurek, 2015; Essig, Mardon, Slone, TV, 2016; Schutz, Zurek, 2016; Budnik, Cheshnovsky, Slone, TV, upcoming; ...]

based on

PTOLEMY

few MeV

low exposure,

unknown backgrounds

 $\sim 5-10$ years

• Several new technologies have been suggested in recent years.

[Essig, Mardon, TV, 2011; Anderson, Figueroa-Feliciano, Formaggio, 2011; Drukier, Nussinov, 2013; Agnes et al. 2014; Hochberg, Zhao, Zurek, 2015; Essig, Mardon, Slone, TV, 2016; Schutz, Zurek, 2016; Budnik, Cheshnovsky, Slone, TV, upcoming; ...]

• One effort:

2-3 orders of magnitude below existing technologies

In crystals: search for color-center defects produced due to interaction with dark matter.

[Budnik, Cheshnovsky, Slone, TV, 1702.xxxx]

• Several new technologies have been suggested in recent years.

[Essig, Mardon, TV, 2011; Anderson, Figueroa-Feliciano, Formaggio, 2011; Drukier, Nussinov, 2013; Agnes et al. 2014; Hochberg, Zhao, Zurek, 2015; Essig, Mardon, Slone, TV, 2016; Schutz, Zurek, 2016; Budnik, Cheshnovsky, Slone, TV, upcoming; ...]

• One effort:

New theory-experimental collaboration. New lab opened.

Essig, Slone, TV, Budnik, Cheshnovsky, Kreisel, Soffer, Priel, Weiss, Mosbacher

Toy model: Molecules

Heavy Hidden Photon

Light Hidden Photon

[Essig, Mardon, Slone, TV, 2016]

Astrophysical Probes I: DM Disk

Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) Black hole growth rate can significantly change in the presence of a dark disc!

Astrophysical Probes II: Dark Planets



- If dark matter resides in a low-scale hidden sector, it may for structure!
- Searching for dark planets can be similar to searching regular planets.
- Key difference: no transits in dark planets.
- Idea: Statistically compare planet discovery using transits (Kepler) to those discovered with radial velocity methods (HARPS).

Conclusions

The WIMP paradigm is reaching its climax! Either will be found soon or become less motivated.

Trends are changing! Significant recent activity in understanding and searching for DM theories beyond the WIMP.

There are organising principles to help classify DM theories.

Many efforts in developing new technologies to expand the search for dark matter

Testing DM may not necessarily involve non-gravitational interactions! Improved understanding of structure formation may play crucial role in upcoming years.

Far too many mysteries to solve. Can't stop now!

To be continued...



"That isn't dark matter, sir-you just forgot to take off the lens cap."